NR key name: 70D5B029359391E186256385006F20C5

SendTo: "Eileen_Sullivan" @ jfk-arrb.gov (Eileen Sullivan) @ Internet

CopyTo:

DisplayBlindCopyTo:

BlindCopyTo: CN=Eileen Sullivan/O=ARRB
From: jwjfk @ flash.net (jackwhite)

DisplayFromDomain:

DisplayDate: 08/13/1996 DisplayDate_Time: 2:46:04 PM

ComposedDate:

ComposedDate_Time:

Subject: Withd

Withdrawal of released documents

/ Subject. / Ne. Softy, its been - withurawn -/ Date. / Nioh, 12 Aug 1550-22.27.44 -0300 / Flohi. / twinpa@cyberstation.net (Anna Kuhns-Walko)> Reply-To: > jfkshare@exo.com> To: > Multiple recipients of <ifkshare@exo.com>> > Chris wrote:> >Check this out. I guess I was not familiar with all of the nuances of the> >JFK act, but it turns out that previously released documents -- in this> >case, photographs -- can be "withdrawn" from the archives by the FBI.>>> [quote on]>>> National Archives at College Park (letterhead, etc)>>> August 6, 1996>>> Chris W. Courtwright> >626 Osborn> >Carbondale, KS 66414> >> Dear Mr. Courtwright:> >> >This is in response to your request of July 11, 1996, via the National> >Archives Internet server.>>> Please note that HSCA document number 180-10090-10295, agency file> >number 000940 has been withdrawn by the authority of the Federal> > Bureau of Investigations (sic). You will notice that the RIF for this> >document has the entry OPEN IN FULL in the restriction field (see> >enclosed copy). The date of that entry is 07/30/93, however, the > document was withdrawn on 8/22/93. You may wish to contact us later > >for any change in the status of the document. We apologize for any> >inconvenience this may have caused.> >> >Please let us know if we may be of further assistance.> >[quote off]> >etc.> >Next is an attachment which says ACCESS RESTRICTED at the top.> >Later it says that "in the review of this file this item was removed> >because access to it is restricted. Restrictions on records in the National> >Archives are stated in general and specific record group restriction> >statements which are available for examination. The item identified> >above has been withdrawn because it contains:>>> Security-Classified Information>>----->>> X Otherwise Restricted Information>>---->>> FBI> 8/22/93>>----->> Authority> NSCA>> Withdrawn by> ----->>> [quote off again]>>> These were photos of Loran Hall, William Seymour, and John Lawrence.> >They were from the Miami Police Department, with a date of 3/15/1977.> >I was seeking them so I could compare the photos of Hall with some I got> >from the Wichita, KS Police Department.> >> >Anyone ever come across this before? Can the ARRB stop this from> >happening? What's to stop an agency from turning over a boatload of> >records on one day and then withdrawing them the next? Does the> >AARC in Washington or Anna-Marie or anyone else seek to get copies of> >all documents as soon as they are released? Could anyone have> > realistically gotten ahold of these during the three-week window of> > opportunity in 1993? Who is the NSCA?>>> Pondering lots of questions,>> Chris>>> AMKW: Unfortunately they can. You can request a more specific clarification on the withdrawing of something that was previously released. This is what> I meant by my obtaining things that have since been pulled back. We have> Reagan to thank for this trick under the heading of National Security> Executive Order 12356 of April 2, 1982. Chris contact me via my

Body: recstat:

DeliveryPriority: N **DeliveryReport:** B

ReturnReceipt: Categories: