

NR_key_name: 23B1F4AD7EF316BC862565D8003718CF
SendTo: cdrago @ rilin.state.ri.us

twinpa @ wf.net;Barb_J @ lx.netcom.com;74041.1372 @ compuserve.com;badams @ redacted.com;bd63 @ ne.mediaone.net;cdrago @ rilin.state.ri.us;CKritzberg @ compuserve.com;dperry2 @ flash.net;dlifton @ compuserve.com;David_Marwell_at_USHMM @ inetgate.ushmm.org;deanie @ freenet.akron.oh.us;debra @ jfklancer.com;DWMANTIK @ aol.com;Eileen_Sullivan @ jfk-arrrb.gov;71510.235 @ compuserve.com;garyag @ lx.netcom.com;gmack @ jfk.org;gtcressy @ pacifier.com;gearhard @ primenet.com;72724.564 @ compuserve.com;jaynes @ flash.net;igriggs @ easynet.co.uk;jwjfk @ flash.net;JALentz @ aol.com;JSAWA @ aol.com;jrsjfk @ idt.net;jfetzer @ d.umn.edu;jmnewman @ erols.com;joebackes @ aol.com;jnriley @ sprintmail.com;jkelin @ rainbow.rmii.com;kathy @ ns1.praxis.net;74774.1276 @ compuserve.com;Lenny_M @ earthlink.net;lplease @ netcom.com;Homeoffice @ Infogenic.co.uk;mam @ comteck.com;mtgriffith @ aol.com;MillicentCranor @ compuserve.com;pamelam @ primenet.com;paulhoch @ uclink4.berkeley.edu;pdscott @ socrates.berkeley.edu;robertg1 @ airmail.net;rfeinman @ junio.com;peterston @ garlic.com;rredmon @ switzerland.k12.in.us;rjmc1 @ dhc.net;russ63 @ lx.netcom.com;r_kent @ hotmail.com;gerlach @ crafti.com.au;sbochan @ erols.com;SGJONES @ PTD.net;74063.3405 @ compuserve.com;jfklancr @ flash.net;eeyore @ ecsis.net;KIASJFK @ aol.com

CopyTo:
DisplayBlindCopyTo:
BlindCopyTo: CN=Eileen Sullivan/O=ARRB
From: palamara@telerama.com
DisplayFromDomain:
DisplayDate: 03/31/1998
DisplayDate_Time: 5:01:24 AM
ComposedDate:
ComposedDate_Time:
Subject:

100 PERCENT PROOF THAT THE ZAPRUDER FILM WAS ALTERED

days are issues of authenticity(the Z-Film) and researcher "flaming"! Now that I roped you in (talk about "bait and switch"!), I wanted to share my views on the state of the case that I think are important for everyone to read. In my humble opinion (and you know what they say about opinions...), I think, with all due respect, that the days of big-time, formal conferences are over. Between the networking going on via the "information superhighway" (a.k.a. the Internet) and the expense of these formal venues, there no longer seems to be a burning need or desire to have these type of conferences (unlike even up to the early 1990's, when the print journals---particularly the THIRD Decade---ruled and "snail mail" was it). With the advent of technology, the average researcher can get all the "state-of-the-art" info. he/she may care to get, and then some. In addition, there is a wide variety to choose from: websites, e-mail, newsgroups, etc. This is NOT to cast any aspersions against COPA's impressive-looking Fall conference; I wish them nothing but the best and I hope they get 1,000+ people...but don't bet on it. The attendance has fallen drastically at ALL conferences since the very early nineties and, again, the expense, coupled with the information "options" available to everyone who can handle a computer mouse, has greatly curtailed the NEED for formalities, so to speak. What is needed---especially as we approach the new Millennium---is a different course of action. The general public, while OVERWHELMINGLY on our side, does NOT attend any of our conferences. The days of best-selling JFK books are probably a thing of the past (not so much because of Posner but because of the muddying of the waters caused by so many conflicting theories---another problem in and of itself). There are many, many researchers/ authors doing GREAT work out there, and the ARRB/ the Archives is supplying us with millions of pages of documents we never dreamed we would get our hands on even up to a short four or five years ago (pre-Stone film/ legislation). However, the press rarely reports on anything released, much less of anything significant, and, when they DO, they report on "straw men" that are easily toppled over (i.e. the long-dismissed ruminations that the KGB had a hand in the plot in Dallas on 11/22/63). It is time to stop living in denial: there definitely WAS a conspiracy. The public BELIEVES it...they just do not KNOW it. Why? Because the "state-of-the-art" research is restricted via expensive conferences and many conflicting theories (again, unlike some recent writers, I am NOT casting aspersions ON these conflicting theorists OR even the sponsors of these expensive conferences---I have indulged both many times; we all have). It seems to me, at this late date, that we should concentrate all our efforts on reporting, to the best of our abilities, the facts as we see and know them, not necessarily just to the media, the public, or to the government...but to history. Ultimately, what

Body:
recstat:
DeliveryPriority:
DeliveryReport:
ReturnReceipt:
Categories: