



**DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY
UNITED STATES ARMY INTELLIGENCE AND SECURITY COMMAND
FREEDOM OF INFORMATION AND PRIVACY ACT OFFICE
2600 ERNIE PYLE STREET
FORT MEADE, MD 20755-5995**

February 2, 2023

Freedom of Information/
Privacy Office

Mr. John Greenewald
27305 West Live Oak Road
Suite 1203
Castaic, California 91384

Dear Mr. Greenewald:

This is in further response to your Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) request of February 8, 2022, and supplements our correspondence of February 9, 2022.

Coordination has been completed with another element of our command and other government agencies and records have been returned to this office. We have completed a mandatory declassification review in accordance with Executive Order (EO) 13526. As a result of our review, it has been determined that the information contained in the enclosed records no longer warrants security classification protection and is partially releasable to you.

As a result of our review, information has been sanitized according to Title 5 U.S. Code 552 (b)(3), (b)(7)(e), and (b)(5) of the FOIA. The statute invoked for (b)(3) is 50 U.S.C. § 3024(i), which allows for the protection of intelligence sources and methods. Exemption (b)(7)(e) protects information which would reveal sensitive intelligence methods and techniques and reveal sensitive intelligence methods that are predominantly internal in nature; the disclosure of which would significantly risk circumvention of agency regulations or statutes. Exemption (b)(5) protects pre-decisional and deliberative processes of an agency.

Further information has been sanitized that would result in an unwarranted invasion of the privacy rights of the individuals concerned. This information is exempt from the public disclosure provisions of the FOIA per Title 5 U.S. Code 552 (b)(6) and (b)(7)(c).

Three pages have been withheld in their entirety under the provisions of Exemptions (b)(3), (b)(5), and (b)(6). It is not possible to reasonably segregate meaningful portions of the withheld pages for release.

The withholding of the information described above is a partial denial of your request. This denial is made on behalf of Major General Michele H. Bredenkamp, Commanding, U.S. Army Intelligence and Security Command, who is the Initial Denial Authority for Army intelligence investigative and security records under the FOIA. You have the right to appeal this decision to the Secretary of the Army. If you wish to file an appeal, you should forward it to this office. Your appeal must be postmarked no later than 90 calendar days from the date of this letter. After the 90-day period, the case may be considered closed; however, such closure does not preclude you from filing litigation in the courts. You should state the basis for your disagreement with the response and you should provide justification for reconsideration of the denial. An appeal may not serve as a request for additional or new information. An appeal may only

address information denied in this response. Your appeal is to be made to this office to the listed address for forwarding, as appropriate, to the Secretary of the Army, Office of the General Counsel.

If you have any questions regarding this action, feel free to contact this office at 1-866-548-5651, or email the INSCOM FOIA office at: usarmy.meade.usacic.mbx.inscom-foia-service-center@army.mil and refer to case #0129F-22. You may also contact the INSCOM FOIA Public Liaison, Mrs. Arleen Colon, for further assistance and to discuss any aspect of your request at 301-677-7856. Additionally, you may contact the Office of Government Information Services (OGIS) at the National Archives and Records Administration to inquire about the FOIA mediation services they offer. The contact information for OGIS is as follows: Office of Government Information Services, National Archives and Records Administration, 8601 Adelphi Road-OGIS, College Park, Maryland 20740-6001, email at ogis@nara.gov, telephone at 202-741-5700; toll free at 1-877-684-6448; or facsimile at 202-741-5769.

Sincerely,

HEATON.MICHAEL.TODD.1
EL.TODD.11609
22075

Digitally signed by
HEATON.MICHAEL.TODD.1
160922075
Date: 2023.02.07 14:35:31
-05'00'

Michael T. Heaton
GG-15, Director
Freedom of Information/Privacy Act Office

Enclosure

This document is made available through the declassification efforts
and research of John Greenewald, Jr., creator of:

The Black Vault



The Black Vault is the largest online Freedom of Information Act (FOIA)
document clearinghouse in the world. The research efforts here are
responsible for the declassification of hundreds of thousands of pages
released by the U.S. Government & Military.

Discover the Truth at: **<http://www.theblackvault.com>**



DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY
HEADQUARTERS, 310TH MILITARY INTELLIGENCE BATTALION
4553 PIKE ROAD
FORT GEORGE G. MEADE, MARYLAND 20755-5910

IAMG-C-CDR

Date: 12 September 2019

MEMORANDUM FOR RECORD

SUBJECT: Approving Authority Action on AR 15-6 Investigation, re SFC [b6]
[b6] B Company, 310th Military Intelligence Battalion

1. I have reviewed the Investigating Officer's (IO's) findings and recommendations in the above-referenced AR 15-6 investigation. I approve the findings and recommendations.

2. Based upon the approved findings and recommendations, I direct the following:

a. SFC [b6] be counseled against further contact with the individuals and organizations identified in the investigation.

b. Determine appropriate administrative action against SFC [b6] after coordination with Group Legal.

c. I remand administrative action to the B Company, 310th Military Intelligence Battalion Commander.

3. The Point of Contact for this memorandum is the undersigned at [b6]

b6

LTC, MI
Commanding

DELETED PAGES INFORMATION SHEET

IT IS NOT REASONABLE TO SEGREGATE MEANINGFUL PORTIONS OF THE RECORD FOR RELEASE.

INFORMATION HAS BEEN WITHHELD IN ITS ENTIRETY IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE FOLLOWING EXEMPTIONS(S) :

b5

b6

Denied In Full

REPORT OF PROCEEDINGS BY INVESTIGATING OFFICER

Note. Completed forms may contain personally identifiable information and require handling as set forth in AR 340-21.
For use of this form, see AR 15-6; the proponent agency is OTJAG.

IF MORE SPACE IS REQUIRED IN FILLING OUT ANY PORTION OF THIS FORM, ATTACH ADDITIONAL SHEETS

SECTION I - APPOINTMENT

Appointed by b6 310th MI BN Commander
(Appointing authority)
on 20190729 (Date) (Attach enclosure 1: Letter of appointment or summary of oral appointment data.) (See para 3-15, AR 15-6.)

SECTION II - TIMELINE

1. The (investigation) commenced at 310th MI BN HQ, 4553 Pike Road Fort Meade, MD 20755 at 1300
(Place) (Time)
on 20190729
(Date)
2. The (investigating officer) finished gathering/hearing evidence at 1027 on 20190814 and completed
(Time) (Date)
findings and recommendations at 1130 on 20190819
(Time) (Date)

SECTION III - CHECKLIST FOR PROCEEDINGS

A. COMPLETE IN ALL CASES		YES	NO	N/A
1. Enclosures (para 3-13, AR 15-6)				
Are the following enclosed and numbered consecutively with Roman numerals: (Attached in order listed)				
a. The memorandum of appointment?		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
b. All other written communications to or from the appointing authority?		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
c. Privacy Act Statements (Certificate, if statement provided orally)?		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
d. Explanation by the investigating officer of any unusual delays, difficulties, irregularities, or other problems encountered (e.g., absence of material witnesses)?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
e. Any other significant papers (other than evidence) relating to administrative aspects of the investigation?		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
f. An Executive Summary, Index of Exhibits, Chronology of the investigation and lists of all persons interviewed and evidence gathered. (Complex, serious and/or high profile cases)?		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
2. Exhibits (para 3-14, AR 15-6)				
a. Are all items offered (whether or not received) or considered as evidence individually numbered or lettered as exhibits and attached to this report?		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
b. Is an index of all exhibits offered to or considered by investigating officer attached before the first exhibit?		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
c. Has the testimony/statement of each witness been recorded verbatim or been reduced to written form and attached as an exhibit?		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
d. Are copies, descriptions, or depictions (if substituted for real or documentary evidence) properly authenticated and is the location of the original evidence indicated?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
e. Are descriptions or diagrams included of locations visited by the investigating officer (Appendix C-3, AR 15-6)?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
f. Is each written stipulation attached as an exhibit and is each oral stipulation either reduced to writing and made an exhibit or recorded?		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

FOOTNOTES: 1. Explain all negative answers on an attached sheet.

2. Use of the N/A column constitutes a positive representation that the circumstances described in the question did not occur in this investigation.

SECTION IV - FINDINGS (para 3-10, AR 15-6)

The (investigating officer), having carefully considered the evidence, finds: (Each paragraph should be one conclusion based on the evidence gathered during the investigation. These findings should provide answers to each question posed by the appointing authority in the appointment memorandum. The evidence that supports each finding must be cited.)

See Attached Findings and Recommendation Memorandum.

SECTION V - RECOMMENDATIONS (para 3-11, AR 15-6)

In view of the above findings, the (investigating officer) recommends: (Each paragraph should be one recommendation based on the findings in Section IV. Address what actions, if any, should be taken with regard to the individuals involved, the unit leadership, and any steps that can be taken to prevent the occurrence in the future. Recommendations do not need to be adverse or punitive. For example, the investigation results can be used as a training tool.)

See Attached Findings and Recommendation Memorandum.

SECTION VI - AUTHENTICATION (para 3-15, AR 15-6)

THIS REPORT OF PROCEEDINGS IS COMPLETE AND ACCURATE.

b6

(Investigating Officer)

20190829

SECTION VII - ACTION BY APPROVING AUTHORITY (para 2-8, AR 15-6)

The findings and recommendations of the (investigating officer) are:

a) Approved.

b) Approved with the following modifications:

(1) The following findings of fact are added/deleted:

(2) The following findings of fact are modified as follows:

(3) The following recommendations are added/deleted:

(4) The following recommendations are modified as follows:

(5) The action recommended in recommendation _____ has been accomplished by _____

(6) Recommendation(s) _____ is not appropriate for action by this command; however, a copy of this investigation is being furnished to _____ for such action as deemed appropriate.

c) Disapproved.

d) The report is (incomplete), (ambiguous), (erroneous) and/or (specify deficiency) with respect to _____

It is, therefore, hereby returned to the IO for corrective action as follows _____



UNCLASSIFIED//~~FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY~~

DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY
HEADQUARTERS, 310TH MILITARY INTELLIGENCE BATTALION
4553 PIKE ROAD
FORT GEORGE G. MEADE, MD 20755-6910

IAMG-C-HHD

19 August 2019

MEMORANDUM FOR [b6] Commander, 310th Military Intelligence Battalion, 902d Military Intelligence Group, Fort Meade, MD 20755

SUBJECT: Findings and Recommendations, AR 15-6 Investigation (U//FOUO)

1. (U//~~FOUO~~) Appointment. On 29 July 2019, LTC Richard Bendelewski appointed me as an Investigating Officer (IO) pursuant to Army Regulation (AR) 15-6, to conduct an investigation into the facts and circumstances concerning an allegation of questionable employee conduct by SFC [b6] (Enclosure I).

2. (U) Purpose. The purpose of an AR 15-6 investigation is to elicit facts, draw conclusions, and make recommendations. The IO is directed to conduct an investigation to explore any issues or deficiencies with policy, procedures, resources, doctrine, training, and leadership that might have contributed to the incident under investigation.

3. (U//~~FOUO~~) Executive Summary. Through my investigation and a preponderance of evidence of evidence as discussed below, I find that SFC [b6] did not engage in questionable employee activity. I find that SFC [b6] violated UCMJ Article 92 by failing to follow a lawful order from his supervisor, 1SG [b6]

4. (U//~~FOUO~~) Facts.

a. (U) Shortly after Thanksgiving 2018, SFC [b6] spoke with Mr. [b6] [b6] 308th MI BN Direct Support Detachment, about the Stargate Project that was run on Fort Meade. Stargate Project was a U.S. Army unit established in the 1970s by the Defense Intelligence Agency to investigate the potential for psychic phenomena in a military intelligence capacity. The Central Intelligence Agency terminated and declassified the project in 1995 after a report concluded that it was never useful in any intelligence operation. SFC [b6] then asked Mr. [b6] about Unidentified Flying Objects (UFOs). Mr. [b6] encouraged SFC [b6] to research [b6] [b6] and reports released from the Pentagon regarding "tic-tac" UFOs. After conducting research, SFC [b6] decided on his own volition to contact Mr. [b6] directly through his personal Gmail account. (Exhibit A)

b. (U//~~FOUO~~) On 11 December 2018, SFC [b6] contacted "To the Stars Academy" (TTSA), identifying himself as "an active duty CI agent with the 902d MI Group out of Fort Meade, MD" and stating that he was interested in asking Mr. [b6] questions in an unofficial capacity regarding the [b3]

UNCLASSIFIED//~~FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY~~

IAMG-C-HHD

SUBJECT: Findings and Recommendations, AR 15-6 Investigation (U//~~FOUO~~)

b3 SFC b6 then provided Mr. b6 with his NIPRNet email and personal email address, as well as a link to his LinkedIn Profile. (Exhibit E) Mr. b6 formerly worked in the Office of the Undersecretary of Defense for Intelligence where he served b6 of the b3. b6 left government service in 2017 and currently serves as b6 Global Security and Special Programs for TTSA. AATIP was a government-funded program founded in 2007 for the purpose of investigating episodes brought to them by service members in order to study UFOs. While the program was defunded in 2012, there are indications that the program still operates in an official or unofficial capacity. TTSA identifies itself as a web-based entity that is "resourced through industry, academia, Department of Defense, and U.S. Intelligence Community with the goal of advancing current understanding of scientific phenomenon and its technological implications." For the purposes of this investigation, AATIP is an organization within the DOD and TTSA is civilian-managed entity with shared interests and frequent communication regarding UFOs and other phenomena.

c. (U//~~FOUO~~) Mr. b6 called SFC b6 shortly after and they discussed reports of UFOs in the media and the existence of AATIP. SFC b6 inquired as to the possibility of an Army Counterintelligence Agent working for AATIP as a successive military assignment, to which Mr. b6 informed him that the chances were very good. Mr. b6 arranged for a meeting to introduce SFC b6 to individuals that worked for AATIP. (Exhibit A)

d. (U//~~FOUO~~) Between 14 December 2018 and 22 January 2019, SFC b6 and Mr. b6 coordinated via email to arrange a meeting at Suitland (ONI HQ). (Exhibit F) SFC b6 offered a copy of his Soldier Record Brief (SRB) and last three Non-Commissioned Officer Evaluation Reports (NCOERs) to Mr. b6 who declined. (Exhibit A)

e. (U//~~FOUO~~) At the end of January, SFC b6 informed CPT b6 B Co Commander, and 1SG b6 B Co First Sergeant, of his communication with Mr. b6 and plans to meet with several AATIP representatives. SFC b6 received approval from his chain of command to attend the meeting during duty hours. (Exhibits A, D)

f. (U//~~FOUO~~) On 28 January 2019, SFC b6 met with Mr. b6 Mr. b6 b6 Mr. b6 (a contractor in the Office of the Under Secretary of Defense for Intelligence, Technical Collection & Special Programs – Foreign Materials Acquisition), and Mr. b6 b6 (a civilian Senior Intelligence Advisor to the Department of the Navy). SFC b6 attended the meeting in Army Occupational Camouflage Pattern (OCP) uniform, as the meeting took place in the lobby of the Crystal City Gateway Marriott right outside the Pentagon, where military uniforms are common. During the meeting, SFC b6 made arrangements with Mr. b6 and Mr. b6 for a follow-on

IAMG-C-HHD

SUBJECT: Findings and Recommendations, AR 15-6 Investigation (U//~~FOUO~~)

meeting. The meeting lasted approximately one hour. (Exhibit A)

g. (U//~~FOUO~~) SFC [b6] coordinated with Mr. [b6] and Mr. [b6] between 28 January and 21 February to arrange for a second meeting at the Pentagon. (Exhibit G, H) In an email dated 29 January 2019, SFC [b6] told Mr. [b6] that [b6] mentioned I might be able to start filtering stuff in to you guys as I come aware of it." He stated that contacting 902d field offices regarding "UAP" (Unidentified Aerial Phenomena) would likely be unsuccessful and raise suspicion "until major policy changes are enacted and [b3] is officially stood back up." SFC [b6] offered to "scour the MUFON, NUFORC, and other data aggregation elements with the intent to try to suss out military reporting occurring via those channels." The National UFO Reporting Center (NUFORC) and Mutual UFO Network (MUFON) are US-based civilian non-profit organizations that investigate alleged UFO sightings and alien contacts. Mr. [b6] advised SFC [b6] against formally coordinating with field offices until OSD (Office of the Secretary of Defense) formally establishes reporting channels. Mr. [b6] invited SFC [b6] to meet with him and Mr. [b6] at the Pentagon to provide "optics from a larger DoD perspective." (Exhibit G)

h. (U//~~FOUO~~) On 26 February 2019, SFC [b6] met with Mr. [b6] and Mr. [b6] in a classified conference room at the Pentagon. SFC [b6] also received approval from his chain of command to attend this meeting. Mr. [b6] and Mr. [b6] told SFC [b6] that they would be providing a program overview brief, as they claimed [b6] still existed but was in an "operational pause" due to funding issues. Mr. [b6] and Mr. [b6] claimed that the program was working on policy changes at the Department of Defense and Pentagon level in order to improve procedures for military personnel reporting sightings of UFOs. Mr. [b6] and Mr. [b6] told SFC [b6] that he would be on a short list to be an investigator if the program became fully operational once again. They discussed an alleged incident in [b3] Mr. [b6] told SFC [b6] that this information was allegedly classified TS//SCI and that nothing was to be discussed outside of secure areas. SFC [b6] asked what he could do to help [b3] in the meantime; Mr. [b6] and Mr. [b6] asked him to write an Executive Summary (EXSUM) on the reporting hurdles within the Army Counterintelligence/Law Enforcement community to pave the way for UFO reporting. SFC [b6] told them that he would get back to them. (Exhibit A)

i. (U//~~FOUO~~) Following the meeting, SFC [b6] spoke with 1SG [b6] and CPT [b6] 1SG [b6] gave SFC [b6] a lawful order to not provide anything written and to cease contact over government channels. CPT [b6] and 1SG [b6] cautioned against providing an EXSUM to avoid the perception of attempting to represent the Army or INSCOM as a whole as a Sergeant First Class. SFC [b6] continued to receive sporadic emails, but claims that he did not respond or contact them

IAMG-C-HHD

SUBJECT: Findings and Recommendations, AR 15-6 Investigation (U//~~FOUO~~)

to arrange any additional meetings until 6 May 2019. (Exhibits A, B, C)

j. (U//~~FOUO~~) News reports of changes in Navy UFO reporting guidelines prompted SFC [b6] to contact Mr. [b6] over SIPRNET in early May 2019. Additionally, SFC [b6] intended to maintain visibility within AATIP in case an opportunity arose for a follow-on assignment. In an email dated 6 May 2019, SFC [b6] stated that he "promised a document when we last spoke regarding the roadblocks inherent in addressing potential reporting chains for the US Army." SFC [b6] informed Mr. [b6] that his chain of command raised concerns regarding "potentially representing the entire Army as a single SFC." On 14 May 2019, Mr. [b6] told SFC [b6] to inform the chain of command that his assessment would be categorized as a personal opinion/viewpoint and not an official position. SFC [b6] informed Mr. [b6] that he could provide an unclassified product to expedite the process. Mr. [b6] reminded SFC [b6] to do his due diligence and have it reviewed by security before he submitted the product. (Exhibits A, I)

k. (U//~~FOUO~~) In late May/early June 2019, a friend sent SFC [b6] the screenshot of an Instagram post by [b6]. In the post, [b3 b6] an [b3] – an incident SFC [b6] had been led to believe was classified TS/SCI. [Note: this post was deleted 24-48 hours later.] On 18 June 2019, SFC [b6] emailed Mr. [b6] and Mr. [b6] to ask if the incident was truly TS/SCI. Mr. [b6] denied knowing whether or not the incident was classified, stating that he hadn't seen the file personally. (Exhibit J) On 19 June 2019, SFC [b6] reported potential classified spillage to the Fort Meade Military Intelligence Detachment because he believed classified information, specifically TS/SCI, had been posted to Instagram. (Exhibit A)

l. (U//~~FOUO~~) On 7 July 2019, a recording of SFC [b6] meeting with Mr. [b6] on 28 January 2019 was broadcast on season 1, episode 6 of "Unidentified: Inside America's UFO Investigation," a History Channel series. SFC [b6] can be seen in his Operational Camouflage [b6] face is blurred out and neither he nor the 902d unit patch are easily identifiable. (Exhibit L) After seeing the clips while watching the History channel, SFC [b6] immediately reported the incident to 1SG [b6] later texted Mr. [b6] stating that "it would have been nice to know that [the producers] were filming." He has not had contact with any representatives from AATIP or TTSA since then. (Exhibit A)

5. (U) Findings.

a. (U) What, if any, INSCOM/902d Military Intelligence Group Standard Operating Protocols (SOPs) and Army Regulations govern sharing government information, badge and credentials, outside employment, and speaking on behalf

IAMG-C-HHD

SUBJECT: Findings and Recommendations, AR 15-6 Investigation (U//~~FOUO~~)

of the United States Army/INSCOM/902d MI GRP?

(1) (U) Army Regulation (AR) 380-5 *Department of the Army Information Security Program* governs information sharing and unauthorized disclosure of classified and unclassified information. AR 360-1 *The Army Public Affairs Program* governs release of official information.

(2) (U) AR 381-20 *Army Counterintelligence Program* and 902d Policy Letter #20 U.S. Army Intelligence Badge and Credentials govern the use of badge and credentials.

(3) (U) DOD 5500.07-R *Joint Ethics Regulation* Section 2-303 governs outside employment.

(4) (U) DOD 5500.07-R *Joint Ethics Regulation* Section 3-209 governs endorsement of a non-Federal entity by Department of Defense employees in their official capacities.

b. (U//~~FOUO~~) What information did SFC [b6] utilize to verify his identification and background with To the Stars Academy or its representatives?

(1) (U) SFC [b6] used his LinkedIn profile to provide professional experience and his NIPR email address to verify his active duty status. (Exhibit A, E)

c. (U//~~FOUO~~) Did SFC [b6] misuse his badge and credentials in meeting with To the Stars Academy or its representatives?

(1) (U) SFC [b6] claims that he did not use his badge and credentials to verify his position as a Counterintelligence agent or at any time when meeting with TTSA [b3]. I have not found any evidence to support the contrary. (Exhibit A)

d. (U//~~FOUO~~) Did SFC [b6] transmit FOUO or higher classification information to To the Stars Academy or its representatives? If so, what information was transmitted and when? What is the classification level or the information transmitted?

(1) (U//~~FOUO~~) The preponderance of evidence does not suggest that SFC [b6] transmitted FOUO or higher classification information to TTSA or its representatives.

(2) (U//~~FOUO~~) SFC [b6] reported potential classified spillage to the Ft Meade MID based on concerns regarding a discussion he had with Mr. [b6] and Mr. [b6] in a secured area. Mr. [b6] and Mr. [b6] told SFC [b6] about an [b3]. This information

IAMG-C-HHD

SUBJECT: Findings and Recommendations, AR 15-6 Investigation (U//~~FOUO~~)

later appeared on the Instagram account of [b6] SFC [b6] did not transmit this information in any way; he did his due diligence as a Counterintelligence agent and reported potential spillage. (Exhibits A, J, K)

e. (U//~~FOUO~~) If any FOUO or higher classification information was transmitted, how did SFC [b6] share the information? Did SFC [b6] create a spillage by transmitting or communicating with To the Stars Academy or its representatives via mail.mil, personal email address, [b6]@gmail.com, telephone, or other medium?

(1) (U//~~FOUO~~) The preponderance of evidence suggests that SFC [b6] did not transmit FOUO or higher classification information. He did not provide any reports or documentation to TTSA, and claims that he did not openly discuss classified matters with them.

(2) (U//~~FOUO~~) SFC [b6] did not create a spillage by transmitting or communicating with TTSA or its representatives via enterprise email, personal email, telephone, or any other medium.

f. (U//~~FOUO~~) Did SFC [b6] improperly use government property while communicating or meeting with To the Stars Academy or its representatives?

(1) (U//~~FOUO~~) On 26 February 2019, 1SG [b6] gave SFC [b6] a lawful order to cease contact with Mr. [b6] and Mr. [b6] over government channels. (Exhibits A, B, C) On 6 May 2019, 14 May 2019, 18 June 2019, and 20 June 2019, SFC [b6] contacted Mr. [b6] and Mr. [b6] on SIPRNET. (Exhibits I, J)

(2) (U//~~FOUO~~) SFC [b6] improperly used government property because he violated a lawful order to refrain from using his government computer to contact TTSA, [b3] or its representatives. Prior to receiving a lawful order, SFC [b6] did not improperly use government property because he believed that he was coordinating a follow-on assignment, which does not constitute fraud, waste, and abuse of government property.

g. (U//~~FOUO~~) Obtain a copy of the employment contract or job posting SFC [b6] responded to with To the Stars Academy or its representatives. Does the employment contract create a conflict of interest with SFC [b6] service at INSCOM or 902d MI GRP? Did SFC [b6] receive approval for outside employment?

(1) (U//~~FOUO~~) SFC [b6] did not respond to an employment contract or job posting from TTSA. SFC [b6] contacted TTSA as a means to network and make contacts for a potential next Army assignment working the UFO problem set. (Exhibits

IAMG-C-HHD

SUBJECT: Findings and Recommendations, AR 15-6 Investigation (U//~~FOUO~~)

A, B, D, F)

(2) (U//~~FOUO~~) SFC [b6] did not seek nor receive approval for outside employment from his chain of command.

h. (U//~~FOUO~~) How many times and where did SFC [b6] meet with To the Stars Academy and its representatives? What were the topics of discussion during these meetings?

(1) (U//~~FOUO~~) SFC [b6] met with Mr. [b6] and Mr. [b6] on 28 January 2019 at the Crystal City Gateway Marriott. They discussed samples of materials from UFO sightings that TTSA had obtained with accompanying chemical analysis reports, the existence of an unknown biological specimen, and what SFC [b6] described as "UFO-lore". (Exhibit A)

(2) (U//~~FOUO~~) SFC [b6] met with Mr. [b6] and Mr. [b6] on 28 February at a secured area in the Pentagon. Mr. [b6] and Mr. [b6] provided a "program overview brief", and discussed the operational status of AATIP, the possibility of SFC [b6] working [b3] as a future assignment, and an incident [b3] [b3]. During this meeting, Mr. [b6] and Mr. [b6] denied and/or claimed ignorance of the existence of an unknown biological specimen referenced by Mr. [b6] in the first meeting. At this time, Mr. [b6] and Mr. [b6] asked SFC [b6] to write an EXSUM on UFO reporting hurdles within the Army Counterintelligence and Law Enforcement community. (Exhibit A)

i. (U//~~FOUO~~) Explain in detail any public exposure created by SFC [b6] relationship with To the Stars Academy or its representatives. Obtain a copy of any public recordings featuring SFC [b6]

(1) (U//~~FOUO~~) A surreptitious recording of SFC [b6] in Army OCP uniform aired on 5 July 2019 on season 1, episode 6 of "Unidentified: Inside America's UFO Investigation," a History Channel series. The episode can be viewed on NIPRNET at the following link: <https://www.vimeo.com/346567550>.

(2) (U//~~FOUO~~) The episode in question discusses instances of military pilots encountering "tic-tac" UFOs, and specifically focuses on the [b3] incident that Mr. [b6] and Mr. [b6] had previously claimed was classified "TS/SCI". The clip shown is from the 28 January meeting between SFC [b6] Mr. [b6] in the lobby of the Crystal City Gateway Marriott. The narrator characterizes SFC [b6] and Mr. [b6] as "three military intelligence officers". While SFC [b6] face was blurred out, the Army OCP uniform is clearly identifiable. A unit patch is noticeable but

IAMG-C-HHD

SUBJECT: Findings and Recommendations, AR 15-6 Investigation (U//~~FOUO~~)

not easily discernable to be the 902d patch and would be difficult for any personnel not familiar with INSCOM to recognize. (Exhibit L) The producers attempt to use the visual of an Army "official" in uniform to lend credibility to the claim that the military is actively engaged in the UFO problem set. SFC [b6] relationship with TTSA or AATIP did not create any additional public exposure beyond this episode.

6. (U) Recommendations.

a. (U//~~FOUO~~) The preponderance of evidence does not support any allegations that SFC [b6] disclosed unauthorized information, improperly used his badge and credentials, sought unapproved outside employment, or attempted to speak on behalf of the U.S. government. I do not recommend administrative action against SFC [b6] in regards to the above listed offenses.

b. (U//~~FOUO~~) I recommend administrative action for failure to obey a lawful order, in accordance with UCMJ Article 92. SFC [b6] contacted Mr. [b6] and Mr. [b6] using his SIPRNET computer after receiving an order from 1SG [b6] to cease contact through government channels.

c. (U//~~FOUO~~) I recommend that SFC [b6] be formally advised against further contact with To the Stars Academy and its representatives or with any personnel involved in the Advanced Aerospace Threat Identification Program.

6. (U//~~FOUO~~) Point of contact for this memorandum is the undersigned at elise.c.renfroe.mil@mail.mil, elise.c.renfroe.mil@mail.smil.mil, or [b6]

b6

Digitally signed by

b6

Date: 2019.08.27 10:45:01
-04'00'

CPT, MI
Investigating Officer



UNCLASSIFIED//~~FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY~~

DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY
HEADQUARTERS, 310TH MILITARY INTELLIGENCE BATTALION
4553 PIKE ROAD
FORT MEADE, MD 20755-5912

IAMG-O-CDR

29 July 2019

MEMORANDUM FOR b6 Assistant S3, Headquarters and
Headquarters Detachment, 902d Military Intelligence Group, Fort Meade, Maryland
20755-5912

SUBJECT: Appointment of an Army Regulation 15-6 Investigating Officer re SFC b6
b6 B Company, 310th Military Intelligence Battalion

1. (U//~~FOUO~~) **Appointment.** You are hereby appointed as an Investigating Officer (IO) pursuant to Army Regulation (AR) 15-6 to conduct an administrative investigation into the facts and circumstances concerning an allegation of questionable employee conduct by SFC b6 B Company, 310th Military Intelligence (MI) Battalion (BN). Your responsibilities as an IO take precedence over all other duties. You have 14 days from the date of this appointment to conduct this investigation. Coordinate any requests for extensions through your legal advisor.

2. (U) **General Instructions.**

a. (U) The purpose of an AR 15-6 investigation is to elicit facts, draw conclusions, and make recommendations. You are directed to conduct an investigation into the matters set forth in paragraph 3, below. Your investigation should explore any issues or deficiencies with policy, procedures, resources, doctrine, training, and leadership that might have contributed to the incident under investigation. Upon completion of this investigation, you will complete a report of investigation that conforms to the requirements of paragraph 5 of this memorandum and AR 15-6. You will provide your report to your legal advisor, who will provide a legal review.

b. (U) During this investigation you may uncover facts that suggest I modify, enlarge, restrict, or terminate your investigation. In such an event, immediately report these facts to me, through your legal advisor, together with your recommendations as to the action I should take.

3. (U) **Scope of Investigation/Specific Instructions.**

a. (U//~~FOUO~~) You are hereby directed to conduct an investigation into the allegation of questionable employee conduct by SFC b6 B Company, 310th Military Intelligence (MI) Battalion (BN). Such review should include a thorough analysis of whether the circumstances alleged are accurate and merit correction. You should also report whether any personnel should be held accountable for any shortcomings or failures.

UNCLASSIFIED//~~FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY~~

IAMG-C-CDR

SUBJECT: Appointment of an Army Regulation 15-6 Investigating Officer

b. (U//~~FOUO~~) During your investigation you will, at a minimum, ascertain the following:

(1) What if any, INSCOM/902d Military Intelligence Standard Operating Protocols (SOP) and Army Regulations, etc. govern sharing government information, badge and credentials, outside employment, and speaking on behalf of the United States Army/INSCOM/902d Military Intelligence.

(2) What information did SFC [b6] utilize to verify his identification and background to The Stars Academy or its representatives?

(3) Did SFC [b6] misuse his badge and credentials in meeting with The Stars Academy or its representatives?

(4) Did SFC [b6] transmit FOUO or higher classification information to The Stars Academy or its representatives? If so, what information was transmitted and when? What is the classification level of the information transmitted?

(5) If any FOUO or higher classification information was transmitted, how did SFC [b6] share the information? Did SFC [b6] create a spillage by transmitting or communicating with The Stars Academy or its representatives via mail, mil, personal email address, [b6]@gmail.com, telephone, or other medium?

(6) Did SFC [b6] improperly use government property communicating or meeting with The Stars Academy or its representatives?

(7) Obtain a copy of the employment contract or job posting SFC [b6] responded to with The Stars Academy or its representatives. Does the employment contract create a conflict of interest with SFC [b6] service at INSCOM or 902d Military Intelligence? Did SFC [b6] receive approval for outside employment?

(8) How many times and where did SFC [b6] meet with The Stars Academy and its representatives? What were the topics of discussion during these meetings?

(9) Explain in detail any public exposure created by SFC [b6] relationship with The Stars Academy or its representatives. Obtain a of any public recordings featuring SFC [b6]

4. (U) Conduct of the investigation.

IAMG-C-CDR

SUBJECT: Appointment of an Army Regulation 15-6 Investigating Officer

a. (U//~~FOUO~~) MAJ [b6] 902d Military Intelligence Group, Command Judge Advocate, is your legal advisor. You may reach her at [b6] or [b6] @mail.mil. You will consult with your legal advisor before making substantive efforts regarding your investigation. Before meeting with your legal advisor, read Appendix C, *Investigating Officer's Guide*, AR 15-6.

b. (U) Evidence Collection.

(1) (U) You will conduct this investigation using the procedures outlined in Chapter 4, and the general guidance provided in Chapter 5, AR 15-6.

(2) (U) To the extent possible, witness statements will be written and sworn. You should record witness statements on a DA Form 2823. If it is impracticable to obtain a written and/or sworn statement from a particular witness, you will attest to the accuracy of any transcription or summary of such witness testimony in whatever form it appears. In accordance with AR 340-21, provide a Privacy Act statement to a witness if you do not use a DA Form 2823. Do not collect Social Security Numbers or other non-essential personally identifiable information (PII).

(3) (U) No U.S. military or civilian witness subject to the Uniform Code of Military Justice (UCMJ) can be ordered to provide information that may incriminate himself/herself. You may order a military or Federal Government civilian employee witness to provide a statement if you believe that they have relevant information that would not be incriminating. Should a witness refuse to make a statement or respond to questioning, you will consult with your legal advisor to determine whether a witness may lawfully refuse to comply with your requests. If in the course of your investigation you come to suspect a person may have engaged in criminal conduct, you will consult with your legal advisor. Under no circumstances should you attempt to elicit any incriminating information from a suspect without first advising that person of his/her rights under Article 31, UCMJ, or the Fifth Amendment of the Constitution, as appropriate. Document your rights advisement and witness waivers of their Article 31 rights or Fifth Amendment rights on a DA Form 3881.

(4) (U) If your investigation requires an interview with individuals employed under contracts to support the United States Army, you must coordinate the request with the appropriate Contracting Officer's Representative (COR), and consult your legal advisor.

(5) (U) Should you determine in the context of your investigation that a Soldier's status has changed from favorable to unfavorable, as defined in AR 600-8-2, you must notify me immediately through your legal advisor to ensure that a flag is initiated against the Soldier.

IAMG-C-CDR

SUBJECT: Appointment of an Army Regulation 15-6 Investigating Officer

(6) (U) Where a civilian employee is a member of a bargaining unit and reasonably believed that the inquiry could lead to disciplinary action against him or her, the employee may have a representative from the bargaining unit present during the questioning. You will consult your legal advisor if you have any questions regarding these procedures.

5. (U) Report of Investigation.

a. (U) General. Your report of investigation will be written. Use a DA Form 1574-1, and attach all required enclosures and exhibits.

b. (U) Assembly. Your completed AR 15-6 investigation will include:

- (1) This memorandum of appointment;
- (2) A completed DA Form 1574-1, *Report of Proceedings by Investigating Officer*;
- (3) A detailed chronology of the daily actions you took during the investigation;
- (4) An index of all attached exhibits;
- (5) All exhibits, labeled and numbered;
- (6) A list of the witnesses you interviewed;
- (7) If applicable, proper classification markings for each paragraph, page, and exhibit included within your report of investigation; and
- (8) A memorandum with your findings and recommendations.

(a) (U) Findings. You will reach your findings by a preponderance of the evidence that you gather. A finding is a clear and concise statement of facts that can be readily deduced from evidence in the record. In your report, develop specific findings and cite the evidence that supports your findings. If evidence conflicts (e.g. conflicting witness statements), make a finding as to which evidence is more credible and why you believe it to be more credible.

(b) (U) Recommendations. Based upon your findings, make recommendations as to what changes, if any, are needed in terms of policy, procedures, resources, doctrine, training, and leadership to avoid incidents of this nature in the future, as well as recommendations consistent with your findings concerning other items your investigation revealed. Each recommendation will cite to the finding that supports it, and should comport with the guidance in AR 15-6.

~~UNCLASSIFIED//FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY~~

IAMG-C-CDR

SUBJECT: Appointment of an Army Regulation 15-6 Investigating Officer

c. (U) Submission. Submit your report of investigation to your legal advisor, sending your legal advisor your findings and recommendations memorandum in word.doc format. When finalized, submit your investigation in hard copy to include original exhibits and attachments. You may not release any information related to this investigation to anyone, other than your legal advisor, without my prior approval.

b6

Encl

LTC, M
Commanding

~~UNCLASSIFIED//FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY~~

Index

DA Form 1574 with Findings and Recommendations Memorandum

Enclosure I, Appointment of Investigating Officer Memorandum

Enclosure II, Extension Request and Approval

Enclosure III, Privacy Act Statement SFC **b6**

Enclosure IV, Privacy Act Statement CPT **b6**

Enclosure V, Privacy Act Statement 1SG **b6**

Enclosure VI, Privacy Act Statement CW3 **b6**

Enclosure VII, Rights Waiver

Enclosure VIII, Initial Procedure 14

Enclosure IX, Memorandum for Record, 01 August 2019

Enclosure X, Chronology

Exhibit A, Sworn Statement SFC **b6**

Exhibit B, Sworn Statement CPT **b6**

Exhibit C, Sworn Statement 1SG **b6**

Exhibit D, Sworn Statement CW3 **b6**

Exhibit E, Email SFC **b6** to Mr. **b6** 11DEC18 to 12DEC18

Exhibit F, Email SFC **b6** to Mr. **b6** 14DEC18 to 22JAN19

Exhibit G, Email SFC **b6** to Mr. **b6** 28JAN19 to 29JAN19

Exhibit H, Email Mr. **b6** to SFC **b6** 07FEB19

Exhibit I, Email SFC **b6** to Mr. **b6** 06MAY19 to 15MAY19

Exhibit J, Email SFC **b6** to Mr. **b6** 18JUN19 to 08JUL19

Exhibit K, Screenshots from History Channel

From: [b6] LTC USARMY 902 MI GRP (USA)
To: [b6] USARMY 902 MI GRP (USA)
Cc: [b6] CPT USARMY (USA); [b6] MAJ USARMY 902 MI GRP (USA)
Subject: RE: Extension for 15-6 Investigation (UNCLASSIFIED)
Date: Thursday, August 8, 2019 12:54:50 PM

CLASSIFICATION: UNCLASSIFIED

Approved. Thanks.

LTCB

-----Original Message-----

From: [b6] CPT USARMY 902 MI GRP (USA)
Sent: Tuesday, August 6, 2019 3:54 PM
To: [b6] USARMY 902 MI GRP (USA)
<[b6]>
Cc: [b6] CPT USARMY (USA)
<[b6]mil@mail.smil.mil>; [b6] MAJ USARMY 902
MI GRP (USA) <[b6]mil@mail.smil.mil>
Subject: Extension for 15-6 Investigation (UNCLASSIFIED)

CLASSIFICATION: UNCLASSIFIED

Sir,

I am formally requesting a one week extension for the investigation relating to SFC [b6] currently with a suspense of 12 Aug 2019. The investigation was delayed for the following reasons:

1. CW3 [b6] former supervisor, is TDY until next week (o/a 12-Aug). I've contacted him to obtain a sworn statement electronically, but it will take another day or two to complete given his mission requirements and time zone differences.

2. Mr. [b6] in the GRP S6 has been out of office since last week for personal reasons. I was able to get in contact with him today to discuss conducting a [b6] (b)(7)(E) b3 b6

[b6] (b)(7)(E) b3

Pending your guidance, sir.

V/r,

[b6]
Assistant S3
310th MI BN, 902nd MI GRP
NIPR: elise.c.renfroe.mil@mail.mil
SIPR: elise.c.renfroe.mil@mail.smil.mil
Office: [b6]

PRIVACY ACT OF 1974 ADVISEMENT STATEMENT

1. **AUTHORITY:** 10 USC 3012
2. **PRINCIPAL PURPOSES:** The purpose for soliciting this information is to provide the commander a basis for making a determination regarding an informal inquiry/investigation.
3. **ROUTINE USES:** Any information you provide may be disclosed to members of the Department of Defense who have a need for the information in the performance of their duties.
4. **DISCLOSURE MANDATORY OR VOLUNTARY:** The disclosure of personal information is voluntary. However, failure to disclose necessary and relevant information which impedes the investigation may have an adverse impact on your security clearance; if you possess or are being considered for one, or your employment, if you are a military member or employee of the Department of the Army.

Digitally signed by
b6
b6
Date: 2019.08.19 10:58:37
-04'00'

Signature of Investigating Officer
Print Name: CPT Elise Renfroe
Date:

b6

Signature of Individual

Print Name: SFC b6

Date: 19 August 2019

PRIVACY ACT OF 1974 ADVISEMENT STATEMENT

1. AUTHORITY: 10 USC 3012

2. PRINCIPAL PURPOSES: The purpose for soliciting this information is to provide the commander a basis for making a determination regarding an informal inquiry.

3. ROUTINE USES: Any information you provide may be disclosed to members of the Department of Defense who have a need for the information in the performance of their duties.

4. DISCLOSURE MANDATORY OR VOLUNTARY: The effect of not providing the information: Providing the information is voluntary. However, failure to provide information which impedes the investigation could result in disciplinary or other adverse action against you under applicable civilian or military personnel regulations.

b6

Signature of Investigating Officer

Print Name: b6

Date: 16 Aug 19

b6

Signature of Individual

Print Name: b6

Date: 16 Aug 19

PRIVACY ACT OF 1974 ADVISEMENT STATEMENT

1. AUTHORITY: 10 USC 3012

2. PRINCIPAL PURPOSES: The purpose for soliciting this information is to provide the commander a basis for making a determination regarding an informal inquiry.

3. ROUTINE USES: Any information you provide may be disclosed to members of the Department of Defense who have a need for the information in the performance of their duties.

4. DISCLOSURE MANDATORY OR VOLUNTARY: The effect of not providing the information: Providing the information is voluntary. However, failure to provide information which impedes the investigation could result in disciplinary or other adverse action against you under applicable civilian or military personnel regulations.

b6

Signature of Investigating Officer

Print Name: b6

Date: 16 Aug 19

b6

Signature of Individual

Print Name: b6

Date: 16 Aug 19

PRIVACY ACT OF 1974 ADVISEMENT STATEMENT

1. **AUTHORITY:** 10 USC 3012
2. **PRINCIPAL PURPOSES:** The purpose for soliciting this information is to provide the commander a basis for making a determination regarding an informal inquiry/investigation.
3. **ROUTINE USES:** Any information you provide may be disclosed to members of the Department of Defense who have a need for the information in the performance of their duties.
4. **DISCLOSURE MANDATORY OR VOLUNTARY:** The disclosure of personal information is voluntary. However, failure to disclose necessary and relevant information which impedes the investigation may have an adverse impact on your security clearance, if you possess or are being considered for one, or your employment, if you are a military member or employee of the Department of the Army.

b6	Digitally signed by
	b6
	b6
	Date: 2019.08.23 13:19:22 -04'00'

Signature of Investigating Officer
Print Name: b6
Date:

b6

Signature of Individual
Print Name:
Date:

RIGHTS WARNING PROCEDURE/WAIVER CERTIFICATE

For use of this form, see AR 190-30; the proponent agency is PMG

DATA REQUIRED BY THE PRIVACY ACT

AUTHORITY: Title 10, United States Code, Section 3012(g)
PRINCIPAL PURPOSE: To provide commanders and law enforcement officials with means by which information may be accurately identified.
ROUTINE USES: Your Social Security Number is used as an additional/alternate means of identification to facilitate filing and retrieval.
DISCLOSURE: Disclosure of your Social Security Number is voluntary.

1. LOCATION FORT GEORGE G. MEADE, MARYLAND	2. DATE 22140814	3. TIME 0917	4. FILE NO.
5. NAME (Last, First, MI) b6	8. ORGANIZATION OR ADDRESS B CO, 310TH MI BN 4553 PIKE ROAD FORT GEORGE G. MEADE, MD 20755		
6. SSN	7. GRADE/STATUS SFC		

PART I - RIGHTS WAIVER/NON-WAIVER CERTIFICATE

Section A. Rights

The investigator whose name appears below told me that he/she is with the United States Army 310TH MI BN, 902D MI GRP
INVESTIGATING OFFICER and wanted to question me about the following offense(s) of which I am

suspected/accused: **ARTICLE 92, FAILURE TO OBEY ORDER OR REGULATION**

Before he/she asked me any questions about the offense(s), however, he/she made it clear to me that I have the following rights:

- I do not have to answer any question or say anything.
- Anything I say or do can be used as evidence against me in a criminal trial.
- (For personnel subject to the UCMJ) I have the right to talk privately to a lawyer before, during, and after questioning and to have a lawyer present with me during questioning. This lawyer can be a civilian lawyer I arrange for at no expense to the Government or a military lawyer detailed for me at no expense to me, or both.

- of -

(For civilians not subject to the UCMJ) I have the right to talk privately to a lawyer before, during, and after questioning and to have a lawyer present with me during questioning. I understand that this lawyer can be one that I arrange for at my own expense, or if I cannot afford a lawyer and want one, a lawyer will be appointed for me before any questioning begins.

- If I am now willing to discuss the offense(s) under investigation, with or without a lawyer present, I have a right to stop answering questions at any time, or speak privately with a lawyer before answering further, even if I sign the waiver below.

5. COMMENTS (Continue on reverse side)

Section B. Waiver

I understand my rights as stated above. I am now willing to discuss the offense(s) under investigation and make a statement without talking to a lawyer first and without having a lawyer present with me.

WITNESSES (If available)		3. SIGNATURE OF INTERVIEWEE b6
1a. NAME (Type or Print) b6		
b. ORGANIZATION OR ADDRESS AND PHONE 310TH MI BN, 902D MI GRP FORT GEORGE G. MEADE, MD 20755	4. SIGNATURE OF INVESTIGATOR b6	
2a. NAME (Type or Print)		
b. ORGANIZATION OR ADDRESS AND PHONE	5. ORGANIZATION OF INVESTIGATOR HHD, 310TH MI BN, 902D MI GRP FORT GEORGE G. MEADE, MD 20755	

Section C. Non-waiver

- I do not want to give up my rights
☐ I want a lawyer ☐ I do not want to be questioned or say anything

2. SIGNATURE OF INTERVIEWEE

ATTACH THIS WAIVER CERTIFICATE TO ANY SWORN STATEMENT (DA FORM 2823) SUBSEQUENTLY EXECUTED BY THE SUSPECT/ACCUSED



UNCLASSIFIED//~~FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY~~

DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY
FORT MEADE MILITARY INTELLIGENCE DETACHMENT
A COMPANY, 308 MILITARY INTELLIGENCE BATTALION
FORT GEORGE G. MEADE, MD 20755-5912

IAMG-B-MD (381-20p)
BMD-MFR-19-003

18 July 2019

MEMORANDUM FOR 902d Military Intelligence Group, (IAMG-IO) b6 2600 Ernie Pyle
Street, Fort Meade, MD 20755-5910

SUBJECT: Initial Procedure 14- Employee Conduct Report (U)

1. (U) References:

- a. (U) AR 381-10, U.S. Army Intelligence Activities, 3 May 2019 (U//~~FOUO~~)
- b. (U) 902d Military Intelligence Group Pamphlet AR 381-10, Intelligence Oversight Procedures (U//~~FOUO~~)
2. (U//~~FOUO~~) This Initial report submitted under the provisions of AR 381-10, Procedure 14. This report addresses questionable employee conduct of:
 - a. (U//~~FOUO~~) b6 /SFC/Platoon Sergeant.
 - b. (U//~~FOUO~~) Clearance: Top Secret with Sensitive Compartmented Information.
 - c. (U//~~FOUO~~) Unit of Assignment: B Co, 310th Military Intelligence Battalion.
 - d. (U//~~FOUO~~) Assigned duties at time of the alleged questionable conduct: NCOIC/Platoon Sergeant for Technical Surveillance Countermeasures (TCSM) Unit, 902d Military Intelligence Group.

3. (U//~~FOUO~~) Background: At approximately 1100 hours, 28 January 2019, SFC b6 participated in a meeting with four individuals, identified below, for the purpose of seeking future employment with Advance Aerospace Threat Identification Program (AATIP). SFC b6 met the individuals in his US Army issued Operational Camouflage Pattern (OCP) uniform with 902d Military Intelligence Group unit insignia at the Crystal Gateway Marriott, 1700 Jefferson Davis Highway, Arlington VA. The meeting was unknowingly recorded and later broadcast on season 1; episode 6 of "Unidentified: Inside America's UFO Investigation," a History Channel series aimed to "expose a series of startling encounters... that will urge the public to ask questions and look for answers." Additionally, on 19 June 2019, SFC b6 self-reported the coordination and exchanges with the individuals involved as follows:

- a. (U//~~FOUO~~) At 12:39 pm, 11 December 2018, SFC b6 reached out to b6 b6 CIV; E-mail: b6 @yahoo.com; Duty position/Organization: Director of Global Security and Special Programs, former director of the Advance Aerospace Threat Identification Program (AATIP) through The Stars Academy of Arts and Science email address, info@tothestarsacademy.com. SFC b6 identified himself an active duty

UNCLASSIFIED//~~FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY~~

111

~~UNCLASSIFIED//FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY~~

IAMG-B-MD (381-20p)

SUBJECT: Initial Procedure 14- Employee Conduct Report (U)

counterintelligence agent assigned to the 902d MI Group at Fort Meade, MD who would like to ask Mr. [b6] questions concerning "MTIP". He provided his point of contact as [b6] mil@mail.mil and [b6] @gmail.com. SFC received an email requesting his personal phone number, which he provided (encl 1). Between 12 December and 14 December 2018, SFC [b6] established direct email contact with Mr. [b6] through his personal email address.

b. (U//~~FOUO~~) On 14 December 2018, SFC [b6] contacted Mr. [b6] directly via email. The content of the email was job seeking in nature and implied SFC [b6] and Mr. [b6] had a telephone conversation prior to initiating the email chain. Relevant content from SFC [b6] email to Mr. [b6] is as follows:

"First, you mentioned you could introduce me to the person running the program - can you give me his name? I'm not going to use it to seek him out or contact him directly. Instead I want to see if he's indeed in the global/military channels. Not to seem distrustful, but I need to verify.

Second, you also mentioned that if this meeting goes well, this could be something I do while still doing my current job? How would that work? What are the logistics involved? How would this be worked through the INSCOM and 902d chain of command? Would there be a read-on process? TOY funds pulled from somewhere? What exactly would my role be, assuming I got a job doing this? My authorities? I assume that I'd be filling an investigative role seeing as I'm not a scientist, would I essentially be operating as a field agent akin to normal CI investigations just with an extraordinary subject instead of the normal stuff?"

*MISSPELLED
in original?*
[sic]

SFC [b6] forwarded the content of the email to Mr. [b6] [b6] a close friend of SFC [b6] "In case something happens."

c. (U//~~FOUO~~) On 18 December 2018, Mr. [b6] responded to SFC [b6] initial email and said he [b6] "already spoke with his replacement and his replacement was willing to meet SFC [b6] at Suitland (ONI HQ) but declined to disclose his name at the time. SFC [b6] replied the same day, noted he would be on leave for part of the remaining month and was looking forward to a sit-down meeting sometime in January or February 2019. Additional email exchanges occurred between Mr. [b6] and SFC [b6] arranging a future meeting to occur on 28 or 29 January 2019. SFC [b6] forwarded the content of the emails to Mr. [b6] and then to Mr. [b6] Direct Support Detachment, 308th MI Bn, requesting his thoughts on the exchange.

d. (U//~~FOUO~~) On 15 January 2019, Mr. [b6] contacted SFC [b6] inquiring about his continued availability on 28 or 29 January. On 16 January 2019, SFC [b6] confirmed he was still available and proffered he could bring his Soldier Record Brief and his past three Noncommissioned Officer Evaluation Reports. On 8 February 2019, the entirety of the email exchange was forwarded to [b6] MAJ US Army National Guard; JFHQ Detachment Commander/ DSMM, Phone: [b6] email [b6] mil@mail.mil. (encl 2).

e. (U//~~FOUO~~) On 28 January 2019, SFC [b6] sent an unclassified email via the SIPRNet to Mr. [b6] CTR; Duty Location: Office of the Undersecretary of Defense for

~~UNCLASSIFIED//FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY~~

IAMG-B-MD (381-20p)

SUBJECT: Initial Procedure 14- Employee Conduct Report (U)

Intelligence, Technical Collection and Special Programs- FMP; Work Phone: [b6]
[b6] ctr@mail.smil.mil; not further identified, and thanked him for sitting down to "lunch" with him and [b6] SFC [b6] provided all relevant work related point of contact information to Mr. [b6] at that time (encl 3). Mr. [b6] acknowledged the meeting and stated they would get together again in the "near future." Per SFC [b6] "lunch" referred to a meeting at 1100 hrs, 28 January 2019, at the Crystal City Marriott, 1700 Jefferson Davis Highway, Arlington, VA with Mr. [b6] CIV; Duty position/Organization: [b6] of To The Stars Academy of Arts and Science, [b6] not further identified, and Mr. [b6] CIV; Position/Duty Location: Senior Intelligence Advisor, Department of the Navy, Room [b6] 2000 Navy Pentagon, Washington, DC 20350; Work Phone: [b6] E-mail: [b6] civ@mail.mil; not further identified. This meeting was surreptitiously recorded and images from the recording were later used in the television show "Unidentified" which aired on 7 June 2019. SFC [b6] claimed the conversation at the meeting consisted of Unidentified Flying Objects (UFOs), the metal properties of UFOs, and each participant's involvement with To The Stars Academy of Science and Art. To The Stars Academy of Science and Art claims to be a web based entity that is "resourced through the industry academia, Department of Defense and US Intelligence Community with the goal of advancing current understanding of scientific phenomenon and its technological implications."

f. (U//FOUO) On 29 January 2019, SFC [b6] wrote Mr. [b6] an email classified SECRET//NOFORN stating he may be able to start filtering "stuff" to them, as he becomes aware of it. He made a point to note that asking 902c Field Office's for reporting concerning "UAP" (Unidentified Aerial Phenomena) would likely be unfruitful, though he would be willing to "scour" MUFIN and NUFORC to suss out military reporting concerning UAP. Mr. [b6] responded inviting SFC [b6] to the Pentagon for further discussion with Mr. [b6] and him (encl 3). Arrangements were made to meet at 1000 hrs, 26 February 2019, at the Pentagon (encl 4).

g. (U//FOUO) On 26 February 2019, SFC [b6] met with Mr. [b6] and Mr. [b6] at the Pentagon, room [b6] Alexandria VA. The topics discussed in the meeting were 902d Military Intelligence involvement with nuclear technology in White Sands Missile Range; reports of an [b3] [b3] During the meeting, Mr. [b6] and Mr. [b6] alluded to the fact the specific information discussed during the meeting was TOP SECRET and Sensitive Compartmented Information (TS/SCI). Subsequent SIPRNet email traffic addressed a specific request for information of documentation levied on SFC [b6] by Mr. [b6] and Mr. [b6] ([b6] self-report on 19 June 2019)

h. (U//FOUO) On 6 May 2019, SFC [b6] sent an email via SIPRNet to Mr. [b6] and Mr. [b6] stating he had not forgotten about the document they had asked him to provide from the meeting on 26 February 2019. The email inferred they asked SFC [b6] to provide a document addressing roadblocks in addressing potential reporting for the US Army. SFC [b6] related that his chain of command had some concerns with him speaking for the whole Army as a SFC. On 14 May 2019, Mr. [b6] responded to SFC [b6] and told him to advise his command that the views and opinions in the paper would be his own and not represent the US Army and the assessment would be "categorized as a personal

~~UNCLASSIFIED//FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY~~

IAMG-B-MD (381-20p)

SUBJECT: Initial Procedure 14- Employee Conduct Report (U)

opinion/viewpoint and not an official position for your service." SFC [b6] responded and asked if he could provide them an unclassified version of the document to expedite the document. Mr. [b6] responded reminding SFC [b6] to do his "due diligence" and have it reviewed before it was sent (encl 5).

b3 b6

j. (U//~~FOUO~~) On 19 June 2019, SFC [b6] reported the potential classified spillage to the Fort Meade Military Intelligence Detachment. The information SFC [b6] was concerned with was non-US information and widely available via a multitude of sources on the internet.

4. (U//~~FOUO~~) Summary: SFC [b6] may have exceeded his authority to discuss/share information obtained during the normal course of duties with individuals outside of his Chain of Command, regardless of their respective positions within the US Government. Actions taken by SFC [b6] may have exceeded those authorized by his duty position and as a counterintelligence agent assigned to the 902d MI Group. Additionally, images of SFC [b6] in uniform were broadcast on a major US network and used in an attempt to validate information that is not openly supported by the US Army or the US Government.

5. (U//~~FOUO~~) The point of contact for this memorandum is the undersigned at COMM: [b6] or email: [b6] & (b)(7)(c) civ@mail.mil [b6] & (b)(7)(c) civ@mail.smil.mil.

(b)(6) & (b)(7)(c)

5 Encls:

1. Initial contact w/TTSA
2. Email chain concerning meeting w/ other senior Intel leaders
3. Email discussing previous mtg; next meeting
4. Email setting up mtg at Pentagon
5. Discussion of product to be provided to individuals outside the US Army

Special Agent
902d Military Intelligence Group

~~UNCLASSIFIED//FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY~~



UNCLASSIFIED
~~SECRET//NOFORN~~

DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY
310TH MILITARY INTELLIGENCE BATTALION
4553 PIKE ROAD
FORT GEORGE G. MEADE, MD 20755-5910

Regraded UNCLASSIFIED on
28 FEB 2022
by USAINSCOM FOI/PA
Auth Encl 5, para 1-d, DOD 5200-01-V1

IAMG-C

1 August 2019

MEMORANDUM FOR RECORD

SUBJECT: Meeting with Special Agent (b)(6) & (b)(7)(c)

1. On 1 August 2019 from approximately 0900 to 0945 hours, I met with Special Agent (b)(6) & (b)(7)(c) and SSG b6 from the Fort Meade Military Intelligence Detachment at 4553 Pike Road, Fort Meade, MD 20755. Later that day from 1400 to 1420 hours, I met with SGT b6 from the Fort Meade Military Intelligence Detachment who confirmed the information provided by SA (b)(6) & (b)(7)(c) and SSG b6. SGT b6 and SSG b6 initially talked to SFC b6 when he self-reported on 19 June 2019, and SA (b)(6) & (b)(7)(c) authored the resulting initial Procedure 14.
2. The purpose of the meeting was to provide context and background on the reason why SFC b6 self-reported and to discuss why SA (b)(6) & (b)(7)(c) did not identify the event as potential spillage of classified information.
3. Topics discussed include the nature of the information SFC b6 allegedly passed to Mr. b6 and Mr. b6 regulations that SFC b6 may have violated in the course of his interaction with To the Stars Academy of Arts and Sciences, Mr. b6 and Mr. b6 and the surreptitious recording of SFC b6 that appears in a History Channel episode.
4. Point of contact for this memorandum is the undersigned at elise.c.renfroe.mil@mail.mil or elise.c.renfroe.mil@mail.smil.mil.

RENFROE.ELISE.C.

Digitally signed by

b6

Date: 2019.08.05 17:14:55 -04'00'

b6

CPT, MI
Investigating Officer

~~SECRET//NOFORN~~
UNCLASSIFIED

Enclosure II

Chronology

29JUL19, Investigating Officer (IO) received appointment memorandum.

29JUL19, Legal Advisor 902d MI GRP JA MAJ [b6] briefed IO.

29JUL19, IO contacted B Co Commander CPT [b6] to obtain copy of DA Form 268 and contact information for SFC [b6]

29JUL19, IO reviewed results of Initial Procedure 14, completed by Special Agent (b)(6) & (b)(7)(C) (Fort Meade MID), and records of email contact. [b6]

31JUL19, IO contacted SA [b6] to schedule a meeting at the Fort Meade MID.

31JUL19, IO researched and obtained public recording of SFC [b6]

01AUG19, IO met with SA (b)(6) & (b)(7)(C) SSG [b6] and SGT [b6] to discuss context of Procedure 14 and potential spillage of classified information.

01AUG19, IO contacted Mr. [b6] (Group S6) to submit a DISA EE Support Request. Mr. [b6] was out of office for personal business.

02AUG19, IO obtained a Sworn Statement (DA Form 2823) from CPT [b6] (B Co Commander) and 1SG [b6] (B Co First Sergeant).

02AUG19, IO contacted CW2 [b6] (TCID OIC) to arrange for a Sworn Statement. CW3 [b6] was TDY tentatively until 10AUG19.

06AUG19, IO requested one week extension from Appointing Authority.

07AUG19, IO submitted DISA EE Support Request.

09AUG19, IO received results of DISA EE Support Request.

12AUG19, IO attended 902d MI GRP Newcomers Brief.

13AUG19, IO obtained Sworn Statement from CW2 [b6]

14AUG19, IO obtained Rights Waiver (DA Form 3881) and Sworn Statement from SFC [b6]

19AUG19, IO submitted findings and exhibits to legal advisor for review.

SWORN STATEMENT

For use of this form see AR 190-45, the proponent agency is PMG.

PRIVACY ACT STATEMENT

AUTHORITY: Title 10, USC Section 301; Title 5, USC Section 2951, E.O. 9397 Social Security Number (SSN)

PRINCIPAL PURPOSE: To document potential criminal activity involving the U.S. Army, and to allow Army officials to maintain discipline, law and order through investigation of complaints and incidents.

ROUTINE USES: Information provided may be further disclosed to federal, state, local, and foreign government law enforcement agencies, prosecutors, courts, child protective services, victims, witnesses, the Department of Veterans Affairs, and the Office of Personnel Management. Information provided may be used for determinations regarding judicial or non-judicial punishment, other administrative disciplinary actions, security clearances, recruitment, retention, placement, and other personnel actions.

DISCLOSURE: Disclosure of your SSN and other information is voluntary.

1. LOCATION FORT GEORGE G. MEADE, MARYLAND	2. DATE (YYYYMMDD) 20190814	3. TIME 1027	4. FILE NUMBER
5. LAST NAME, FIRST NAME, MIDDLE NAME b6	6. SSN	7. GRADE/STATUS E-7/SFC	
8. ORGANIZATION OR ADDRESS B CO, 310TH MI BN, 902D MI GRP			

9. I, SFC **b6**, WANT TO MAKE THE FOLLOWING STATEMENT UNDER OATH:

Shortly after Thanksgiving I spoke to **b6** who works in the DSD. Specifically I asked him if he knew about the Stargate program that was run on Fort Meade. I then asked about UFOs. Mr. **b6** then encouraged me to research **b6** and reports released from the Pentagon on "tic-tac" UFOs. After conducting some research, I decided to get in touch with Mr. **b6** to get his direct opinion. He called me shortly after I initially reached out. We discussed reports of UFOs in the media and the existence of AATIP. He informed me that AATIP is 100% still in existence. I asked him the chances of an Army CI agent working for AATIP if it still existed. He told me the chances were very good and that he would set up a meeting to introduce me to people that still worked for AATIP. This occurred between Thanksgiving and Christmas of 2018.

At the end of January, I informed my chain of command, both CPT **b6** and 1SG **b6** of the events that occurred from Thanksgiving to present. I asked if I could attend the meeting, since it would be a work day, and they approved me attending the meeting. Before the meeting, I asked Mr. **b6** if he needed copies of my NCOERs, SRB, or any letters of recommendation. He said no. The day prior, I asked if I could attend in uniform because I was going to work immediately afterwards. He said that it didn't matter and the meeting took place right outside the Pentagon so it wasn't unusual for people to be in military attire. The meeting was in the lobby of the Crystal City Gateway Marriott. When I walked up to the meeting, it was already in progress. **b6**

b6 were sitting across the table from two gentlemen in business attire. When I approached, they stood up and Mr. **b6** and introduced me to everyone. The first guy that was seated next to me was **b6** **b6** (Senior Intelligence Analyst for the Office of the Undersecretary of Defense). The guy sitting next to him was **b6** who is in charge of Foreign Materials Acquisition in the Office of the Undersecretary for Defense. At the meeting, Mr. **b6** told me that TSA had obtained samples of materials from sightings and showed me pictures on his phones and had accompanying chemical analysis reports. He told me that I should ask Mr. **b6** and Mr. **b6** about pictures of biological specimen, but that I would have to talk to them because it belonged to the Pentagon. They said that was a conversation for a later day. Mr. **b6** told me that I would become involved in something that would change my professional and personal life, and put me at risk. Mr. **b6** and Mr. **b6** agreed that we would have a follow-on meeting. About three quarters of the way through the meeting, Mr. **b6** left to attend to other business. We then discussed various aspects pertaining to "UFO-lore". The entire meeting lasted about an hour. At the end of the hour, Mr. **b6** said that he and **b6** had to be somewhere, so we stood up and shook hands. I asked him if there was any part of the conversation that I shouldn't tell **b6** (best friend from childhood). Mr. **b6** said no, just don't tell the media that we had a meeting with the Pentagon. After the meeting, I told CPT **b6** and 1SG **b6** everything that had occurred at the meeting.

10. EXHIBIT	11. INITIALS OF PERSON MAKING STATEMENT b6	PAGE 1 OF 3 PAGES
-------------	--	--------------------------

ADDITIONAL PAGES MUST CONTAIN THE HEADING "STATEMENT OF _____ TAKEN AT _____ DATED _____"

THE BOTTOM OF EACH ADDITIONAL PAGE MUST BEAR THE INITIALS OF THE PERSON MAKING THE STATEMENT, AND PAGE NUMBER MUST BE INDICATED

USE THIS PAGE IF NEEDED. IF THIS PAGE IS NOT NEEDED, PLEASE PROCEED TO FINAL PAGE OF THIS FORM.

STATEMENT OF SFC b6 TAKEN AT Fort Meade, MD DATED 20190814

9 STATEMENT (Continued)

I spoke with Mr. b6 and Mr. b6 over SIPR in order to set up the next meeting. I received approval from CPT b6 and 1SG b6 to attend this meeting. At the end of February, I went to the Pentagon, met with both individuals, and went to a classified conference room. As soon as we sat down in the classified conference room, they told me that they would be giving me a program overview brief. They told me that b3 exists but is currently in an operational pause. They said that this pause was due to funding issues and that they were trying to work policy changes at the DoD/Pentagon level so that proper reporting procedures were in place. They told me that I would be on a short list to be an investigator if the program

b3

they asked me to provide them with an EXSUM/report on what hurdles would need to be overcome within the CI/LE community in the Army to introduce/pave the way for UFO reporting procedures. I said that I would get back to them. When I got back to Fort Meade, I told CPT b6 and 1SG b6 about the meeting. 1SG b6 told me not to provide anything written to them and to cease contact over government channels. I did not provide a report to them. I received sporadic emails from them, but I did not respond or contact them regarding meetings. I did however send an email on May 6 to maintain visibility if the AATIP was still real so I would still be on their radar to possibly work for AATIP. I was prompted to reach out because I saw in the news how Navy reporting guidelines for UFOs had changed.

In May 2019, b6 sent me a screenshot of a post by b6 on Instagram, where he discusses an b3 I did a walk in with the Fort Meade Field Office in early June because I thought that classified information was posted to Instagram. I told the investigators everything I knew up to that point and provided necessary information.

In early July, I was watching a show on the History channel on UFOs and saw clips of myself at the first meeting in January. The next day I called 1SG b6 and told her everything that occurred and informed her so that the chain of command wasn't surprised. I texted Mr. b6 to say that it would have been nice to know that you guys were filming. He said that they didn't know the producers were filming and they were shooting "B-roll". Later on, I was in my office and CPT b6 walks in and says that INSCOM is aware that I'm on the History Channel, and that they wanted me to do a write up of the 5Ws. A week later, I was informed that a 15-6 investigation was initiated. I have had no further contact or interest to speak with any of these people.

Q: b6

A: SFC b6

Q: What information did you use to verify your identification and background to To the Stars Academy or its representatives? Did you, at any point, utilize your badge and credentials to verify your position as a CI agent in the 902d?

A: I contacted b6 and gave him a link to my LinkedIn profile and my NIPR email address. I also put him in contact with b6 I did not use my badge and credentials at any point during this process.

INITIALS OF PERSON MAKING STATEMENT

b6

PAGE 2 OF 3 PAGES

STATEMENT OF SFC b6 TAKEN AT Fort Meade, MD DATED 20190814

9. STATEMENT (Continued)

Q: What documentation or information did you provide to To the Stars Academy and its representatives? What was the classification level of those documents and/or information? By what means did you share the information (NIPR, SIPR, personal email)?

A: I did not provide any information to TTSA, nor did they ask. AATIP (the individuals from the Pentagon) requested a document on reporting channels, but I did not provide them anything.

Q: Were you aware that you were being recorded during any meeting or interview?

A: No.

Q: What document did Mr. b6 and Mr. b6 ask you to provide?

A: Mr. b6 and Mr. b6 asked me to provide them with an EXSUM/report on what hurdles would need to be overcome within the CI/LE community in the Army to introduce/pave the way for UFO reporting procedures. I did not provide this document.

Q: Can you clarify where you offer to filter through MUFON or NUFORC to support AATIP?

A: I asked if they wanted me to filter through MUFON and NUFORC to find any potential reports by soldiers or military personnel. They said no and I didn't do anything. MUFON and NUFORC are civilian databases where anyone can report UFO sightings and would be contacted by investigators working for those organizations.

--NOTHING FOLLOWS--

b6

AFFIDAVIT

I, b6, HAVE READ OR HAVE HAD READ TO ME THIS STATEMENT WHICH BEGINS ON PAGE 1, AND ENDS ON PAGE 3. I FULLY UNDERSTAND THE CONTENTS OF THE ENTIRE STATEMENT MADE BY ME. THE STATEMENT IS TRUE. I HAVE INITIALED ALL CORRECTIONS AND HAVE INITIALED THE BOTTOM OF EACH PAGE CONTAINING THE STATEMENT. I HAVE MADE THIS STATEMENT FREELY WITHOUT HOPE OF BENEFIT OR REWARD, WITHOUT THREAT OF PUNISHMENT, AND WITHOUT COERCION, UNLAWFUL INFLUENCE, OR UNLAWFUL INDUCEMENT.

WITNESSES

ORGANIZATION OR ADDRESS

ORGANIZATION OR ADDRESS

b6

(Signature of Person Making Statement)

Subscribed and sworn to before me, a person authorized by law to administer oaths, this 14 day of August, 2019
at Fort Meade, MD

b6

(Signature of Person Administering Oath)

b6

(Typed Name of Person Administering Oath)

INVESTIGATING OFFICER

(Authority To Administer Oaths)

INITIALS OF PERSON MAKING STATEMENT

b6

PAGE 3 OF 3 PAGES

SWORN STATEMENT

For use of this form, see AR 190-45; the proponent agency is PMG

PRIVACY ACT STATEMENT

AUTHORITY: Title 10, USC Section 301; Title 5, USC Section 2951; E.O. 9397 Social Security Number (SSN).
PRINCIPAL PURPOSE: To document potential criminal activity involving the U.S. Army, and to allow Army officials to maintain discipline, law and order through investigation of complaints and incidents.
ROUTINE USES: Information provided may be further disclosed to federal, state, local, and foreign government law enforcement agencies, prosecutors, courts, child protective services, victims, witnesses, the Department of Veterans Affairs, and the Office of Personnel Management. Information provided may be used for determinations regarding judicial or non-judicial punishment, other administrative disciplinary actions, security clearances, recruitment, retention, placement, and other personnel actions.
DISCLOSURE: Disclosure of your SSN and other information is voluntary.

1. LOCATION FORT GEORGE G. MEADE, MD	2. DATE (YYYYMMDD) 20190802	3. TIME 0916	4. FILE NUMBER
5. LAST NAME, FIRST NAME, MIDDLE NAME b6	6. SSN	7. GRADE/STATUS CPT	
8. ORGANIZATION OR ADDRESS B CO, 310TH MI BN, 902D MI GRP, FORT GEORGE G. MEADE, MD 20755			

9. I, **b6**, WANT TO MAKE THE FOLLOWING STATEMENT UNDER OATH:
 On or around APR/MAY 19, SFC **b6** told 1SG **b6** that he had a meeting at The Pentagon where they were discussing UFO's. At the end of the meeting, someone (one of the government reps; don't recall name) asked him to write a paper. I overheard this conversation between 1SG **b6** + SFC **b6** (it occurred in 1SG **b6** office) and so I joined in the conversation. Both 1SG **b6** and I told SFC **b6** he could pursue his interests in UFO's on his personal time, but that he needed to be careful on

10. EXHIBIT	11. I b6 PERSON MAKING STATEMENT	PAGE 1 OF 4 PAGES
-------------	---	-------------------

ADDITIONAL PAGES MUST CONTAIN THE HEADING "STATEMENT OF _____ TAKEN AT _____ DATED _____"
 THE BOTTOM OF EACH ADDITIONAL PAGE MUST BEAR THE INITIALS OF THE PERSON MAKING THE STATEMENT, AND PAGE NUMBER MUST BE INDICATED.

STATEMENT OF CPT b6 TAKEN AT Fort Meade, MD DATED 20190802

9. STATEMENT (Continued)

representing the Army in an official capacity. We told him not to write a paper, and reminded him that he represents the Army when he is in uniform, and couldn't make statements on behalf of the Army. I didn't hear anything else about the matter until I was contacted by b6 for the details surrounding SFC b6 on the history channels series regarding UFO's. I asked SFC b6 for the full details surrounding his involvement, and sent a summary (typed by SFC b6 + QC'd by me) to b6. A few days later, I received a forwarded email from INSCOM staff where the incident was characterized as a QIA or ~~QIA~~ or possible "embarrassment to US Government." Following this update from

INITIALS OF PERSON MAKING STATEMENT

b6

PAGE 2 OF 4 PAGES

USE THIS PAGE IF NEEDED. IF THIS PAGE IS NOT NEEDED, PLEASE PROCEED TO FINAL PAGE OF THIS FORM.

STATEMENT OF CPT b6 TAKEN AT Fort Meade, MD DATED 20190802

9. STATEMENT (Continued)

INSCOM, I received a packet of information from a 308th field office with email traffic from SFC b6 + the UFO personnel. After discussing the info with the BC, he decided to open an investigation and flagged SFC b6

Q: CPT b6

A: CPT b6

Q: Did SFC b6 conduct personal business during duty hours or using government property?

A: If SFC b6 sent emails from his personal government computer during duty hours, then he was doing personal business at work. However, I don't know if I ever specifically told him not to.

Q: Did SFC b6 seek or receive approval for outside employment?

A: SFC b6 was trying to line up another job in a military capacity. I think he thought he was lining up an assignment for an NCO billet at the Pentagon.

Q: Have any soldiers expressed concerns that SFC b6 may have sought information outside of his normal duties and scope of operations?

A: No

-- NOTHING FOLLOWS --

INITIALS OF PERSON MAKING STATEMENT

b6

PAGE 3 OF 4 PAGES

SWORN STATEMENT

For use of this form, see AR 190-46; the proponent agency is PMG

PRIVACY ACT STATEMENT

AUTHORITY: Title 10, USC Section 301, Title 5, USC Section 2951; E.O. 8397 Series; Security Number (SSN)

PRINCIPAL PURPOSE: To document potential criminal activity involving the U.S. Army, and to allow Army officials to maintain discipline, law and order through investigation of complaints and incidents

ROUTINE USES: Information provided may be further disclosed to federal, state, local, and foreign government law enforcement agencies, prosecutors, courts, child protective services, victims, witnesses, the Department of Veterans Affairs, and the Office of Personnel Management. Information provided may be used for determinations regarding judicial or non-judicial punishment, other administrative disciplinary actions, security clearances, recruitment, retention, placement, and other personnel actions.

DISCLOSURE: Disclosure of your SSN and other information is voluntary.

1. LOCATION FORT GEORGE G. MEADE, MD	2. DATE (YYYYMMDD) 2019 08 02	3. TIME 1053	4. FILE NUMBER
5. LAST NAME, FIRST NAME, MIDDLE NAME b6	6. SSN	7. GRADE/STATUS 1SG	
8. ORGANIZATION OR ADDRESS B CO, 310TH MI BN, 902D MI GRP, FORT GEORGE G. MEADE, MD 20755			

9. I, 1SG b6, WANT TO MAKE THE FOLLOWING STATEMENT UNDER OATH:

EARLY 2019, SFC b6 ATTENDED A MEETING WITH PERSONNEL AT THE PENTAGON REFERENCE "UFGs." FOLLOWING THE MEETING SFC b6 RELATED TO ME & THE COMMANDER THE LANE TOP DISCUSSIONS THAT TOP RAGE. WE WERE AWARE OF THE MEETING AND INITIALLY HAD NO ~~CONCERN~~ CONCERNS. ~~HOWEVER~~ THOUGH AFTER THE MEETING DISCUSSION WE VOICED OUR CONCERNS ABOUT ONE ASPT. THEY ASKED SFC b6 TO PROVIDE HIS OPINION ON A TOPK, I CANNOT REMEMBER THE SPECIFICS AT THIS TIME. BUT WE BOTH TOLD HIM NOT TO PROVIDE A STATEMENT FROM HIS GOVERNMENT EMAIL. IF HE WANTED TO PROVIDE AN OPINION DO IT FROM HIS PERSONAL ACCOUNT. FURTHERMORE, I RECOMMENDED HE NOT MAKE A STATEMENT AT ALL BECAUSE IT COULD BE TRUSTED AROUND AND BECOME AN "ARMY" STATEMENT. ~~RECORDED~~

THE NEXT DISCUSSION ON TAPAS TOPK WAS A COURE MONTHS AGO. SFC b6 INFORMED ME THAT HE SAW POTENTIALLY CLASSIFIED INFORMATION ON THE SOCIAL MEDIA ACCOUNT OF ONE OF THE ATTENDEES IN THE PENTAGON

10. EXHIBIT	11. INITIALS OF PERSON MAKING STATEMENT b6	PAGE 1 OF <u>3</u> PAGES
-------------	---	--------------------------

ADDITIONAL PAGES MUST CONTAIN THE HEADING "STATEMENT OF _____ TAKEN AT _____ DATED _____"

THE BOTTOM OF EACH ADDITIONAL PAGE MUST BEAR THE INITIALS OF THE PERSON MAKING THE STATEMENT, AND PAGE NUMBER MUST BE INDICATED.

STATEMENT OF

b6

TAKEN AT FORT MEADE, MD DATED 20190802

9. STATEMENT (Continued)

MEETING. ~~SEE~~ AFTER A BRIEF DISCUSSION HE DECIDED TO REPORT THAT TO THE 308th Field Office.

A FEW WEEKS AFTER THAT, SFC b6 CALLED TO TELL ME HE SAW HIMSELF ON A DOCUMENTARY ABOUT "UFOS." BEFORE I COULD RELAY THAT INFORMATION TO THE COMMAND, I WAS INFORMED SFC b6 HAD "SELF REPORTED" AND THE 308th HAD CONDUCTED A PROCEEDURE H.

Q: CPT ELISE RENTROE

A: ISG

b6

Q: Did SFC b6 conduct personal business with To the Stars Academy or its representatives during duty hours or using government property?

A: Yes. He received approval to go to the meeting at the Pentagon. He was advised to not use ~~the~~ his government computer to contact them.

Q: Did SFC b6 seek or receive approval for outside employment?

A: I was not aware of that.

Q: What concerns did you express to SFC b6 regarding his contact with To the Stars Academy or its representatives?

A: There were personnel in the meeting to discuss UFOS. I expressed concerns when they asked him to provide a personal statement.

INITIALS OF PERSON MAKING STATEMENT

b6

PAGE 2 OF 3 PAGES

AFD PE V1 00

STATEMENT OF

b6

TAKEN AT FORT MEADE, MD DATED 20190802

8. STATEMENT (Continued)

Q: Have any soldiers expressed concerns that SFC b6 may have sought information outside of his normal duties and scope of operations?

A: No one has approached me about that.

- NOTHING FOLLOWS -

I, b6, AFFIDAVIT HAVE READ OR HAVE HAD READ TO ME THIS STATEMENT WHICH BEGINS ON PAGE 1, AND ENDS ON PAGE 3. I FULLY UNDERSTAND THE CONTENTS OF THE ENTIRE STATEMENT MADE BY ME. THE STATEMENT IS TRUE. I HAVE INITIALED ALL CORRECTIONS AND HAVE INITIALED THE BOTTOM OF EACH PAGE CONTAINING THE STATEMENT. I HAVE MADE THIS STATEMENT FREELY WITHOUT HOPE OF BENEFIT OR REWARD, WITHOUT THREAT OF PUNISHMENT, AND WITHOUT COERCION, UNLAWFUL INFLUENCE, OR UNLAWFUL INDUCEMENT.

b6

(Signature of Person Making Statement)

WITNESSES:

Subscribed and sworn to before me, a person authorized by law to administer oaths, this 2nd day of August, 2019 at FORT GEORGE G. MEADE, MD

b6

(Signature of Person Administering Oath)

ORGANIZATION OR ADDRESS

b6

(Typed Name of Person Administering Oath)

ORGANIZATION OR ADDRESS

INVESTIGATING OFFICER

(Authority To Administer Oaths)

INITIALS OF PERSON MAKING STATEMENT

b6

PAGE 3 OF 3 PAGES

SWORN STATEMENT

For use of this form, see AR 190-45, the proponent agency is PMG.

PRIVACY ACT STATEMENT

AUTHORITY: Title 10, USC Section 301; Title 5, USC Section 2851; E.O. 9397 Social Security Number (SSN).

PRINCIPAL PURPOSE: To document potential criminal activity involving the U.S. Army, and to allow Army officials to maintain discipline, law and order through investigation of complaints and incidents.

ROUTINE USES: Information provided may be further disclosed to federal, state, local, and foreign government law enforcement agencies, prosecutors, courts, child protective services, victims, witnesses, the Department of Veterans Affairs, and the Office of Personnel Management. Information provided may be used for determinations regarding judicial or non-judicial punishment, other administrative disciplinary actions, security clearances, recruitment, retention, placement and other personnel actions.

DISCLOSURE: Disclosure of your SSN and other information is voluntary.

1. LOCATION FORT GEORGE G. MEADE, MD	2. DATE (YYYYMMDD) 20190513	3. TIME 1458	4. FILE NUMBER
5. LAST NAME, FIRST NAME, MIDDLE NAME b6	6. SSN	7. GRADE/STATUS CW2	
8. ORGANIZATION OR ADDRESS B CO, 310TH MI BN, 902D MI GRP, FORT GEORGE G. MEADE, MD 20755			

9. I, b6, WANT TO MAKE THE FOLLOWING STATEMENT UNDER OATH:

SFC b6 WAS GIVEN THE "OK" FROM BCO LEADERSHIP TO MEET WITH UFO ENTHUSIASTS. ~~I DON'T KNOW~~ HIS MEETING WITH THEM WAS NOT TO BE IN AN OFFICIAL CAPACITY. I DON'T KNOW WHAT WAS DISCUSSED OTHER THAN THERE USED TO BE A GOVERNMENT PROGRAM THAT USED TO TRACK UFO CITINGS. TO MY KNOWLEDGE AFTER THAT MEETING, ^{OR A SUBSEQUENT MEETING} HE WAS TOLD NOT TO ~~GO~~ HAVE CONTACT WITH THEM DURING BUSINESS HOURS.

10. b6
A. CW3 b6

G. Did SFC b6 conduct personal business with To the Stars Academy during duty hours or using government property?

10. EXHIBIT	11. INITIAL <u>b6</u>	MAKING STATEMENT	PAGE 1 OF <u>3</u> PAGES
-------------	-----------------------	------------------	--------------------------

ADDITIONAL PAGES MUST CONTAIN THE HEADING "STATEMENT OF _____ TAKEN AT _____ DATED _____"

THE BOTTOM OF EACH ADDITIONAL PAGE MUST BEAR THE INITIALS OF THE PERSON MAKING THE STATEMENT, AND PAGE NUMBER MUST BE INDICATED.

STATEMENT OF _____ TAKEN AT _____ DATED _____

9. STATEMENT (Continued)

A: After the meeting at the Pentagon, SFC [b6] was told to not contact them during work hours and to not use any government resources.

Q: At any point, did SFC [b6] seek or receive approval for outside employment?

A: No, not to my knowledge.

Q: What concerns did you express to SFC [b6] regarding his contact with the State Academy or its representatives?

A: I told him that he shouldn't be speaking on behalf of the United States Army or INSLCM, and he told me that he was not. He was going through B Co leadership for approval, not through me.

Q: Have any soldiers in B Co or the guard expressed concerns that SFC [b6] may have sought information outside of his normal duties and scope of operations?

A: No.

- NOTHING FOLLOWS. [b6]

INITIALS OF PERSON MAKING STATEMENT

[b6]

PAGE 2 OF 3 PAGES

STATEMENT OF _____ TAKEN AT _____ DATED _____

9 STATEMENT (Continued)

b6		AFFIDAVIT	
I, _____, HAVE READ OR HAVE HAD READ TO ME THIS STATEMENT WHICH BEGINS ON PAGE 1, AND ENDS ON PAGE <u>3</u> . I FULLY UNDERSTAND THE CONTENTS OF THE ENTIRE STATEMENT MADE BY ME. THE STATEMENT IS TRUE. I HAVE INITIALED ALL CORRECTIONS AND HAVE INITIALED THE BOTTOM OF EACH PAGE CONTAINING THE STATEMENT. I HAVE MADE THIS STATEMENT FREELY WITHOUT HOPE OF BENEFIT OR REWARD, WITHOUT THREAT OF PUNISHMENT, AND WITHOUT COERCION, UNLAWFUL INFLUENCE.			
		b6 (Signature of Person Making Statement)	
WITNESSES:		Subscribed and sworn to before me, a person authorized by law to administer oaths, this <u>13</u> day of <u>August</u> , <u>2019</u>	
_____		at FORT GEORGE G. MEADE, MD	
_____		b6 (Signature of Person Administering Oath)	
ORGANIZATION OR ADDRESS		b6 (Typed Name of Person Administering Oath)	
_____		INVESTIGATING OFFICER	
_____		(Authority To Administer Oaths)	
ORGANIZATION OR ADDRESS			

INITIALS OF PERSON MAKING STATEMENT

b6

PAGE 3 OF 3 PAGES

6/19/2019

Gmail - Re: To The Stars:

b6



b6

@gmail.com>

Re: To The Stars:

b6

3 messages

General Inquiries <info@tothestarsacademy.com>

To: b6@gmail.com

Tue, Dec 11, 2018 at 7:07 PM

Hello b6

Thank you for your email and interest in To The Stars Academy. Can you please provide your direct telephone number? We will forward this to a more appropriate channel.

Thank you,



On Tue, Dec 11, 2018 at 12:39 PM <info@tothestarsacademy.com> wrote:

Name: b6

Email: b6@gmail.com

State: Maryland

This message is for Mr. b6

I am an active duty CI agent with the 802d MI Group out of Fort Meade, MD and I'd like to ask you a few questions (in an unofficial capacity) regarding AATIP if you would be willing to spare the time. My email address is

b6.mil@mail.mil & b6@gmail.com. For verification of my background - this is a link to my

b6

b6 - and I can provide further verification of my identity if needed.

I look forward to corresponding with you.

v/r

b6

b6

@gmail.com>

Tue, Dec 11, 2018 at 8:32 PM

To: info@tothestarsacademy.com

Hello,

My cell phone number is b6

During the week, I am available generally around 1130-1300 and 1700-2100 hours.

Thanks for your quick response.

y/r

b6

[Quoted text hidden]

General Inquiries <info@tothestarsacademy.com>

To: b6@gmail.com>

Wed, Dec 12, 2018 at 12:31 PM

Thank you b6 we will pass this along.

<https://mail.google.com/mail/u/0/?ui=2&ik=870310874&view=pt&search=all&permthid=thradd-1%3A1619802428361500535&siml=msg-1%3A16198024283...> 1/2

E

8/19/2019

Gmail - Initial Email

M Gmail

b6

@gmail.com>

Initial Email

24 messages

b6

@gmail.com>

Fri, Dec 14, 2018 at 9:51 AM

To: b6 @yahoo.com

b6

I hope this email finds you well. I have some initial questions I want to ask simply because, as you know, I'm still approaching a lot of this from the standpoint of skepticism and feel the overwhelming urge to work on vetting some stuff prior to our meeting.

First, you mentioned you could introduce me to the person running the program - can you give me his name? I'm not going to use it to seek him out or contact him directly, instead I want to see if he's indeed in the global/military channels. Not to seem distrustful, but I need to verify.

Second, you also mentioned that if this meeting goes well, this could be something I do while still doing my current job? How would that work? What are the logistics involved? How would this be worked through the INSCOM and 902d chain of command? Would there be a read-on process? TDY funds pulled from somewhere? What exactly would my role be, assuming I got a job doing this? My authorities? I assume that I'd be filling an investigative role seeing as I'm not a scientist, would I essentially be operating as a field agent akin to normal CI investigations just with an extraordinary subject instead of the normal stuff?

Sorry for all the questions, but as I'm sure you're aware, I need to operate from a position of facts up front before making further decisions and determinations.

I'll have more questions after this coming week once I have time to compile a list of questions I think you can answer without violating Title 18.

Thanks in advance and I look forward to further correspondence.

v/r

b6

b6

@gmail.com>

Fri, Dec 14, 2018 at 9:55 AM

To: b6 @gmail.com

b6

I'm going to keep you in the loop on this type of correspondence so that there's backups in the future in case something happens.

These are my initial questions for LE regarding b6 and the potential for the job he mentioned.

I would normally BCC, but that can be detected by forensic processes.

v/r

b6

[Quoted text hidden]

b6

@gmail.com>

Fri, Dec 14, 2018 at 11:10 AM

To: b6 @gmail.com

<https://mail.google.com/mail/u/0/?ui=870310974&view=pt&search=all&permthid=thr&id=413A3082835688432225882&siml=miss-a%3A-228246511...> 1/5

6/19/2019

Gmail - Initial Email

Greetings b6 and sorry for the late response... Holiday Schedule is killing me.
Are you available in January? Let me know and I will work out a meeting. Do you have JWICS or TSVOIP?

Sent from Yahoo Mail on Android

On Tue, Dec 18, 2018 at 2:54 AM, b6
b6@gmail.com wrote:
[Quoted text hidden]

b6@gmail.com
To: b6@yahoo.com b6@yahoo.com

Sun, Dec 23, 2018 at 7:49 AM

b6

I'll be returning from leave on 5 Jan and should be on Meade all through January, unless a mission pops up.

Unfortunately, due to where I work I don't currently have JWICS or TSVOIP - so an in-person meeting will probably have to be the first choice, if at all possible.

Also - I hope you're having some time with your family for Christmas and I'm still interested in us sitting down for coffee/lunch.

v/r

b6

[Quoted text hidden]

b6@gmail.com
To: b6@gmail.com

Sun, Dec 23, 2018 at 7:50 AM

Forwarded message

From: b6@yahoo.com
Date: Sun, Dec 23, 2018 at 1:57 AM
Subject: Re: Initial Email
To: b6@gmail.com b6@gmail.com
[Quoted text hidden]

b6@gmail.com
To: b6@gmail.com

Sun, Dec 23, 2018 at 7:50 AM

Forwarded message

From: b6@gmail.com
Date: Sun, Dec 23, 2018 at 7:49 AM
Subject: Re: Initial Email
To: b6@yahoo.com b6@yahoo.com
[Quoted text hidden]

b6@gmail.com
To: b6@gmail.com

Sun, Dec 30, 2018 at 12:06 PM

A,

Read this email chain, then let me know what you think.

<https://mail.google.com/mail/u/0/?ik=870310974&view=pt&search=all&simi=thread-s%3A30628366854322258628&simi=msg-s%3A-228848911...> 2/6

6/16/2016

Gmail - Initial Email

There are also texts on my phone.

BLUF: I reached out to [b6] approx 2-3 weeks ago and he told me, amongst other things, that [b3] is still in operation. There's supposed to be a meeting between him, an unnamed SES and me at some point soon, though I don't have high confidence this will occur.

Please keep this forward and my subsequent personal thoughts between us.

Also, he told me to ask you if you still have that "white Chrysler piece of shit" or something to that effect.

v/r

[b6]

[Quoted text hidden]

[b6]@gmail.com
To: [b6]@gmail.com

Mon, Dec 31, 2018 at 8:04 AM

That's great. I'm glad you got in touch with him. He is a very smart dude. I look forward to talking with you. Lol white Chrysler...it was a silver Lincoln continental...I wish I still had it. Give me a call when you have time at [b6]
[Quoted text hidden]

[b6]@yahoo.com
Reply-To: [b6]@yahoo.com [b6]@yahoo.com
To: [b6]@gmail.com [b6]@gmail.com

Tue, Jan 15, 2019 at 5:15 PM

Are you available the 28th or 29th of January?

Sent from Yahoo Mail on Android

On Sun, Dec 23, 2018 at 4:49 AM, [b6]
[b6]@gmail.com wrote:
[Quoted text hidden]

[b6]@gmail.com
To: [b6]@yahoo.com [b6]@yahoo.com

Wed, Jan 16, 2019 at 4:21 AM

Yes sir!
[Quoted text hidden]

[b6]@gmail.com
To: [b6]@yahoo.com [b6]@yahoo.com

Sat, Jan 19, 2019 at 7:32 PM

Just saw the FOIA release in the media.

Stargates?
[Quoted text hidden]

[b6]@yahoo.com
Reply-To: [b6]@yahoo.com [b6]@yahoo.com
To: [b6]@gmail.com [b6]@gmail.com

Sun, Jan 20, 2019 at 1:07 AM

Yep....

Sent from Yahoo Mail on Android

[Quoted text hidden]

<https://mail.google.com/mail/u/0/?ui=2703108714&view=pt&search=stargates&permthid=thread-a%3A305283568438225862&siml=msg-d%3A228840511...> 4/6

6/19/2019

Gmail - Initial Email

Tue, Jan 22, 2019 at 7:10 AM

b6 @gmail.com>
To: b6 @yahoo.com" b6 @yahoo.com>

Sounds good and thanks.

I'm definitely not trying to pester you too much.

Just have about ten million questions.

(Quoted text hidden)

b6 @gmail.com>
To: b6 @gmail.com>

Wed, Jan 23, 2019 at 7:00 AM

Sounds legit to me bro.

Sent from my Sprint Samsung Galaxy S9.

Original message
From: b6 @gmail.com>
(Quoted text hidden)
(Quoted text hidden)

b6 @gmail.com>
To: b6 .ml@mail.mil

Fri, Feb 8, 2019 at 10:02 AM

Everything below constitutes the email interchange between b6 and me thus far. Our other communication has occurred via SMS on my phone and briefly (most recent) via the Signal App.

Please keep this to yourself for the time being.
(Quoted text hidden)

From: [b6] CTR (USA)
To: [b6] MIL USARMY 902 MI GRP (USA)
Cc: [b6] CIV USN DCNO N2N6 (USA)
Subject: RE: (S//NF) (U//FOUO) Follow Up (SECRET//NOFORN)
Date: Tuesday, January 29, 2019 2:57:14 PM

UNCLASSIFIED
CLASSIFICATION: ~~SECRET//NOFORN~~

Let's set something up for the last week of February. Shoot me some good dates and I'll set something up. I'll need to verify your full clearance prior to the meeting. Just give me your SSN a few days before the meeting and I'll confirm with our security office,

v/r

[b6]

[b6]
Office of the Under Secretary of Defense for Intelligence
Technical Collection & Special Programs - FMP
NATS: [b6]
Phone: [b6]

UNCLASSIFIED
CLASSIFICATION: ~~SECRET//NOFORN~~

-----Original Message-----

From: [b6] MIL USARMY 902 MI GRP (USA)
Sent: Tuesday, January 29, 2019 12:19 PM
To: [b6] CTR (USA) [b6] ctr@mail.smil.mil>
Subject: RE: (S//NF) (U//FOUO) Follow Up (SECRET//NOFORN)

UNCLASSIFIED
CLASSIFICATION: ~~SECRET//NOT RELEASABLE TO FOREIGN NATIONALS~~

[b6]

I think a meeting in a secure environment would be fantastic.

