NR key name: 8009A8DDA27C8B7285256474006996B7

SendTo: CN=Brian Rosen/O=ARRB @ ARRB

COPYTO: CN=Thom Wilborn/O=ARRB @ ARRB;CN=Tom Samoluk/O=ARRB @ ARRB

DisplayBlindCopyTo:

BlindCopyTo:

From: CN=Douglas Horne/O=ARRB

DisplayFromDomain:

DisplayDate: 04/09/1997
DisplayDate_Time: 3:13:38 PM
ComposedDate: 04/09/1997
ComposedDate_Time: 3:13:19 PM
Subject: Zapruder film

To: Douglas Horne/ARRBcc: From: David Marwell/ARRB Date: 04/09/97 09:08:46 AMSubject: Zapruder filmAs we discussed...To: david_marwell @ jfk-arrb.gov @ Internetcc: (bcc: David Marwell/ARRB)From: wljoyce @ Princeton.EDU (Bill Joyce) @ INTERNET @ WORLDCOM Date: 04/08/97 07:24:31 PM CDTSubject: Zapruder filmDavid: In the wake of our hearing, I've had a couple of questions about additional information concerning the Zapruder film. First, it it possible that we might learn the terms of the original agreement that AbrahamZapruder had with Time-Life? Second, is there any way of knowing about the amount of income that the elder Zapruder and the successor company have realized from the film? This data would help me frame my attitude on this issue. Knowing from-- and believing-- Mo Weitzmann that the original filmcan be authenticated, I think its value as a base line of the event is really critically important, and the only way to validate its existence as abase line is for it to be in the continuous custody of the National Archives. While it may be only a "representation," it remains the central piece of evidence-- THE assassination record--concerning the event. I findit difficult to ignore that, or to contemplate how we can cede it back toprivate hands. Have the Zapruder's said anything more on the matter? And what haveyou heard of public reaction to

the \$26 million the feds propose to pay forthe Nixon Papers? A comparable siutuation? I look forward to our

Body: talk on Friday... --Bill

recstat: Record
DeliveryPriority: N
DeliveryReport: B

ReturnReceipt: Categories: