

**NR\_key\_name:** 3B6ACF2C6BFEF6178625652B0073F1CA  
**SendTo:** Tom\_Samoluk @ jfk-arrr.gov  
**CopyTo:**  
**DisplayBlindCopyTo:**  
**BlindCopyTo:** CN=Tom Samoluk/O=ARRB  
**From:** wljoyce@phoenix.Princeton.EDU  
**DisplayFromDomain:**  
**DisplayDate:** 10/09/1997  
**DisplayDate\_Time:** 4:59:02 PM  
**ComposedDate:**  
**ComposedDate\_Time:**  
**Subject:** Re: Update on Lloyd Grove, Washington Post

Thanks, Tom. There are a great many aspects of this that just don't add upto me. That makes me quite unsettled... See you next week. --BillAt 08:03 AM 10/9/97 -0400, you wrote:>>Bill:>>Grove told me that Hersh and his planned use of the Cusack documents was>held out to prospective buyers as evidence that the documents were really>valuable. As I understand it, the document sellers were saying things>like, "These documents are worth a lot of money as evidenced by Sy Hersh's>'endorsement' of them. He even plans to use them in his book, which will>make them worth even more money!" Grove alleges that Hersh was not passive>in this effort. Of course, Hersh thought they were real for a long time.>>With regard to the supposed chronology that Grove asked about, I now think>that we was referring to my October 1 e-mail that I sent to the Board.>Although I did not think of it as a "chronology" per se, as he described it>to me, I think that is it.>>Thanks for your message and I'll see you next week. If I hear anything>else I'll let you know.>>Tom>>>To: Tom\_Samoluk @ jfk-arrr.gov>cc: (bcc: Tom Samoluk/ARRB)>From: wljoyce @ phoenix.Princeton.EDU @ INTERNET @ INTERLIANT>Date: 10/08/97 08:15:19 PM AST>Subject: Re: Update on Lloyd Grove, Washington Post>>Tom: Interesting. I've heard nothing of any board member keeping a>journal. Are you inferring that Grove intends to write on Hersh and his>book as being somehow implicated in this forgeries scam? Or that Hersh was>used by Cusack? The former seems incredible to me, the latter even more>so, as I think Hersh light years smarter than Cusack...> See you next week...> --Bill>>>At 11:58 AM 10/8/97 -0400, you wrote:>>>>Grove called me this morning and we spoke briefly. He told me that he had>heard from a source (one that he would not identify, per his agreement with>that person) who said that someone at the Board had compiled a written>chronology of the Board's involvement with Hersh. Grove wanted to know if>I could share it with him. I told him that I was unaware of any such>written chronology. He is planning on writing his story on Hersh and the>documents for next week. The focus now seems to the use of Hersh and his>book as an advertising tool to market the Cusack documents at a high price.>>

**Body:**  
**recstat:**  
**DeliveryPriority:**  
**DeliveryReport:**  
**ReturnReceipt:**  
**Categories:**