ETES DIET ( Anuship/

MEMORANDUM FOR: Chief, WHD for Caba

SUBJECT

Espinosa Allegations

- Sensitivity: Regardless of accuracy, they
  - present a problem because of their having been presented to other government agencies;
  - in view of matters touching on U.S. security, are delicate and could have unfavorable repercussions if repeated to newsmen by the complainant or his associates (whose independent, previous threats were of greater potential embarrassment);
  - C. affect the reputations and the security of our personnel at [
- Action: In view of the foregoing, the allegations
  - A. should be put in proper perspective 1.e., not ignored because of the potential embarrassment but considered in the light of what we already know (Almost all of them are repetitions of previous charges and reports, known well to

deadquarters officers concerned with the ANLASH group, whose members' reliability, reputations and good faith are questionable. Two of the charges - about Earle and and about AMVHIP-1 and CARRILLO - are new.);

- should be treated so as to protect us against any charge of laxity and so as to establish the facts but, at the same time, treated so as to avoid attributing to them more importance than they deserve;
- should be kept in mind as examples of other charges which may be handled about and reported by the AMLASH group to other governmental agencies or to other governments or to news media (In the last connection recall the implied threat of publishing ODYOKE responsibility, as reported previously by ]3716,IN 38634.);



- D. should be examined together with all the other available reports so that we may
  - (1) clarify our own objectives and wishes with respect to all the AMLASH group (and this includes AMWHIP);
  - (2) sort out which people, if any, are worth our time in the future;
  - (3) take appropriate measures, including interrogation and polygraphing, to clarify doubts and then, when necessary, terminate those who are working for us but are not worthwhile;
  - (4) tighten up our own operational and security procedures in connection with the AMLASH group.
- A. The AMLASH conspiracy As detailed by 3716 (IN 28634) on 6 April 1965, QUSPORT-1 reporting on a meeting of the AMLASH group, including AMWHIP-1 mentioned the following significant points:
- (1) KUBARK was criticized for "fooling around for years" without helping and for jeopardizing the operation;
- (2) the group was to be prepared to denounce ODYOKE as responsible if the operation fails and believed that the resulting scandal would make the Bay of Pigs seem insignificant; and
- (3) AMLASH-3 was in touch with Cuban Intelligence as established by coded messages which he exhibited.

As	repor	ted by	y153:	KI) S	82939)	on 4	June	1965,	based	on
nee	tings	with	ESPINOSA,	the	problem	seen	by 🗌	ii	s that	

EYES ONLY

"the AMLASH circle is wide and each new friend of whom we learn seems to have knowledge of the plan." I believe that the problem is a more serious and basic one. As indicated in paragraph 3 of the present memorandum, Fidel CASTRO himself reportedly knew as far back as 1962 that the group was plotting against him and, knowing it, enlisted its support. Hence we cannot rule out the possibility of provocation. Assassination, obviously, is a dangerous game, not merely to the plotters in a physical sense, but to a sponsoring government which may suffer severe political repercussions at home and abroad if its involvement is made known. In the instant case, the risks of exposure of the ODYOKE hand would appear high, whether there is a provocation or not. Considering the individuals who are involved directly, their contacts with KUBARK officers, and their reported plan to expose ODYOKE, persisting in the plan could be highly embarrassing to KUBARK. (This is even more the case now that ESPINOSA has talked to ODENCY and ODURGE, although fortunately the tenor of his complaint was that KUBARK had not given adequate support to the plan.)

B. The contacts at and the matters affecting
the - The "recruitment" of had been the
subject of an exchange of cables between and Headquarters
and of discussions at Headquarters between MH/C and MH (See
-1971 (IN 66557) and DIR-10955). The annoying thing in the
this connection now is that ESPINOSA has talked about it to
ODENVI and ODURGE and the danger that, if he is indiscreet,
his story about this (and the other matters) could reach some
curious newspaperman. (In this connection, it appears that the
questionable decision to put in touch with the AMIASH
group was made without the knowledge of STOCKWOOD.) ESPINOSA
did not report any criticism of the KUBARKers but it
is evident that the AMIASH group knows more about them than
we might wish. What is new and of concern from ESPINOSA about
matters is his story of AMWHIP 1 and UNSMAFU-19
dealings. The questions raised about AMWHIP-1 are of mutual
concern also to Headquarters which has been running him and
which he has visited often. (On the occasion of my
previous trip on the QUHOPS-1 case, GROWERY had voiced
his doubts about the whole group and specifically about AMWHIP-1
and his connection with UNSNAFU-19.) At issue are two basic
points, the knowledge on the part of UNSNATU-19 about KUBARK's
connection with AMWHIP-1 and the charge that AMWHIP-1 has been
defrauding KUBARK in the jewelry transaction. Also to be kept
in mind is the fact that ODENVY has an office which
well might have been informed of the allegations and which might
have been asked for comments - although CDEMYY policy always
has been to stay away from any investigation of other government
agencies unless specifically directed by the Attorney General
to investigate.

VARIANTE PORTE

-4-

	C. Criticism of the Criticism of
•	the by the AMLASH group is not new. AMLASH-2
	and -3 were and wanted direct contact, but the
	Station has had reservations about seeing them. The Station has
	been involved indirectly because of reporting by its sources
	about and involvement of Station targets with both the AMIASH
	and AMWORLD operations (both handled from Headquarters).
	(See2982 (IN 72256) and2998 (IN 73094) (both RYBAT).)
	Meetings among QUSPORT, QUSWIFT-1, AMWHIP-1 and AMLASH figures
	produced conflicting reports which previously were discussed at
	and at Headquarters. Insofar as GROWERY himself is
	concerned, it must be kept in mind that he is a natural target
	on whom the AMLASH group would be inclined to focus its com-
	plaints. With regard to the specific complaint about
	GROWERY has identified her as QUSWIFT-7 and has reported that
	no staffer from the Station ever had contact with her.

- 4. Further involvement of ESPINCSA: On 10 June ODENVY notified KUBARK at New York that AMLASH-2 had telephoned ESPINOSA to ask whether he had succeeded in contacting "the proper people" 1.0., responsible KUBARK representatives and to request notice of the resulting arrangements.
- 5. AMWHIP-1: Our plan calls for meeting him next week to obtain PRQ information and to obtain clarification of the roles played by those involved with him in the AMLASH operation. His dealings with UNSNATU-19 also will be covered. Following this, an LCFLUTTER examination is planned. This may help to determine whether he has been truthful in his reporting.

Harold F. Swenson WH/C/SP

