

**NR\_key\_name:** 0D6D3A86A9884E9C8625652400453867**SendTo:** Tom\_Samoluk @ jfk-arrrb.gov**CopyTo:****DisplayBlindCopyTo:****BlindCopyTo:** CN=Tom Samoluk/O=ARRB**From:** MAILER-DAEMON@waltz.worldcom.com**DisplayFromDomain:****DisplayDate:** 10/02/1997**DisplayDate\_Time:** 7:34:00 AM**ComposedDate:****ComposedDate\_Time:**

**Subject:** Returned mail: Host unknown (Name server: dcn.uscourts.gov: host not found)  
 THE ORIGINAL MESSAGE WAS RECEIVED AT THU, 2 OCT 1997 07:30:36 -0500 (CDT) FROM SMTP@LOCALHOST ----- THE  
 following addresses had permanent fatal errors -----<Judge\_John\_Tunheim@dcn.uscourts.gov> ----- Transcript  
 of session follows -----550 <Judge\_John\_Tunheim@dcn.uscourts.gov>... Host unknown (Name server:  
 dcn.uscourts.gov: host not found) - att1.unkReturn-Path:  
 Tom\_Samoluk%ARRB@notes.interliant.comReceived: (from smtp@localhost) by waltz.worldcom.com  
 (8.8.5/Interliant) id HAA05071 for <Judge\_John\_Tunheim@dcn.uscourts.gov>; Thu, 2 Oct 1997 07:30:36 -0500  
 (CDT)Received: from internet-504.interliant.com(198.64.193.30) by waltz.worldcom.com via smap (V1.3) id  
 sma005041; Thu Oct 2 07:30:36 1997Received: by Internet-504.interliant.com(Lotus SMTP MTA v1.1 (385.6 5-  
 6-1997)) id 86256524.0044D91E ; Thu, 2 Oct 1997 07:32:00 -0500X-Lotus-FromDomain: ILGW @ ARRB @  
 INTERLIANT @ OUTBOUNDFrom: "Tom Samoluk"<Tom\_Samoluk%ARRB@notes.interliant.com>To:  
 Judge\_John\_Tunheim@dcn.uscourts.govMessage-ID: <86256524.0044C7F8.00@Internet-  
 504.interliant.com>Date: Thu, 2 Oct 1997 08:28:02 -0400Subject: Re: Update on Call From Lloyd Grove,  
 Washington PostMime-Version: 1.0Content-type: text/plain; charset=us-asciiJack:I have to talk to Grove again  
 this morning. He did not mention that he hadcalled you. My fear is that if you talk to him it will elevate the  
 storyto a level that does not benefit us. If I think that based on what he saysto me that having you talk to him  
 will help, I will call you and advise youof that.TomTo: Tom\_Samoluk @ jfk-arrrb.govcc: (bcc: Tom  
 Samoluk/ARRB)From: Judge\_John\_Tunheim @ dcn.uscourts.gov @ INTERNET @ INTERLIANTDate: 10/01/97  
 06:38:31 PM ASTSubject: Re: Update on Call From Lloyd Grove, Washington Post Thanks, Tom. This little  
 exchange is quite interesting and does explain some of Hersch's behavior with us. Do I need to call Grove back,  
 is he expecting me to call? JRT \_\_\_\_\_ Reply  
 Separator \_\_\_\_\_ Subject: Update on Call From Lloyd Grove, Washington  
 PostAuthor: "Tom Samoluk"<Tom\_Samoluk@jfk-arrrb.gov> at ~InternetDate: 10/1/97 6:41 PMAs you are  
 aware, Lloyd Grove of the Washington Post, has called againabout Hersh and the Cusack papers. In response  
 to his latest round ofquestions that have to do with the chronology of events, this evening, Itold Grove the  
 following:1. By the end of 1996/early 1997 it was well known that documents werebeing used by Hersh in  
 connection with his Kennedy book.2. We were aware of the records through several sources with whom we  
 hadcontact, as well as media reports.3. In early January 1997, David received a call from Hersh after he  
 hadapparently learned of our interest in the documents, as they may or may notrelate to the assassination  
 and our mandate.4. That conversation began the dialogue with Hersh.5. We asked Hersh to come in and meet

**Body:****recstat:****DeliveryPriority:****DeliveryReport:****ReturnReceipt:****Categories:**