This document is made available through the declassification efforts and research of John Greenewald, Jr., creator of:

The Black Vault



The Black Vault is the largest online Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) document clearinghouse in the world. The research efforts here are responsible for the declassification of hundreds of thousands of pages released by the U.S. Government & Military.

Discover the Truth at: http://www.theblackvault.com

104-10088-10137

REPRODUCTION PROMBITED

CHU CAYS
TENDOS

Anulip/1

MEMORANDUM FOR: Chief, WHD for Cuba

SUBJECT

: Espinosa Allegations

1. Sensitivity: Regardless of accuracy, they

A. present a problem because of their having been presented to other government agencies;

B. in view of matters touching on U.S. security, are delicate and could have unfavorable repercussions of repeated to newsmen by the complainant or his associates (whose independent, previous threats were of greater potential embarrassment);

- C. affect the reputations and the security of our personnel at Madrid and Paris.
- 2. Action: In view of the foregoing, the allegations
 - A. should be put in proper perspective i.e., not ignored because of the potential embarrassment but considered in the light of what we already know (Almost all of them are repetitions of previous charges and reports, known well to both the Madrid and Paris stations and to Headquarters officers concerned with the ANLASH group, whose members' reliability, reputations and good faith are questionable. Two of the charges about and "Tota" and about ANVHIP-1 and CARRILLO are new.);
 - B. should be treated so as to protect us against any charge of laxity and so as to establish the facty but, at the same time, treated so as to avoid attributing to them more importance than they deserve;
 - C. should be kept in mind as examples of other charges which may be landied about and reported by the AMLASH group to other governmental agencies or to other governments or to news media (In the last connection recall the implied threat of publishing ONYOUT responsibility, as reported previously by 3716, IN 38634.);

indik Silah

0003666

0003865

REPRODUCTION
PROHIBITED

PAGE 4 of 119

- (1) clarify our own objectives and wishes with respect to all the AMLASH group (and this includes AMWHIP);
- (2) sort out which people, if any, are worth our time in the future;
- (3) take appropriate measures, including interrogation and polygraphing, to clarify doubts and then, when necessary, terminate those who are working for us but are not worthshile;
- (4) tighten up our own operational and security procedures in connection with the AMLASH group.
- 3. Preliminary Analysis: As pointed out in a preliminary analysis of the AMLASH complex. made in March 1965 when I was preparing for travel to an connection with the QUHOPS-I case: "...There is no convincing evidence that the group is working either for or against the regime of Fidel CASTRO. Reports on their contacts with us and their discussions among themselves are at variance. In 1962 Fidel CASTRO, reportedly knowing that they were plotting against him, talked to AMLASH-1 and seven members of his group to enlist their support against Anibal ESCALANTE Dellunde and the communists in Cuba. Possibly they are playing both ends against the middle. They certainly have been and are in contact with the two ends." The following comments are keyed to matters raised by ESPINOSA:
- A. The AMLASH conspiracy As detailed by 716 (IN 28634) on 6 April 1965, QUSPORT-1 reporting on a meeting of the AMLASH group, including AMMHIP-1 mentioned the following significant points:
- (1) KUBARK was criticized for "fooling around for years" without helping and for jeopardizing the operation;
- (2) the group was to be prepared to denounce, ODYOKE as responsible if the operation fails and believed that the resulting scandal would make the Bay of Pigs seem insignificant; and
- (3) AMLASH-3 was in touch with Cuban Intelligence as established by coded messages which he exhibited.

1	•		
As reported by	1532 (IN 82939) .	on 4 J	une 1965. Based or
	PINOSA, the problem:		

ys an

REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED

0003866

0003667

PAGE 5 of 119

REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED



"the AMLASH circle is wide and each new friend of whom we seems to have knowledge of the plan." I believe that the problem is a more serious and basic one. As indicated in paragraph 3 of the present memorandum, Fidel WASTRO himself reportedly knew as far back as 1962 that the group was plotting against him and, knowing it, enlisted its support. cannot rule out the possibility of provocation. Assassination, obviously, is a dangerous game, not merely to the plotters in a physical sense, but to a sponsoring government which may suffer severe political repercussions at home and abroad if its involvement is made known. In the instant case, the risks of exposure of the ODYOKE hand would appear high, whether there is a provocation or not. Considering the individuals who are involved directly, their contacts with KUBARK officers, and their reported plan to expose ODYOKE, persisting in the plan could be highly embarrassing to KUBARK. (This is even more the case now that ESPINOSA has talked to ODENVY and ODURGE, although fortunately the tenor of his complaint was that KUBARK had not given adequate support to the plan.)

B. The contacts at and the matters affecting
the tation - The "recruitment" of Maureen had been the
subject of an exchange of cables between and Meadquarters
and of discussions at Headquarters between and WE (See
1071 (IN 66557) and DIR-10955). The ammoying thing in
this connection now is that ESPINOSA has talked about it to
ODENVY and ODURGE and the danger that, if he is indiscreet,
his story about this (and the other matters) could reach some
curious newspaperman. (In this connection, it appears that the
questionable decision to put Maureen in touch with the AMLASH
group was made without the knowledge of STOCKNOOn > Propriessa
did not report any criticism of the KUBARKers at but it
is evident that the AMLASH group knows more about them than
we might wish. What is new and of concern from MSPINOSA about
matters i is his story of AMVHIP 1 and CASMARU-19 dealings. The questions raised about AMVHIP-1 are of mutual
concernalso to Headquarters which has been running him and
which he has visited often. (On the occasion of my
previous trip to onethe QUHOPS-1 case, GROWERY had voiced
his doubts about the whole group and specifically about AMWHIP-I
and his connection with (UNSNATU-19.) At issue are two basic
points, the knowledge on the part of UNSWAFU-19 about KUBARK's
connection with ANVHIP-1 and the charge that ANVHIP-1 has been
defrauding KUBARK in the jewelry transaction. Also to be kept
in mind is the fact that ODENYY has an office which
well might have been informed of the allegations and which might
have been asked for comments - although CDEMYY policy always
has been to stay away from any investigation of other government
agencies unless specifically directed by the Attorney General
to investigate.

EVEN CARY

REPRODUCTION
PROHIBITED

0003867

0003668

PAGE	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	6	of	1	<u>19</u>
COPY	N0.				

REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED

SEE SAL

-41-

C Criticism of the Station - Criticism of the Station by the AMLASH group is not new, AMLASH-2 and -3 were in the AMLASH group is not new, AMLASH-2 and -3 were in the AMLASH group is not new, AMLASH-2 and -3 were in the AMLASH group is not new, AMLASH-2 and amoly and involvement at about seeing them. The Station has been involved indirectly because of reporting by its sources about and involvement of Station targets with both the AMLASH and AMMORLD operations (both handled from Headquarters).

(Se 1982 (IN 72256) an 2998 (IN 73094) (both RYEAT).) Meetings among QUSPORT, QUSWINT-1, AMMHIP-1 and AMLASH figures produced conflicting reports which previously were discussed at at headquarters. Insofar as GROWERY himself is d, it must be kept in mind that he is a natural target on whom the AMLASH group would be inclined to focus its com-

d, it must be kept in mind that he is a natural target on whom the AMLASH group would be inclined to focus its complaints. With regard to the specific complaint about "Tota", GROWERY has identified her as QUEWITT-7 and has reported that no staffer from the Station ever had contact with her.

- 4. Further involvement of ESPINCSA: On 10 June ODENVY notified KUBARK at New York that AMLASH-2 had telephoned ESPINOSA to ask whether he had succeeded in contacting "the proper people" i.e., responsible KUBARK representatives and to request notice of the resulting arrangements.
- 5. ANWHIP-1: Our plan calls for meeting him next week to obtain PRQ information and to obtain clarification of the roles played by those involved with him in the AMLASH operation. His dealings with UNSNAFU-19 also will be covered. Following this, an LCFLUTTER examination is planned. This may help to determine whether he has been truthful in his reporting.

Harold F. Swenson WH/C/SP

Ver dell Sente

REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED

0003868

0003669

PAGE 7 of 119