9C88BCAA9AC5183D862563640004C278 NR key name: SendTo: garb @ ix.netcom.com (Garby Leon) @ Internet

Eileen Sullivan @ jfk-arrb.gov (Eileen Sullivan) @ Internet CopyTo:

DisplayBlindCopyTo:

CN=Eileen Sullivan/O=ARRB BlindCopyTo: Ipease @ netcom.com (Lisa Pease) From:

DisplayFromDomain:

DisplayDate: 07/10/1996 DisplayDate Time: 5:07:00 PM

ComposedDate:

ComposedDate_Time:

Subject:

Re: Fwd: Judge Sues Over JFK Information: He wants CIA to answer questions on mystery man (fwd) Just for claimication - the earlier stories on Judge Millier and Capenalt related that Capenart claimed to be with Oswald AT the time of the assassination, providing Oswald an alibi, if true. So any records on Capehart would be of SUBSTANTIAL importance to this case!!:) Lisa

Pease========"It is in the interest of the U.S. people and of the people of the world, that this be made known, that they demand to know what is really behind the assassination of Kennedy, that the facts be made clear: whether the man involved is innocent, sick or an instrument of the reactionaries, an agent of a macabre plan to carry forward a policy of war and aggression, to place the Government of the United States at the mercy of the most aggressive circles of monopoly, of militarism and of the worst agencies of the United States. It is in our interest, in the interest of all people and of the U.S. people that we demand this." - Fidel Castro, 11/23/63Check out the Real History Archives: http://www.webcom.com/lpeaseCitizens for Truth about the Kennedy Assassination: http://www.webcom.com/ctkaOn Tue, 9 Jul 1996, Garby Leon wrote:> You wrote: >>> >Dear Mr. Leon:> >> >Thank you for your message. The Board has received thousands of leads > > regarding the potential existence of assassination records. We carefully > review all of the information. The recent news article on Claude Barnes > >Capehart will be reviewed by the appropriate Board staff members.> >> >The Review Board has not dealt with any records related to this individual, to > > the best of my knowledge. As a matter of policy, the Board does not discuss > specific communications with other agencies relative to specific records in > >which we may be interested. >> Thank you for your response, but I didn't ask anything about "specific communications > with other agencies" -- I asked if you were going to pursue information on Claude > Capehart at all, from anywhere, in general, and if in fact you were aware of the > Minier case and the publicity and discussion surrounding it. > > It's the "...in which we may be interested" part of your sentence that interests me. > Is the ARRB "interested" in pursuing Capehart information? If that hasn't been > officially decided yet, when will we know what the decision is?> > You see, from your note it kind of sounds like any ARRB disposition of this matter > will be shrouded in secrecy, perhaps only to be made public at the end of the > process, when it will be too late for a citizen to respond, urge, or object to the > ARRB's actions or lack therof. That's why I'm asking for this information -- I and > many other JFK students and researchers are highly interested in this case, and in > the ARRB disposition of it. We would like to feel that the ARRB is responsive to > respectable suggestions, as this instance surely is. And we would like to do our > part in urging the process forward, if for some reason the mechanism for reviewing > what the ARRB is "interested" in fails to work in

Body: recstat:

DeliveryPriority: Ν DeliveryReport:

В

ReturnReceipt: Categories: