

DATE OF DISPATCH 16 May 1961		DISSEM. NUMBER CS-3/474,566	<i>Unit of interest</i>					
CHIEF COUNTRY Juba		FIELD NUMBER TY-360	26 June 61					
SUBJECT Arms Depots and Radar in Habana Province; Military Construction in Oriente Province			137118					
EVALUATOR: Please complete this evaluation within <u>60</u> days of receipt of request. Need for completion within this time is priority/standard. Indicate choices by "X." If item marked is printed in italics, please explain under "Evaluator's Comments." normally, put one X in each appropriate section. Otherwise, please cover each of these aspects in your comments. For further notes on use of this form, see reverse.								
SUBJECT OF THIS REPORT IS OF:		COLLECTOR'S COMMENTS AND QUESTIONS						
<table border="1"> <tr> <td>1. Major</td> <td rowspan="4">} IMPORTANCE IN RELATION TO OUR NEEDS.</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2 2. MODERATE</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3. LITTLE</td> </tr> <tr> <td>4. NO</td> </tr> </table>		1. Major	} IMPORTANCE IN RELATION TO OUR NEEDS.	2 2. MODERATE	3. LITTLE	4. NO	<p>Released under the John F. Kennedy Assassination Records Collection Act of 1992 (44 USC 2107 Note) NW 53295 6/15/17</p>	
1. Major	} IMPORTANCE IN RELATION TO OUR NEEDS.							
2 2. MODERATE								
3. LITTLE								
4. NO								
INFORMATION IN THIS REPORT:								
B CONTRIBUTES TO OUR KNOWLEDGE OF THE SUBJECT:		EVALUATOR'S COMMENTS AND FOLLOW-UP QUESTIONS						
<table border="1"> <tr> <td>1. SIGNIFICANTLY.</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2. SUBSTANTIALLY.</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3 3. MODERATELY.</td> </tr> <tr> <td>4. NEGLIGIBLY.</td> </tr> </table>				1. SIGNIFICANTLY.	2. SUBSTANTIALLY.	3 3. MODERATELY.	4. NEGLIGIBLY.	
1. SIGNIFICANTLY.								
2. SUBSTANTIALLY.								
3 3. MODERATELY.								
4. NEGLIGIBLY.								
C ACCURACY:		<p>Usefulness would have been greater if unit identification had been furnished with para 7 and 8, and additional descriptive data on "missile ramps" had been reported.</p>						
<table border="1"> <tr> <td>1. SUBSTANTIALLY TRUE.</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2 2. CREDIBLE.</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3. <i>Doubtful.</i></td> </tr> <tr> <td>4. <i>False.</i></td> </tr> <tr> <td>5. CANNOT BE JUDGED. SEE D.</td> </tr> </table>				1. SUBSTANTIALLY TRUE.	2 2. CREDIBLE.	3. <i>Doubtful.</i>	4. <i>False.</i>	5. CANNOT BE JUDGED. SEE D.
1. SUBSTANTIALLY TRUE.								
2 2. CREDIBLE.								
3. <i>Doubtful.</i>								
4. <i>False.</i>								
5. CANNOT BE JUDGED. SEE D.								
D RELATION TO OTHER INFORMATION:								
<table border="1"> <tr> <td>1 1. USEFUL FOR CONFIRMATION.</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2 2. USEFUL FOR NEW INFORMATION.</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3. <i>Sufficiently known.</i></td> </tr> <tr> <td>4. AT PRESENT WE LACK OTHER INFORMATION TO JUDGE ACCURACY OR USEFULNESS.</td> </tr> <tr> <td>5. <i>Conflicts with previous information.</i></td> </tr> <tr> <td>6. <i>Appears to be fabrication.</i></td> </tr> </table>				1 1. USEFUL FOR CONFIRMATION.	2 2. USEFUL FOR NEW INFORMATION.	3. <i>Sufficiently known.</i>	4. AT PRESENT WE LACK OTHER INFORMATION TO JUDGE ACCURACY OR USEFULNESS.	5. <i>Conflicts with previous information.</i>
1 1. USEFUL FOR CONFIRMATION.								
2 2. USEFUL FOR NEW INFORMATION.								
3. <i>Sufficiently known.</i>								
4. AT PRESENT WE LACK OTHER INFORMATION TO JUDGE ACCURACY OR USEFULNESS.								
5. <i>Conflicts with previous information.</i>								
6. <i>Appears to be fabrication.</i>								
E USEFULNESS WOULD HAVE BEEN GREATER WITH:								
<table border="1"> <tr> <td>1. <i>More detail.</i></td> </tr> <tr> <td>2. <i>Less detail, more summary.</i></td> </tr> <tr> <td>3. <i>Less fragmentary approach.</i></td> </tr> <tr> <td>4. <i>Better organization.</i></td> </tr> <tr> <td>5. <i>Better observation or better explanation of source, or both.</i></td> </tr> <tr> <td>6 6. (STATED IN COMMENT.)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>7. NO DEFECT AFFECTING USEFULNESS.</td> </tr> </table>				1. <i>More detail.</i>	2. <i>Less detail, more summary.</i>	3. <i>Less fragmentary approach.</i>	4. <i>Better organization.</i>	5. <i>Better observation or better explanation of source, or both.</i>
1. <i>More detail.</i>								
2. <i>Less detail, more summary.</i>								
3. <i>Less fragmentary approach.</i>								
4. <i>Better organization.</i>								
5. <i>Better observation or better explanation of source, or both.</i>								
6 6. (STATED IN COMMENT.)								
7. NO DEFECT AFFECTING USEFULNESS.								
OUR COLLECTION NEEDS								
F OTHER REPORTING MEETS:								
<table border="1"> <tr> <td>1. OUR IMPORTANT NEEDS.</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2 2. OUR MINIMUM NEEDS.</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3. MOST OF OUR MINIMUM NEEDS.</td> </tr> <tr> <td>4. FEW OR NONE OF OUR NEEDS.</td> </tr> </table>		1. OUR IMPORTANT NEEDS.	2 2. OUR MINIMUM NEEDS.	3. MOST OF OUR MINIMUM NEEDS.	4. FEW OR NONE OF OUR NEEDS.			
1. OUR IMPORTANT NEEDS.								
2 2. OUR MINIMUM NEEDS.								
3. MOST OF OUR MINIMUM NEEDS.								
4. FEW OR NONE OF OUR NEEDS.								
3 (ONLY IF YOUR REQUIREMENT IS CITED.) REPORT SATISFIES IT: N/A								
<table border="1"> <tr> <td>1. FULLY. REQUIREMENT CAN BE CLOSED.</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2. <i>Partially. Portion of requirement may be closed.</i></td> </tr> <tr> <td>3. PARTIALLY, BUT NEED CONTINUES.</td> </tr> <tr> <td>4. NEGLIGIBLY.</td> </tr> </table>		1. FULLY. REQUIREMENT CAN BE CLOSED.	2. <i>Partially. Portion of requirement may be closed.</i>	3. PARTIALLY, BUT NEED CONTINUES.	4. NEGLIGIBLY.			
1. FULLY. REQUIREMENT CAN BE CLOSED.								
2. <i>Partially. Portion of requirement may be closed.</i>								
3. PARTIALLY, BUT NEED CONTINUES.								
4. NEGLIGIBLY.								
4 (IF SUBJECT IS IMPORTANT TO YOU, OTHER REPORTING DOES NOT MEET MINIMUM NEEDS, AND YOU HAVE FILED NO REQUIREMENT.) N/A								
<table border="1"> <tr> <td>1. WE WILL PRESENT A REQUIREMENT SOON.</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2. OUR NEED DOES NOT WARRANT A REQUIREMENT FOR CS REPORTING.</td> </tr> </table>		1. WE WILL PRESENT A REQUIREMENT SOON.	2. OUR NEED DOES NOT WARRANT A REQUIREMENT FOR CS REPORTING.					
1. WE WILL PRESENT A REQUIREMENT SOON.								
2. OUR NEED DOES NOT WARRANT A REQUIREMENT FOR CS REPORTING.								
EVALUATOR								
NAME (Please print) Captain Richard C. Rogers		COMPONENT OACST, DA	PHONE code 11x54112					
DATE REQUEST RECEIVED 25 May 61		DATE EVALUATION FORWARDED 13 Jun 61						

FORM 10-59 11-60 39 10-59 3-60 11-60 39 11-60 39

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

08 36 1001

2/PJ

()