Not to jump the gun, but what kind of timeline are we looking at and do I need to shoot you [b6] my SSN and such to pass my clearance?

v/r

[b6]
SFC, TCID.NCOIC
B Co. 310th MI Battalion
NIPR Email: [b6] .mil@mail.mil
SIPR Email: [b6] @mail.smil.mil
Work: [b6]
Cell: [b6]

-----Original Message-----

From: [b6] CTR (USA)
Sent: Tuesday, January 29, 2019 11:54 AM
To: [b6] MIL USARMY 902 MI GRP (USA)
[b6] mil@mail.smil.mil> UNCLASSIFIED
Subject: RE: (S//NF) (U//FOUO) Follow Up (SECRET//NOFORN)
UNCLASSIFIED
CLASSIFICATION: ~~SECRET//NOFORN~~

[b6]

Thanks for the note. Right now there is no need for you to formally go out and coordinate with the respective field offices of the 902nd or Army writ large. OSD has to coordinate with the services on formally establishing reporting channels (which as you know, takes time in this bureaucracy).

I recommend you come down to the Pentagon to have a meeting with [b6] and I. [b6] is the actual lead for this effort and we can provide you a much better optic on these activities from a larger DoD perspective.

v/r,

[b6]

[b6]
Office of the Under Secretary of Defense for Intelligence
Technical Collection & Special Programs - FMP
NSTS: [b6]
Phone: [b6]

UNCLASSIFIED
CLASSIFICATION: ~~SECRET//NOFORN~~

-----Original Message-----

From: [b6] MIL USARMY 902 MI GRP (USA)
Sent: Tuesday, January 29, 2019 9:37 AM
To: [b6] CTR (USA); [b6] ctr@mail.smil.mil>
Subject: RE: (U//FOUO) Follow Up (SECRET//NOFORN)
UNCLASSIFIED
CLASSIFICATION: ~~SECRET//NOT RELEASABLE TO FOREIGN NATIONALS~~

[b6]

With regard to this stuff.

[b6] mentioned I might be able to start filtering stuff in to you guys as I become aware of it. I thought about that on the drive back to Meade yesterday and there are a couple of key issues I have.

1) My contact with the 902d's outlying field offices is sporadic at best, barring sending some kind of email to the SAIC/ASAIC of each office (which would invariably make me look like a nutcase). What would probably have to

happen on my end is that I'd have to do a face-to-face with the leadership of these detachments when/if I'm ever in their AOs - which also is sporadic at best. So gathering potential reporting of UAP for filtration back up to you and [b6] would likely not be very fruitful until major policy changes are enacted and the AAATIP [or whatever the proper name is now] is officially stood back up.

2) To that end, what I could do is scour the MUFON, NUFORC, and other data aggregation elements with the intent to try to suss out military reporting occurring via those channels, at least until some kind of more formalized system is in place with regard to DoD.

Is this something that you'd be interested in me doing or should I just standby until I'm contacted again?

Also - I'm going to tentatively go up to S/NF with this because there are more questions I have that I need a longer sit down, preferably in a SCIF or other secure environment to have answered in detail.

v/r

[b6]
SFC, TCID NCOIC
B Co. 310th MI Battalion
NIPR Email: [b6].mil@mail.mil
SIPR Email: [b6]@mail.smil.mil
Work: [b6]
Cell: [b6]

-----Original Message-----

From: [b6] CTR (USA)
Sent: Monday, January 28, 2019 4:17 PM
To: [b6] MIL USARMY 902 MI GRP(USA)
[b6].mil@mail.smil.mil
Subject: RE: (U//FOUO) Follow Up (UNCLASSIFIED//~~FOUO~~REL TO US DOD)

CLASSIFICATION: UNCLASSIFIED//~~FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY~~

[b6]

Thanks for the note. Likewise. I'm sure we'll be in touch sometime in the near future.

v/r

[b6]

[b6]
Office of the Under Secretary of Defense for Intelligence
Technical Collection & Special Programs - FMP
NSTS: [b6]
Phone: [b6]

CLASSIFICATION: UNCLASSIFIED//~~FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY~~

~~Original Message~~

From: [b6] MIL USARMY 902 MI GRP (USA)
Sent: Monday, January 28, 2019 2:34 PM
To: [b6] CTR (USA) [b6] ctr@mail.smil.mil
Subject: Follow Up (UNCLASSIFIED//~~FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY~~)

CLASSIFICATION: UNCLASSIFIED//~~FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY~~REL TO US DOD

Mr. [b6]

Just shooting you a quick email to say it was very nice sitting down with you and [b6] (sp?) at "lunch" today.

This is my SIPR email and my other contact information is listed below.

v/r

[b6]
SFC, TCID NCOIC
B Co. 310th MI Battalion
NIPR Email: [b6] mil@mail.mil
SIPR Email: [b6] @mail.smil.mil
Work: [b6]
Cell: [b6]

CLASSIFICATION: UNCLASSIFIED//~~FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY~~REL TO US DOD

Classified By: [b6]
Agency: US Army
Reason: Classified
Derived from: Multiple Sources
Declassify on: 20440129
Date of Source: 20190129

UNCLASSIFIED

CLASSIFICATION: ~~SECRET/NOT RELEASABLE TO FOREIGN NATIONALS~~

Classified By: [b6]
Agency: US Army
Reason: Classified
Derived from: Multiple Sources
Declassify on: 20440129
Date of Source: 20190129

UNCLASSIFIED

CLASSIFICATION: ~~SECRET/NOT RELEASABLE TO FOREIGN NATIONALS~~

From: [b6] CTR (USA)
To: [b6] MIL USARMY 902 MI GRP (USA)
Cc: [b6] CIV USN DCNO N2N6 (USA)
Subject: (U//FOUO) Tuesday Feb 26th at 1000 In Pentagon Room 3C1063A
Date: Thursday, February 7, 2019 12:44:15 PM

CLASSIFICATION: UNCLASSIFIED//~~FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY~~

[b6]

Lets lock in 2/26 at 1000 hours here at the Pentagon. I've reserved one of our SCIF conference rooms located in Pentagon Room [b3] Plan ahead for drive time and travel to the Pentagon.

If anything changes, I will let you know.

v/r,

[b6]

[b6]

Office of the Under Secretary of Defense for Intelligence
Technical Collection & Special Programs - FMP

NSTS:

Phone:

[b6]

CLASSIFICATION: UNCLASSIFIED//~~FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY~~

From: [b6] CTR (USA)
To: [b6] MIL USARMY 902 MI GRP (USA); [b6] CIV USN DCNO N2N6 (USA)
Subject: RE: (U//~~FOUO~~) Checking In (UNCLASSIFIED)
Date: Wednesday, May 15, 2019 10:01:48 AM

CLASSIFICATION: UNCLASSIFIED//~~FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY~~

[b6]

No objection to keeping this unclassified if that is easier for you. Just due some due diligence and get it reviewed by security before you submit (that way it's been officially reviewed for classification).

v/r,

[b6]

Office of the Under Secretary of Defense for Intelligence
Collection & Special Programs - FMP

NSTS:

Phone:

[b6]

CLASSIFICATION: UNCLASSIFIED//~~FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY~~

-----Original Message-----

From: [b6] MIL USARMY 902 MI GRP (USA)
[b6] mil@mail.smil.mil>
Sent: Tuesday, May 14, 2019 2:16 PM
To: [b6] CTR (USA) <[b6] ctr@mail.smil.mil>;
[b6] CIV USN DCNO N2N6 (USA) <[b6] .smil.mil>
Subject: RE: (U//~~FOUO~~) Checking In (UNCLASSIFIED)

CLASSIFICATION: UNCLASSIFIED

[b6]

Sounds good, and again - my apologies for going dark for a while.

Will it be alright if the product is unclassified? If so - I can get it authored by the end of the week and provide it up to you by then on the green side.

If not, it'll require a bit longer.

v/r

[b6]

-----Original Message-----

From: [b6] CTR (USA)
Sent: Tuesday, May 14, 2019 9:36 AM

To: [b6] MIL USARMY 902 MI GRP (USA)
[b6] mil@mail.smil.mil>; [b6] CIV USN DCNO N2N6
(USA); [b6] smil.mil>
Subject: RE: (U//~~FOUO~~) Checking In (UNCLASSIFIED//~~FOUO~~)

CLASSIFICATION: UNCLASSIFIED//~~FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY~~

[b6]

Thanks for the note. Just returning from TDY. We were wondering why you disappeared. Advise your chain of command that the views expressed in the paper are yours only and NOT the official position of the U.S. Army.

That way your assessment is categorized as a personal opinion/viewpoint and not an official position for your service.

Hope all is well.

v/r,

[b6]

[b6]

Office of the Under Secretary of Defense for Intelligence
Collection & Special Programs - FMP

NSTS:

[b6]

Phone:

CLASSIFICATION: UNCLASSIFIED//~~FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY~~

-----Original Message-----

From: [b6] MIL USARMY 902 MI GRP (USA)
[b6] mil@mail.smil.mil>
Sent: Monday, May 6, 2019 9:13 AM
To: [b6] CTR (USA); [b6] ctr@mail.smil.mil>;
[b6] P CIV USN DCNO N2N6 (USA); [b6] smil.mil>
Subject: Checking In (UNCLASSIFIED//~~FOUO~~)

CLASSIFICATION: UNCLASSIFIED//~~FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY~~

Gents,

First of all - based on the recent media reporting that's been all over the place - I would assume your efforts were successful?

Second - I know I promised a document when we last spoke regarding the roadblocks inherent in addressing potential reporting chains for the US Army. I haven't forgotten about it, but some concern was raised from my chain of command regarding potentially representing the entire Army as a single SFC. I imagine that wasn't the intent from your end, but I felt it necessary to give you an update as to why I've been radio silent for quite a while.

I hope all is well on your end.

v/r

[b6]

SFC, TCID Detachment Sergeant

B Co. 310th MI Battalion

NIPR Email: [b6] mil@mail.mil

SIPR Email: [b6] @mail.smil.mil

Work: [b6]

Cell: [b6]

CLASSIFICATION: UNCLASSIFIED//~~FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY~~

CLASSIFICATION: UNCLASSIFIED

From: [b6] CIV USN DCNO N2N6 (USA)
To: [b6] MIL USARMY 902 MI GRP (USA)
Subject: RE: (U//~~FOUO~~) A Question (UNCLASSIFIED//~~FOUO~~)
Date: Monday, July 8, 2019 8:31:56 PM

UNCLASSIFIED
Classification: ~~SECRET//NOFORN~~

[b6] (or do you prefer [b6])

First, apologies in getting back with you so late... work remains crazy and considerable on all fronts.

Regarding your observations and concerns below-- your approach is solid. Consistency in data collection and analysis is very important. That said, we also need to be careful about basic sourcing. I don't think I ever mentioned or confirmed [b3]
[b3] ...again, don't have that information to relay.

The stories that bounce around in the media and edutainment circles must be distinguished from actual data collection and analysis. Though there may a common recognition of the challenges that intelligence professionals face in nailing down anomalies, there are also considerable differences in approach and opinion.

Hope all is well for you. Anything new on your end?

V/r,
[b6]

-----Original Message-----
From: [b6] MIL USARMY 902 MI GRP (USA)
<[b6].mil@mail.smil.mil>
Sent: Thursday, June 20, 2019 8:38 AM
To: [b6] CIV USN DCNO N2N6 (USA)
<[b6].mil>
Subject: RE: (U//~~FOUO~~) A Question (UNCLASSIFIED//~~FOUO~~)

CLASSIFICATION: UNCLASSIFIED//~~FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY~~

[b6]

I guess what my underlying concern is--I seek consistency in things.

For instance - at our first meeting in the hotel - you guys all mentioned a

[b3]

You and [b6] told me the helo incident was TS/SCI - then it appears on the internet. Did it go through some kind of declassification process? Or was it not classified in the first place?

I know that I was/am an outsider - new to this stuff, but I genuinely was seeking an opportunity to contribute in some way. However, since day one,

there have been a lot of inconsistencies between what [b6] says in private, public, and via written communication, then inconsistencies in some of the stuff we discussed one-on-one.

I don't know.

Not to ramble, but I'm simply trying to find common ground where things add up and make logical sense.

v/r

[b6]

-----Original Message-----

From: [b6] CIV USN DCNO N2N6 (USA)
[mailto:[b6].smil.mil]
Sent: Wednesday, June 19, 2019 6:17 PM
To: [b6] MIL USARMY 902 MI GRP (USA)
[b6].mil@mail.smil.mil>; [b6] CTR (USA)
[b6].ctr@mail.smil.mil>
Subject: RE: (U//~~FOUO~~) A Question (UNCLASSIFIED)

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED//~~FOUO~~

[b6]

Not exactly sure. If so, certainly not US classification markings... and I haven't seen the file personally, so I don't really know.

Why?

V/k.

[b6]

-----Original Message-----

From: [b6] MIL USARMY 902 MI GRP (USA)
[b6].mil@mail.smil.mil>
Sent: Tuesday, June 18, 2019 8:48 AM
To: [b6] CTR (USA) [b6].ctr@mail.smil.mil>;
[b6] CIV USN DCNO N2N6 (USA) [b6].smil.mil>
Subject: A Question (UNCLASSIFIED)

CLASSIFICATION: UNCLASSIFIED

Gents,

During our meeting in February, I recall you telling me the incident in

[b3]

Is this not accurate?

v/r

[b6]

SFC, TCID Detachment Sergeant
B Co. 310th MI Battalion
NIPR Email: [b6].mil@mail.mil.

Regraded UNCLASSIFIED on
28 FEB 2022
by USAINSCOM FOI/PA
Auth Encl 5, para 1-d, DOD 5200-01-V1

SIPR Email: [b6]@mail.smil.mil
Work: [b6]
Cell: [b6]

CLASSIFICATION: UNCLASSIFIED
Classification: UNCLASSIFIED//~~FOUO~~

CLASSIFICATION: UNCLASSIFIED//~~FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY~~
CLASSIFIED BY: N2N61-ES
DERIVED FROM: ODN1 SCG dated 20120703
DECLASSIFY ON: 50X1-HUM

Classification: ~~SECRET//NOFORN~~
UNCLASSIFIED

DELETED PAGES INFORMATION SHEET

IT IS NOT REASONABLE TO SEGREGATE MEANINGFUL PORTIONS OF THE RECORD FOR RELEASE.

INFORMATION HAS BEEN WITHHELD IN ITS ENTIRETY IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE FOLLOWING EXEMPTIONS(S) :

b3 & b6