

This document is made available through the declassification efforts
and research of John Greenewald, Jr., creator of:

The Black Vault



The Black Vault is the largest online Freedom of Information Act (FOIA)
document clearinghouse in the world. The research efforts here are
responsible for the declassification of hundreds of thousands of pages
released by the U.S. Government & Military.

Discover the Truth at: <http://www.theblackvault.com>

XAAZ-17622 of 2 July. Helms & Rankin. Reports details of alleged control over CBO in his office by Gov. Carter. Rankin letters: Y47848. Gives opinion that letters may have should be made in Washington but really Y. or that if comes here turned into mex. ENR. This would have been Sr. LITAVS

XAAZ-22941 of 3 July 1964. Rankin & Helms requesting translation of Spanish doc. pertaining to Oswald's stay in Mexico. Also includes FBI report of 28 June attaching a signed statement by John Benson.

XAAZ-27202 of 3 July 1964. Letter from Rankin transmits State Dept. cables regarding a Soviet visa procedure, esp. as applicable to Soviet case.

XAAZ-27201 of 9 July 1964. MOA by the Agency: Discussions with W. Brent Stovall re questions of Soviet policy towards American visas of American & visa procedure. (Was our info inconsistent with State's info?). Our reply had expressed to Stovall having with husbands, State had dealt with larger question of visas. deposits.

XAAZ-22912 of 22 July 1964. Teleph. conv. relative to CIA disclosure of 19 Oct. 1963 a case of the wife in report. Stovall said that the Commission in was not reproduced at text but refer to substance. Carter discussions on this (20 July) had taken place with Kohlman, who had talked with Hall)

XAAZ-17623 of 22 July 1964. Helms & Rankin, transmittal of doc. translation. Requested by Rankin in letter of 3 July.

XAAZ-27209 of 10 August 1964: MOA by Stovall & Helms on 27 July talks with AWD, Rankin & Stovall re defect wife handling. On 27 July 1964 there had been a discussion on the "use of Husbands info in Warren Comm. Report" - AWD, Rankin, Stovall, Helms present. Report given agreement to report

✓✓ Add to:

XAAZ-22425 of 21 Aug 64: Sergievsky on whom we had no trace walked in, told a story about having been shot 240 in body 1960, alleged that KGB caught on KGB. as well as he. An Am. Int. office was present. S. alleged in plain one of two gets unguarded. Told a rambling, disconnected story but his excessive and behavior behavior a mental problem, stresses he claims to have suffered brain damage or coma. Healthy life treatment. Born 21 July 1935, lived near Coaly. Failed medical exam. for FBI Off. Institute. Claims had been possessed & brain worked by KGB. in March 1960 after which he met L.O.D. April 2 day. Head phosors wet off from in own notes. Alcohol & refused oxygen. Grand on by. Dist. strengthening after this episode. He acknowledges KGB part. knew he was in the field & his progress. He walked out of view post both unit & amir.

? ? Mental case ^{in file}
Was this info passed to intelligence? Did it not wind up on 7 24 Aug?

XAAZ-22425 of 22 July 64: "Apparent homosexuality in Material 9 provided the Commission by Co. 14 the Dept of State Nelson R. Sandlin, further & letter by Sandlin & Nelson 3 July 64. Report to talk between Shannon & Wagon 9 July 1964 regarding practices in Sov. estyria departure from USSR. Stipulate were only worked on summer of 64 case, including 10 American

XAAZ-22776 of Sept 11, 1964. Letter to Fisher, re
communication to public agency memo. 2 July 1964. re
Statement made in an office in the Forest Experiment
in Mexico City, on a sub. memo containing info.
by some info

XAAZ-22874 of 14 Sept 1964. Belated memo on dis-
crepancy in Cuban govt reporting on LHC's progress
w. First color account is, has passed through
Dwan soon D092526. This later had info copy
show it as D892526. [This found to be mis-
reading. Under stress & recorded as 0]
See XAAZ-22862 of 18 Sept 1964.

XAAZ-22781 of 17 Sept 1964: Helms to Rankin
re Rankin A240E, ex Cuba coast.
Loren

[NB: Betty Darling interviewed by Bernard Fensterwald Jr,
8 April 1971 re Unknown Man in Oswald Case]

XAAZ-22755 of 21 Sept 1964. Rankin & Helms for
assistance in identifying Oswald's fellow workers in
Minsk. A. H. Cohen, Comm. Ex. 2625

XAAZ-22870 of 20 Oct 1964. Revised version of info
provided in memorandum of 3 Jan & 1 June 1964 re
doin. info relative to intell. methods & org. Request
date of 4 June 1967 revised

XAAZ-17857 of 4 Nov 1964. Report by Betty Darling on
results of visit to Commission & various FBI reports
referring to persons in Cuba. Names were targetable
& names of individuals, agreed, should be deleted.

CSCI-316/02545 115 Dec 1964 : re Allegation of unid.
accident of Cuban involvement in Las Vegas
airport. held report of Cuban plane flight
afternoon of 22 Nov. Source, semi dressed, hood
and suit from a well known Cuban secret
agency. On 23 Nov. 63 he had forgotten to report.
Plane arrives 1700 hrs. from Dallas via
Tijuana and Mexico city. This guy got to be sleazy.
[FBI rejected the account because of notes and
inconsistencies; see its reply 31 Dec 1964 - DBA
9434) of that date]

XAAZ-23580 7 Oct 1965: Letter from Katz, author of
a book concerning working of security controls
on CIA corrao. forwarded to Pres. Commission

XAAZ-23581 11 Sept 1965: Old's only to the
security controls on CIA corrao. Investigate
background and notes how agreement
reached on each item. State that in no instance
was an inv. work held from public domain
if it had a direct bearing on the organization
(high prepared by Daily-

CSCI-316/01398 17 Oct 1965 1 forward copy of
a book. letter to Sec. Sec. referring to LHO.
Named: Um. R. DOBKINS.

↑
This man was investigated by
FBI in US & in Mexico -

XAA2 - 27167 of 27 June 1966.

ASA Chron of meetings with Warren Commission:

14 Jan (Mr. Rankin to Mr. Miller, 64 AWD, Rankin, St.

12 March (Mr. Rankin) '64

27 March '64 - Mr. Willen, Mr. Stone et al (from
briefing on case handling, working party
A.

26 May 1964 - Mr. Lofth (re Dr. Mohrman's)

28 August 1964 - (Mr. Stinson?)

12 Oct 1964 - (Mr. Stone & Mr. Golding)

25 Aug. ? (at Commission's meeting, prep.)

Mr. Helms Chrons: 14 Jan (see above) 28 June
(at Rankin's office), 12 March (Mr. Rankin's office
with Murphy & R.A. 27 March 14:30 (see above)

24 June 11:30 (J. Warren, 27 July (AWD, Rankin,
Lawson, Helms, Murphy, Sogley)

NB: ASA named, p. 13 as one of the liaison officers
between Commission and East agencies, in Jay
Epstein's book Inquest (1966)

BD - 5877 of 4 Jan 1967 (see vol. 54). : CA brief

The Commission's criticism of Warren Report (where
the Commission is already active). This brings down
general conclusions out: (2) no signif. new evidence
has emerged. (3) Critics follow selective evidence
in details & arguments (4) conspiracy impossible in
this case by nature of circumstances. (5) Oswald as a
loner (6) Report not a much job. (7) The deaths
subsequent to the report are not statistically signif.
Commission queried 48 witnesses, the FBI queried
25,000.)

DBB-79914 of 28 Apr. 67: case of Eugene Guedols

Gonzaga. Mental patient NYC, arrested by FBI
19 Nov 1963 confined to one member of team I
associated with the Kennedy. FBI says he lives
now arrested now interviewing. (See further
notes of 7 Oct 1967 in El Tiampo (NY) which claims
Carter had sent 1 team to US & back to UK.

X A42-27214 of 2 NOV 1967. Comparison of
 Oswald case with other American defectors
to USSR and maintain relation to re-defectors
and their work (144 56)

HMMT-10150 27 Feb 1970. Mexico City file on case

DST-18668 of 28 Aug 1969. Found State transmission

of letter and airmail. done by ex State employee
Charles William Thomas in circumstances of Cuban
reps. in company. (See Warren Commission Report
No. 299-310, 62-59, 730-56). Copies sent by State
also to FBI & Sec. State. Thomas still orig. means
of comm. with Mrs. Pray (nee Garris) on 10 Dec
1965. Eliza Garris m. to Arthur Pray. She documents
to Museum.

↑
This aspect of the case persisted

(7)
Pray
Museum

0000

Doc 18035 - 30 July 1905. 3 vols. Review sets of
materials prepared for external circulation re
mess for U.S. Archives. + Vol. of correspondence

DGI OB Mexi - 1963

(201-337257)

@ Marcos

Mannell Eugenio VEGA Perez, b. 1919 Havana.

First Sgt of Capt. Ent. Mex. ^{Army} (Chief of Latin
Ent. Identifies SS). Serving 42 and 1963.

2 or 3rd Sgt. Capt. 64. Presumably wife of
secretary in Embassy. ^{Assoc.} for new "Committee
in response of the Cuban Revolution". Ex in school
instructor

Reportedly worked under supervision of someone
near CONRCA Montoto, Comm. Ameller

NB: who is defector (1964) AMSTRE/1?

AMSTRE-1 reported on 8 Nov 62 that Eusebio
AZCUBA in ^{his} presence had told VEGA Perez that
one instructor of Capt. Cuad. Waldberg to
AZCUBA that VEGA was to handle such
cases as proposed problems of identification
(he had been recalled & had been handled
by someone else.)

Relatively recent from 1963 on handling subv.
contracts. (AMSTRE/2, from SINOSIS).

6 Jan - 13 Feb 1963 on leave in Havana

NB: as of 14 Mar 63 "fullest possible coverage" had
been req. by Hqs. on this individual. In March 63
Hqs. expressed being in Mex Tourist Embassy.

In Revis Oficial 2 Sept 63, Mex. outside contain

OB of Cuban installations of force - 14 in all.

Query: was it normal for a case like VEGA's
application to be handled by a local employee
not an officer

described 21 Nov 1963 (UFAA-12559) as in Mex
in charge of courier visas. Actual agent
some Cuban.

Rodriguez

On 14 Feb 1964 Vega (and his subordinate, Regalado) were in contact with the ^{Miami} agents of groups led by Oscar Aguilar ^{groups} which was plotting to kill General Anastasio Somoza (Nicaragua). One of the two agents sent to Cuba for trig. This confirmed by two sources.

Another Dis Officer: Miguel Pich. by 1st Lt Natl Valdes 5:1-60. Friend of Vega.

Vega acted as June 1964 as Intell. Chief of DGI in Mexico City, replacing Alfredo MARRABEL in that capacity. He was in contact with them in August 22 June 63.

Alfredo MARRABEL, Consul, Consul Mexico 2 Legation held in contact. From Johnson Sec. Oct 63. Vega ref: Mexi 16 April 64 to replace Regalado Rodriguez for investigation. Re: intelligence PCJ 25 April.

Random on Vega:

| | | | |
|----------|---|------|----------------------------|
| Am. Mex | < | Nov | 2 Apr. 62 |
| Dep. Mex | > | Nov | 6 Jan 63 |
| Am. Mex | < | Nov. | 13 Feb. |
| Dep. Mex | > | Nov. | 13 Apr. 63 |
| Am. Mex | < | Nov | 21 April 63 |
| Dep. Mex | > | Nov | 3 Nov 63 |
| Am. Mex | < | Nov | 16 Apr. 64 - as chas. 1961 |

HB: outline with lists passed to Co

NB: This would make him about Mex on 27 Nov 63. And he was present during LIT's stay 27 Nov - 2 Dec


All people against Cuba legend or allegedly must first contact subject. He to this being. Data and pending at the time for return dwelling. This means checking procedure normally takes 15 days

Went back to Dep. from Mex. Nov 63 and was involved in the Dep. of Natl. Int. of DGI as Nicaragua. He left Mex. City on April 1964. He left







1










2



Duplicate

The largest work in the field is representation of the

language (with a view to expansion by the 10th Dec).
19) Through agreement by the High Commission, which the Navy
for a part of it, but did not get payment and occur
a photo taken in the room.

(6) Mexico Station did not make the 1st main intercept
with it under intercept (9/17) and 4/13 Sept 1951
after the 22nd MS. sent when it did so some
details.

(7) Mexico Station did not make the 1st intercept
of the same individuals in part of the
first intercept on 15 Oct. report of the Cuban
language until after 22/10/51

(8) Report of the description in description M-11 Station
put the 1st photos into the album of evidence on
22 Nov. 2:30 hours. Although the Russian having
been made by the counterintelligence and the British
Chief (in report) having given with a part account.
(L. Evans report)

(9) No other sources were obtained at any time of 1949 in
Mexico City. The report of the counterintelligence
in good faith by COS - was not made and
comprehended the information in the Army memo,
through some effort was made to identify the names
of the people concerned and by account of the
speculations.

(10) In connection with the report of the COS (2/1/51)
Silver Green and Central agents. Notes kept in specimens
on 21/12/51. Memo.

8. The report of the counterintelligence of the COS was reported
by the USSR report. Nothing done by the Cuban. Pressure was
very heavy, must up at least one suggestion
intercept.

(11) The Cuban Counterintelligence - Operation
17 Dec 51. Report covering the time given to the
committee. Formally: in the report to the station

- (b) ^{two} the Brothers - Ramos Kennedy telephone calls on the _____ and _____
- and (c) ambiguous reference by Jugoslav ambassador Vlahovic -

4. Major coverage after ~~exam~~ ^{length} based on technical coverage and wire interceptions of [] The two signif. challenges are:

(a) Were the Soviets & Cubans aware of the coverage visual & audio before 22nd?

(b) Did Sovs and/or Cubans have penetration in the Bohemian which would have permitted them to blunt the thrust of the ~~top~~ succession of major leads which were investigated leading to Show or known degree of contact between UFD ^{was suspected by} them ^{at the time} the substantive details of the intercepts?
viz:

(1) Cross of Gibraltar - Havana - Mexico - requires walk-in to Sov. investigation prohibited through Dec.

(2) Restrictions of the amount of detail given by Silvia Simon. (Can her testimony in May 1967 -> statements to confid. source be trusted? If so there is also reason to review the Clara Corrao de los details -) S. Simon was not subjected to a thorough electronic examination based on what we know or could surmise from the intercepts.

(3) Ylario Rojas Villaverde case (in brochure & logbook). [This appears to have been rebutted.]

10
Lats: 87 hours.

Can it be concluded that if he had contact with either Smeets or Cubans or both, that must be beyond its transit-vision aspect one into that he was told to assassinate Pres. Kennedy? or anything of that kind? (Consider in this context his subsequent letters to USSR Emb. Washington after return from Mexico.). Operationally it makes more sense to conclude that his relations were in an electric, developmental stage, and that his effort to redefeat was bona fide. (Note the symbolic identity between his suicide attempt in Moscow in 1959, which tipped the balance in his favor of remaining in the USSR, and the assassinations as his ultimate self-proving (and self-determining) "devotion".)

NB: This allows for LHO having said ^{possibly} that was self-compromising in his ^{postulation} dealing with Soviets and Cubans, including threats against the lives of prominent ^{US} anti-Soviet and anti-Cubanists - which he realizes the Soviets and Cubans can admit after the events of 1962, they knew.

b. What is the probative value - without factual collateral relating to Mexico and Dallas event - of Castro's statements as reported by AP, on 7 Sept 1963 at Washington Embassy reception? Note that VPI would not require element of the last statements without factual collateral its probative value is only as a conditioning factor, which could have operated principally on a homicidal individual in July 1963. Therefore Castro must mean a significant level of insight for what is said about the nature and degree of involvement of platform against

Prison, his alias and JFK is dead, now we see evidence
in act of assassination where it may have been a
conditioned response by a psychiatric institution for
"justifiable homicide". Or worse, to you have conducted
an investigation in order to suggest that
the assassination was indeed, a political assassination, again,
in the absence of factual evidence that goes beyond
what we do know, namely that it was the will
of JFK and Officer Tippett and that he did it alone.

Ask the same question of the author's statements to
his [redacted] F.O. interviewer: what might, incidentally
have he attached to what he said? (Illustrated the
remarks given and level of psych. insight.)

The question of lack of supporting evidential material
also requiring that there were two separate sources
which come out immediately after the assassination, one
Soviet (Khrushchev) and the other Cuban (Robert F. Kennedy
frang of opposing congressional testimony, both
highly hostile and Cuban intelligence personnel, review
off the books

*NB: by Spitzer & Irving, source is a report of the CIA
40000 hours, 20000 hours of training, and that
CIA "will be working in present year, 1961".

7. There is every reason to be unhappy with the work of the
CIA on the present program, especially that it has been to
to shift blame on CIA for allegedly not revealing what the
anti-cuba side were doing, assuming they would. It is true
I know the policy was to deal with the thing in
but not to encourage it to become hostile, in fact
with this whole thing, plating. By not recognizing that the
CIA is the Communist leadership in the CIA in 1961 was
the only argument worth a thing in the absence of evidence
concerning the CIA, which were to a degree known
to encourage the side of the CIA's "policy".

(Note that after specifically completing his work for
forming by his reporting identified by several aspects
of foreign involvement.)

In part of the working level in C.I. — about the FBI's
position was or is not known to me — it may be that
the report did not ^{was given subject and} could have left a larger opening
for further accumulation of evidence relative to
the group conspiracy aspect. ~~The~~ Mr. Miller was
or advised by C.I. staff at the time. In any
case they did a number of measures or checking and
since we are talking here of degrees of sympathy,
successes the Report properly read does leave
the question of ^{an} ultimate responsibility of C.I. or
a Douglas Miller open.

What did the Commission Staff fail to formulate
the questions necessary to cover all aspects of the case and
that have investigated — in this instance by the FBI

R. Status of which developed abroad after reconstruction
is possible direct bearing on assessment of the
particular conspiracy theory.

- (A) South. Is. defector 1944 — info provided
- (B) Moscow — early 1944 — info provided in substance
- (C) Espionage — within for info ¹⁹⁴⁴ ~~1943~~
at length 1944. Associated name 3rd info
at Berlin 1945. Identical case.

~~Additional information on espionage activities in Europe and Asia
which may be of interest to the Commission on this case.~~

- (D) Espionage — ^{explaining}
to evidence that ^{explaining} info has been provided
with help defector, induction into, contacts
and coverage.

9. Returns on record re full disclosure of full
facts held by Agency in sworn testimony Wed. May
4, 1964 (see Vol II: 120-124, and by affidavit
Exhibits 868 and 869). Also in summary, AS
on 1/15/65 that in no instance was any doc. withheld
from public domain if it had a direct bearing
on the assassination.

10. In general the formal case file shows a
minimum input from Waver and no concern
with the substance of statement, however, by
statement of

Costas on 7/25/63. [They, who? was needed,
within all that highest levels to that statement?
This should be acceptable in "every day" of
most investigations and [?]]

11. In general [?] of [?] [?] [?] [?] [?] [?]
not to go [?] [?] [?] [?] [?] [?] [?]
[?] [?] [?] [?]

12. [?] [?] [?] [?] [?] [?] [?]
C. [?] [?] [?] [?] [?] [?] [?] [?] [?] [?]
[?] [?] [?] [?] [?] [?] [?] [?] [?] [?]
[?] [?] [?] [?] [?] [?] [?] [?] [?] [?]
[?] [?] [?] [?] [?] [?] [?] [?] [?] [?]
[?] [?] [?] [?] [?] [?] [?] [?] [?] [?]
[?] [?] [?] [?] [?] [?] [?] [?] [?] [?]

(a) see also [?] testimony, Vol II: 120, 121, 122,
which is [?] [?] [?] [?] [?] [?]

(b) [?] [?] [?] [?] [?] [?] [?] [?]

(c) [?] [?] [?] [?] [?] [?] [?] [?] [?] [?]
[?] [?] [?] [?] [?] [?] [?] [?] [?] [?]

in the
[?] [?] [?]

⑤ Report does not note the AP report by Boston in
 9 Sept 1963, nor the testimony from on this
 point through the mission that occurred in
 Trans-Atlantic mail has been given by him.
 It reflects main line branch & p. 412 B.

⑥ What does the evidence of the Boston testimony
 indicate about the possibility of the Boston testimony
 in the 1963 interview in Boston & Cambridge?
 The Boston testimony is not a separate interview
 but is a part of the Boston & Cambridge interview.
 It is also necessary to check testimony
 of other witnesses in the area.
 The Boston testimony is not a separate interview
 but is a part of the Boston & Cambridge interview.
 It is also necessary to check testimony
 of other witnesses in the area.

⑦ What does the evidence of the Boston testimony
 indicate about the possibility of the Boston testimony
 in the 1963 interview in Boston & Cambridge?
 The Boston testimony is not a separate interview
 but is a part of the Boston & Cambridge interview.
 It is also necessary to check testimony
 of other witnesses in the area.

⑧ What does the evidence of the Boston testimony
 indicate about the possibility of the Boston testimony
 in the 1963 interview in Boston & Cambridge?
 The Boston testimony is not a separate interview
 but is a part of the Boston & Cambridge interview.
 It is also necessary to check testimony
 of other witnesses in the area.

⑨ What does the evidence of the Boston testimony
 indicate about the possibility of the Boston testimony
 in the 1963 interview in Boston & Cambridge?
 The Boston testimony is not a separate interview
 but is a part of the Boston & Cambridge interview.
 It is also necessary to check testimony
 of other witnesses in the area.

⑩ What does the evidence of the Boston testimony
 indicate about the possibility of the Boston testimony
 in the 1963 interview in Boston & Cambridge?
 The Boston testimony is not a separate interview
 but is a part of the Boston & Cambridge interview.
 It is also necessary to check testimony
 of other witnesses in the area.

⑪ What does the evidence of the Boston testimony
 indicate about the possibility of the Boston testimony
 in the 1963 interview in Boston & Cambridge?
 The Boston testimony is not a separate interview
 but is a part of the Boston & Cambridge interview.
 It is also necessary to check testimony
 of other witnesses in the area.

⑫ What does the evidence of the Boston testimony
 indicate about the possibility of the Boston testimony
 in the 1963 interview in Boston & Cambridge?
 The Boston testimony is not a separate interview
 but is a part of the Boston & Cambridge interview.
 It is also necessary to check testimony
 of other witnesses in the area.

⑬ What does the evidence of the Boston testimony
 indicate about the possibility of the Boston testimony
 in the 1963 interview in Boston & Cambridge?
 The Boston testimony is not a separate interview
 but is a part of the Boston & Cambridge interview.
 It is also necessary to check testimony
 of other witnesses in the area.

⑭ What does the evidence of the Boston testimony
 indicate about the possibility of the Boston testimony
 in the 1963 interview in Boston & Cambridge?
 The Boston testimony is not a separate interview
 but is a part of the Boston & Cambridge interview.
 It is also necessary to check testimony
 of other witnesses in the area.

13. Report does not consider Oswald's sequence of activity as an ^{possible} operational continuum in which Gue and Cuba were involved ^{via} their secret services than they can afford to admit. The Commission report should have left ^{a wider} opening on this aspect because:

- ① there was ^a very reasonable argument and some facts to conclude surveillance, assessment and handling of LHO ("Red Lion") in the Sov. Union and his Cuban interests, included an unresolved contact (Belgrade testimony) which went back to El Toro. And ^{if there were any doubt, Nozales's testimony or KGB's file is conclusive.}
- ② The material furnished by the USSR and Cuba (via Sweden) fell far short of anything that fact and reasonable inference suggested.

(This is not to say LHO was under instruction on 22 Nov.

14. In relation to the implicit demand for acknowledgment ~~of~~ suggested by the ~~house~~ (holders) intercept - she is identified by a ~~documentary~~ reporter as having been associated with the Dept. during her ~~visit~~ ~~to~~ ~~the~~ ~~country~~ - there are

① the ~~circumstances~~ ~~of~~ ~~the~~ ~~report~~ ~~by~~ ~~the~~ ~~report~~ ~~and~~ ~~1962~~?

(Records to ~~file~~)
 ② ~~the~~ ~~source~~ ~~of~~ ~~the~~ ~~report~~ ~~from~~ ~~Netherlands~~ ~~born~~ ~~Nov~~ ~~1963~~ - but reported ~~after~~ ~~the~~ ~~assassination~~ (OS: source was known at one time as "John" and eventually was identified as ~~known~~ ~~from~~ ~~the~~ ~~region~~ ~~by~~ ~~his~~ ~~government~~ ~~was~~ ~~given~~ ~~a~~ ~~solidly~~ ~~proved~~ ~~id.~~ ~~no.~~

News...
 signed. Type
 got it with
 in England

Notes

O. Letz - 289248 - was opened on 9 Dec 1960 under
the rubric: OSWALD, Lee Henry. Referred to
USSR in October 1959.

Note that in our TS to Roshin, 13 Feb 1964 "Inform
Developed by CIA on the subject of Lee Henry
Oswald in Mexico City, January 27 to Feb 3, 1963,
para 4, sub 3, we stated:

