

**NR\_key\_name:** 75F982F80DE3DA1E862564A6005AED04  
**SendTo:** Eileen\_Sullivan@jfk-arrb.gov  
**CopyTo:** mshack@concentric.net;JKELIN@RMII.COM;JoeBackes@aol.com  
**DisplayBlindCopyTo:**  
**BlindCopyTo:** CN=Eileen Sullivan/O=ARRB  
**From:** roberth@cyberg8t.com  
**DisplayFromDomain:**  
**DisplayDate:** 05/29/1997  
**DisplayDate\_Time:** 9:25:05 AM  
**ComposedDate:**  
**ComposedDate\_Time:**  
**Subject:** Re: ARRB Transcripts & Corrections

ASSASSINATION RECORDS REVIEW BOARD  
EILEEN A. SULLIVAN  
ASSISTANT PRESS AND PUBLIC AFFAIRS OFFICER  
Dear Eileen Sullivan: Martin Shackelford has posted corrections to the official ARRB transcripts at the following gopher site: <gopher://freenet.akron.oh.us:70/11/SIGS/JFK/Only/> (Martin Shackelford JFK Assassination Archive) Joe Backes has transcribed two of the Zapruder film meetings, which have been posted at the following web site: <http://shell.rmi.net/~jkelin/fp.html> (Fair Play) I have copied Mr. Shackelford, Mr. Backes, and Mr. Kelin (Fair Play) with this email. Please let me know if you need further information. Thank you for writing. Robert B. Hemming  
At 05:17 PM 5/28/97 -0400, you wrote: >>> Dear Mr. Hemming: >> Thank you for your note. I am curious about these corrected transcripts you mentioned. If you have a moment, could you respond with further information? Are these transcripts on the Internet? Do they differ from the ones I sent you? Any additional information would be helpful. >> I will send you a copy of the Board's authorizing legislation (Public Law 102-526.) >> Again, thank you for writing. >> Sincerely, >> Eileen A. Sullivan  
> Assistant Press and Public Affairs Officer  
>> To: Eileen\_Sullivan @ jfk-arrb.gov > cc: (bcc: Eileen Sullivan/ARRB) > From: roberth @ cyberg8t.com @ INTERNET@WORLDCOM > Date: 05/27/97 04:06:56 PM GMT > Subject: ARRB Transcripts & Corrections  
>>>> Assassination Records Review Board > Eileen A. Sullivan > Assistant Press and Public Affairs Officer  
>> Dear Eileen Sullivan: > I apologize for the delay in responding, but, I received in good order, the diskettes of the transcripts at an earlier date than, and the materials from the open record, by, May 19, 1997. Thank you again for your prompt response. > I have found that there are researchers who have made corrections to the transcripts, by apparently correcting typographical errors, etc.. Another makes his own version of the transcript by transcribing tapes of the hearings. Apparently, the second researcher was concerned about the delay between the hearing, its closing date for public comments, and the date of release of the official transcript of the hearing. > I am curious as to whether the rules of procedure of the ARRB allow for correction of the record after the date of closure of the hearing. > I am also requesting a reference to the rules of procedure under which the ARRB operates. On an unrelated matter, I recently reviewed the FCC's web site with regard to the comments posted there by various telephone companies and consumer groups with regard to the proposal to charge by the minute a surcharge for Internet Service Provider usage. Never having practiced before a Federal Commission like the FCC, only in state and federal courts, I was quite impressed by the formality of the "comments" submitted to the FCC. > If there is a copy of the rules of practice and procedure of the ARRB, I would appreciate a copy of the same or a reference to them. I appreciate your cooperation in this matter. > Thank you again. > Robert B. Hemming  
>>>> X-Lotus-

**Body:**  
**recstat:**  
**DeliveryPriority:**  
**DeliveryReport:**  
**ReturnReceipt:**  
**Categories:**