

**NR\_key\_name:** 3470C2CBBF28683486256594007FDD5B**SendTo:** Eileen\_Sullivan @ jfk-arrrb.gov**CopyTo:****DisplayBlindCopyTo:****BlindCopyTo:** CN=Eileen Sullivan/O=ARRB**From:** lpease@netcom.com**DisplayFromDomain:****DisplayDate:** 01/22/1998**DisplayDate\_Time:** 3:11:31 PM**ComposedDate:****ComposedDate\_Time:**

**Subject:** Re: Miscommunication or...?

And btw - in a follow-up message I found out this was about an only-just-released document. Sheesh I wouldn't have bothered you for that - things take time! :) Thanks again. Lisa Pease

It is as if the final price for winning the Cold War is our confinement to a permanent childhood where reassuring fantasies and endless diversions protect us from the hard truth of our own recent history." --Robert Parry, THE CONSORTIUM, 2/17/97

Check out my Real History Archives @ <http://www.webcom.com/lpease> Visit the site of Probe Magazine at <http://www.webcom.com/ctka>

On Wed, 21 Jan 1998, Eileen Sullivan wrote:>> Dear Lisa:>> With regard to document number 124-10035-10022, it is open in full. It> should be in the JFK Collection at the National Archives. This document> was primarily open in full (a few redactions) before it was reviewed the> Board. The Board subsequently voted to release the remaining redacted> information.>> If you provide this information to a staff member in the JFK Collection, I> am sure he or she will be able to help you.>>> Eileen>> To: Eileen\_Sullivan @ jfk-arrrb.gov> cc: (bcc: Eileen Sullivan/ARRB)> From: lpease @ netcom.com @ INTERNET @ INTERLIANT> Date: 01/21/98 08:07:57 AM PST> Subject: Miscommunication or...?>>>>>> I was surprised at this message because so far, in regards to documents> requested by Probe, we've had no such problem. But sadly, there are still> a ton of very interesting documents that do not appear to have been> declassified.> Has the document referenced below been declassified? If so, where are the> other 795 pages? And if not, I'd be happy to forward a respons to Joe and> Jim Hargrove explaining how they are mistaken about this. Thanks in> advance for any help you can provide.>>> ----- Forwarded message -----> Date: Mon, 19 Jan 1998 18:17:03 -0600> From: Jim Hargrove <jimh@wwa.com>> To: 'Lisa Pease' <lpease@netcom.com>> Subject: RE: Nichols Juror Slams FBI> On Sunday, January 18, 1998 11:35 AM, Lisa Pease[SMTP:lpease@netcom.com]> wrote:> > Great response to Steve. A while back I started to suspect he was less> > than sincere - others thought I was crazy. But I think we are really> > seeing his true colors now. Makes me wonder about Barb, who considers> > Steve perhaps her very best friend in all of this.> Hi, Lisa. Always nice to hear from you.> Here's a suggestion for a future Probe article. I've been reading Joe> Backes' writeups on the ARRB releases, and it sure sounds to me like> someone is playing games with the Records Act and the collection. Joe> writes that so many docs claimed to be released are barely open at> all--just a page or two from what should be a large document, for example.> Other "releases" are missing entirely. And he describes how the retrieval> procedures make it nearly impossible for researchers to work efficiently.> So, how about an article called "The Mess at the Archives" or something> like that? Joe would be a likely candidate to write it.> One document from the whole wretched mess I'd like to see, for example, is> a 797-page FBI report about Oswald aliases.

**Body:****recstat:****DeliveryPriority:****DeliveryReport:****ReturnReceipt:****Categories:**