

This document is made available through the declassification efforts
and research of John Greenewald, Jr., creator of:

The Black Vault



The Black Vault is the largest online Freedom of Information Act (FOIA)
document clearinghouse in the world. The research efforts here are
responsible for the declassification of hundreds of thousands of pages
released by the U.S. Government & Military.

Discover the Truth at: <http://www.theblackvault.com>

XAAZ - 17622 of 2 July. Helms & Rankin. Report details of alleged contact with CBO in his office by Gov. Carter Panel letters: YATFVV. Give opinion that letter may have thought to be reply to Helms but really Y. or that K comes have turned him over. ENR. This would have been SW. 6/19/64

XAAZ - 22941 of 3 July 1964. Rankin & Helms regarding translation of Spanish doc. pertaining to Oswald's stay in Mexico. Also include FBI report of 21 March attacking a alleged statement by Edwin Brown.

XAAZ - 27202 of 7 July 1964. Letter from Rankin transmits State Dept memo regarding a Soviet visa procedure, esp. as applicable to Soviet cons.

XAAZ - 27221 of 9 July 1964. MOA by Mr. Helms: Discusses memo to David Rosen regarding Soviet policy towards Russian visas of American & visa procedure. (Was our info inconsistent with State's info?), Our reply had expressed to sponsor leaving with handcuffs, State had dealt with larger question of immig. deposits.

XAAZ - 22912 of 22 July 1964. Teleph. conv. relative to CIA dispatch of 11 Oct. 1963 a case of the info in report. Rosen said that the Commission in memo not reproduced at text but refer to substance. Earlier discussion on this (20 July) had taken place with Kohlman, who had talked with Hall.

XAAZ - 17623 of 22 July 1964. Helms & Rankin, transmittal of doc. translation requested by Rankin in letter of 3 July.

XAAZ - 27209 of 10 August 1964: MOA by Helms & Helms on 27 July talks with AWD, Rankin & Stovoren on defector info handling. On 27 July 1964 there had been a discussion on the "use of Helms' info in Warren Comm. Report" - AWD, Rankin, Stovoren, Helms, Helms, Helms. Report given agreement to report

✓ Add to:

XAAZ-22425 of 27 Aug 68: Sergievich or whom we had no trace walked in, told a story about having killed LHO in body 1960, alleged that this account on KGB as well as he. An Am. emb. officer was present. S. alleged in plain view of two govt. myocardial. Told a rambling, disconnected story but his extreme odd behavior indicates a mental problem, stresses he claims to have suffered brain damage or injury. Healthy life treatment. Born 21 July 1935, lived near Cooby. Failed medical exam. for FBI Off. Institute. Claims had been present & brain worked by KGB. in March 1960 after which he met LHO. April & May. Head phrases wet appear in own notes. Alcohol & refused oxygen. Guard on by. Emb. strengthening after this episode. He acknowledges KGB pres. knew he was in the Emb. & his presence. He walked out firing post both suit & amir.

? ? Mental case ^{is it}
Was this info passed to ^{anyone} ~~anyone~~ ? Did it not
wind up on 7 24 Aug ?

XAAZ-22425 of 22 July 1964: "Apparent homicide
in material furnished the Commission by Col. of the
Dept of State Nelson R. Barkin, further & letter by
Barkin & Nelson 3 July 1964. Refers to talks between
✓ Shannon & Wagner 9 Jul. 1964 regarding questions re
Gov. custody disposition from USSR. Regulate are
only mentioned in summary of 26 cases, including 10 American

XAAZ-27209 contd. This ^{part} ~~is~~ ^{is} ~~in~~ ⁱⁿ ~~the~~ ^{the} ~~original~~ ^{original}

non-mention of N. info. Review SB concept of
N form file problem. Draft of a general
reference to defects info ~~attached~~ out and
attached

② • NA: one of these papers refers to a British lab.
worker who had mentioned LHO. ~~See the letter~~ 3

XAAZ-22773 of 24 Aug 1964: Request from Warren
Comm. (Kovlin & Helms) for permission to
publish list of names of authors in "Problems
Foreign Conspiracy" section of its report.
Asks for a letter approval.

XAAZ-22855 of 27 Aug 1964. MRR by Hall & Sharkey
on Pros. Fr. Com. Section of Report / letter parts
of selected para & wording

XAAZ-22429 of 28 Aug 1964: Comment on Konstantin Petrov
454. Longevity, ~~with~~ ^{and} ~~the~~ ^{the} ~~author~~ ^{author} ~~of~~ ^{of} ~~the~~ ^{the} ~~article~~ ^{article} ~~in~~ ⁱⁿ ~~the~~ ^{the} ~~report~~ ^{report} ~~of~~ ^{of} ~~the~~ ^{the} ~~Comm.~~ ^{Comm.} ~~of~~ ^{of} ~~the~~ ^{the} ~~1964.~~ ^{1964.}

XAAZ-22860 of 31 Aug 64. Helms & Kovlin: Extract
from memo of same title date 17 Feb 1964
sent to Comm.

XAAZ-22774 of 1 Sept 1964: Kovlin & Helms. Request
- handwritten analysis of articles on LHO taken
via application, ~~and~~ ^{and} ~~from~~ ^{from} ~~the~~ ^{the} ~~Comm.~~ ^{Comm.} ~~of~~ ^{of} ~~the~~ ^{the} ~~1964.~~ ^{1964.}
translations. Also in case.

XAAZ-22775 of 3 Sept 1964: Recommendations forwarded
by Comm. to Kovlin

XAAZ-22939 of 10 Sept 1964: checking out of the
translations of LHO application May. for review and reply
by Kovlin 15 Oct, plus Helms' comment

XAAZ-22776 of Sept 11, 1964. Rankin to Helms, re
permission to publish Army memo. 2 July 1964. re
statement made by an officer in the forest lookout
in Mexico City, on a sub. memo containing info.
by some info.

XAAZ-22874 of 14 Sept 1964. Helms memo on dis-
crepancy in Cuban govt reporting on LHC's passport
no. First color account is here passed through
Dwan on 0892526. This later ledger copy
show it as 0892526. [This found to be mis-
reading. Under stress & recorded as 0]
See XAAZ-22862 of 18 Sept 1964.

XAAZ-22781 of 17 Sept 1964: Helms to Rankin
re Rankin A240E, re Cuba coast.
Loran

[NB: Boston Daily interviewed by Bernard Fensterwald Jr,
14 Sept 1971 re Unknown Man in Oswald case]

XAAZ-22795 of 21 Sept 1964. Rankin to Helms for
assistance in identifying Oswald's fellow workers in
Minsk. Attached Census. Exh. 2625

XAAZ-22870 of 20 Oct 1964. Revised version of info
provided in memorandum of 21 June 1964 re
Oswald. info relative to intel. methods & org. Request
was of 4 June 1967 re: info

XAAZ-17857 of 4 Nov 1964. Report by Betty Cook on
results of visit to Commission & 4 copies FBI report
referring to persons in Cuba. Names were targeted
& names of individuals, agreed, should be deleted.

CSCI-316/02545 of 15 Dec 1964: re allegation of unid.
account of Cuban involvement in ^{an} assassination
attempt. ^{held up at Houston} Cuban plane flight
afternoon of 22 Nov. Source, since deceased, lived
and worked for a while here. Cuban secret
agency. On 23 Nov. 63 he had forgotten to report.
Plane arrives 1700 hrs. from Dallas via
Tijuana and Mexico city. This guy got by an alias.
[DBI rejected this account because of internal
inconsistencies; see its reply 31 Dec 1964 - DBA
9484 of that date]

XAAZ-23580 of 8 Feb 1965: letter from Katz, author of
DBI concerning working of security controls
on CIA corresp. furnished to Pres. Commission

XAAZ-23581 of 27 Feb 1965: DBI's reply to the re
security controls on CIA corresp. . Reproduces
background and notes how agreement
reached on such items. . States that in no instance
was an inv. withheld from public domain
if it had a direct bearing on the assassination
(Reply prepared by Chasly -

CSCI-316/01398 of 3 Grand 1965: forward copy of an
encl. letter to Sen. Smith, referring to LHO.
Named: U.S. R. DOBKINS.

↑
This man was investigated by
FBI in US & in Mexico -

XOA2 - 27167 of 22 June 1966.

RSA Chron of meetings with Warren Commission:

14 Jan (Mr. Rankin to Mr. Miller, 64 AWD, Rankin, IT.

12 March (Mr. Rankin) '64

27 March 64 - Mr. Wilkins, Mr. Stone et al (James
Murray on case handling, working records
A.

26 May 1964 - Mr. Noble (re Dr. Mohrenscheldt)

28 August 1964 - (Mr. Stinson?)

12 Oct 1964 - (Mr. Stone & Mr. Goldberg)

25 Aug. ? (at Commission's meeting prep.)

Mr Helms Chrons: 14 Jan (see above) 28 June
(at Rankin's office), 12 March (Mr. Rankin's office)
with Murray & RSA, 27 March 14:30 (see above)
24 June 11:30 (J. Warren, 27 July (AWD, Rankin,
Stinson, Helms, Murray, Boyle)

NB: RSA named, p. 13 on on of the liaison officer
between Commission and East agencies, in Jay
Epstein's book Inquest (1966)

BD - 5277 of 4 Jan 1967 (see vol. 54). : CA briefing

On Commission's criticism of Warren Report (whose
discom is already out). This brings down
general lines pointing out: (1) no signif. new evidence
has emerged. (2) critics follow selective criticism
re details & questions (3) conspiracy, especially in
this case by nature of circumstances. (4) Oswald as a
loner (5) Report not a search job. (6) The deaths
subsequent to the report are not statistically signif.
Commission queried 418 witnesses, the FBI queried
25,000.)

DBB-79914 of 28 Aug. 67: case of Enrique Guedes |
Gonzalez. Mentions patent NYC, ^{invented} ^{by} FBI
19 Nov 1963 conferred with member of team of
anonymous suit to help Kennedy. FBI says he was
never arrested nor interviewed. (See further
notes of 7 Feb 1967 in St. Louis (NY) which claims
Carter looked out for team & OS & built file.

XAAZ-27214 of 2 NOV 1967. Comparison of
Dawson case with other American Reports
to USSR and mentions relating to re-defects
and their work (144 56)

HMMT-10150 27 Feb 1970. Mexico City file on case

DST-18668 of 28 Aug 1969. Found State transmission
of letter and encamp. done by ex State employee
Charles William Thomas in circumstances of Cuban
reps. in company. (See Warren Commission Report
no. 299-310, 65-59, 730-86). Copies sent by letter
also to FBI & Sec. State. Thomas still orig. means
of comm. with Mrs. Pary (nee Gans) on 10 Dec
1965. Clean Gans m. to Arthur Pary. She documents
to Museum.

↑
This aspect of the case persisted

14-00000
DCB - 18035 - 30 July 1905. 3 vols. Review vols of
materials prepared for national circulation re
release for U.S. Archives. + Vol. of correspondence

OGI OB Mexi - 1963

(201-334252)

@ Marcos

Manuel Enrique VEGA Perez, b. 1919 Havana.

First Sgt. Capt. Ent. ^{1st Lt. Ent. 1952} ^{2nd Lt. Ent. 1953} ^{3rd Lt. Ent. 1954} ^{4th Lt. Ent. 1955} ^{5th Lt. Ent. 1956} ^{6th Lt. Ent. 1957} ^{7th Lt. Ent. 1958} ^{8th Lt. Ent. 1959} ^{9th Lt. Ent. 1960} ^{10th Lt. Ent. 1961} ^{11th Lt. Ent. 1962} ^{12th Lt. Ent. 1963}

Identified 86). Serving 22 and 1963.
2nd Sgt. Capt. 64. Presumably wife of
senior in Ent. ^{1st Lt. Ent. 1952} ^{2nd Lt. Ent. 1953} ^{3rd Lt. Ent. 1954} ^{4th Lt. Ent. 1955} ^{5th Lt. Ent. 1956} ^{6th Lt. Ent. 1957} ^{7th Lt. Ent. 1958} ^{8th Lt. Ent. 1959} ^{9th Lt. Ent. 1960} ^{10th Lt. Ent. 1961} ^{11th Lt. Ent. 1962} ^{12th Lt. Ent. 1963}

Reportedly worked under supervision of General
Cesar Cuomca Montoto, Comm. Councilor

NB: who is defector (1964) AMSTOKE/1 ?

AMSTOKE-1 reported on 8 Nov 62 that Enrique
AZCUBA in prison had told VEGA Perez that
one witness of Capt. Carlos Lillo to
AZCUBA that VEGA was to handle such
cases on passport problems & identification
(AZCUBA had received & had been handled
by someone else.)

Relatively reported from 1963 on handling subv.
contracts. (AMSTOKE/2, from SINOSIS).

6 June - 13 Feb 1963 on leave in Havana

NB: as of 14 Nov 63 "fullest possible coverage" had
been req. by Hqs. on this individual. In March 63
Hqs. expressed being in Mex Joint Exchange.

In Henri's Report 2 Sept 63, Mex. network contains
OB of Cuban installations of 14 in all.

[Query: was it normal for a case like VEGA's
application to be handled by a local employee
not an officer

Describes 21 Nov 1963 (UFIAT-12557) as in Mex
in charge of covering visas. Actual flight
from Cuba.

Rodriguez

On 14 Feb 1964 Vega (and his subordinate, Rojas) were in contact with the ^{Mexican} agents of group led by Raul Aguilar ^{friend} which was plotting to kill General Anastasio Somoza (Nicaragua). One of the two agents sent to Cuba for pay. This confirmed by two sources.

Another Des Office: Miguel P. by 1st Lt Nard Palat 59-60. Friend of Vega.

Vega acted as June 1964 as Intell. Chief of DBI in Mexico City, releasing Alfredo MIKABEL in that capacity. He acted as chief of Des then in report 22 June 63.

Alfredo Mikabel Diaz arrived Mexico 2 Sept 63 held in custody. From Guatemala. Oct 63. Vega ref: Mexi 16 April 64 to release Rojas Rodriguez for investigations. Possible left PC/ 25 April.

Random on Vega:


- Am. Mex < Apr 2 Apr 62
- Dep. Mex > Apr 6 Jun 63
- Am. Mex < Apr. 13 Feb.
- Dep. Mex > Apr. 13 Apr. 63
- Am. Mex < Apr. 21 Apr 63
- Dep. Mex > Apr 3 Nov 63
- Am. Mex < Apr 16 Apr 64 - as chief. 1961


NB: only with lets turned to Co



NB: This would make him about Mexi as of 27 Nov 63. And he was there during LIT's stay 27 Oct - 2 Oct



All people against Cuba legal or allegedly must first contact Subject. He to then being. date and provide ref to Cuba for name checking. This name checking procedure normally takes 15 days


Went back to Apr. from Mex. Nov 63 and was successful in the Mex. Natl. Int. of DBI in Nicaragua. He left Mex. City to Cuba 1964. I left












2

6



Duplicates

the legal attaché or the Mex. representation or the Embassy (which was informed by the 10th Det).

- (4) Though requested by Mexico Station to ask the Navy for a photo of the UHO, but did not permit and secure a photo before the event.
- (5) Mexico Station did not match the 1st main intercept with its earlier intercept (of 17th and 19th Sept) until after the 22nd Nov. event, when it did so with alacrity.
- (6) Mexico Station did not match its 1st coverage of the unidentified individual with its coverage of the same individual on 4 Oct in front of the Cuban Embassy and on 15 Oct in front of the Cuban Embassy until after 22/Nov.
- (7) Despite the discrepancies in description Mex Station put the 1st photos into the chain of evidence on 22 Nov. 2200 hours. Ultimately the decision having been made by the Ambassador and the Division Chief (in effect, being faced with a fait accompli). (Trans. not typed)
- (8) No photo coverage was obtained at any time of UHO in Mexico city. The intro. of the unidentified individual - in good faith by COS - was restricted and compromised the operations in the long run, though every effort was made to satisfy the requirements of the Commission and the security of the operations.
- (9) Two members of Cuban emb. known to have dealt with UHO: Silvia Lomon and Conrado Argente. Both left & replaced on 21/11/58. named.

3. The thrust of the information after the 22nd Nov event was against the USSR report, rather than the Cuban coverage very early however, turned up at least one significant intercept:

(a) The basic Calderon conversation - afternoon 22nd Nov. which seems not to have gone to the Commission. Possibly? - This must be checked

- and (b) the ^{two} Brothers - Pinos through telephone calls on the _____ and _____
- and (c) ambiguous reference by Jugoslav ambassador Vlahov -

4. Major coverage after 20th based on technical coverage and size utilization of liaison. The two signif. challenges are:

(a) Were the Soviet & Cuban aware of the coverage visual & audio before 20th?

(b) Did Sovs and/or Cubans have penetrations in the Bohemian which would have permitted them to blunt the thrust of the ~~top~~ succession of major leads which were investigated leading to show a major degree of contact between UFD ^{at various points in the} than the substantive details of the intercepts?
Viz:

(1) Case of Gileberts Alvarado Yaguste - Nicaragua within 24 hr. investigation protracted through Dec.

(2) Restrictions of the amount of detail given by Silva Bureau. (Can he later in May 1967 -> statements to confid. source he trusted? If so there is also reason to review the Cuban Case details -) Silva Bureau was not subjected to a thorough electronic examination based on what we knew or could surmise from its intercepts.

(3) Ylario Rojas Villanueva case (in broadcastings & lognormal). This appears to have been admitted.

(4) Case of Elena Garro de Paz. See the audience's reports of that night affair, Thomas. This case suggests the possibility that Cuban sources in 60s/61s were in a position to manipulate and circumscribe the Iduna Human and other leads affecting themselves, including the Alvarez matter: note the extraordinary point these two attacks have in common - the presence of a red headed negro. (According to Alvarez in the Cuban compound, according to Elena Garro, in attendance at Cuban Human's party)

(5) Observations by Eliz. Cottrell de Ochoa (belonging to)
 notes lead (accompanied) ^{in person} Theodore Lee on his Cuban trip for FFFC org. Winnipeg - 21 June 1963
 Lee's relationship to FFFC activity is probably undoubted and undeniably on its face unless it can be assumed that FFFC and Cubans themselves were more aware of what he was doing than they have acknowledged.

see also
 ...
 ...

(6) Case of Oscar Contreras. In his case, Torgio requires in May 1961 relation to Lee's alleged contact with pro Castro student groups at U.S. Mexico was attempted to secure this, amount for some commission.

Dr. Betty Vildor

5. acknowledging gradual aspects of Lee's work
 (see note indicated)

(a) He denied the usual mode ^{of working} ...
 safe to know about ...

(b) He was then ...
 ...
 ...

lets: 87 hours.

Can it be concluded that if he had contact with either Soviets or Cubans or both, that must be beyond the transit-vision aspect one into that he was told to assassinate Pres. Kennedy? or anything of that kind? (Consider in this context his subsequent letters to USSR Int. Washington off. things from Mexico.). Operationally it makes more sense to conclude that his relations were in an electric, developmental stage and that his support to the defeat was bona fide. (Note the symbolic identity between his suicide attempt in Moscow in 1959, which tipped the balance in his favor of remaining in the USSR, and the assassinations as his ultimate self-proving [and self-determined] "devotion".)

NB: This allows for LHO having said nothing that was self-compromising in his dealings with Soviets and Cubans, including threats against the lives of prominent anti-Soviet and anti-Castro individuals which he felt the Soviets nor Cuban leadership would accept after the events of 1961, they knew.

6. What is the probative value - without factual collateral relating to Mexico and Dallas event - of LHO's statements as reported by AP, on 7 Sept 1963 at Brazilian Embassy reception? Note that FBI records could require element of the AP statements without factual collateral its probative value is only as a conditioning factor, which could have operated principally on a homicidal individual's self-love. Therefore LHO's must mean a significant, but limited weight for what is said about the active and degree of involvement in plot against

9. Helms on record re full disclosure of full facts held by Agency in sworn testimony Wed. May 6, 1964 (see Vol I: 120-124, and by affidavit Exhibits 868 and 869). Also he announced ^{2/7/64} that in no instance was any doc. withheld from public domain if it had a direct bearing on the assassination.

10. In general the Oswald case file shows a minimum input from Waver and no concern with the implications of Statement, including the Statement of Costello on 7/14/63. [Query: what was reaction within all of highest levels to that statement? This should be traceable in Helms file, if not other affidavits and logs.]

11. The Helms file indicates that he was not to be involved in the Oswald case until after 4/1964.

12. Helms' persistent involvement in the case
a) Helms' involvement in the case prior to the assassination was to ensure circulation of the report and avoid individual receipt of such information until after the assassination.
b) The presumption is that Helms would have been Helms' involvement equivalent to that of Helms' work to 1969 which was stated in the Helms file also Helms' testimony, Vol I: 120, 121, 122, which is included in Vol I: 120, 121, 122.

12. Helms' involvement in the case prior to the assassination was to ensure circulation of the report and avoid individual receipt of such information until after the assassination.

c) Helms' involvement in the case prior to the assassination was to ensure circulation of the report and avoid individual receipt of such information until after the assassination.

Controlled him, requested a copy, offered to turn
into later evidence for report, and distributed to
other members. This incorporated as I say when
I finally found the printing asset, wearing a
sign, showing name of Kibell as holder of F.P.C.
On 12th there was a municipal court matter
for which Kibell pleaded guilty, a paid 11th. On 14th
he was going out to work in some cases. Kibell
was a friend of 1958 house on 16th. On 21 Aug there
was a radio debate. He also appeared at
L.A. but is of little concern to the members.
(see also records to Charles testimony)

1961 It is remarkable that no one apparently focused
on the Kibell prosecution or had attempted

(1) what does one make of the evidence testimony,
with other testimony, and the Kibell's testimony,
among

(2) what was the involvement of O's alleged son date
activities in H.O. on behalf of the F.P.C.? There
are except this idea he had own evidence or
contacts. after he had begun to write & later
was by Los Angeles from El Toro camp?
What does a chronic account of his narrow
int. & movement look like starting with the
filled printed procedure dates?

See
Delgado's testimony

(3) Report does not note the AP report by Harker, on
9 Sept 1963 - more was testimony taken on this
point & through the decision that appeared in
Times Magazine must have been seen by him.
It reflects main line however p. 412 ff.

13. Report does not consider Orlov's sequence of activity as ^{possible} operational continuities in which Goss and Lubin were involved ~~in~~ their secret services than they can afford to admit. The Commission report should have left ^{a matter} open on this aspect because:

- ① there was very reasonable argument and some facts to conclude awareness, assessment and handling of LHO ("Red Lion") in the Sov. Union and his Cuban interests, including an unresolved contact (Belgrade testimony) which went back to El Toro, and ~~if there were any doubt, Novak's testimony in KGB file is conclusive.~~
- ② The material furnished by the USSR and Cuba (via Swan) fell far short of anything that fact and reasonable inference suggested.

(This is not to say LHO was under instruction on 22/10/57.)

14. In addition to the explicit identification of ~~the~~ ^{the} ~~document~~ ^{document} suggested by the ~~house~~ ^{house} ~~(Caldwell)~~ ^(Caldwell) intercept - she is identified by a ~~document~~ ^{document} ~~reporter~~ ^{reporter} as having been associated with the ~~of~~ ^{of} ~~being~~ ^{being} ~~the~~ ^{the} ~~document~~ ^{document} - these are

① the ~~document~~ ^{document} - ~~reporter~~ ^{reporter} reports after the event - end 1962

(Ricardo L. ~~reporter~~ ^{reporter})

② ~~the~~ ^{the} ~~document~~ ^{document} ~~from~~ ^{from} ~~Netherlands~~ ^{Netherlands} ~~born~~ ^{born} 7 Nov 1903 - ~~but~~ ^{but} ~~reported~~ ^{reported} after the ~~circumstances~~ ^{circumstances} (his ~~name~~ ^{name} was known at one time as "John" and eventually an ~~alias~~ ^{alias} known from the ~~house~~ ^{house} by his government was ~~given~~ ^{given} a ~~solidly~~ ^{solidly} ~~created~~ ^{created} ~~id.~~ ^{id.} 1962.

Novak's ~~name~~ ^{name} ~~was~~ ^{was} ~~not~~ ^{not} ~~in~~ ⁱⁿ ~~the~~ ^{the} ~~document~~ ^{document} ~~at~~ ^{at} ~~the~~ ^{the} ~~time~~ ^{time} ~~of~~ ^{of} ~~the~~ ^{the} ~~intercept~~ ^{intercept} ~~in~~ ⁱⁿ ~~1957~~ ¹⁹⁵⁷

Notes

O. file - 289248 - was opened on 9 Dec 1960 under
the rubric: OSWALD, Lee Henry. Reported to
USSR in October 1959.

Note that in our TS to Roshar, 19 Feb 1964 "inform
developed by CIA on the activities of Lee Henry
Oswald in Mexico City, September 27 to Oct 3, 1963,
para 4, sub 3, we stated:

" From all the evidence on hand we deduce
that Oswald visited the Cuban Consulate
in Mexico City on the afternoon of 27 Sept 1963
and again sometime on 28 September 1963.
There is no evidence that he visited anyone other
than once on the days mentioned, but we
cannot exclude these possibilities. Two words
in para seven of our report, on pages four
and five, a phrase in the footnote of
which means and mean that Oswald was
at the consulate at least once after his
initial visit, and this later visit was on
the afternoon of a day on which the Cuban
Consul telephoned the Soviet Consulate about
Oswald's visa problems. This day would
have been 28 Sept., but we cannot be
certain of this conclusion."

Wane Wane reported by the USSR of 22 Nov, 22422, that
AMT-NT 53 reported AMIS, 1964 delegate and resident
committee in Aug. 63 on the status of the...
AMIS, 1964...
22422

It is clear from context that the following text is a list of items from a collection, possibly a library or archive, and is written in a shorthand or abbreviated form. The text includes references to "List of items from collection" and "List of items from collection".

23 Nov-63
 - 5 items: (The following are in the collection)
 1. A letter from the author to the editor, dated 10/1/63, in which the author discusses the possibility of publishing the book in the collection.
 2. A letter from the editor to the author, dated 10/1/63, in which the editor discusses the possibility of publishing the book in the collection.
 3. A letter from the author to the editor, dated 10/1/63, in which the author discusses the possibility of publishing the book in the collection.
 4. A letter from the editor to the author, dated 10/1/63, in which the editor discusses the possibility of publishing the book in the collection.
 5. A letter from the author to the editor, dated 10/1/63, in which the author discusses the possibility of publishing the book in the collection.

24 84915 25 Nov 63 22062 actual 5 381 only
 the list of items from collection is not reported by name in "Notes
 concerning 23rd of the following

Adding that Kestner is included in the collection. This is because the book is
 in the collection of the following items. The following items are in the
 collection and are listed in the following order: 1. A letter from the
 author to the editor, dated 10/1/63, in which the author discusses the
 possibility of publishing the book in the collection. 2. A letter from the
 editor to the author, dated 10/1/63, in which the editor discusses the
 possibility of publishing the book in the collection. 3. A letter from the
 author to the editor, dated 10/1/63, in which the author discusses the
 possibility of publishing the book in the collection. 4. A letter from the
 editor to the author, dated 10/1/63, in which the editor discusses the
 possibility of publishing the book in the collection. 5. A letter from the
 author to the editor, dated 10/1/63, in which the author discusses the
 possibility of publishing the book in the collection.

14-00000

Miss provided full numbers of all probable calls beyond
the 7th in Adama & including the 3 October call by # 7035
of 23 Nov 1963 (in 67252).

Miss
Adama
Blair

23/4

According to C/Lt. 23 April 1975, the camera
was down on '27 feet for some mechanical
malfunctions. He stated he and Shaw
worked personally on various subsequent
photo trays. Also certain that was-
correctly explicable or indicated. Not a matter of
human malfunctions & breaking & including,
either. Would attempt to bring elements of
recall & consult them on this point. We
would get in touch.

Query: Granted above, why did we not
get C at its current installation on 18th 20th ?

- Custom create - processed in the poller. IR will not work out unless...
- At morning get a copy of the - after mail oriented. promised to be. He also can do any other things. He led under his post box.

John called about twice a week - collecting processed & things printed. Tom was around too. My job for printing & collecting would be done in a few days.

From Mike J. ...

Not a balance
from necessary
to some funds
to some funds

3/10/41
at some

Appears that Mexico City station, ^{within its} ~~was in~~
~~position to do anything~~

limitations and capabilities had done
^{that}
all it could, ⁱⁿ compliance with
headquarters instructions; there were no

further constraints by Oswald to report, ^{because}
^{it was ultimately essential,}
he had left Mexico City, ~~on 2 October~~

^{and} ~~to~~ ^{make} ~~photographs~~ ^{it would}
^{take any special steps}
to ~~make a positive~~

identification of Oswald with
registration under alias.

Talk with Mr. Lee Fourn

1. Mexi 6453 (IN 36917, ? set, case 2 fig)
Action to web.
2. Dir 74676 to Stat, 3-51-48. 20122
3. Mex 74830 to Mexi, ref. Mex 6453
22092.
4. Mexi 6534, 15 Oct 1953 74-6357
17302. (copy for photo)

What is

111 Report in 013

Edmund S. Butler - Exe. Director of the
Disarmament Council of the Americas, New Orleans.
ask for testimony not taken from this man

Get Song joined up by / for Ted to look at.

✓ Get copy of Amos. Report report for
the Berlin & Forward via channels or
otherwise.

✓ Call Gene on non-family of labor
circle

✓ Call Gordie & tell him about 12³

✓ Get transcription copy of H. Water & work

I don't want to see a photo of a person at
any time. I don't want to see a photo of
any person. The only way to get a photo is
to take it.

to come in the middle of the

discussions with H. Phillips 28 April = it was
Custom camera this was done. He does
not object to the use of the photo in any way
but would prefer freezing the background
containing photos of the Emb. Council &
anti-air Club.

- Get photos of ...
- Get photos of ... for end 1963 ...
- We must ... in the ... of discussion with the Commission ...
- ... (in ... or ...)

28/7

Mike ... of ... since ...
 was
 since ... (also ...)