NR_key_name:	C56369662BFE36F8852565280063992A
SendTo:	CN=Jeremy Gunn/O=ARRB @ ARRB;CN=Tom Samoluk/O=ARRB @ ARRB;CN=Laura Denk/O=ARRB @ ARRB;CN=Kevin Tiernan/O=ARRB @ ARRB
CopyTo:	
DisplayBlindCopyTo:	
BlindCopyTo:	
From:	CN=Joan Zimmerman/O=ARRB
DisplayFromDomain:	
DisplayDate:	10/06/1997
DisplayDate_Time:	2:25:09 PM
ComposedDate:	10/06/1997
ComposedDate_Time:	2:07:53 PM
Subject:	FBI-HSCA documents
Body:	Debbie Beatty just called to offer her explanation for why she is holding between 200 and 300 documents. She said Phil had gone through her 12 boxes of HSCA- referred FBI documents, and he pulled out the easy ones for her to review. He told her to wait on the problem documents until the HSCA review process was complete. Debbie has been holding some of the documents I sent over for review.Deb said she is holding these documents for 2 reasons: 1. Carl wants to classify something in the document.2. If the document is HSCA-derivative, Beatty wants to wait until the process of negotiation with ARRB is complete before she reviews the referrals. She will compare the negotiated copy with the (already blacked out) HSCA copy.My thought on the (blacked out) HSCA copy was that since that is what the HSCA saw, the black-out would always remain on the HSCA copy regardless of what the ARRB negotiation process releases in the other file.Researchers would then be able to see what the HSCA saw and compare it to the ARRB-negotiated file. Debbie pointed out that what the HSCA saw was never released to the public. She also noted that some staff from the HSCA did see the entire unredacted copy even though the document appears with redactions in the HSCA collection.Debbie offered to ask Carl to write up evidence for classification for one of the documents I sent over to her. I told her not to rush to do this for the October 14 meeting, but if Carl already had evidence written up it might be useful for us to see the FBI's explanation for classification.
recstat:	Record
DeliveryPriority:	N
DeliveryReport:	B
ReturnReceipt:	
Categories:	