"⁷ Through all the evidence on hand we deduce
that Oswald visited the Cuban Consulate
in Mexico City on the afternoon of 27 Sept 1963
and again sometime on 28 September 1963.
There is no evidence that he visited anywhere other
than once on the days mentioned, but we
cannot exclude these possibilities. Two words
in para seven of our report, on page four
and five, as based on the following: the
initial known and mean that Oswald was
at the consulate at least once after his
initial visit, and the later visit was on
the afternoon of a day on which the Cuban
Consul telephoned the Soviet Consulate about
Oswald's visa problem. This may well
have been 28 Sept., but we cannot be
certain of this conclusion."

Wave diagram reported by US 8045 of 22 Nov, 22622, that
#110-117 53 reported #115,926 delegate and radio date
 sometime in Aug. 63 on 110-117. Summary of
#115,926 file relates to name, same columns 1300 on
22 Nov.

It is clear from context that they are not...
 North, afternoon... 2nd of May
 plastic work... 5th of May
 at 11:00 AM

NR. This was no...
 for the...
 NR 23 Nov 63

Report from...
 NR 23 Nov 63
 NR 23 Nov 63

NR 23 Nov 63
 NR 23 Nov 63

NR 23 Nov 63
 NR 23 Nov 63
 NR 23 Nov 63

14-00000

Man provided full number of all probable calls beyond
the 27th in London & including the 3 October call by the 7033
of 23 Nov 1963 (in 67252)

Messing
Minnards
Blair

29/4

According to Capt. 23 April 1955, the camera
was down on '27 Sept for some mechanical
malfunctions. He stated he and Shaw
worked personally on various subsequent
photo trips. Was certain that under-coverage
applicable or indicated. Not a matter of
human malfunctions (loading & unloading),
either. Should attempt to bring elements of
recall - consult them on this point. We
would get in trouble.

Derry: Granted above, why did we not
get 5 at its usual distribution on 18th 20th ?

14-00000
- Culture general - formation of pollen. IR interest
worked out on line
- at morning 1st - 2nd - 3rd - 4th - 5th - 6th - 7th - 8th - 9th - 10th
over the period. number of pollen grains. He also saw
long tube, although it had made diploid.

John collected about twice a week - collecting
processed & stored in jars. Taxonomy
any for just up in a jar, would be done,
at first.
From State of Oregon

1. Get information
from newspaper
from Condole...

3. Give to her name and
to get the book
at least in the computer

Report that Phoenix City station, ^{written its} ~~was~~
~~refused to do anything~~

Limitations and capabilities had done
^{that}
all it could, while in compliance with
headquarters instructions: there were no
further contacts by Oswald to report because
he had left Phoenix city, ^{it was ultimately occurred}
and, ^{mean while} ~~the~~ photographs ~~of~~ it would
be ^{of great importance} ~~of~~ a positive
identification ^{of} Oswald to identify later
registration under alias.

Talk with Michael Forman

1. Mexi 6453 (IN 36917, 2 Sat, Cass 2 fri)
Actions to W08.
2. Din 74676 to Stat, 3 Sat & Mon, 201122
3. Hui 74830 to Mexi, reg. Mex 6453
22-092.
4. Mexi 6534, 15 Sat 1993 164-0377
173-02. (reg. for plant.)

What is

111 Report 2013

Claimed J. Butler - Exe. Director of the
Disruption Council of the Americas. New Orleans.
has no testimony material from this man

Get (Sons) record info by / for Ted to look at.

✓ Get copy of Assou's latest report for
the Berlin & Forward via channels or
otherwise.

✓ Call home on non-family of Cuban
airline

✓ Call Gordie & tell him about 123

✓ Get transcription copy of Houston & work

1. The ...
2. ...
3. ...

Discussion with ...

Discussion with H. Phillips 23 April = it was
Cuban concern that was done. He does
not object to the use of the photo in any way
but would prefer freezing the background
containing photos of J. Butler, Council of
Disruption, etc.

- Cost 400 =

- Cost published in 1945 - 11 months 44.

- We must see in the summer of discussion with
the Commission about the photo -

- And then see report - (in all or approximately)
photo - in 1945 - 11 months 44.

28/7

Time from ...
was ...
since ...
at ...