

March 3, 2023 Ref: DODOIG-2023-000374

#### **SENT VIA EMAIL TO: john@greenewald.com** Mr. John Greenewald The Black Vault 27305 W. Live Oak Road, Suite 1203

Dear Mr. Greenewald:

Castaic, CA 91384

This responds to your Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) request for a copy of all emails (including all attachments) sent to/from (bcc'd or cc'd) Sean O'Donnell, Acting Inspector General, which contain the keywords "unidentified aerial" and/or "unidentified anomalous" and/or UAP and/or UAPTF and/or UFO and/or "unidentified flying" and/or AOIMSG and/or AARO and/or "anomaly resolution", from January 4, 2022, through the end of his tenure as the acting DoD Inspector General. We received your request on January 10, 2023, and assigned it case number DODOIG-2023-000374.

The Office of the Inspector General conducted a search and located records responsive to your request. Upon review, we determined that the attached fourteen pages are appropriate for release in part, and one page is exempt from release, pursuant to the following FOIA (5 U.S.C. § 552) exemptions:

- (b)(5), which pertains to certain inter-and intra-agency communications protected by the attorney-client and deliberative process privileges. The purpose for withholding such recommendations is to encourage the free and candid exchange of opinions and advice during the decision-making process. In applying the foreseeable harm standard, we determined that disclosure of this information is likely to diminish the candor of agency deliberations in the future; and
- (b)(6), which pertains to information, the release of which would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy.

Our review included consideration of the foreseeable harm standard, as stated in DoDM 5400.07. Under this standard, the content of a particular record should be reviewed and a determination made as to whether the DoD Component reasonably foresees that disclosing it, given its age, content, and character, would harm an interest protected by an applicable exemption.

If you consider this an adverse determination, you may submit an appeal. Your appeal, if any, must be postmarked within 90 days of the date of this letter. Since you have created an account in FOIAonline, please log in to your account, retrieve your original request, and then click on the "Create Appeal" tab in the left-hand column. FOIAonline will populate your request and contact information automatically. In the "Basis for Appeal" box, provide your explanation, attach supporting documentation (if necessary), click on preview, and then click on submit. Your appeal will automatically be sent to our office via FOIAonline. However, please note that FOIA appeals can only examine adverse determinations concerning the FOIA process. For more information on appellate matters and administrative appeal procedures, please refer to 32 C.F.R. Sec. 286.9(e) and 286.11(a).

You may contact our FOIA Public Liaison at FOIAPublicLiaison@dodig.mil, or by calling 703-604-9785, for any further assistance with your request. Additionally, you may contact the Office of Government Information Services (OGIS) at the National Archives and Records Administration to inquire about the FOIA mediation services they offer. The contact information for OGIS is as follows: Office of Government Information Services, National Archives and Records Administration, 8601 Adelphi Road-OGIS, College Park, MD 20740-6001, email at ogis@nara.gov; telephone at 202-741-5770; toll free at 1-877-684-6448; or facsimile at 202-741-5769. However, OGIS does not have the authority to mediate requests made under the Privacy Act of 1974 (request to access one's own records).

If you have any questions regarding this matter, please contact our office at 703-604-9775 or via email at foiarequests@dodig.mil.

Sincerely,

Makedog

Mark Dorgan Director FOIA, Privacy and Civil Liberties Office

Attachment(s): As stated This document is made available through the declassification efforts and research of John Greenewald, Jr., creator of:



The Black Vault is the largest online Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) document clearinghouse in the world. The research efforts here are responsible for the declassification of hundreds of thousands of pages released by the U.S. Government & Military.

Discover the Truth at: http://www.theblackvault.com

From	(0)0 @DODIG.MIL>	
То	"O'Donnell, Sean W., HON, OIG DoD" <000 @dodig.mil>, "Stebbins, S	
	teven A., SES, OIG DoD" (0)0 @DODIG.MIL>, "Roark, Michael J.,	
	SES, OIG DoD" 400 @DODIG.MIL>, "Stone, Randolph R., SES, OIG	
	DoD" <000 , OIG DoD" <000	
	@DODIG.MIL>, 100 , OIG DoD" 400 @DODIG.MIL>	
	, '©© @DODIG.MIL>, '©©	
	, OIG DoD" <000 @DODIG.MIL>, 1000	
	, OIG DoD" (000 @DODIG.MIL>, 000	
	, OIG DoD" (OIG) @DODIG.MIL>, "Hadjiyane, Paul, SES, OIG	
	DoD" <000 @DODIG.MIL>, 000 , OIG DoD" <000 @DO	
	DIG,MIL>, "Hull, Theresa S., SES, OIG DoD" <	
	sfield, Brett A., SES, OIG DoD" <000 @DODIG.MIL>, 1010	
	, OIG DoD" <	
	OIG DoD" <000 OIG DoD" 4	
0-		
Cc	"Zola, Michael C., OIG DoD" < <sup>(b)</sup> @DODIG.MIL>, <sup>(b)</sup>	
	., OIG DoD" (000 @DODIG.MIL>, (000	
	< <mark>©©</mark> @DODIG.MIL>, <sup>™©©</sup> , OIG D₀D" < <mark>©©</mark> @DODIG.MI L>	
Subject	-	
Date	House consideration of FY 2023 NDAA includes DIEM and UAP reporting requirements Thu, 14 Jul 2022 11:03:12 +0000	
Message-Id	<68b2da1e66cf43ebbf692145d58c34f0@DODIG.MIL>	
moodugo-n		

Good morning.

Yesterday the House floor began debating its fiscal 2023 NDAA amendments Wednesday, the chamber took a series of votes rejecting attempts to cut the bill's authorized level of spending and rebuffing proposals to retire or kill incumbent weapons programs. The House will continue debate on the remaining amendments today. The House adopted by voice vote a block of dozens of noncontroversial amendments. These included one that would take \$354 million from operations and maintenance accounts and give it to the Navy to procure three more F-35s and another proposal that would create a system for reporting unidentified aerial phenomenon. This amendment includes a requirement for a DoD OIG and IC IG review conduct an assessment of the compliance with the requirements of this section and the operation and efficacy of the system established (please see attached amendment and article below).

Also included in the voice vote block was an amendment requiring the Assistant Inspector General for Diversity and Inclusion of the Department of Defense to conduct an investigation into discrimination face by MENA individuals in the military and submit a report to the Committees on Armed Services (amendment also attached). Other amendments considered include:

The House voted 78-350 to defeat a proposal by California Democrat Barbara Lee<https://plus.cq.com/ person/36> that would cut the \$840.2 billion authorized by the bill by \$100 billion. Lee's proposal would exempt from that reduction all military and civilian pay and benefits accounts and the Defense Health Program. Similarly, the House defeated, 151-277, another proposal by Lee that would subtract the nearly \$37 billion that the House Armed Services Committee added for a variety of programs to get the bill to the \$840.2 billion level. The House also rejected. 155-272, a proposal by Washington Democrat Pramila Jayapal<https://plus.cq.com/ person/98278> that would remove the statutory requirement that the service chiefs and other top Defense Department officials submit to Congress "unfunded priorities lists" of programs the officials consider important but that did not make the budget.

The House also rejected, 208-221, a proposal by House Armed Services Chairman Adam Smith<https:// plus.cq.com/person/520>, D-Wash., to allow the Navy to retire all nine of the littoral combat ships it wants to stop operating. The upshot is that the underlying bill's provision, which bars the Navy from retiring five of the ships, stands. The House also handily defeated other progressive amendments that would freeze development and procurement of a new so-called Sentinel fleet of U.S. nuclear intercontinental ballistic missiles, or ICBMs, and another amendment that would strike from the bill a requirement that the Air Force maintain at least 400 ICBMs. Also noteworthy was the House's 218-209 vote to adopt an amendment by Del. Eleanor Holmes Norton<htps://plus.cq.com/person/98>, D-D.C., to give the mayor of the District of Columbia authority over the D.C. National Guard that is comparable to the power governors of states and territories exercise over their Guards.

е

House votes to make it easier to report UFOs

"This legislation may open the floodgates," said a former Pentagon official responsible for investigating the sightings.

BY: BRYAN BENDER

, LAWRENCE UKENYE

07/13/2022 05:09 PM EDT

Mike Gallagher speaks at the U.S. Capitol.

"I believe it's possible that folks may be precluded from being fully transparent with Congress due to their being bound by non-disclosure agreements," Rep. Mike Gallagher said.Anna Moneymaker/Getty Images The House on Wednesday voted to create a secure government system for reporting UFOs and to compel current and former officials to reveal what they might know about the mysterious phenomena by promising to protect them from reprisal.

The bipartisan amendment to the National Defense Authorization Act, passed by voice vote without debate, is part of an aggressive effort to exert more oversight over an enduring intelligence-gathering challenge that has gained more attention in recent years.

It was proposed by Reps. Mike Gallagher (R-Wis.) and Ruben Gallego (D-Ariz.), who have been among a vocal bipartisan bloc of lawmakers pressing Pentagon and intelligence officials to take the issue more seriously - and to be more transparent with Congress and the American people.

Gallagher couched the effort in national security terms, saying his "primary interest ... is to ensure that our military and intelligence community are armed with the best possible information, capital, and scientific resource s to defeat our enemies and maintain military and technology superiority."

But he also wants "to further Congress' ability to fact gather and further prove or disprove the origin and threat nature of whatever seems to be flying in our skies."

"I believe it's possible that folks may be precluded from being fully transparent with Congress due to their being bound by non-disclosure agreements," Gallagher added in a statement to POLITICO. "If that's true, I want to make sure that there's no technical reason preventing them from speaking to us."

The amendment would require a dedicated internal reporting system for the "immediate sharing" of information related to unidentified aerial phenomena, or UAP, "previously prohibited from reporting under any nondisclosure written or oral agreement" or order.

The measure is aimed at current and former military personnel, government civilians and contractors. The "amnesty" provision covers "any event relating to unidentified aerial phenomena," as well as "any government or government contractor activity or program related to unidentified aerial phenomena."

It makes an exception for data that officials conclude reveals objects that might be part of top secret U.S. programs that only very few are privy to, or events "that likely relate to a special access program or compartmented access program."

The bill would also require the DoD inspector general, one year after final passage, to conduct an independent assessment of compliance with the provision "and efficacy of the system established."

Congress in recent years has mandated that the Pentagon and intelligence agencies more aggressively investigate UFOs, including unexplained sightings of highly advanced aircraft violating protected military airspace, some appearing to defy known aerodynamics.

Following a series of bills, the Pentagon has set up the Airborne Object Identification and Management Synchronization Group to collect and analyze more reports.

But at the first public hearing on UFOs in more than 50 years in May, lawmakers expressed frustration that the Pentagon effort is not robust enough.

Officials have also complained that the national security agencies have more information that is not being reported up the chain, or shared with Congress.

Luis Elizondo, the former Pentagon official who went public in 2017 asserting a lack of concern among top leaders, called the Gallagher-Gallego amendment "one of the greatest efforts in recent history to foster transparency on this topic."

"This legislation may open the floodgates," added Elizondo, who is now a consultant to the U.S. Space Command on UAP.

The House is set to continue debating amendments to the defense bill with the aim of passing it by the end of the week. Final legislation will have to be reconciled with the Senate's version this year.

The Senate has also been exerting greater oversight on the UFO issue. The Senate Intelligence Committee's version of the fiscal 2023 Intelligence Authorization Act, calls for "enhancing oversight of [intelligence community] and Department of Defense collection and reporting on Unidentified Aerospace-Undersea Phenomena," the panel said.

Elizondo said he has been briefed by congressional staffers who say similar UFO "amnesty" language is also being drafted in the Senate.

.....

Attachment Name :

UAP Reporting Procedures220705122640993.pdf

.....

Attachment Name :

DINGMI\_222\_xml220708123808835.pdf

### AMENDMENT TO RULES COMMITTEE PRINT 117– 54

#### OFFERED BY MR. GALLAGHER OF WISCONSIN

At the end of subtitle E of title XVI, add the following new section:

## 1 SEC. 16\_\_\_\_. UNIDENTIFIED AERIAL PHENOMENA REPORT 2 ING PROCEDURES.

3 AUTHORIZATION FOR REPORTING.—Notwith-(a) 4 standing the terms of any written or oral nondisclosure 5 agreement, order, or other instrumentality or means, that 6 could be interpreted as a legal constraint on reporting by 7 a witness of an unidentified aerial phenomena, reporting in accordance with the system established under sub-8 9 section (b) is hereby authorized and shall be deemed to comply with any regulation or order issued under the au-10 11 thority of Executive Order 13526 (50 U.S.C. 3161 note; relating to classified national security information) or 12 chapter 18 of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954 (42 U.S.C. 13 14 2271 et seq.).

15 (b) System for Reporting.—

16 (1) ESTABLISHMENT.—The head of the Office,17 on behalf of the Secretary of Defense and the Direc-

tor of National Intelligence, shall establish a secure
 system for receiving reports of—

3 (A) any event relating to unidentified aer-4 ial phenomena; and

5 (B) any Government or Government con6 tractor activity or program related to unidenti7 fied aerial phenomena.

8 (2) PROTECTION OF SYSTEMS, PROGRAMS, AND 9 ACTIVITY.—The system established pursuant to 10 paragraph (1) shall serve as a mechanism to prevent 11 unauthorized public reporting or compromise of 12 properly classified military and intelligence systems, 13 programs, and related activity, including all cat-14 egories and levels of special access and compartmented access programs, current, historical, and fu-15 16 ture.

17 (3) ADMINISTRATION.—The system established
18 pursuant to paragraph (1) shall be administered by
19 designated and widely known, easily accessible, and
20 appropriately cleared Department of Defense and in21 telligence community employees or contractors as22 signed to the Unidentified Aerial Phenomena Task
23 Force or the Office.

24 (4) SHARING OF INFORMATION.—The system
25 established under paragraph (1) shall provide for the

1 immediate sharing with Office personnel and sup-2 porting analysts and scientists of information pre-3 viously prohibited from reporting under any non-4 disclosure written or oral agreement, order, or other 5 instrumentality or means, except in cases where the 6 cleared Government personnel administering such 7 system conclude that the preponderance of informa-8 tion available regarding the reporting indicates that 9 the observed object and associated events and activities likely relate to a special access program or com-10 11 partmented access program that, as of the date of 12 the reporting, has been explicitly and clearly re-13 ported to the congressional defense committees and 14 congressional intelligence committees, and is docu-15 mented as meeting those criteria. 16 (5) INITIAL REPORT AND PUBLICATION.—Not

17 later than 180 days after the date of the enactment
18 of this Act, the head of the Office, on behalf of the
19 Secretary and the Director, shall—

20 (A) submit to the congressional intelligence
21 committees, the congressional defense commit22 tees, and congressional leadership a report de23 tailing the system established under paragraph
24 (1); and

1	(B) make available to the public on a
2	website of the Department of Defense informa-
3	tion about such system, including clear public
4	guidance for accessing and using such system
5	and providing feedback about the expected
6	timeline to process a report.
7	(6) ANNUAL REPORTS.—Section 1683 of the
8	National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year
9	2022 (50 U.S.C. 3373) is amended—
10	(A) in subsection (h)—
11	(i) in paragraph $(1)$ , by inserting
12	"and congressional leadership" after "ap-
13	propriate congressional committees"; and
14	(ii) in paragraph (2), by adding at the
15	end the following new subparagraph:
16	"(Q) A summary of the reports received
17	using the system established under title XVI of
18	the National Defense Authorization Act for Fis-
19	cal Year 2023."; and
20	(B) in subsection (l)—
21	(i) by redesignating paragraphs (2)
22	through $(5)$ as paragraphs $(3)$ through $(6)$ ,
23	respectively; and
24	(ii) by inserting after paragraph $(1)$
25	the following new paragraph (2):

1	"(2) The term 'congressional leadership'
2	means—
3	"(A) the majority leader of the Senate;
4	"(B) the minority leader of the Senate;
5	"(C) the Speaker of the House of Rep-
6	resentatives; and
7	"(D) the minority leader of the House of
8	Representatives.".
9	(c) Records of Nondisclosure Agreements.—
10	(1) Identification of nondisclosure
11	AGREEMENTS.—The Secretary of Defense, the Di-
12	rector of National Intelligence, the Secretary of
13	Homeland Security, the heads of such other depart-
14	ments and agencies of the Federal Government that
15	have supported investigations of the types of events
16	covered by subparagraph (A) of subsection $(b)(1)$
17	and activities and programs described subparagraph
18	(B) of such subsection, and contractors of the Fed-
19	eral Government supporting such activities and pro-
20	grams shall conduct comprehensive searches of all
21	records relating to nondisclosure orders or agree-
22	ments or other obligations relating to the types of
23	events described in subsection (a) and provide copies
24	of all relevant documents to the Office.

1	(2) SUBMITTAL TO CONGRESS.—The head of
2	the Office shall—
3	(A) make the records compiled under para-
4	graph (1) accessible to the congressional intel-
5	ligence committees, the congressional defense
6	committees, and congressional leadership; and
7	(B) not later than September 30, 2023,
8	and at least once each fiscal year thereafter
9	through fiscal year 2026, provide to such com-
10	mittees and congressional leadership briefings
11	and reports on such records.
12	(d) PROTECTION FROM LIABILITY AND PRIVATE
13	RIGHT OF ACTION.—
13	RIGHT OF ACTION.—
13 14	RIGHT OF ACTION.— (1) PROTECTION FROM LIABILITY.—It shall not
13 14 15	RIGHT OF ACTION.— (1) PROTECTION FROM LIABILITY.—It shall not be a violation of section 798 of title 18, United
13 14 15 16	RIGHT OF ACTION.— (1) PROTECTION FROM LIABILITY.—It shall not be a violation of section 798 of title 18, United States Code, or any other provision of law, and no
<ol> <li>13</li> <li>14</li> <li>15</li> <li>16</li> <li>17</li> </ol>	RIGHT OF ACTION.— (1) PROTECTION FROM LIABILITY.—It shall not be a violation of section 798 of title 18, United States Code, or any other provision of law, and no cause of action shall lie or be maintained in any
<ol> <li>13</li> <li>14</li> <li>15</li> <li>16</li> <li>17</li> <li>18</li> </ol>	RIGHT OF ACTION.— (1) PROTECTION FROM LIABILITY.—It shall not be a violation of section 798 of title 18, United States Code, or any other provision of law, and no cause of action shall lie or be maintained in any court or other tribunal against any person, for re-
<ol> <li>13</li> <li>14</li> <li>15</li> <li>16</li> <li>17</li> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> </ol>	RIGHT OF ACTION.— (1) PROTECTION FROM LIABILITY.—It shall not be a violation of section 798 of title 18, United States Code, or any other provision of law, and no cause of action shall lie or be maintained in any court or other tribunal against any person, for re- porting any information through, and in compliance
<ol> <li>13</li> <li>14</li> <li>15</li> <li>16</li> <li>17</li> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> <li>20</li> </ol>	RIGHT OF ACTION.— (1) PROTECTION FROM LIABILITY.—It shall not be a violation of section 798 of title 18, United States Code, or any other provision of law, and no cause of action shall lie or be maintained in any court or other tribunal against any person, for re- porting any information through, and in compliance with, the system established pursuant to subsection
<ol> <li>13</li> <li>14</li> <li>15</li> <li>16</li> <li>17</li> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> </ol>	RIGHT OF ACTION.— (1) PROTECTION FROM LIABILITY.—It shall not be a violation of section 798 of title 18, United States Code, or any other provision of law, and no cause of action shall lie or be maintained in any court or other tribunal against any person, for re- porting any information through, and in compliance with, the system established pursuant to subsection (b)(1).

take, direct others to take, recommend, or approve

any personnel action, shall not, with respect to such
authority, take or fail to take, or threaten to take
or fail to take, a personnel action, including the revocation or suspension of security clearances, with respect to any individual as a reprisal for any reporting as described in paragraph (1).

7 (3) PRIVATE RIGHT OF ACTION.—In a case in 8 which an employee described in paragraph (2) takes 9 a personnel action against an individual in violation 10 of such paragraph, the individual may bring a pri-11 vate civil action for all appropriate remedies, includ-12 ing injunctive relief and compensatory and punitive 13 damages, against the Government or other employer 14 who took the personnel action, in the United States 15 Court of Federal Claims.

(e) REVIEW BY INSPECTORS GENERAL.—Not later
than one year after the date of the enactment of this Act,
the Inspector General of the Department of Defense and
the Inspector General of the Intelligence Community shall
each—

(1) conduct an assessment of the compliance
with the requirements of this section and the operation and efficacy of the system established under
subsection (b); and

1	(2) submit to the congressional intelligence
2	committees, the congressional defense committees,
3	and congressional leadership a report on their re-
4	spective findings with respect to the assessments
5	they conducted under paragraph (1).
6	(f) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:
7	(1) The term "congressional intelligence com-
8	mittees" has the meaning given such term in section
9	3 of the National Security Act of 1947 (50 U.S.C.
10	3003).
11	(2) The term "congressional leadership"
12	means—
13	(A) the majority leader of the Senate;
14	(B) the minority leader of the Senate;
15	(C) the Speaker of the House of Rep-
16	resentatives; and
17	(D) the minority leader of the House of
18	Representatives.
19	(3) The term "intelligence community" has the
20	meaning given such term in section 3 of the Na-
21	tional Security Act of 1947 (50 U.S.C. 3003).
22	(4) The term "Office" means the office estab-
23	lished under section 1683(a) of the National Defense
24	Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2022 (50 U.S.C.
25	3373(a)).

(5) The term "personnel action" has the mean ing given such term in section 1104(a) of the Na tional Security Act of 1947 (50 U.S.C. 3234(a)).
 (6) The term "unidentified aerial phenomena"
 has the meaning given such term in section 1683(l)
 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal
 Year 2022 (50 U.S.C. 3373(l)).

#### $\times$

#### AMENDMENT TO

#### Rules Committee Print 117–54 Offered by Mrs. Dingell of Michigan

At the end of subtitle E of title V, insert the following:

# 1 SEC. 5\_\_\_\_\_. INSPECTOR GENERAL INVESTIGATION INTO DIS 2 CRIMINATION AGAINST MEMBERS AND EM 3 PLOYEES OF MIDDLE EASTERN AND NORTH 4 AFRICAN DESCENT.

5 (a) INVESTIGATION.—The Assistant Inspector Gen-6 eral for Diversity and Inclusion of the Department of De-7 fense shall conduct an investigation into discrimination 8 faced by members of the Armed Forces, and civilian em-9 ployees of the Department, who are of Middle Eastern or 10 North African descent.

(b) REPORT.—Not later than one year after the date
of the enactment of this Act, Assistant Inspector General
shall submit to the Committees on Armed Services of the
House of Representatives and Senate a report containing
the results of such investigation.

#### $\times$

From	"Stebbins, Steven A., SES, OIG DoD" <000 @D	ODIG.MIL>
То	(0)(0) , OIG DoD" <(0)(0) @DODIG.MIL>	, "O'Donnell, Sean W.,
	HON, OIG DoD" <00 @dodig.mil>, 100	, OIG DoD" <
	@DODIG.MIL>, '000	, OIG DoD" < <sup>©χ⊚</sup>
	@DODIG.MIL>, '000	, OIG DoD" 🖓
	@DODIG.MIL>	
Cc	"Zola, Michael C., OIG DoD" <000 @DODIG.MIL>,	(b)(6)
	OIG DoD" <000 @DODIG.MIL>, 000	, OIG DoD" < <mark>(♭)(6</mark> )
	@DODIG.MIL>	
Subject	RE: informal comments on UAP WB language (section d(2) and (3)	
Date	Thu, 27 Oct 2022 15:35:04 +0000	
Message-Id	<670f3519798c4105b926ec63c20c3a52@DODIG.MIL>	

(b)(6), a few cmts and an edit attached.

Sent: Wednesday, October : To: O'Donnell, Sean W., HO Steven A., SES, OIG DoD DoD (0)0 (0	N, OIG DoD < <sup>(b)(0)</sup> @dodig.mil>; Stebbins, (0)(0) @DODIG.MIL>; (0)(0) , OIG DoD G.MIL>; (0)(0) , OIG DoD G.MIL>; (0)(0) , OIG DoD IL> toD < <del>(0)(0)</del> @DODIG.MIL>; (0)(0) , OIG DoD MIL> to n UAP WB language (section d(2) and (3) ached are the informal comments drafted by (0)(0) a request from the Sen Commerce and Judiciary
Attachment Name :	Informal comments on UAP WB language sas.docx
Attachment Name :	smime.p7s

Generated on Jan 18, 2023 11:38:04 AM by ZL Technologies Inc.