Released under the John F. Kennedy Assassination Records Collection Act of 1992 (44 USC 2107 Note). Case#:NW 55924 Date: 10-26-2021

	SENDER WILL CH	ECK (	CL IFICATION	TOP	AND BO	OTTOM 🐴
	UNCLASSIFIED		CONFIDEN	TIAI	L	SECRET
	OFFI	CIA	L ROUTING	<b>S</b> S1	LIP	
то	NAME AN	D ADI	DRESS	· : C	ATE	INITIALS
1	Mr. Gaynor			2-	6-70	Porte
2	Dir/Ses	<u></u>		9	970	
3				1		
4	. 1			1		
5						
6						
	ACTION		DIRECT REPLY		PREPARE	REPLY
	APPROVAL DISPATCH		RECOMMENDATION			
	APPKUVAL		DISPATCH		RECOMM	ENDATION
	COMMENT		FILE		RETURN	
	COMMENT CONCURRENCE marks: Perhap		FILE INFORMATION The reasons for		RETURN SIGNATU	RE olishing
E	comment concurrence  marks: Perhap the manuscript facts", etc. see this as an "the true stor wish to play s  AB/OS new	os the go	reasons for beyond "checopid be than ency effort	ckin t pu to c	not pulling on blishe overtion	olishing the ers ly tell
E	comment concurrence  marks: Perhap the manuscript facts", etc. see this as an "the true stor wish to play a  Mark Mark Mark Mark Mark Mark Mark Ma	os the go It on Age	reasons for beyond "checopid be than ency effort	t pu to c rea blic	net pulling on ablished son do ation	olishing the ers ly tell
E	comment concurrence  marks: Perhap the manuscript facts", etc. see this as an "the true stor wish to play a  Menanda  WH. Mark FOLD H	os ti go It con Age y" a par Par HERE	reasons for the could be the could be the could be the could be the could for that in any put among the could be could b	t putto correction of the corr	net pulling on ablished son do ation	olishing the ers ly tell
E	comment concurrence  marks: Perhap the manuscript facts", etc. see this as an "the true stor wish to play a  Menanda  WH. Mark FOLD H	os ti go It con Age y" a par Par HERE	TO RETURN TON	t putto correction of the corr	net pulling on ablished son do ation	olishing the ers ly tell on't endeavor

This document is made available through the declassification efforts and research of John Greenewald, Jr., creator of:

## The Black Vault



The Black Vault is the largest online Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) document clearinghouse in the world. The research efforts here are responsible for the declassification of hundreds of thousands of pages released by the U.S. Government & Military.

**Discover the Truth** at: http://www.theblackvault.com

6 February 1970

MEMORANDUM FOR: Chief, Security Research Staff

FROM : Chief, Liaison and External Operations Branch

SUBJECT: Manuscript: Give Us This Day

Author: Edward J. Hamilton

A letter from Tom Wallace of Holt, Rhinehart and Winston, Inc. book publishers dated July 9, 1968 and addressed to Bill Buckley of the National Review discusses subject publication and indicates that Holt, Rhinehart declined publication because the manuscript by Hamilton was considered "far too controversial". A note from Saroyan accompanying the manuscript indicated that Buckley had sent this manuscript to Arlington House. A Henry Durkin now publicity manager for Walker and Company handled this manuscript while he, Durkin, was working for Arlington House some time ago. Walker and Company have just recently declined to publish the manuscript apparently for the same reason as Holt, Rhinehart and Arlington House. It was noted that Arlington House rejected the manuscript as controversial because they had no way of checking out the facts.

A review of the first few pages of the manuscript rather clearly show this to be the work of E. Howard Hunt (OS file #23500), presently a staff employee assigned to the European Division of DDP. The following items culled from the manuscript were compared with factual data in Mr. Hunt's security file:

a) Manuscript, page 6-- "The air was cool on this second day of December 1956....twenty of us were seated around the spacious office of the Hon. Arthur Gardner, American Ambassador to Cuba" (then in Havana)

Ghebar i Excluded from polemen downgrowing and declaspilies list Hunt's file -- travel order dated 19 November 1956 authorized Hunt to travel to and from Havana on TDY during the period 7-15 December 1956.

b) Manuscript, page 8,9--"In 1926, at the height of the Florida boom, my father was practicing law in Miami Beach"; the manuscript goes on to indicate that Subject's father had a serious problem with his business partner which related to missing funds and the partnership was dissolved.

Hunt's file--Subject's personal history statement reveals that Subject's father was an attorney. A Dun and Bradstreet report dated 16 January 1945 indicated that when Subject's father was with L.R. Steel Company as an attorney in the 20's that the company failed with disastrous results, however, Subject's father was exonerated after an investigation.

c) Manuscript, page 10--"In March of 1960 I was having coffee with the newly-elected president of the country (Uruguay) to which I was assigned when a station officer was admitted." The manuscript goes on to indicate that Hunt had to report to Washington.

Hunt's file--Hunt had been assigned to Montevideo, Uruguay and a cable dated February 1960 received in Headquarters from the Chief of Station at Montevideo indicated that President Nardone had asked President Eisenhower to have Hunt's tour extended in Uraguay. The file further reflects a travel order dated 20 June 1960 which ordered Hunt from Montevideo to Washington with travel to commence in early May 1960.

d) Manuscript, page 19-- "having served several years in Mexico"

Hunt's file--Subject was assigned to Mexico City under coverin December 1950 and was returned PCS from Mexico City to Washington on 1 March 1953.

e) Manuscript, page 23--"Reporting my failure to Quarters Eye I returned to Washington and conferred with Barnes, Jake and Bender. They recommended, and I agreed, that I ought to visit Havana to savor the atmosphere and mingle with the Cuban

SECRET

people. Our cover staff provided me with documentation that would support the operational alias I was to live with for the duration of the Project: Edward J. Hamilton. I drew a travel advance and flew to Tampa where I boarded a National Airlines flight to Havana."

Hunt's file -- On 27 September 1960 action was taken to provide alias documentation to Howard Hunt in the name of Edward J. Hamilton. This documentation included a bonafide District of Columbia driver's permit in alias including a backstopped residence address. Later, in June of 1963 a post office box in Washington, D.C. was established for Hunt under the name, Edward J. Hamilton.

A rather casual review of the rest of the manuscript indicates general similarity of the descriptive data of the author's (Edward J. Hamilton's) activities with regard to the Cuban situation and the actual facts regarding E. Howard Hunt and his Agency role.

It is quite clear that at least a number of minor compromises of security are involved here. Additionally, personal opinion regarding a number of prominent and in some cases former Agency employees could be considered controversial if not actually damaging. Beginning on page 214, the manuscript describes General Cabell's role in the Cuban episode and it can be assumed that this presentation would do little to add to General Cabell's reputation. Other references throughout the manuscript may also produce provocative if not alarming consequences when reviewed by those concerned or described.

Walliam F. Milligan, Sr.

C/LEOB

WFM/ark

6 February 1970

MEMORANDUM FOR: Chief, Security Research Staff

FROM Chief, Liaison and External Operations Branch

SUBJECT : Manuscript: Give Us This Day
Author: Edward J. Hamilton

A letter from Tom Wallace of Holt, Rhinehart and Winston, Inc. book publishers dated July 9, 1968 and addressed to Bill Buckley of the National Review discusses subject publication and indicates that Holt, Rhinehart declined publication because the manuscript by Hamilton was considered "far too controversial". A note from Saroyan accompanying the manuscript indicated that Buckley had sent this manuscript to Arlington House. A Henry Durkin now publicity manager for Walker and Company handled this manuscript while he, Durkin, was working for Arlington House some time ago. Walker and Company have just recently declined to publish the manuscript apparently for the same reason as Holt, Rhinehart and Arlington House: It was noted that Arlington House rejected the manuscript as controversial because they had no way of checking out the facts.

A review of the first few pages of the manuscript rather clearly show this to be the work of E. Howard Hunt (OS file #23500), presently a staff employee assigned to the European Division of DDP. The following items called from the manuscript were compared with factual data in Mr. Hunt's security file:

a) Manuscript, page 6-- "The air was cool on this second day of December 1956.... twenty of us were seated around the spacious office of the Hon. Arthur Gardner, American Ambassador to Cuba" (then in Havanza)

xcluded from automati downgrading and a declassification Hunt's file--travel order dated 19 November 1956 authorized Hunt to travel to and from Havana on TDY during the period 7-15 December 1956.

b) Manuscript, page 8, 9--"In 1926, at the height of the Florida boom, my father was practicing law in Miami Beach"; the manuscript goes on to indicate that Subject's father had a serious problem with his business partner which related to missing funds and the partnership was dissolved.

Hunt's file--Subject's personal history statement reveals that Subject's father was an attorney. A Dun and Bradstreet report dated 16 January 1945 indicated that when Subject's father was with L.R. Steel Company as an attorney in the 20's that the company failed with disastrous results, however, Subject's father was exonerated after an investigation.

c) Manuscript, page 10-- "In March of 1960 I was having coffee with the newly-elected president of the country (Uruguay) to which I was assigned when a station officer was admitted." The manuscript goes on to indicate that Hunt had to report to Washington.

Hunt's file -- Hunt had been assigned to Montevideo, Uruguay and a cable dated February 1960 received in Headquarters from the Chief of Station at Montevideo indicated that President Nardone had asked President Eisenhower to have Hunt's tour extended in Uraguay. The file further reflects a travel order dated 20 June 1960 which ordered Hunt from Montevideo to Washington with travel to commence in early May 1960.

d) Manuscript, page 19-- "having served several years in M Mexico"

Hunt's file--Subject was assigned to Mexico City under cover. in December 1950 and was returned PCS from Mexico City to Washington on 1 March 1955.

e) Manuscript, page 23--"Reporting my failure to Quarters
Eye I returned to Washington and conferred with Barnes, Jake
and Bender. They recommended, and I agreed, that I ought to
visit Havana to savor the atmosphere and mingle with the Cuban

SEGNET

people. Our cover staff provided me with documentation that would support the operational alias I was to live with for the duration of the Project: Edward J. Hamilton. I drew a travel advance and flew to Tampa where I boarded a National Airlines flight to Havana."

Hunt's file-On 27 September 1960 action was taken to provide alias documentation to Howard Hunt in the name of Edward J. Hamilton. This documentation included a bonafide District of Columbia driver's permit in alias including a backstopped residence address. Later, in June of 1963 a post office box in Washington, D.C. was established for Hunt under the name, Edward J. Hamilton.

A rather casual review of the rest of the manuscript indicates general similarity of the descriptive data of the author's (Edward J. Hamilton's) activities with regard to the Cuban situation and the actual facts regarding E. Howard Hunt and his Agency role.

It is quite clear that at least a number of minor compromises of security are involved here. Additionally, personal opinion regarding a number of prominent and in some cases former Agency employees could be considered controversial if not actually damaging. Beginning on page 214, the manuscript describes General Cabell's role in the Cuban episode and it can be assumed that this presentation would do little to add to General Cabell's reputation. Other references throughout the manuscript may also produce provocative if not alarming consequences when reviewed by those concerned or described.

William F. Milligan, Jr. C/LEOB

WFM/ark