

JFK ASSASSINATION SYSTEM
IDENTIFICATION FORM

AGENCY INFORMATION

AGENCY : CIA
RECORD NUMBER : 104-10534-10084
RECORD SERIES : JFK
AGENCY FILE NUMBER : NOSENKO RECORDS

Released under the John F. Kennedy
Assassination Records Collection
Act of 1992 (44 USC 2107 Note).
Case#:NW 53216 Date: 06-14-2017

DOCUMENT INFORMATION

ORIGINATOR : CIA
FROM : MILER.
TO :
TITLE : HANDWRITTEN NOTES ON MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD
FORMS:ANATOLE RE NOSENKO
DATE : 05/14/69
PAGES : 51
SUBJECTS : NOSENKO
ANATOLE
NBR

DOCUMENT TYPE : PAPER, TEXTUAL DOCUMENT
CLASSIFICATION : SECRET
RESTRICTIONS :
CURRENT STATUS : POSTPONED IN FULL
DATE OF LAST REVIEW : 02/02/01
OPENING CRITERIA :
COMMENTS : JFK-M-24:F44 2001.02.02.09:45:56:530084: NOT BELIEVED
RELEVANT (NBR). NOTES ARE DATED 15, 16 AND 17 JAN AND 14
MAY 1969.

**CLASSIFIED
ATTACHMENT**

[R] - ITEM IS RESTRICTED

~~SECRET~~

"MR'S E -"

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

DATE

14 May 69

SUBJECT

ANATOLE RE NOSSENKO

FILE NUMBER

c/c was given a copy of the following for delivery to Anatole today:

A. Memo for Director Security
"Solicitation Topics for Yuri Nosenko"

(A copy of the Draft was given to c/c on which I annotated final was dated 22 April.)

B. A carbon of the Memo for the Record dated 4 April 69,
Subject: Anatole Re Nosenko
with atts A B & C.

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

G. Scott Miller

FORM 7-62 1954

~~SECRET~~
CLASSIFICATIONGROUP 1
EXCLUDED FROM AUTOMATIC
DOWNGRADING AND
DECLASSIFICATION

(40)

~~SECRET~~

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

DATE

16 JANUARY '69

SUBJECT

ANATOLE RE Solie NOSENKO PAPER

FILE NUMBER

A Questions if he stated in re
 his Dec '60 talk with Kovshuk
 that it was a military
 code clerk which was
 recruited — more likely
 he stated important
 recruitment code clerk
maybe military -

(Check ref in Solie paper - this
 probably DA-54 - info from
 FBI - not transcript (?) Kovshuk
 in Dec '60).

OFFICE AND TITLE

V. J. e/c

SIGNATURE

FORM 7-62 1954

CLASSIFICATION

GROUP 1
EXCLUDED FROM AUTOMATIC
DECLASSIFICATION

(40)

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

DATE

16 January 69

SUBJECT

60-61 Nosenko's position

FILE NUMBER

(Anatole Reviewing Solie Nosenko paper)

2 points of "misinformation" from Nosenko

1. He was not in American Section, 60-61, and he could not be Deputy Chief - (N says he was)
2. There were no recruitments of AMEMB personnel during this period.

If N is established as in American Section then point 2, i.e., Nosenko's claim, follows but this is not the case.

Dec 60 - Jan 61 - in offices V. Petrov's Section. Petrov told personnel he could not find miike reports and that look for it

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD		DATE
SUBJECT (2) 60-61 Nosecuro -		FILE NUMBER
<p>If Petrov not responsible for Embassy why would he have "made" report in this Section? A overheard him ask for reports.</p> <p>A says he is sure that before his defection N would have no problem learning about recruitments and operations. Strikano announced successes - rewards followed in few days - this known. Point A makes is that N would know - he could analyze who doing what and piece together with announcements & rewards to cases and targets.</p>		
OFFICE AND TITLE	SIGNATURE	

FORM 100-1

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

DATE
15 January '69

SUBJECT
Nosenko trip to Cuba - Nov - Dec 60

FILE NUMBER

Asatell says only photographs
will substantiate Nosenko, was
Nikolaev, did travel thru
Amsterdam - RR to Berlin -
name alone as traveller on
manifests, travel control, etc.
not substantiation.

Requests he been shown
documentation to substantiate
actually was Nosenko.

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

W. S. Miller

~~SECRET~~

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

DATE

15 January 69

SUBJECT

N'senko Attitude re Surfacing

FILE NUMBER

Anatole suggests consideration about asking N if he would agree to have true information published under his name, such as political info, sketch of Gibanov from what he has provided. This to be test see N's reaction - suggest this and see what he would say about writing article about Gibanov. Set stage see what might be done.

(U)
Solic opinion he would agree.

A had asked and been told by Solie that N feared for his safety with respect to surfacing or living openly.

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

U.S. Miller

FORM 7-62 1954

~~SECRET~~
CLASSIFICATION

GROUP 1
EXEMPT FROM AUTOMATIC
DOWNGRADING AND
DECLASSIFICATION

(40)

~~SECRET~~

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

DATE

15 Jan 69

SUBJECT

FILE NUMBER

Klippen - Gibbons relations -

Quintale says persons talk together re hunting or fishing - some thing re appointment in Moscow transcript he says the persons not right.

Did not understand point!

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

G. Stiller

FORM 7-62 1954

~~SECRET~~

EXCLUDED FROM AUTOMATIC DOWNGRADING AND DECLASSIFICATION

(40)

~~SECRET~~

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

DATE

15 January 69

SUBJECT

NOSAIKO Notes

FILE NUMBER

Anatole categorically states it would have been impossible for N to transfer any notes or papers with him when transferring to another Department, check on safes and material constant. A says if he had been told N kept notes in his apartment A would say "madness" but believable. He cannot accept transfer notes with N.

A questions N's notes dealing with military targeting. How would he have A says flatly military unit under Kovstak. Possible Petrov in Section (sub) separate from Kovstak.

Giorgi Zhukov - ? Demotion 5B A agrees (ZHUKOV) Cherepanov papers show Chief Section. Used to check "C papers" re KOVSTAK status.

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

U.S. [Signature]

FORM 7-62 1954

~~SECRET~~
CLASSIFICATION

GROUP 1
EXCLUDED FROM AUTOMATIC
DOWNGRADING AND
DECLASSIFICATION

(40)

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

DATE

15 January '69

SUBJECT

CHEREPANOV write-up from British
(caption: alexsandr nikolaevich CHEREPANOV - 5 pages only)

FILE NUMBER

Solic read. Copy to him today.
JA read.
Anatole

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

~~SECRET~~

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

DATE

15 Jan '69

SUBJECT

KOVSHUK - in U.S. - demotion.

FILE NUMBER

Anatole says that Kovshuk got in trouble while he was in the US on a mission. He was recalled and demoted. He was a SCD officer not FCD. He was only in US 10 months.

Anatole does not accept Kosulko's explanation of reason for Kovshuk's demotion.

Anatole says, replying to Solie, he does not know if K's problem in US was girls. Solie said N indicated was involved girls in US.

However, Anatole says recall not because of girls according his information but did indicate needs investigation. Relates to cases he studying.

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

U. S. Miller

FORM 7.62 1954

~~SECRET~~
CLASSIFICATION

~~SECRET~~
EXCLUDED FROM AUTOMATIC
DOWNGRADING AND
DECLASSIFICATION

(40)

~~SECRET~~

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

DATE

15 Jan 69

SUBJECT

TAMARA MAMEDOVA

FILE NUMBER

Anatole, noting in transcript N's statement Sui, ask, was KGB suggests this he investigated. He is of opinion she might be KGB. Solie noted she traveled and active while in US - was in Washington.

A says he thinks she fits into case he studying - developing but in response to mild question which case said he had not previously mentioned but will when ready. A confirmed not case previously mentioned when Miles said he thought might be case to be reviewed.

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

U.S. Miles

FORM 7-62 1954

~~SECRET~~
CLASSIFICATIONGROUP 1
EXCLUDED FROM AUTOMATIC
DOWNGRADING AND
DECLASSIFICATION

(40)

~~SECRET~~

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

DATE

15 January, '69

SUBJECT

Nosenko - Charanov - Guk

FILE NUMBER

Available says N-C-G closest of friends, N would know all C & G ops & agents and vice versa.

(Points) N could identify Varsal by name; would know C's agents.

A also commented that Guk in Suisse would have been targeted vs. Americans not concerned with frustration Swiss police.

A in answer to JA question said he knew for fact that N-C-G closest friends - professional, social-talk about women, etc., and he had been present when N & C talking about operations using language, i.e., doubletalk, so he, A, did not know what they really talking about but indicating to A they intimate re operations.

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

U. S. Miller

FORM 7-62 1954

~~SECRET~~

CLASSIFICATION

GROUP 1 EXCLUDED FROM AUTOMATIC DOWNGRADING AND DECLASSIFICATION

(40)

~~SECRET~~

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

DATE

15 January '69

SUBJECT

FILE NUMBER

Y. Nosenko Duties American Section

Anatole returned to point that he sees no substantiation or evidence that N was actually involved and working against Americans - in two years he should have been in "10 cases" as participant - Kribanov would not tolerate "planning" on part of experienced linguist. Even as a concession by A that N was "deputy" he would be directly involved - in contact. A notes Kovshuk, higher rank.

Kryazhev did not know language, Kos'topov and N should have worked and participated together.

Kovshuk and Churakov active - no cover.

Unbelievable he could stay 2 yrs without involvement and direct contact.

The response to Solie note re N approach to Kaysers at airport, which Solie noted Kaysers could not confirm, A says not confirmation - cover.

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

FORM 7-62 1954

~~SECRET~~
CLASSIFICATION

GROUP 1
EXCLUDED FROM AUTOMATIC
DOWNGRADING AND
DECLASSIFICATION

(40)

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD


DATE

SUBJECT

(2) N's Duties American Section

FILE NUMBER

A very positive weak point in N's story is American Section duty - N can substantiate - no confirmation - not normal - a reconstruction not actual role at time.



OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

U. S. Miller

~~SECRET~~

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

DATE

15 Jan '69

SUBJECT

Y. NOSENKO

FILE NUMBER

ANATOLE emphasized point that Nosenko should have directly participated in approach to American Embassy personnel or been in social contact with Americans during the period Jan 60 - Dec 61 when he in Dept I Section I. A major point N's good language, experience, etc., according to A's knowledge, means that N should have followed American Section ops policy and been directly

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

FORM 7-62 1954

~~SECRET~~

GROUP 1
EXCLUDED FROM AUTOMATIC
DOWNGRADING AND
DECLASSIFICATION

(40)

~~SECRET~~

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

DATE

SUBJECT

FILE NUMBER

involved. In reply to A's
 question Solie said only direct
 confrontation N had with
 American was in hallway
 when [REDACTED] pitched beer
 [REDACTED] had not identified N.
 Solie said N had attended
 a (one?) 4th July celebrations
 at AMEMB, Moscow.

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

W. E. Rice

~~SECRET~~

CLASSIFICATION

GROUP 1
EXCLUDED FROM AUTOMATIC
DOWNGRADING AND
DECLASSIFICATION

(40)

~~SECRET~~

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD		DATE 15 January '69
SUBJECT Nosenko Bond Files		FILE NUMBER
<p><u>No</u> doubt, not even 1% doubt, that Nosenko is not a provocateur(is): a statement Anatole reiterated to J. Angleton, B. Solie and S. Miller. Anatole's concern is to point out the contradictions, major areas, necessary to protect our security.</p>		
OFFICE AND TITLE	SIGNATURE W. S. Miller	

FORM 7-62 1954

~~SECRET~~
CLASSIFICATIONGROUP 1
EXCLUDED FROM AUTOMATIC
DOWNGRADING AND
DECLASSIFICATION

(40)

~~SECRET~~

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

DATE

17 Jan '69

SUBJECT

ANATOLE RE NOSENKO

FILE NUMBER

TAPE - 1

Anatole read thru several volumes of transcripts of Solie interviews with Nosenko, the Cherepanov papers including the Russian and Solie's paper re Nosenko and Fidel. He recorded the his comments.

Bowden - Cherepanov papers - met Bagley some and Dept SE Directorate. Didn't get file to review but it made clear to Bagley double agent. Newspaper account made it clear to A same source as discussed with

Bagley 1962. went to McOne. 1964 rec'd file from Murphy re 1964 - analysis procedure

Analysis limited to 60-61 KGB service background - no deep analysis of whole case. but notes information, etc.

A position was - had to have all info he would/ could give but want to handle interrogation - puzzle

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

FORM 7.62 1954

~~SECRET~~
CLASSIFICATION

EXCLUDED FROM AUTOMATIC
DOWNGRADING AND
DECLASSIFICATION

(40)

~~SECRET~~

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

DATE

SUBJECT

FILE NUMBER

Warned N re position - at time could not do research completely - latter agreement re interrogation to elicit entire story and that (A) would review material and assemble - see what do.

Mr. Solie did good job - did what SB failed to do fills many gaps - abundant info - touched important aspects of N background - after analysis thinks many things to discuss and N can be really assessed as bona fide or provocateur on a mission.

Can state Conclusion: 8-9 vols of info Solie elicited basis (good) for Conclusion -

Final vol conclusion - analysis of evidence - mistakes made - analysis & conclusions not supported by previous vols of N's information.

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

FORM 7. 62 1954

~~SECRET~~
CLASSIFICATION

GROUP 1
EXCLUDED FROM AUTOMATIC
DOWNGRADING AND
DECLASSIFICATION

(40)

~~SECRET~~

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

DATE

SUBJECT

FILE NUMBER

Nosenko type caliber defector from KGB
need to assess timing and meaning
account for what happened before
Penkovsky Case - relevant re
walk-in - agents -

Popov genuine agent in GRU -
into re ops - agents - military - personnel
after Popov arrest within 4 1/2 yrs
another GRU walk-in - need
analyze Penkovsky light Popov
parallel - up-dated info. look at Penkovsky
from Popov

∴ Nosenko background against what
went before - difference Penk 2 yrs
key to Penkovsky was missile info
which took more than year to prepare
Penk or to Bobet School 59 - gave him basis
similar to Nosenko what before
Golitsyn came Dec 61 - info re KGB
structure - major reorganization, new tasks
personnel, operations, agents - some
FCD + some SCD - info indicated
long recruitment preparation P.M. in Moscow

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

FORM 7-62 1954

~~SECRET~~
CLASSIFICATION

GROUP 1
EXCLUDED FROM AUTOMATIC
DOWNGRADING AND
DECLASSIFICATION

(40)

~~SECRET~~

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

DATE

SUBJECT

FILE NUMBER

6 months after Golitsyn - Nosenko
 contacts CIA in Geneva. Later here vast
 info KGB, structure, personnel, operations -
 speaks impressively freely - just like
 Penkovskiy - And our (A) you question
 bona fides because he gave freely -
 Cooperation not criteria to assess
 defector - if defector respects himself
 he may not cooperate all time.

The more cooperative the more suspect
 because he had to tell story - do mission
 Free response does not impress A -

If Popov gave vast info then Penkovskiy
 had green light - parallel to Golitsyn

If KGB knows that Golitsyn made SED
 info available to CIA then Nosenko gets
 green light - difference in detail.

★ 2nd rule assessment - background -
 Personal = pre-service bio = character = ops/professional/bio
 NOT speak about Penkovskiy - speak of Nosenko

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

FORM 7-67 1954

~~SECRET~~
CLASSIFICATION

GROUP 1
EXCLUDED FROM AUTOMATIC
DOWNGRADING AND
DECLASSIFICATION

(40)

~~SECRET~~

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

DATE

SUBJECT

FILE NUMBER

I Bio pre-KEB - not important dwell on this
 the Rosenberg - some of minutes - no question against
 Analyze why SB concluded not N, etc.
 important see if any connection to penetration
 of CIA - not explained if hostile interaction
 mistake or deliberate but was warning to N,
 SB can make mistake or calculated -
~~But don't say~~ If CIA accepted decision
 (which A had made) that N could make
 see if decision results not getting dis info.

II Personal Character - different opinions
 rather well discuss - two sides - can be
 important but not major - nonsense re
 N's bragging - boasting - Boasting not
 that much key - exaggerated - If
 decides bona fide then important but
 if still questioning then look differently -
 open question -

III Service in KEB - attention
 details of service accurate as given to CIA

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

FORM 7-62 1954

~~SECRET~~

CLASSIFICATION

GROUP 1
EXCLUDED FROM AUTOMATIC
DOWNGRADING AND
DECLASSIFICATION

(40)

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

DATE

SUBJECT

FILE NUMBER

If he is not accurate in sources and years
 if different than factual & significantly lacking
 into repetitively then we cannot say as
 was done in analysis that promotions,
 salaries, etc. acceptable on basis of
 speculation. Examine N info vs other
 evidence - cannot discount evidence
 if contrary what he says.

1 Dept 1 Section Dec 60 - Jan 62 (61
 importance is coincides last 2 (Dec
 A says)
 yrs of G - important info.

Not true according to G's - G
 knows for fact N did not serve I I
 G told Bagley 62 - among list he
 saw Nosenko name - G did not pinpoint
 N - but said list wrong because G knew
 N not in American Section.

Based on fact - not theory conventional
 many people thought this still valid -
 still fact.

Crucial

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

DATE

SUBJECT

FILE NUMBER

A. Important to his mission but in this Dept. to give authoritative grounds speak about ops vs. AmEmb in this period.

B. Evidence against N

Here A speculate - maybe G tried to see if he knew look for N work in T.I. See first reaction - check about penetration - G did not react as they might have expected, Only said wanted analyze whole - did not exclude disinformation.

what is false about background is that he worked in T.I. and he held position Deputy - false as fact - 59 position abolished. In 60 was there and no N -

GRYZANOV was Deputy T.I. in '59 - when deputy abolished he became senior case officer - if there were details would be GRYZANOV to someone in T.I. not necessary

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE *GR N.*

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

DATE

SUBJECT

FILE NUMBER

Assessment of info to judge sincerity -
 two types Operational and political (policy - connection
 KGB in work) 1959 Shelepin - soup - etc
 He has provided VAST info KGB personnel,
 Agents & operations - what significance
 875 KGB officers (Soviet list -
 probably 2000) 2000 + 300 agents
 250 current FCD + 500 officers

(tape off - Zechin - Mikhail
 Solov'ev photo, Brussels - connection Belitskiy
 not Yuri - lecture KGB school)

Assessment of info - repeat identities KGB
 Parkovskiy did this -
 Golitsyn did even less -
 Substance - what this means -
 How many known CIA or others services
 subtract this from N's figures -
~~total~~ minus reserves - teachers -
 evaluate op value remaining -
 extent (what he gave) info about each

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

DATE

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

SUBJECT

FILE NUMBER

how does he characterize them -
categorize them - just wants - nothing to do,

TAKCHIANOV travelling - suspicious

How N's info fits into what G told ^{me} personnel

Necessary reduce N info vs what G's identification

Not analysis - as in paper - explain which ones

known - how explain confirm other sources?

Analysis of what 300 agents mean -

may be very superficial - lacking significance of
agents - 100 3rd countries - some cases leads not agents

agents in tourist field - ? of value.

G says - only 5% tourists cooperative per KGB policy

How many real agents did he identify?

- Johnson defense ministry procedures

Analyze overall - then even particular cases

Vassall - analyze in depth

Operations - value & significance

Thibos in Embassy - Soviet mistake
means American -

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

DATE

SUBJECT

FILE NUMBER

On record analyze later that he
identified Belitskiy -

Important his info allegedly Soviet intel
reorganized in '62

SUSLOV + VAKRUSHEV leads to
recruitment - what significance - analyze -
Solic questions if names S+V
fed of the Nos volunteers - (check this
important re CIA penetration in SB)
Solic hazards guess Nos did not
volunteer names.

Coincide Strange example same time
as defection V gives evidence like to drink
Vakrushev's actions supported validity
Nos' statement.

Value of his political info -
only one with mentioned (by Solic)
OSWALD -

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

FORM 7-62 1954

~~SECRET~~

CLASSIFICATION

GROUP 1
EXCLUDED FROM AUTOMATIC
DOWNGRADING AND
DECLASSIFICATION

(40)

~~SECRET~~

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

DATE

SUBJECT

FILE NUMBER

If genuine defector would have immediately spoke about KGB policies - May '59 meeting - Shelepin app' - obligatory read report. did not come - only relevant was new task KGB -

RUMANTSEV - shot in '59 for contact with Americans - tried to give secret report of Shelepin - order - reports everyone read - SCD didn't explain how Rumantsev exposed who agent, who exposed - Soviet ~~network~~

Judgements - errors, inconsistencies - omissions conclusion info

JA ⁶²⁻⁶⁴ One fact kept from SR, was info re May 59 - Shelepin to see if defector would disclose - important

McClure - Khrushchev dismissed, act 64 to explain -

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

FORM 7-62 1954

~~SECRET~~

CLASSIFICATION

GROUP 1 EXCLUDED FROM AUTOMATIC DOWNGRADING AND DECLASSIFICATION

(40)

~~SECRET~~

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

DATE

SUBJECT

FILE NUMBER

Tourist info discredit CIA - FBI
3rd country efficiency - very marginal
results from extensive investigations

1st - Analysis - evidence NOS not bona fide
deports but sent special mission

1st - Mistake made interview NOS by one
man - wider experience - specialists -
special assessors - impossible 1 man

2nd Dissemination question - can be no
broad dissemination - mistake to send
all NOS info to FBI & 3rd countries -
before giving all this work should be
no question valid - only individual cases

3rd - question of efficiency of polygraph
in general -

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

FORM 7-62 1954

CLASS ~~SECRET~~

GROUP 1
EXCLUDED FROM AUTOMATIC
DOWNGRADING AND
DECLASSIFICATION

(40)

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

DATE

SUBJECT

FILE NUMBER

Major points when read. Lots of info -

1. Info re SED agents in American Embassy
53-64 -

Andrew - identified as Smith repair codes -
Howard -

NDS showed name 5X2 y not more.

Annual reports Depts - Directories - rewards.
SED most open - FCD takes to talk -

Churakov, Guk, Koushale

2. Factual basis disagreement re success

vs AMEMB - Biggest An Dept SED Success 54-55-56
case completed. no details - new info

success explains promotion Col* Kurbentaukov
NOT Andrew - (dismissed) } Kurbentauka - Gorbatenko
GARBATEKA

KGB college text re KGB Agent. 1st time General theoretical
discussion about definition of agent - book about agent.
invited * to give right definition

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

~~SECRET~~

CLASSIFICATION

GROUP 1
EXCLUDED FROM AUTOMATIC
DOWNGRADING AND
DECLASSIFICATION

(40)

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

DATE


SUBJECT

FILE NUMBER

3. G not able make research re who in re Col.*
 recruited 54-56 - areas look a) Stalinger
 of number 55 - one³ officers - points this way
 help advise all aspects¹ b) recruited auto-high
 level; c) Someone CIA that paid - or code
 dark with big production -

4. Indication some reactivation. An East
 by 57 - may be same or not - which was
 reactivated by Kovshuk in Wash -
 leaving aside ANDREY -
 mentioned he (Kovshuk) slept with agent in D.C.
 + drinking - woman agent in State or agent saw from Moscow -

Venerable (venerable) disease

 (VAL'KOVA) actress w/ Kovshuk. - Judge
 LAPUHOV (?)

(Reviews^{FBI} surveillance reports - females -)
 doesn't exclude or reject Andray - could be

coincidence date Kovshuk & Langelle
 return Moscow.

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

FORM
7-62 1954~~SECRET~~

CLASSIFICATION

GROUP 1
EXCLUDED FROM AUTOMATIC
DOWNGRADING AND
DECLASSIFICATION

(40)

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

DATE

SUBJECT

FILE NUMBER

(check tape. A told us
Langelle went known in
advance to handle one
agent — when told - who - etc
for JA)
☆☆

ZHUKOV was military field -
60 Dec Zhukov still in 1st Regt Mil.

5,58-59 — important recruitment
analyzing Popov case -
areas Berlin Paris Bern (Swiss) MO 2 cont
re CIA

read Cherepanov papers

BONDEN

WINTERS 2

Langelle 1 sequence

Dulacki 3

Popov not compromised Winters letter

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

DATE

SUBJECT

Break in tape telephone call

FILE NUMBER

Lanselle Nos. 54-55

A dreaming to recruit him to leave - every CIA man

needed revenge for Popov - move against those in their hands - (This needs input against 53-54 compromise theory)

KOTOV from Vienna - went returned to Berlin in 58

High level penetration CIA not solved

DE

Surveillance (for) of Lanselle, significant paper to read

per A's info

6. Significant penetration 60-61 which now controversial - C vs Nos. comparison -

★ Crucial question - do you accept what Nos says - Deputy position, etc. requires reanalysis
Way to resolve controversy / conflict reanalysis

Investigate re penetration

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

~~SECRET~~

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

DATE

SUBJECT

FILE NUMBER

7. Kosolapov trip to Helsinki -
controversy - direct opposite dates
names, etc. - supported
independent info not favoring NOS -
(double-check - significance Sofia?)

8. Mission not to place NOS in status but
to confuse & mislead after defection.
No influenced investigation -

Completely inaccurate he worked
Dept I Section

Absolute statement he was not
and not Deputy - a fact -

TAPE II = □ - 1

9. Code Clerk's case
need to be reexamined -

NOS June 62 2 pieces
Belitskiy
Vassell

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

FORM 7-62 1954

~~SECRET~~
CLASSIFICATION

GROUP 1
EXCLUDED FROM AUTOMATIC
DOWNGRADING AND
DECLASSIFICATION

(40)

~~SECRET~~

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

DATE

SUBJECT

FILE NUMBER

Vassall info given by Golitsyn in April 62 -
Enough from Golitsyn so that Vassall case would be solved irrespective of NAB and UCA - known to CIA -

(JA - when came Geneva 1st time he wanted not to go out with British girl because of penetration BIS - doubts to CIA about security of Brit basis & leads)

Cannot accept 6 months too short
Solic says not 6 only 3

Advice how can you discount importance when contact made

JA - contact at point of departure from Geneva - only few meetings

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

FORM 7-52 1954

~~SECRET~~
CLASSIFICATION

GROUP 1
EXCLUDED FROM AUTOMATIC
DOWNGRADING AND
DECLASSIFICATION

(40)

~~SECRET~~

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

DATE

SUBJECT

FILE NUMBER

A - and Belitskin case which convinced our 2 main bona fide because code (cover) names our people - alias - also ~~the~~ named was KGB officer who handling from Dept D - disinformation -

ZENKIN - after Bussell lecture KGB college '59 - A's analysis B case confirmed this what Z said

new info in Nos (Soviet) paper -

Belitskin info could have led to Blake

LAVINIA - Golienowski - A concluded KGB - UB op - whose info led to Blake - put together with Belitskin now known KGB - evidence KGB supporting reliability of Golienowski -

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

FORM 7-62 1954

~~SECRET~~
CLASSIFICATION

GROUP 1
EXCLUDED FROM AUTOMATIC
DOWNGRADING AND
DECLASSIFICATION

(40)

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

DATE

SUBJECT

FILE NUMBER

Raises questions why Golunowski started why WGB - possible implications to MI-5 - why motivations - why giving away Blake.

Really support to A theory Golunowski introduced by explanation.

JA - what I had to say certain members MI-5 believe Blake fits type agent who ideologically could be give away - no recruitments, no spitting - gave away names, codes, etc. I opposed our view when in prison - escape changed MI-5 Dick Ellis controller for Blake in Korea - DE German agent admits - no OSS liaison in NYC - DE controller Philby -

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

SUBJECT

FILE NUMBER

JA - Point our record - detailed assessment by British derogatory promotion - Philpotts in Paris - long statements - ops - conclusions Belitsky saw to make contact this call to SR - started Brits who turned down then switched to CIA.

What exact info Belitsky gave substance re Blake or lead to Blake - something known only to small group (scientist's traveller -)

NDS info not commensurate claims. he was position 60-61

✳

Johnson Case - Paris - Important in Give-away. Pouches

FCD would not need help from SED to open pouches - This strange - could be GILL aspect.

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD	DATE
SUBJECT	FILE NUMBER
<p>HOWARD case -</p> <p>John Disease Smith - Secret announcement interesting took place fall 67 - KGB worried if not informed about Nosenko -</p> <p>MIKE (audio) case -</p> <p>Question when NOS gave Sotie soap June 62 - when details - 64?</p> <p>JA -> Goltzinger info leaks to Dept May 62 ↳ gave enough to impact.</p> <p>Question how NOS could get detailed plan he had - Gribanov would know not lower level -</p> <p>PETROV - XXX</p> <p>Major info existed therefore basis given away by NOS</p> <p>Important into NOS example -</p> <p>Sudopov - "New Political Task of KGB"</p> <p>Bukharin - " " " " " 5ed"</p> <p>JA - one first if not 1st thing when defected would be NOS re Stalopin paper vital National Security -</p>	
OFFICE AND TITLE	SIGNATURE

FORM 7.62 1954

~~SECRET~~

GROUP 1
EXCLUDED FROM AUTOMATIC
DOWNGRADING AND
DECLASSIFICATION

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

DATE

SUBJECT

FILE NUMBER

Saw VAKHUSHEV Jan 18 1964 Paris -
V & Kovshuk accompanied Nixon -
no mention Dino Steyn -

Kovshuk - Audrey Case -

Possible Agent Gurb 53-54 in U.S.,
ref to Anatole's previous case
0 40% -

Query by Solie -

Facts Gurb + Nosenko closest friends
closer than Golitzyn + Gurb
add Churavov - two secrets -
Anatole good friend

Solie did Gurb talk about Nosenko - yes
Some -

Churavov recommended NOS, i.e.,
from American dept not from top
down - NOS knew what ask when
went to Kobulev

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

DATE

SUBJECT

FILE NUMBER

LYALIN -

59 technical of Director's
Reports 2nd CD

Electronic equipment recruitment - code clerk
letter re MIT research from source?
Backgrounds in Moscow - Poland 54-59

BAGRICHENOV may have been case officer
from US to London

Peckowski investigation -
irrelevant? if Prosecutor knows Pea -

Supported Viaduch
Maurice de Jean -

Dinosau - Vakrushev

SASHA aspect - did not report
KOPICKI - special status under Gribanov
he seemed knew - important - no details
56-59 - 60 A doesn't know where K was -

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

~~SECRET~~

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

SUBJECT

FILE NUMBER

SASHA — Nikolayev

Pictures travel Germany Kurlin — Nosukes

SASHA (Oplos) Jim Lardie
pictures from Europe up to A.


Victor Koslov — Case

Article about penetration CIA of KGB —
whose also — Pearson how came about
thing — ref by Nosukes —

Mamedova, Tamara —
analysis FBI reporting —

overlap similarities, NOS & G info —

Preis forward —

his case was allegedly, 

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

FORM 7-62 1954

~~SECRET~~
CLASSIFICATION

GROUP 1
EXCLUDED FROM AUTOMATIC
DOWNGRADING AND
DECLASSIFICATION

(40)

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

DATE
1/14/54

SUBJECT

FILE NUMBER

Secr with German names

Gregory now - possible contact
may be - working -

Morone (MORON)

If appeared. Strassberg bl. case
revised -

Kovshuk Doc 60. Ver. 61
2 cases cannot be connected -




info in 1954 -

no recruitment

60 recruitment but not explained -

45 recruits - ruled out -



OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

~~SECRET~~
~~SECRET~~

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

DATE

SUBJECT

FILE NUMBER

Taylor approached later outside —
NOS explanation —

Dweller —
most crucial point — see himself
not others —
Morse — Garbler

TAPE 3

Garbler

HORBALLY (HorBaly)

Popov case indication that KGB
learned he warned CIA he under
control. Clear up — penetration CIA —

KOVSHUK arrival — connected
Langelle — examine —

recruited old man Heasac
before Langelle — Kovshuk would work

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

in Wash.

FORM 7-62 1954

~~SECRET~~
CLASSIFICATION

GROUP 1
EXCLUDED FROM AUTOMATIC
DOWNGRADING AND
DECLASSIFICATION

(40)

~~SECRET~~

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

DATE

SUBJECT

FILE NUMBER


Trap re Koslov sleeping
drinking may be covered-up -

Will not analyze Cherepanov
papers -

dis (mis) information - major by
itself.

also support Nosenko -

ground work for new operations



Cherepanov leads of Section -

Harmstone paper - cited head of
Section Zhukov in 58 - aug -
worked against diplomats -
inconsistent - against
military - clear to Nosenko -

Analysis info not convincing
mission

Misinformation fits counter
intelligence

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

FORM 7-62 1954

~~SECRET~~

GROUP 1

EXCLUDED FROM AUTOMATIC
DOWNGRADING AND
DECLASSIFICATION

(40)

~~SECRET~~

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

DATE

SUBJECT

FILE NUMBER

On evidence no doubt
provocateur - secret

60-61 crucial chosen to
give him status access to
leads -

Reinvestigation suggestions
to come later -

CIA - code name 60-61
Plekovskiy case -
CIA complex 55 - to
Plekovskiy -

JA - may not want comment -

Oswald - nothing to state
First polygraph - I had heard
nothing before assassination

French leads - we
have not examined

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

FORM 7.52 1954

~~SECRET~~
CLASSIFIED

GROUP 1
EXCLUDED FROM AUTOMATIC
DOWNGRADING AND
DECLASSIFICATION

(40)

~~SECRET~~

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

DATE

SUBJECT

FILE NUMBER

De Jean French leads
David work 107 N -

Viaduct - British results
along lines French -

Motivation - not examined

Barghoun - case figured
prominently to come to our
attention

Coordinating Committee
FCD + SCD 1 - not exactly
Coordinating Section within
FCD - not 2 Directorates
mainly coordinating intelligence
and work against American
intelligence -

Not for purpose of coordinating
FCD + SCD influential
but -

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

FORM 7-62 1954

~~SECRET~~

GROUP 1
EXCLUDED FROM AUTOMATIC
DOWNGRADING AND
DECLASSIFICATION

(40)

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

DATE

SUBJECT

FILE NUMBER

main time came up was with Bureau
FBI re Sasha

French leads -

De Jean - ^{A says} partly confirmed
by Viaduk + Nosanko as

in interrogation - (compromised)

De Jean said he approached
& gave some info to Gritanov

Chalet said per A -

(A) → GORBUNOV (Gritanov)

giving away of De Jean -

DST says no recruitment
agreed no an agent

(Canadian case)

Rock Bottom - Canadian Club -
was leads for Blitzen lead -

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE



MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

DATE 16 January 1969

SUBJECT 60-61 Nosenko's position

FILE NUMBER

(Anatole Reviewing Solie Nosenko paper)

2 points of "misinformation" from Nosenko

1. He was not in American Section, 60-61 and he could not be Deputy Chief - (N says he was)
2. There were no recruitments of AMEMB personnel during this period.

If N is established as in American Section then point 2, i.e., Nosenko's claim, follows but this is not the case.

Dec. 60-Jan 61 - in offices V. Petrov's Section, Petrov told personnel he could not find Nosenko reports and that look for it.

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

V. C. E. - e l e i n r a

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

DATE

SUBJECT

FILE NUMBER

(2) 60-61 nosecco -

If Petrov not responsible for Embassy why would he have "mild" reports in his Section?
A overloaded him ask for reports.

A says he is sure that before his defection N would have no problem learning about recruitments and operations. Kribanov announced successes - rewards followed in few days - this known. Point A makes is that N would know - he could analyze who doing what and piece together with announcements & rewards to cases and targets.

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

FORM 7-52 1954

CLASSIFICATION:

GROUP 1
EXCLUDED FROM AUTOMATIC
DOWNGRADING AND
DECLASSIFICATION

(40)

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

DATE

15 January 1969

SUBJECT

SKVORTSOV - Storsberg Case
America House

FILE NUMBER

A says someone that SKVORTSOV could pose as French businessman. A says he knows S does not know enough French - few words - not enough cover - too risky. Questions Storsberg identification - question in A's mind. S also noted Storsberg and Morose identification and that Skvortsov used English and went to America House with Finnish party. A says cover would break easily.

In Vienna Skvortsov did not know French - A could be wrong, maybe Skvortsov started learning in Germany after '55.

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

C. S. Miller

FORM 7.62 1954

CLASSIFICATION

GROUP 1
EXCLUDED FROM AUTOMATIC
DOWNGRADING AND
DECLASSIFICATION

(40)

JFK ASSASSINATION SYSTEM
IDENTIFICATION FORM

AGENCY INFORMATION

AGENCY : CIA
RECORD NUMBER : 104-10534-10084
RECORD SERIES : JFK
AGENCY FILE NUMBER : NOSENKO RECORDS

DOCUMENT INFORMATION

ORIGINATOR : CIA
FROM : MILER.
TO :
TITLE : HANDWRITTEN NOTES ON MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD
FORMS:ANATOLE RE NOSENKO
DATE : 05/14/69
PAGES : 51
SUBJECTS : NOSENKO
ANATOLE
NBR

DOCUMENT TYPE : PAPER, TEXTUAL DOCUMENT
CLASSIFICATION : SECRET
RESTRICTIONS :
CURRENT STATUS : POSTPONED IN FULL
DATE OF LAST REVIEW : 02/02/01
OPENING CRITERIA :
COMMENTS : JFK-M-24:F44 2001.02.02.09:45:56:530084: NOT BELIEVED
RELEVANT (NBR). NOTES ARE DATED 15, 16 AND 17 JAN AND 14
MAY 1969.

[R] - ITEM IS RESTRICTED

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

DATE

14 May 69

SUBJECT

ANATOLE RE NOSSENKO

FILE NUMBER

c/c was given a copy of the following for delivery to Anatole today:

A. Memo for Director Security

"Solicitation Topics for Yuri Nosenko"

(A copy of the Draft was given to c/c on which I annotated final was dated 22 April.)

B. A carbon of the Memo for the Record dated 7 April 69, Subject: Anatole Re Nosenko with atts A B + C.

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

G. Scott Miller

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

DATE

16 JANUARY '69

SUBJECT

ANATOLE RE Solie NOSENKO PAPER

FILE NUMBER

A Questions if he stated in re
 his Dec '60 talk with Kovshuk
 that it was a military
 code clerk which was
 recruited — more likely
 he stated important
 recruitment code clerk
maybe military -

(Check ref in Solie paper - This
 probably DA-54 - info from
 FBI not transcript (?) Kovshuk
 in Dec 60)

OFFICE AND TITLE

V + e/c

SIGNATURE

~~SECRET~~

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

DATE

16 January '69

SUBJECT

60-61 Nosenko's position

FILE NUMBER

(Anatole Reviewing Solie Nosenko paper)

2 points of "misinformation" from Nosenko

1. He was not in American Section, 60-61 and he could not be Deputy Chief - (N says he was)
2. There were no recruitments of AmEMB personnel during this period.

If N is established as in American Section then point 2, i.e., Nosenko's claim, follows but this is not the case.

Dec 60 - Jan 61 - in office V. Petrov's Section. Petrov told personnel he could not find make reports AmEmb took for it

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

~~SECRET~~

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

DATE

SUBJECT

FILE NUMBER

(2) 60-61 noseuro -

If Petrov not responsible for Embassy why would he have "mike" report in his Section? A overheard him ask for reports.

A says he is sure that before his defection N would have no problem learning about recruitments and operations. Mikbarov announced successes - rewards followed in few days - this known. Point A makes is that N would know - he could analyze who doing what and piece together with announcements & rewards to cases and targets.

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

~~SECRET~~

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

DATE

15 January '69

SUBJECT

FILE NUMBER

Nosenko trip to Cuba - Nov - Dec 60

Anatole says only photographs will substantiate Nosenko, as Nikolaeov, did travel thru Amsterdam - RR to Berlin - name alone as traveller on manifests, travel control, etc. not substantiation.

Requests he been shown documentation to substantiate actually was Nosenko.

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

W. S. Miller

~~SECRET~~

GROUP 1
EXCLUDED FROM AUTOMATIC
DOWNGRADING AND
DECLASSIFICATION

~~SECRET~~

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

DATE

15 January '69

SUBJECT

Nosenko Attitude re Surfacing

FILE NUMBER

Anatole suggests consideration about asking N if he would agree to have true information published under his name, such as political info, sketch of Gibanov from what he has provided. This to be test see N's reaction - suggest this and see what he would say about writing article about Gibanov. Set stage see what might be done.

(U)
Solis opinion he would agree.

A had asked and been told by Solis that N feared for his safety with respect to surfacing or living openly.

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

U.S. Miller

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

DATE

15 Jan 69

SUBJECT

FILE NUMBER

Klippen - Gibsons relations -

Quatale says knows talk together re hunting or fishing -
Some thing re appointment in
Nosewood transcript re says
she knows not right.

Did not understand point!

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

G. Stiller

~~SECRET~~

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

DATE

15 January 69

SUBJECT

Nosenko Notes

FILE NUMBER

Anatole categorically states it would have been impossible for N to transfer any notes or papers with him when transferring to another Department, Check on Safes and material constant. A says if he had been told N kept notes in his apartment A would say "madness" but believable. He cannot accept transfer notes with N.

A questions N's notes dealing with military targeting. How would he have A says flatly military not under Kovstuk. Possible Petrov in section (sub) separate from Kovstuk.

Giorgi Zhukov - ? Demotion 58 A agrees. (ZHUKOV) Cherepanov papers show Chief Section. Need to check "C papers" re KOVSTUK status.

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

U.S. Huley

~~SECRET~~
CLASSIFICATION

GROUP 1
EXCLUDED FROM AUTOMATIC
DOWNGRADING AND
DECLASSIFICATION

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

DATE

15 January '69

SUBJECT

FILE NUMBER

CHEREPANOV write-up from British
(caption: alexsandr nikolayevich CHEREPANOV - 5 pages only)

Solic read. Copy to him today.
JA read.

Quatole

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

~~SECRET~~

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

DATE

15 Jan '69

SUBJECT

KOVSHUK - in H.S. - demotions.

FILE NUMBER

Anatole says that Kovshuk got in trouble while he was in the US on a mission. He was recalled and demoted. He was a SCD officer not FCD. He was only in US 10 months.

Anatole does not accept Nosenko's explanation of reason for Kovshuk's demotion.

Anatole says, replying to Solie, he does not know if K's problem in US was girls. Solie said N indicated was involved girls in US.

However, Anatole says recall not because of girls according this information but did indicate needs investigation. Relates to cases he studying.

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

F. S. Miller

~~SECRET~~

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

DATE

15 Jan 69

SUBJECT

FILE NUMBER

TAMARA MAMEDOVA

Anatole, noting in transcript N's statement Subj call, nor KGB suggests this he investigated. He is of opinion she might be KGB. Solie noted she traveled and active while in US - was in Washington.

A says he thinks she fits into case he studying - developing but in response to mild question which case said he had not previously mentioned but will when ready. A confirmed not case previously mentioned when Miles said he thought might be case to be reviewed.

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

U.S. Miles

~~SECRET~~

CLASSIFICATION

GROUP 1
EXCLUDED FROM AUTOMATIC
DOWNGRADING AND
DECLASSIFICATION

(40)

~~SECRET~~

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

DATE

15 January '69

SUBJECT

Nosenko - Churacov - Guk

FILE NUMBER

Avatale says N-C-G closest of friends, as would know all C & G ops & agents and vice versa.

Points: N could identify Varsol by name; would know C's agents.

A also commented that Guk in Suisse would have been targeted vs. Americans not concerned with frustrating Swiss police.

A in answer to JA question said he knew for fact that N-C-G closest friends - professional, social-talk about women, etc., and he had been present when N & C talking about operations using language, i.e., doubletalk, so he, A, did not know what they really talking about but indicating to A they intimate re operations.

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

W. S. Miller

~~SECRET~~

CLASSIFICATION

GROUP 1 EXCLUDED FROM AUTOMATIC DOWNGRADING AND DECLASSIFICATION

~~SECRET~~

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

DATE

15 January '69

SUBJECT

FILE NUMBER

Y. Nosenko Duties American Section

Quatole returned to point that he sees no substantiation or evidence that N was actually involved and working against Americans - in two years he should have been in "10 cases" as participant - Khibanov would not tolerate "planning" on part of experienced linguist. Even as a concession by A that N was "deputy" he would be directly involved - in contact. A notes Kovshuk, higher rank.

Kryaznev did not know language, Kosolopov and N should have worked and participated together.

Kovshuk and Churakov active - no cover.

Unbelievable he could stay 2 yrs without involvement and direct contact.

The response to Solie note re N approach to Kaysers at airport, which Solie noted Kaysers could not confirm, A says not confirmation - cover.

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

~~SECRET~~
CLASSIFICATION

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD


DATE

SUBJECT

FILE NUMBER

(2) N's Duties American Section

A very positive weak point in N's story is American Section duty - N can substantiate - no confirmation not normal - a reconstruction not actual roll at time.



OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

U. S. Miller

~~SECRET~~

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

DATE

15 Jan '69

SUBJECT

Y. NOSENKO

FILE NUMBER

ANATOLE emphasized point that Nosenko should have directly participated in approach to American Embassy personnel or been in social contact with Americans during the period Jan 60 - Dec 61 when he in Dept I Section I. A major point N's good language, experience, etc., according to A's knowledge, means that N should have followed American Section ops policy and been directly

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

DATE

SUBJECT

FILE NUMBER

involved. In reply to A's question Solie said only direct confrontation N had with American was in hallway when [redacted] pitched but [redacted] had not identified N. Solie said N had attended a (one?) 4th July celebration at AMEMB, Moscow.

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

W. E. Rice

~~SECRET~~

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

DATE

15 January '69

SUBJECT

Noseuko Bona Fides

FILE NUMBER

No doubt, not even 1% doubt, that
Noseuko is not a provocateur(is).
a statement Anatole reiterated
to J. Angleton, B. Solie and S. Miller.
Anatole's concern is to point
out the contradictions, major areas,
necessary to protect our security.

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

U. S. Miller

~~SECRET~~

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

DATE

17 Jan '69

SUBJECT

ANATOLE RE NOSENKO

FILE NUMBER

TAPE - 1

Anatole read thru several volumes of transcripts of Solie interviews with Masenko, the Cherepanov papers including the Russian and Solie's papers re Nosenko Lina files. He recorded the his comments.

Bouton - Cherepanov papers - met Bagley of the AWP Dept 5C Directorate. Didn't get file to review but it made clear to Bagley double aspect. Newspaper account made it clear to A same source as discussed with

Barley 1962. went to McCono 1964 rec'd file from Murphy re D Jones 64 - analysis provocative

Analysis limited to 60-61 KGB service background - no deep analysis of whole case. but notes misinformation, etc.

A position was - had to have all info he would/could give but want to hostile with no action. - puzzle

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

~~SECRET~~

DATE

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

SUBJECT

FILE NUMBER

Warned N re position - at time could not do research completely - latter agreement re interrogation to elicit entire story and that he (A) would review material and analyze - see what do.

Mr. Solie did good job - did what SB failed to do fills many gaps - abundant info - touches important aspects of N background - after analysis thinks many things to discuss and N can be really assessed as bona fide or provocateur on a mission.

Can state Conclusion: 8-9 vols of info Solie elicited basis (good) for Conclusion -

Final vol conclusion - analysis of evidence - mistakes made - analysis & conclusions not supported by previous vols of N's information.

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

~~SECRET~~

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

DATE

SUBJECT

FILE NUMBER

Nosenko type caliber defector from KGB
need to assess timing and meaning
account for what happened before
Penkovsky Case - relevant re
walk-in - agents -

Popov genuine agent in GRU -
in to re ops - agents - military - personnel
after Popov arrest within 1 1/2 yrs
another GRU walk-in - need
analyze Penkovsky light Popov
parallel - up-dated info. look at Penkovsky
from Popov

∴ Nosenko background against what
went before - difference Penk 2 yrs
key to Penkovsky was missile info
which took more than year to prepare
Penk to Rochet School 59 - gave him basis
similar to Nosenko what before

Golitsyn came Dec 61 - info re KGB
structure - major reorganization, new tasks
personnel, operations, agents - some
FCD + some SCD - C info indicated
some recruitments preparation AMEMB Moscow

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

~~SECRET~~

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

DATE

SUBJECT

FILE NUMBER

6 months after Golitsyn - Nosenko
contacts CIA in Geneva. Later here vast
info KGB, structure, personnel, operations -
Speaks impressively freely - just like
Penkovskiy - How can you question
bond fidel because he gave freely -
Cooperation not criteria to assess
defector - if defector respects himself
he may not cooperate all time.

The more cooperative the more suspect
because he has to tell story - do mission
Free response does not impress A -

If Popov gave vast info then Penkovskiy
had green light - parallel to Golitsyn.

If KGB knows that Golitsyn made SCD
info available to CIA then Nosenko gets
green light - difference in detail.

★ 2nd rule assessments - background -
Personal = pre-service bio = character = ops/professional/bio
not speak about Penkovskiy - speak re Nosenko

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

I Bio pre-KGB - not important dwell on this
 he Nosenko - son of minister - no question against
 Analyse why SB concluded not N, etc.
 important See if any connection to penetration
 of CIA - not explained if hostile interrogation
 mistake or deliberate but was warning to N
 SB can make mistake or calculated -
~~Part done in~~ If CIA accepted decision
 (which A had made) that N should move
 See if decision results not getting dis info.

II Personal Character - different opinions
 rather not discuss - two sides - can be
 important but not major - nonsense re
 N's bragging - boasting - Boasting not
 that much key - exaggerated - If
 decides bona fide then important but
 if still questioning then look differently -
 Open question -

III Service in KGB - attendance
 details of service accurate as given to CIA

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

DATE

SUBJECT

FILE NUMBER

If he is not accurate in service and years if different than factual & significantly lacking facts operationally, then we cannot say as was done in analysis that promotions, salaries, etc, acceptable on basis of speculation. Examine N info vs other evidence - cannot discount evidence if contrary what he says.

1 Dept 1 Section Dec 60 - Jan 62 (61. ^{Dec} importance is coincides last 2 (A says) yrs of G - important info.

Not true according to G's - G knows for fact N did not serve I I G told Bayley 62 - among list he saw Noseuko name - G did not pinpoint N - but said list wrong because G knew N not in American Section.

Based on fact - not theory conventional way people thought this still valid - still fact.

Crucial

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

~~SECRET~~

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

DATE

SUBJECT

FILE NUMBER

A. Important to his mission but in this Dept. to give authoritative grounds speak about ops vs AmEmb in this period.

B. Evidence against N

Here A speculate - maybe G tested to see if he knew facts from N work in T.I. See first reaction - check about penetration - G did not react as they might have expected, Only said wanted accurate info - did not exclude disinformation.

what is false about background is that he worked in T.I. and he held position Deputy - false as fact - 59 position abolished. In 60 was there and no N -

GRYAZNOV was Deputy, T.I. in '59 - when deputy abolished he became Senior Case Officer - if there were deputies would be GRYAZNOV or someone in T.I. not newcomer

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE R. N.

~~SECRET~~

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

DATE

SUBJECT

FILE NUMBER

Assessment of info to judge sincerity -
 two types: Operational and political (policy - connection
 KGB in gov't) 1959 Sholepin - conf - etc
 He has provided VAST info KGB personnel,
 Agents & operations - what significance
 875 KGB officers (Soviet list -
 probably 2000) 2000 + 300 agents
 250 current FCD + SED officers

(Tape off - Zechin - Mikhail
 Serebrenko photo, Brussels - connection Belitskiy
 not Yuri - lecture KGB volume)

Assessment of info - repeat identities KGB
 Pavlovskiy died this -
 Golitsyn did even less -
 Substance - what this means -
 How many known CIA or others services
 subtract this from N's figures -
~~Sub~~ minus retirees - teachers -
 estimate ops value remaining -
 extent (what he gave) info about each

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

~~SECRET~~
CLASSIFICATION

GROUP 1
EXCLUDED FROM AUTOMATIC
DOWNGRADING AND
DECLASSIFICATION

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

DATE

SUBJECT

FILE NUMBER

how does he characterize them -
categorize them - just want - nothing to do,

TAKCHIANOV travelling - suspicious

How N's info fits into what G told ^{me} personnel
Necessary reduce N info vs what G's identification

Not analysis - as in paper - explain which over
Bureau - how explain confirmed other sources?

Analysis of what 300 agents mean -

may be very superficial - lacking significance of
agents - 100 3rd countries - some cases leads not agents
Agents in tourist field - ? of value.

G says only 5% tourists cooperative per KGB policy

How many real agents did he identify?

- Johnson defense ministry pouches

Analyze overall - then even particular cases

Vassall - analyze in depth

Operations - value & significance

Notes in Embassy - Soviet mistake
means American -

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

DATE

SUBJECT

FILE NUMBER

On record analyze later that he identified Belitskiy -

Important his info allegedly Soviet intel reorganized in '62

SUSLOV + VAKRUSHEV leads to recruitment - what significance - analyze - Solie questions if names S+V fed of the Nos volunteers - (check this important re CIA penetration in SB) Solie hazards guess Nos did not volunteer names.

Coincide strange example same time as defection & gives evidence likes to drink. Vakrushev's actions supported validity Nos' statement.

Value of his political info - only one point mentioned (by Solie) O.S.W.A.L.D. -

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

SUBJECT

FILE NUMBER

If genuine defector would have immediately spoke about KGB policies - May '59 meeting - Shelepin app't - obligatory read report. did not come - only relevant was new task KGB -

RHMANTSEV - shot in '59 for contact with Americans - tried to give secret report of Shelepin - ORDER - reports everyone read - SCD didn't explain how Rumantsev exposed - who agent, who exposed - Sokolovskii

Judgements - errors, inconsistencies - omissions conclusions info

JA 62-64
one fact report from SR, was info re May 59 - Shelepin to see if defector would disclose - important

McCone - Khrushchev dismissal act 64
Co explained -

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

~~SECRET~~

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

DATE

SUBJECT

FILE NUMBER

Tourist info discredit CIA - FBI
3rd country efficiency - very meager
results from extensive investigations

67 - Analysis - evidence NOS not bona fide
defector but sent special mission

1st - Mistake made intercept NOS by one
man - wider experience - specialists -
special assessors - impossible 1 man

2nd Dissemination question - can be no
broad dissemination - mistake to send
all NOS info to FBI & 3rd countries -
before giving all this work should be
no question valid - only individual cases

3rd - question of efficiency of polygraph
in general -

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

FORM 7.62 1954

CLASS

~~SECRET~~

GROUP 1
EXCLUDED FROM AUTOMATIC
DOWNGRADING AND
DECLASSIFICATION

(40)

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

DATE

SUBJECT

FILE NUMBER

Major points when read vols of info -

1. Info re SCD agents in American Embassy
53-64 -

Andrey - identified as Smith repair codes -
Howard -

NDS should have 5x2 if not more.

Annual reports Dept - Directories - rewards.
SCD work open - FCD tables to talk -

Churavov, Guk, Koushuk

2. Factual basis disagreement re success

vs AmEmb - Biggest Am Dept SCD success 54-55-56
case completed. no details - new info.

success explains promotion Col* } Kurbentaukov Confession
NOT Andrey - (dismissed) } Kurbentauka -
GIBATEKHA

KGB college text re KGB Agent. 1st time General theoretical
discussion about definition of agent - book about agent.
invited * to give right definition

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

DATE

SUBJECT

FILE NUMBER

3. G not able make research re who in re Col* recruited 54-56 - areas look a) Stalinsgrad op number 55 - one ³ officers - points this way help analyze all aspects b) recruited Comb-high level c) someone CIA That period - or code close with big production -

4. Indication some penetration AmEmb by 57 - may be same or not - which was reactivated by Kovshuk in Wash - leaving aside ANDREY -

mentioned he (Kovshuk) slept with agent in D.C + Drinking - woman agent in State or agent sent from Moscow -

Venerical (venerekal) disease

^{FBI} (VALONOVA) actress w/ Kovshuk - Inge LAPUHOV (P)

(Reviews ^{FBI} surveillance reports - females -) doesn't exclude or reject Andrey - could be

coincidence date Kovshuk & Kungelle return Moscow.

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

SUBJECT

FILE NUMBER

(check tape. A told us
Langelle went known in
advance he to handle one
agent — when told — who — etc
for JA)
★★

ZHUKOV was military field -
60 Dec Zhukov still in 1st Dep't Mil.

5,5859 — important recruitment -
analyzing Popov case -
areas Berlin Paris Bern (Swiss) Moscow
re CIA.

read Cherepanov papers

BOWDEN

WINTERS 2

Langelle 1 sequence

DuVacki 3

Popov not compromised Winters letter.

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

SUBJECT Break in tape telephone call

FILE NUMBER Langelle Nos 541

1 Meaning to recruit him to leave - every CIA man

needed revenge for Popov - move against those in their hands - (This needs push against 53-54 compromise theory.)

KOTOV from Vienna - went returned to Berlin in 58

High level penetration CIA not solved

DR Surveillance (for) of Langelle, significant paper to read - per A's info

6. Significant penetration 60-61 which now controversial - C vs Nos - comparison -

★ Crucial question - do you accept what Nos says - Deputy position, etc. requires reanalysis. Way to resolve controversy / conflict reanalyze.

Investigate re penetration

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

SUBJECT

FILE NUMBER

7. Kosol Airport trip to Helsinki -
controversy - direct opposite dates
names, etc - supported
independent info not favoring NOS -
(double-check - significance Sofia?)

8. Mission not to place NOS in states but
to confuse & mislead after defection
He influenced investigation -

Completely inaccurate he worked
Dept I / Section

Absolute statement he was not
and not Deputy - a fact -

TAPE II = □ - 1

9. Code Clerk's case
need to be reexamined -

NOS June 62 2 pieces
Belitskiy
Vassall

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

~~SECRET~~

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

DATE

SUBJECT

FILE NUMBER

Vassall info given by Golitzyn in
April 62 -
Enough from Golitzyn so that
Vassall case would be solved
irrespective of NBS and UFA -
memo to CIA -

(JA - when came Geneva 1st time
he wanted not to go out with
British girl because of
penetration BIS - doubts to
CIA about security of Brit
basis & leads)

Cannot accept 6 assets too short
Solic says not 6 only 3
Assets but can you discount
important when contact made
JA - contact at point of departure
from Geneva - only few meetings

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

FORM
7-62 1954

~~SECRET~~

GROUP 1
EXCLUDED FROM AUTOMATIC
DOWNGRADING AND
DECLASSIFICATION

(40)

~~SECRET~~

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

DATE

SUBJECT

FILE NUMBER

JA - and Belitskiy case which convinced our 2 main beneficiaries because code (cover) names our people - alias - also ~~the~~ named was KGB officer who handling from Dept D - disinformation -

ZENKIN - after Bussell lecture KGB college '59 - A's analysis B case confirmed this what Z said

new info in Nos (Soviet) paper - :

Belitskiy info could have led to Blake

LAVINIA - Golienowski - A concluded KGB - UB op - whose info led to Blake - put together with Belitskiy now known KGB - evidence KGB supporting reliability of Golienowski -

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

DATE

SUBJECT

FILE NUMBER

Raises questions why Golunewski started why WGB - possible implication to MI-5 - why motivations - why giving away Blake.

Relay support to A theory Golunewski introduced by calculation.

JA - what I had to say certain members MI-5 believe Blake fits type agent who ideologically could be give away - no recruitments, no spitting - Give away turns, aches, etc. Imposed own view when in prison - escape changed MI-5 Dick Ellis controller for Blake in Korea - DE German agent admits - no 1855 liaison in NYC - DE controller Philby -

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

DATE

SUBJECT

FILE NUMBER

JA - Point our record - detailed assessment by British derogatory provocat on - Philpotts in Paris - Inia. statements - ops - concluding Belitzky saw to make contact This saw to SR - started Brits who turned down then switched to CIA.

What exact info Belitzky gave substance re Blake or lead to Blake - something known only to small group (Scientist's traveller -)

NDS info not commensurate claims he was position 60-61

Johnson Case - Paris -

Important or Give-away.

Pouches

FCD would not need help from SED to open pouches - This strange - Could be GRU agent.

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

DATE

SUBJECT

FILE NUMBER

HOWARD case -

John Disease Smith - Secret announcement
interesting took place fall 67 - KGB
worried - if not informed about NOS work -

MIKE (audio) case -

Question when NOS gave Solie copy
June 62 - when details - 64?

JA -> Gorbachev info Mike to Dept May 62
-> gave enough to impact.

Question how NOS could get detailed plan
he had - Gorbachev would know not
lower level -

PETROV - ~~XXXX~~

Major info existed therefore basis given away by NOS

Important info NOS available -

Stalin - "New Political Tenth of KGB"

Gorbachev - " " " " " 500"

JA - one first if not 1st thing when defected
would be NOS re Stalin paper vital
National Security -

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

~~SECRET~~

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

DATE

SUBJECT

FILE NUMBER

Saw VAKRUSHEV Jan 18 1964 Paris -
V & Kovshuk accompanied Nixon -
no mention Dimitriev -

Kovshuk - Audrey Case -

Possible agent Gurb 53-54 in U.S.,
ref to Audrey's previous case
40% -

Query by Solie -

Facts Gurb + Nosenko closest friends
closer than Golitzyn + Gurb
add Churavov - has secrets -
Audrey good friend

Solie did Gurb talk about Nosenko - yes
Some -

Churavov recommended NOS, i.e.,
from American dept not from top
down - NOS knew what ask when
went to Kobulev.

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

DATE

SUBJECT

FILE NUMBER

LYALIN -

59 technical of Directorate -
Deputy 2nd CD

electronic equipment recruitment - code clerks,
letter re Air research from source?
Backgrounds in Moscow - Poland 57-59

BAGRICHEN / may have been case officer
from US to London -

Peckoskiy investigation -
irrelevant? if provocateur knows Pen -

Supported Viaduc
Maurice de Jean -

Dinosaur - Vakhrenko

SASHA aspect - did not report
KOPICKI - special status under Gribanov
he should know - important - no details
56-59 - 60 A does not know where K was -

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

~~SECRET~~

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

FILE NUMBER

SUBJECT

SASHA — Nikolayev

Pictures travel Germany Kurlin — Nosovs

SASHA (color) Jim Landin
pictures from Europe up to A.


Victor Koslov — CIA —

Article about penetration CIA of KGB —
whose also — Pearson how came about
thing — ref by Nosovs —

Mamedova Tamara —
analysis FBI reporting —

overlap similarities NOS & G info —

Preis forward —

his case was allegedly, 

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

~~SECRET~~

GROUP 1
EXCLUDED FROM AUTOMATIC
DOWNGRADING AND
DECLASSIFICATION

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

DATE

SUBJECT

FILE NUMBER

See with Gammare name

Cravens - possible contact
may be - Rosenberg -

Morone (Morone)

If appears. Rosenberg bl case
revised -

Koushuk Dec 60 - Jan 61
2 cases cannot be connected -

~~REDACTED~~ info in 1959 -
no recruitment

60 recruitment Sub not explained -

45 minutes - Miller out -

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

~~SECRET~~

DATE

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

SUBJECT

FILE NUMBER

Taylor approached later outside -
NOS explanation -

Dweller -
most crucial point - see himself
not others - 1
more - GARBLER

TAPE 3

Garbler

HORBALLY (HorBaly)

Popov case indication that KGB
learned he warned CIA he under
control. Clear up - penetration CIA -

KOVSHUK arrival - connected
Langelle - examine -

Recruited CIA man Moscow
before Langelle. Kovshuk would work

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

in Wash.

~~SECRET~~
CLASSIFICATION

GROUP 1
EXCLUDED FROM AUTOMATIC
DOWNGRADING AND
DECLASSIFICATION

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD


SUBJECT

FILE NUMBER

Trip re Kozshuk sleeping
drinking may be covered-up -

Will not analyze Cherepanov
papers -
dis (mis) information - major by
itself.

also support Nosenko -
ground work for new operations

 cherepanov head of Section -

Harmstone paper - cited head of
Section ZHUKOV in 58 - aug -
worked against diplomats -
inconsistent - against
military - clear to Nosenko -

Analysis info not convincing
mission

Misinformation fits counter
intelligence

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

~~SECRET~~

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

DATE

SUBJECT

FILE NUMBER

On evidence no doubt
provocateur - secret

60-61 crucial chosen to
give him status access to
leads -

Reinvestigation suggestions
to come later -

CIA - code man 60-61

Penkovskiy case -

CIA complex 55 - to

Penkovskiy -

JA - may not want comment -

Oswald - nothing to state
First polygraph - had heard
nothing before assassination

French leads - we
have not examined

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

~~SECRET~~

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

DATE

SUBJECT

FILE NUMBER

De Jean French loads
don't work 107 N-

Viaduct - British results
along lines French -

Motivation - not spanned

Barghoun - case figured
prominently to come to our
attention

Coordinating Committee
FCD & SCD 1 - not exactly
Coordinating Section within
FCD - not 2 Directorates
mainly coordinating intelligence
and work against American
intelligence -

Not for purpose of coordinating
FCD & SCD influential
but -

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

~~SECRET~~GROUP 1
EXCLUDED FROM AUTOMATIC
DOWNGRADING AND
DECLASSIFICATION

~~SECRET~~

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

DATE

SUBJECT

FILE NUMBER

main time came up was with Bureau
FBI re Sasha

French leads -

De Jean - ^{A says} partly confirmed
by Viaduct + Nosenko as

in interrogation - (compromised)

De Jean said he approached
& gave some info to Gritanov

Chalet said per A -

(JA) → Gorbunov (Gritanov)

Giving away of De Jean -

DST says no recruitment
agreed no an agent

(Canadian Case) Rock Bottom - Canadian Club -
nos leads fit Politzer lead -

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

~~SECRET~~



MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

DATE 16 January '69

SUBJECT 60-61 Nosenko's position

FILE NUMBER

(Anatole Reviewing Solie Nosenko paper)

2 points of "misinformation" from Nosenko

1. He was not in American Section, 60-61 and he could not be Deputy Chief - (N says he was)
2. There were no recruitments of AMEMB personnel during this period.

If N is established as in American Section then point 2, i.e., Nosenko's claim, follows but this is not the case.

Dec. 60 - Jan 61 - in offices V. Petrov's Section, Petrov told personnel he could not find Nosenko reports and they look for it.

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

V. eler - elc192A

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

DATE

SUBJECT

(2) 60-61 noseuko -

FILE NUMBER

If Petrov not responsible for Embassy why would he have "miked" reports in his Section?
A overheard him ask for reports.

A says he is sure that before his defection N would have no problem learning about recruitments and operations. Embassy announced successes - rewards followed in few days - this known. Point A makes is that N would know - he could analyze who doing what and piece together with announcements & rewards to cases and targets.

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

DATE

15 January 69

SUBJECT

FILE NUMBER

SKVORTSOV - Storsberg Case
America House

A says nowhere that SKVORTSOV could pose as French businessman. A says he knows S does not know enough French - few words - not enough cover - too risky. Questions Storsberg identification - question in A's mind. Solis mistak Storsberg and Morone identification and that SKVortsov used English and went to America House with Finnish parties. A says cover would break easily.

In Vienna SKVortsov did not know French - A could be wrong - maybe SKVortsov started learning in Germany after '55.

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

W. S. Miller