DB996791817912DD862564520073BDFB NR key name:

SendTo: Eileen_Sullivan @ jfk-arrb.gov (Eileen Sullivan) @ internet

CopyTo:

DisplayBlindCopyTo:

CN=Eileen Sullivan/O=ARRB BlindCopyTo:

MGriffith 2@ compuserve.com ("Michael T. Griffith") From:

DisplayFromDomain:

DisplayDate: 03/06/1997 DisplayDate_Time: 3:04:57 PM

ComposedDate:

ComposedDate_Time:

Subject:

Important Research Issue--Marrion Baker

ivis. Sunivari, i beneve it would be instorically and evidentially important, even vital, for the ANNO to interview former Dallas patrolman Marrion Baker. In termsof assassination records alone, I believe the Board should interview Baker(1) to determine the accuracy of his Warren Commission (WC) testimony(which he contradicted in a sworn statement to the FBI), (2) to attempt tolearn what was said during the numerous times when WC counsel went "offrecord" with Baker during his testimony, and (3) to obtain information fromhim in response to questions that should have been asked of him by the WC.From a broader perspective, interviewing Baker could provide crucialadditional evidence that Oswald was not on the sixth floor of the TexasSchool Book Depository Building during the assassination. I have attached detailed article on this subject, which I hope you will pass on to theappropriate staff members, but allow me to briefly explain some of theissues involved with Baker's WC testimony:Baker swore that he spotted some unspecified "movement" when, from about 20feet away, he glanced through the small window of the second floor foyerdoor as he reached the second floor landing after coming up the stairs. Baker said he then went over to the door and saw Oswald in the lunchroom, which suggested Oswald had just come through the foyer door--and hence thatOswald had just come down the stairs (presumably, according to the WC, fromthe sixth floor). Baker added that when he first glanced at the door itMIGHT have been moving, but that it was almost closed in any case. However, there have always been significant problems with Baker's story. The WC acknowledged that Baker must have seen the unspecified "movement" just a second or two before Oswald went through the foyer door (or else nopart of Oswald's body would have been visible through the foyer door'swindow). Yet, Roy Truly, the building manager, was running several feetAHEAD of Baker, and Truly did not see Oswald, nor did Truly appear to haveseen the door moving. Furthermore, in Baker's final sworn statement, whichhe dictated to an FBI agent, he initially swore that when he saw Oswald, Oswald was STANDING next to the soda machine in the lunchroom WITH A COKEIN HIS HAND. WC defenders have always denied that Oswald bought the Cokebefore Baker saw him because if Oswald had already bought the Coke then it is virtually certain that he could not have been on the sixth floor duringthe shooting. Even leaving aside the issue of the Coke, WC defenders havehad a very difficult time explaining how Truly could have failed to seeOswald approaching or going through the foyer door if Oswald had just comedown the stairs and if the "movement" Baker claimed he saw was Oswald justafter the foyer door had nearly shut behind Oswald. The movement of the foyer door brings up another problem. That door had aslow automatic closer. Thus, if Oswald went through the foyer door just asecond or two before Baker glanced at the door, how could the door havebeen nearly closed when

Body: recstat:

DeliveryPriority: Ν DeliveryReport: В

ReturnReceipt: Categories: