NR_key_name: 80F8C945E0DC6414862564B3006B1EE1

SendTo: eileen_sullivan@JFK-ARRB.gov

CopyTo:

DisplayBlindCopyTo:

BlindCopyTo: CN=Eileen Sullivan/O=ARRB pagem@CapAccess.org

DisplayFromDomain:

DisplayDate: 06/11/1997 DisplayDate_Time: 3:31:56 PM

ComposedDate:

ComposedDate_Time:

Subject: Washington Update Vol. 3, #22, June 11, 1997 (fwd)

INCC Washington Opuate, vol. 5, # 22, Julie 11, 1337 by rage ruthant while, Director of the National Coordinating Committee for the Promotion of History <pagem@capaccess.org>1. Appeals Court Hears Oral Arguments on Public Interest Standard for Unsealing Grand Jury Records2. White House Calls On Agencies To Respond to Recommendations in the Moynihan Commission Report on Secrecy3. House Committee Recommends Reauthorization Of JFK Assassinations Records Review Board4. Update on House Mark-Ups for FY'98 Agency Budgets1. Appeals Court Hears Oral Arguments on Public Interest Standard for Unsealing Grand Jury Records -- On June 7 the United States Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit in New York City heard oral arguments in the case of Bruce Craig v. United States of America (No. 96-6264). In this case Craig, a PhD student at American University, filed a petition in 1996-- that was denied by the lower court -- for an order releasinghistorically significant grand jury records pertaining to a McCarthy eraespionage investigation of Harry Dexter White, a high-ranking TreasuryDepartment official accused of being a Communist spy. Specifically Craigis seeking access to the 79-page transcript of White's grand jurytestimony that occurred five months before his death. Craig's petitionshowed that there is an overwhelming public interest, rooted in access forgenuine historical research, in disclosure of the White grand jurytranscript and little interest in maintaining its secrecy. Each side had only ten minutes to present its arguments to the panel of Judges Kearse, Calabresi, and Munson. Lucinda Sikes, of the PublicCitizen Litigation Group, presented the plaintiff's arguments focusing ondiminishing sensitivity over time and the historical interest in oldrecords in which no harm would occur from their release. The government's defense was rooted in the very narrow argument that the Court should notdeviate from the rule for keeping grand jury records closed. Thegovernment did not attempt to make a case that the records in questionare not of historical value. Several of the judges' questions indicated that they were interested in exploring the circumstances under which grandjury records had been opened in the past and tho se conditions under whichthere could be an exception -- for public interest in extraordinarilysignificant historical documents -- to the general rule. The American Historical Association, the Organization of AmericanHistorians, the National Council on Public History, and the Society of American Archivists filed an amici brief in support of Craig's petition. The amici brief stresses the public's compelling interest in knowing itsown history, in observing the operation of the criminal justice system, and in establishing a precedent in which the public's interest in historic grand jury records can justify the unsealing those records. The AppealsCourt will probably rule in this case this summer.2. White House Calls On Agencies To Respond to Recommendations in the Moynihan Commission Report on Secrecy -- In May, Samuel Berger, the National

Body: recstat:

DeliveryPriority: DeliveryReport: ReturnReceipt: Categories: