MEMORANDUM

To: Jack **Date:** July 18, 2017

CC: Anna, Bill, Henry, Kermit **Pages:** 3

From: Tom Samoluk

Subject: Suggested Cuba II Documents Talking Points

COMMENTS:

I. Overview of the Selected Cuba II Documents

We have pulled out four selected documents (that are included in your package and which will be provided to the media as a sampling of this release) from the 600 pages of documents that we are releasing on Thursday, January 29th from the military files of Joseph Califano, who served as Special Assistant to the Secretary of the Army and in a variety of other positions with the Army in the early 1960's. I would like to give you a brief overview of the documents.

As you will see from the cover page that accompanies the selected documents, the time frame is February 1962 through November 7, 1963. As a general statement, the documents:

- Start out with more of what we have seen before (**Document #1** discussion of psychological and economic harassment against Cuba, such as disrupting the sugar harvest);
- Move to discussion of a new plan that we have not seen before (**Document #2** discussion of the creation of an imaginary Cuban leader to cause problems for Castro);
- -Escalate to a more dangerous area (**Document #3** discussion of contriving a fake invasion of another Latin American country that will be blamed on Castro and serve as a pretext for a U.S. invasion of Cuba); and
- -Conclude with a more sober discussion of Castro and Cuba two weeks before President Kennedy is assassinated (**Document** #4 Although the overthrow of Castro is still being discussed, we also see some discussion of what would minimally be acceptable from Castro for the U.S. to be able to live with him).

(Note: As we know from our previous Cuba release, President Johnson dropped the discussion of plans that would lead to an invasion and wanted to focus on "economic denial actions.")

II. Suggested Talking Points

The following are suggested talking points:

- 1. The mandate of the Assassination Records Review Board is to make the history surrounding the assassination as complete as possible for the American public.
- 2. Our job is not to characterize the records we release, but to enrich the record of the assassination -- for others to interpret and analyze.
- 3. Today the Board is releasing approximately 600 pages of previously classified military records from 1962-63 that relate to U.S. policy toward Cuba.
- 4. The Board has aggressively sought to uncover records on U.S. foreign policy, as well as other relevant areas, that put the assassination into its historical context.
- 5. We have previously released military records on Cuba, as well as Vietnam.
- 6. These records being released today further demonstrate how high on the U.S. government's radar screen getting rid of the Castro government was in the early 60's.
- 7. However, the records also show a sobering dose of reality through discussion of what would be minimally acceptable for the U.S. to live with Castro.
- 8. Nearly 35 years later, the Review Board is seeking to ensure that the historical record surrounding the assassination of President Kennedy is fully available to the American public.
- 9. We now have a fuller view, through this new window being opened by the Board, into the policy options toward Cuba that were being considered and debated at the highest levels of the military services.
- 10. Previously, a lot of records from the State Department and the CIA had been released on Cuba from the 1960's. Now, with the Board's efforts, we are seeing the military records that will be critical to get the complete picture on the U.S. policy toward Cuba.
- 11. One reason these records are significant is simply because they are new.
- 12. Without the Board's efforts and the mandate that it has to make the history surrounding the assassination as complete as possible, there is no telling how long it would have been before these records were made public.
- III. Response to: Why is the Board Releasing These Records?

One question that could be asked is, why is the Review Board releasing these records or what do these records have to with the assassination?

I suggest that the response is the following:

The context of the assassination is important to the historical record. There has been so much obvious controversy regarding the assassination, the Board believed that if it defined the scope of assassination records, and its mandate, too narrowly, many questions would be left unanswered about the events surrounding the assassination which are thought to be relevant. Thus, the Board defined records such as the ones being released today as being relevant to the assassination. The result is a fuller record of the assassination.