

**NR\_key\_name:** AC5DDA3FE4E39A57862563110063696D  
**SendTo:** Eileen\_Sullivan @ jfk-arrrb.gov @ Internet  
**CopyTo:**  
**DisplayBlindCopyTo:**  
**BlindCopyTo:** CN=Eileen Sullivan/O=ARRB  
**From:** rnelson @ connect.reach.net (Rick Nelson)

**DisplayFromDomain:**  
**DisplayDate:** 04/19/1996  
**DisplayDate\_Time:** 12:59:23 PM  
**ComposedDate:**  
**ComposedDate\_Time:**

**Subject:** THE "UNIFILMER"  
Eileen, I thought you should have a copy of this..Regards, Rick Nelson TO: jfk-conspiracy@kendaco.telebyte.net>From: rnelson@connect.reach.net (Rick Nelson)>Subject: THE "UNIFILMER">>Folks,>>The person who wrote a letter about having a "never before seen film" of the JFK>assassination is a student in the Graduate School of Library & Informationat Western>University in London, Ontario, Canada.>>I spoke to him on the phone last night and he stands by his story anddenies that it is a hoax. However he admits that it was a big mistake tohave advertised his claim over the Internet without first considering theconsequences. He says that some of the replies have included death threats.>>The student says he doesn't have the film and has in fact been speaking onbehalf of the actual owner. He wouldn't tell me who that person is but Ibelieve he lives in the>United States, if he does in fact exist. He says they have been longtimefriends and>the posting over the Internet was done out of a favour to the owner. The student says he has seen the film, it's an 8mm and there are two or three 3minute reels. He wouldn't say where the cameraman was standing at the timethe shots were fired except that it was between 50 to 100 feet from thepresident. He's not sure what value the film has to the investigation ashe is not a trained photo expert. He says that the film features a lot ofpanning and zooming in and out. He admits he cannot explain why thecameraman didn't go to the authorities after the shooting.>>The student told me the decision to advertise for suggestions on theInternet was a>naive one based on a lack of knowledge about the JFK assassination. Hesays both he and the film owner never followed the story until after thefilm fell into their lap. >Having no point of reference they decided to post a notice over a newsgroupwhich just happened to be alt.conspiracy.jfk. He says the experiencedidn't have the desired effect as some of the replies threatened bodilyharm. He said that he has forwarded the positive suggestions to the owner.However, his friend is now spooked and may not release this film anytime soon.>>I told him that "if this was not a hoax, the owner should release that filmright away." I pointed out that it was he, and not the owner who was beingmade the fall>guy here and as a favour to the student, the owner should submit the filmt to the proper>authorities immediately.>>The conversation went on for about an hour and it was my impression that he was>sincere. He wasn't expecting my call, I started right in on him with sometough>questions and he never missed a beat. He was evasive in some of thequestions but>he said that's because he was protecting his friend's wishes that someinformation>not be disclosed. He seemed to be scared about the threats and I got theimpression>that he was over his head. Is he scared because this is a prank that hasbackfired? I suggested that if this was a hoax, it could jeopardize hisInternet access at the university which might be the least of his concerns.Having

**Body:**  
**recstat:**  
**DeliveryPriority:** N  
**DeliveryReport:** B  
**ReturnReceipt:**  
**Categories:**