

NR_key_name: AE9C329B47C528FC8625652300826F32
SendTo: Tom_Samoluk @ jfk-arrr.gov
CopyTo:
DisplayBlindCopyTo:
BlindCopyTo: CN=Tom Samoluk/O=ARRB
From: Judge_John_Tunheim@dcn.uscourts.gov
DisplayFromDomain:
DisplayDate: 10/01/1997
DisplayDate_Time: 6:38:31 PM
ComposedDate:
ComposedDate_Time:

Subject: Re: Update on Call From Lloyd Grove, Washington Post
 THANKS, TOM. THIS WHOLE EXCHANGE IS QUITE INTERESTING AND DOES EXPLAIN SOME OF HERSH'S BEHAVIOR WITH US. DO I
 need to call Grove back, is he expecting me to call? JRT _____ Reply Separator
 _____ Subject: Update on Call From Lloyd Grove, Washington Post Author:
 "Tom Samoluk" <Tom_Samoluk@jfk-arrr.gov> at ~InternetDate: 10/1/97 6:41 PM As you are aware, Lloyd
 Grove of the Washington Post, has called again about Hersh and the Cusack papers. In response to his latest
 round of questions that have to do with the chronology of events, this evening, I told Grove the following: 1. By
 the end of 1996/early 1997 it was well known that documents were being used by Hersh in connection with his
 Kennedy book. 2. We were aware of the records through several sources with whom we had contact, as well as
 media reports. 3. In early January 1997, David received a call from Hersh after he had apparently learned of our
 interest in the documents, as they may or may not relate to the assassination and our mandate. 4. That
 conversation began the dialogue with Hersh. 5. We asked Hersh to come in and meet with the Board and that
 occurred on February 13. 6. Subsequent to the February 13 meeting, the Board decided that it wanted to see
 the documents. 7. Obenhouse had some contact with Cusack regarding our interest. 8. We then dealt directly
 with Cusack to schedule a preliminary review of documents. 9. The preliminary review of document copies
 occurred on June 9. 10. As you are aware, at nearly the same time as the preliminary review, the Board
 received information casting doubt on the authenticity of the records. 11. No determination had been made by
 the Board relative to the documents. 12. At this time, no additional steps are planned by the Board relative
 to these records. In response to a follow-up question about whether the Board felt like we were getting
 cooperation, I said yes, and if we had not received the cooperation we needed, the Board was prepared to
 subpoena the documents from Cusack. At the end of our conversation this evening, the one question that
 Grove had left for me, and I have to consult with David on it, is if Hersh contacted David on Friday, June 6,
 before the document review on Tuesday, June 9, to tell us that it looked like the documents were bogus. After
 I talk to David, I will get back to Grove. The background to this latest round of calls by Grove is that he
 has letters from Hersh to Cusack, written between December 1994-June 1995, which Grove says have Hersh
 using the Board as the reason why Cusack should cooperate with him. Hersh allegedly told Cusack that the
 Board would subpoena the records and take them away from Cusack. In addition, Hersh is quoted as saying that
 he planned on "stonewalling" the Board. Lastly, Grove says that what "sealed the deal" between Cusack and
 Hersh was that Hersh threatened to reveal to the Board the existence of the records which Cusack possessed. It
 was at that point (July 1995), according to Grove, that Cusack shared some of the documents with Hersh.

Body:
recstat:
DeliveryPriority:
DeliveryReport:
ReturnReceipt:
Categories: