

JFK ASSASSINATION SYSTEM  
IDENTIFICATION FORM

---

AGENCY INFORMATION

AGENCY : CIA  
RECORD NUMBER : 104-10139-10021  
RECORD SERIES : JFK  
AGENCY FILE NUMBER : 80T01357A

---

DOCUMENT INFORMATION

AGENCY ORIGINATOR : CIA  
FROM : CHIEF, INSPECTION & REVIEW STAFF  
TO : DEPUTY DIRECTOR (PLANS)  
TITLE : ALLEGED INDISCRETIONS OF ((DELETION)) IN DOMINICAN  
REPUBLIC  
DATE : 03/25/1957  
PAGES : 6

SUBJECTS : INDISCRETIONS  
PAWLEY, WILLIAM

DOCUMENT TYPE : PAPER  
CLASSIFICATION : SECRET  
RESTRICTIONS : 1A 1B  
CURRENT STATUS : RELEASED IN PART PUBLIC - RELEASED WITH DELETIONS  
DATE OF LAST REVIEW : 05/11/94  
COMMENTS : JFK52 : F4 : 1994.05.11.15:28:38:040028 :  
FILE-ORIGINAL IS A PREVIOUSLY SANITIZED DOC. ADDITIONAL  
DUP IS 104-10160-10062

Released under the John F. Kennedy  
Assassination Records Collection Act of  
1992 (44 USC 2107 Note). Case#:NW  
65924 Date: 10-26-2021

---

[R] - ITEM IS RESTRICTED 104-10139-10021

This document is made available through the declassification efforts  
and research of John Greenewald, Jr., creator of:

# The Black Vault

---



The Black Vault is the largest online Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) document clearinghouse in the world. The research efforts here are responsible for the declassification of hundreds of thousands of pages released by the U.S. Government & Military.

**Discover the Truth** at: **<http://www.theblackvault.com>**

23 March 1957

MEMORANDUM FOR: Deputy Director (Plans)

FROM: Chief, Inspection and Review Staff

SUBJECT: Alleged indiscretions of [redacted]  
Chief of Station, Ciudad Trujillo,  
Dominican Republic.

I. Introduction.

1. This is a report of an inquiry into alleged indiscretions of [redacted], Chief of Station, Ciudad Trujillo.

2. This inquiry was conducted in Ciudad Trujillo between the dates of 11 and 15 March 1957, by representatives of the INS Staff and WH Division.

II. Allegations of Mr. William PAWLEY, former U.S. Ambassador.

3. On 25 February 1957, Mr. William PAWLEY, former U.S. Ambassador to Brazil, in conversation with the Director had stated, in part, that [redacted] was "...a busybody and an extremely conceited boy...he wants to be in the middle of everything and he talks to everybody in the world...he is always trying to milk me for information because he sees I am from the government and he is trying to get information out of me...I have seen few people who are less discreet...I believe that he is not a man who can evaluate a situation on its merits...not only the Dominicans are scared to death of him but all the Americans are scared of him, I am scared of him, and I am afraid to talk to the guy and that isn't the type of man you want in CIA".

III. Ambassador PHELPS' conversation with Foreign Minister of the Dominican Republic.

4. In addition to Mr. PAWLEY's statement, the WH Division has copies of Embassy dispatches No. 464 and 465 of 9 and 11 February 1957 entitled, "The MURPHY and de la MAZA cases" which report Ambassador W.T. PHELPS' conversation with the Dominican Republic's Foreign Minister, HERRERA. The Foreign Minister, in conversation with the Ambassador, had informally complained of the "disrespect and impudence" of U.S. Charge d' Affairs, Richard E. STEPHENS and the alleged "innuendoes" of Mr. BRETT questioning the veracity of official Dominican statements pertaining to the death of a Dominican national, de la MAZA. From his conversation with the Foreign Minister, the Ambassador reported that the Foreign Minister went on to say that the attitudes of STEPHENS and BRETT indicated they harbored hostility



to his country, causing the Dominican Government to question whether the presence in the Dominican Republic of STEPHENS and BRETT was desirable. The Foreign Minister further informed the Ambassador that

persons non grata but if they persisted in their attitudes of "hostility" he would be compelled to make further representations in the premises.

5. The Ambassador's dispatches to the Department of State indicated that he did not share the views of the Foreign Minister. The dispatches, however, reveal the extent to which the Dominican Republic would apparently pursue a course of action against U.S. personnel whom they considered unsympathetic toward the present Dominican regime.

#### IV. Liaison Relations in the Dominican Republic.

6. Since the unsuccessful attempt to bribe BRETT made by the Chief of Dominican Republic's Intelligence Services about a year ago, and since the disappearance of Jesus Maria de GILBERT, an alleged Basque Intelligence agent, a marked coolness has developed in BRETT's liaison relations with the Dominicans. Newspaper and magazine reports in the United States on the political atmosphere in the Dominican Republic (such as those shown in the attachments) and on the mysterious disappearance of a U.S. citizen, Gerald MURPHY, coupled with BRETT's participation in that case, appear further to have impaired the liaison relationship. These developments have been previously reported to the WH Division by BRETT in detailed dispatches.

#### V. Results of Interviews with Embassy Personnel.

7. In order to determine whether or not BRETT has been indiscreet as alleged, the following officers of the U.S. Embassy in Ciudad Trujillo were interviewed:

William T. PHILIPER, Ambassador  
Francis L. SPALDING, Counsellor of Embassy and First Secretary  
Robert M. ALLEN, Second Secretary  
Harry M. LOFTON, Second Secretary and Consul  
Richard H. STEPHENS, Second Secretary for Economic Affairs  
Commander Ralph C. BACOT, U.S. Naval Attaché

8. These officers without exception spoke highly of BRETT and of his integrity, loyalty, cooperation and capability. They stated that he was energetic, he had extensive contacts and sources, and he maintained a wide coverage of the local scene. None of the persons interviewed recalled any incident which would indicate that BRETT was indiscreet. Several officers mentioned that BRETT was an incessant talker and that he has amused people with seemingly incoherent accounts of history and social sciences. (The study of

history and social sciences has long been a hobby with BRETT.) The Naval Attache stated that the extensive knowledge of history by BRETT probably was above the comprehension of some of the French Service Officers, and he could easily understand their surprise as he, in conversations with BRETT, has had to plead ignorance of some of the fine points to which BRETT addressed himself.

9. On the other hand, Embassy officials have found BRETT to be "secretive". His reluctance to reveal sources of information was annoying to Embassy officers. Intelligence which BRETT obtained frequently was found to be valuable and timely. The reluctance of BRETT to reveal his sources, although admittedly he was within his rights to withhold such sensitive data, did cause misunderstanding among some officials as to his service for the Embassy.

10. Except as noted above BRETT was held in high esteem by his associates. They felt that in his discussions with Americans and Dominicans he was discreet.

11. The Ambassador was particularly high in his praise of BRETT. He stated that BRETT was an asset to the Embassy and that he (the Ambassador) really used BRETT as a Special Assistant in both social and official functions. He stated that "if Allan DULLES gave me the pick of his shop, I would choose Homer BRETT".

#### VI. Interviews with personnel outside the Embassy.

12. In addition to Embassy personnel, Mr. Roger RICE, Manager of the Texaco Company in Ciudad Trujillo, who has formerly been cleared by CIA, and his wife, Stephary, a former CIA employee were interviewed independently of each other. Mr. RICE said that BRETT was highly regarded in the American business community and that no one had any fear of being in his company. RICE stated that he played golf with the members of the American business community and had never heard BRETT's name mentioned as a person to avoid. Stephary RICE teaches at the American school and spends considerable time playing bridge with the wives of American businessmen. She said that apart from a dislike which some people have for BRETT because of the amount of talking that he does about history and related subjects, he was well liked in the community and she has never heard it said that he was indiscreet or a person to be avoided.

#### VII. Briefing of Ambassador PHILLIPS following the interviews.

13. Following the above interviews the Ambassador was informed that the inquiry produced no evidence of indiscretion on the part of BRETT. He stated that he was very pleased to hear this and that he had hoped that such an inquiry would clear him. The Ambassador was



informed that all of his officers had stated that BENT appeared to be too secretive in not revealing his sources of information. The Ambassador commented that he himself had, on several occasions, used sources without any comment. He did this without expectation of learning the sources but merely to test BENT's security. The Ambassador stated that he is completely satisfied with the work of BENT and added, "you tell Allen BULLIS that if he wants a letter from me on Homer, I shall be glad to send it to him".

#### VIII. Interview with Emer BENT, Chief of Station,

14. BENT was interviewed regarding his knowledge of any incident which might have led to allegations of indiscretion on his part. He said that possibly Mr. Richard STEPHENS, at the time the latter was Charge d' Affaires, had singled him out as the "fall guy" for several of STEPHENS's actions in the MURPHY case. He said that he and STEPHENS often do not see eye to eye in their work on the MURPHY case. (This belief appears to be contrary to STEPHENS's statements made earlier to representatives of I&A and WE Division. STEPHENS had stated unequivocally that BENT was highly regarded by the Embassy staff and by himself personally; in his high praise of BENT, STEPHENS volunteered to put into writing the above statement.)

15. Further, BENT said that the Dominican's displeasure of him was probably due to his assignment to the MURPHY case by STEPHENS, then Charge d' Affaires, after it was taken out of the hands of the Consul. According to BENT, inquiry into the MURPHY case, in collaboration with and at the behest of, STEPHENS, probably prompted the informal action taken by the Dominican Foreign Minister which was reported by Ambassador PHILLIPS. In addition, an order from STEPHENS, BENT had accompanied Sally CAINE (the fiancée of MURPHY) from Ciudad Trujillo to Miami after obtaining permission to do so from Headquarters. It was BENT's belief that this was an additional factor in the Dominican Republic's displeasure with him.

#### II. Discussions with Mr. PANKY.

16. According to [ ] and other Embassy officials, there are many U.S. citizens who have vested interests in the Dominican Republic, among whom several are mentioned as apologists for the Dominicans for business reasons. Two of those frequently mentioned during the course of this inquiry were a Mr. Robert CHRISTENSEN, a former Foreign Service officer, and Mr. William PANKY, a former U.S. Ambassador. [ ] is particularly aware of the latter's closeness to General TRUJILLO. [ ] stated that he had discussed with PANKY on a number of occasions some of the implications of revolutions in Latin America. This was done because [ ] believed that

PANLEY's long service in the U.S. government and known position justified confidence in him. So far as [redacted] was aware, he believed that he gave no cause for Mr. PANLEY to label him either for

of PANLEY as a former U.S. Ambassador, [redacted] believes he leaned over backwards in not presenting an anti-TRUJILLO position because he was aware of PANLEY's close ties with TRUJILLO.

17. [redacted] did state that at one time he asked PANLEY for information on the GALINDEZ case. In that particular conversation, when he informally and "quite bluntly" requested this information, Mr. PANLEY stated that he did not mix business and politics, and that in the Dominican Republic he was in business. [redacted] stated that in view of the answer he received he wished he had not asked the question.

18. In the opinion of the HRL and WH representatives it is a moot question whether or not that question posed to PANLEY was indiscreet. Regarded after the event, it probably was indiscreet. But regarded in the light of Mr. PANLEY's previous sensitive employment and stature in the U.S. government and previous relations with [redacted] it was a question which probably could have been asked by the most discreet U.S. employee in similar circumstances.

#### I. Conclusions.

19. On the basis of the foregoing, it is concluded that:

a. There was no serious evidence that the Chief of Station HERR was indiscreet in the conduct of his office.

b. The Ambassador and other Embassy officials highly praised HERR for his industry and dedication to duty.

c. Interviews both within and without the Embassy indicated that the American business community in general regard HERR highly.

d. HERR is an intensely garrulous person who has annoyed Embassy officials at social and political functions and others outside the Embassy with his constant discussions of history and social sciences. These same officials have been equally annoyed at what they regard as a "cloak and dagger" air on his part. None of these personal characteristics, however, seems to have seriously impaired his usefulness which under present conditions is limited.

-4-



c. The recent difficulty with the Government of the Dominican Republic has not terminated the usefulness of BRETT. It has impaired his official liaison relations but not to the extent of requiring his removal at this time which the Dominican Government is apparently trying to bring about.

## II. Recommendations.

20. It is recommended that:

a. Homer BRETT complete his present tour of duty which will expire on 30 September 1957.

b. CIA evaluate the necessity for maintaining representation in the Dominican Republic.

c. The question of BRETT's future assignment be evaluated and resolved by Chief, WE Division on the basis of over-all performance.

D. DELANEY

Chief, Inspection and Review Staff

### Distribution:

Original - Addressee

1 - Chief, WE Division

1 - I&R Subject File

1 - I&R Chrono File

### Attachments:

The Director:

In view of your decision to continue CIA representation in the Dominican Republic, our evaluation will be directed at whether or not a new man should be identified to the Dominican authorities as Brett was.

/s/ R. H.