

NR_key_name: FFD3995D142226668625642C005DF3EE

SendTo:

CopyTo:

DisplayBlindCopyTo:

BlindCopyTo: CN=Eileen Sullivan/O=ARRB

From: Robalini @ aol.com

DisplayFromDomain:

DisplayDate: 01/27/1997

DisplayDate_Time: 10:41:42 AM

ComposedDate:

ComposedDate_Time:

Subject: The Konformist: Rob and Bob on OK
well, the football season is now over (the FTO bowl doesn't count), which means I suddenly have 10 extra hours a week to do whatever I want. That will probably include writing, which will please many of you out there. Last week I printed a piece about the OK bomb, Timothy McVeigh, and the very strong possibility of mind control in the case (or as I call it Mind Kontrol, which would thus signify a continuation of MKULTRA). At this point, I must add some of my own additions: 1) Alex Constantine, in his piece, has, to a degree, implied that McVeigh is guilty as charged but was brainwashed. Personally, I have some very serious doubts of his guilt, to say the least. I suspect McVeigh, though involved with the people who did the bombing, had no fucking clue what was going on. In fact, if you check out some other sites (including Tony Sgarlatti's webpage at <http://www.future.net/~thetruth/okc.html> and the always stupendous Ian Goddard's <http://www.erols.com/igoddard/facts.htm>) you will soon discover that the evidence really leans to there being two bombs, and that neither of them actually came from the truck itself. It is perhaps the fact that the official story played right to my prejudice that I find this story so interesting. I'll admit it: when I first saw pictures of McVeigh, I didn't need to be told that this guy lived in a trailer park. And so, having decided that this guy was a redneck white-trash right-wing kook, I therefore assumed he was probably guilty. Still, I even had questions then, as I didn't think McVeigh had the brains or the inclination to mastermind something like this, but I took the "evidence" as presented in the corporate media at face value on this case. And that, to me, is perhaps as disturbing as alleging that people with power in the U.S. government were really behind the bombing itself. That someone as skeptical (and as cynical) as I thought I was could just swallow fairly whole-heartedly the official story on this one shows how easy it is for deception to be accepted as fact. When I began reading the evidence on this one, I realized that the level of lies we are being sold on was more than even I cared to admit. The simple fact of the matter is that the OK case is perhaps the biggest reason why I decided to start this magazine, as I realized there was a huge information network out there that was soon going to become mainstream and challenge the conventional wisdom of Time and Newsweek, and I believed (as I still do) that I could eventually create a magazine that would become the standard of this new way of thinking. But enough of me and my mag, the point is, the case against McVeigh is really a sales job, and it is a sales job that is working. I don't know who was exactly behind the OK bombing, whether it is BATF agents, right-wing militiamen, Iraqi agents, or "renegade" military commandos, or all or none. I don't know what exactly what the motivation was, whether it was to destroy indictment against Hillary and others involved in Whitewater, destroy secret papers on Waco, cause a panic to pass the diabolical anti-terrorism bill, or just as some sort of revenge bombing to

Body:

recstat:

DeliveryPriority: N

DeliveryReport: B

ReturnReceipt:

Categories: