NR key name: 9C19540E73A662F785256372007A5FAB

SendTo: CN=David Marwell/O=ARRB;CN=Jeremy Gunn/O=ARRB

CopyTo:

DisplayBlindCopyTo:

BlindCopyTo: CN=R ecord/O=ARRB
From: CN=Tom Samoluk/O=ARRB

DisplayFromDomain:

DisplayDate:07/25/1996DisplayDate_Time:6:18:00 PMComposedDate:07/25/1996ComposedDate_Time:6:16:39 PM

Subject: Conversation with Wesley Liebeler

MemoTo:David, JeremyFrom:Thomas E. SamolukSubject:Conversation with Wesley LiebelerDate:I contacted Wesley Liebeler, formerly of the Warren Commission staff, today at his home in Vermont to invite him to testify at the Los Angeles hearing. His initial reaction was that he had nothing to add and that he had no records. He suggested that we talk to Howard Willens, also formerly of the Warren Commission staff. Liebeler said that he thought Willens was in Washington, DC, and listed in the phone book. After additional discussion, Liebeler said that a few years ago he wrote a manuscript of about six chapters that included an analysis of the medical evidence (that he worked on with Michael Baden) and the acoustics work of the HSCA. I told him that this would be of interest to the Board and asked him to consider donating the manuscript to the JFK Collection. Liebeler said that he would consider donating it, but first had to find the computer disc or a hard copy of it, either in Vermont or California. He also wanted to learn more about the Board and what we were doing. As the conversation continued, Liebeler suggested that we talk to Al Goldberg, formerly of the Warren Commission staff. I told him that I thought someone (Jeremy) had interviewed Goldberg. In connection with some discussion of David Lifton, Liebeler mentioned that he and Lifton had enlisted the aid of a physicist named Brian Jones from UCLA to work with Life Magazine on analyzing frames of the Zapruder film showing President Kennedyï¾€s movements during the shooting. According to Liebeler, Jones wrote a report and he thought it would be of interest. However, he had never seen the report. Near the conclusion of our conversation, Liebeler said that he might be interested in appearing at the hearing, if we paid for his travel (He noted that he would still be in Vermont at the time of the hearing). I said that I would look into the Board picking up his expenses and reiterated our interest in his manuscript. I told him that his donation of the manuscript would fit nicely into his testimony at the hearing. We concluded by agreeing that I would send Liebeler an information packet. He will review it and then talk again. I intend to call him one week from today.

Body: Liebele recstat: Record

DeliveryPriority: N **DeliveryReport:** B

ReturnReceipt: Categories: