

NR_key_name: 3FFFC8765B8FD07852564A6006C59CF
SendTo: CN=David Marwell/O=ARRB;CN=Eileen Sullivan/O=ARRB
CopyTo:
DisplayBlindCopyTo:
BlindCopyTo: CN=R ecord/O=ARRB
From: CN=Tom Samoluk/O=ARRB
DisplayFromDomain:
DisplayDate: 05/29/1997
DisplayDate_Time: 4:03:00 PM
ComposedDate: 05/29/1997
ComposedDate_Time: 3:43:29 PM
Subject: Fax From Joyce Larkin

Eileen - Thanks for the fax from Joyce Larkin regarding the Caulfied letter. Has he ever written to us?David - I suggest that Joyce be told (and the writer) that: We have met with many researchers and heard from many at public hearings. However, we advise people to write to us first; this is the most effective way to evaluate what they want to tell us.If Eileen's records indicate that he has not written to us, we should tell Joyce that he has not even taken this step before writing to Stokes.Obviously, the Board is not in "gridlock."We obtained the Garrison records that he reviewed for inclusion in the JFK Collection.Also, I think the college professor, to whom the writer refers, is Michael Kurtz. Perhaps Eileen could find Kurtz's recounting of seeing Oswald with Bannister in his book "Crime of the Century." I think the accounts may match up. The Review Board is not re-investigating the assassination and the writer needs to be told that. However, we would be interested in receiving some more detailed information on the various aspects his assassination research that he has mentioned to determine whether there are materials that should be in the JFK Collection. From that point, a determination can be made if a meeting is required.I suggest that you call Joyce to see if this letter, which we can address completely, is of concern to Congressman Stokes and if so, address the concern. If Stokes has seen the letter, I wouldn't want him to have reservations about the hearing because of this constituent

Body: letter.Tom
recstat: Record
DeliveryPriority: N
DeliveryReport: B
ReturnReceipt:
Categories: