

NR_key_name: 715B95F9D6C7BECA862565DC000BEB0D
SendTo: mshack @ concentric.net

palamara @ telerama.com;debra @ jfklancer.com;MSwift1002 @ aol.com;twinpa @ wf.net;Barb_J @ lx.netcom.com;74041.1372 @ compuserve.com;badams @ redacted.com;bd63 @ ne.mediaone.net;cdrago @ rilin.state.ri.us;SHARRECH @ LANMAIL.SHU.EDU;canyon @ pe.net;CKritzberg @ compuserve.com;JVTG95A @ prodigy.com;dperry2 @ flash.net;dlifton @ compuserve.com;deanie @ freenet.akron.oh.us;DWMANTIK @ aol.com;Eileen_Sullivan @ jfk-arrb.gov;72040.2426 @ compuserve.com;71510.235 @ compuserve.com;garyag @ lx.netcom.com;gmack @ jfk.org;gtcressy @ pacifier.com;72724.564 @ compuserve.com;jaynes @ flash.net;igriggs @ hotmail.com;JALentz @ aol.com;JSAWA @ aol.com;rose @ fredonia.edu;jfetzer @ d.umn.edu;jmnewman @ erols.com;joebackes @ aol.com;jnriley @ sprintmail.com;jkelin @ rainbow.rmii.com;jmcadams @ primenet.com;kathy @ ns1.praxis.net;74774.1276 @ compuserve.com;Lenny_M @ earthlink.net;Homeoffice @ Infogenic.co.uk;mam @ comteck.com;mtgriffith @ aol.com;MilicentCranor @ compuserve.com;pamelam @ primenet.com;paulhoch @ uclink4.berkeley.edu;pdscott @ socrates.berkeley.edu;rlinton @ idirect.com;rbfeinman @ junos.com;petereson @ garlic.com;rredmon @ switzerland.k12.in.us;rjmc1 @ dhc.net;russ63 @ lx.netcom.com;r_kent @ hotmail.com;sgalanor @ aol.com;gerlach @ crafti.com.au;sbochan @ erols.com;SGJONES @ PTD.net;74063.3405 @ compuserve.com;ushann @ po-box.mcgill.ca;jrsjfk @ idt.net;KIASJFK @ aol.com;KFITZ @ prodigy.net;robertg1 @ airmail.net

CopyTo:
DisplayBlindCopyTo:
BlindCopyTo: CN=Eileen Sullivan/O=ARRB
From: jwjfk@flash.net
DisplayFromDomain:
DisplayDate: 04/03/1998
DisplayDate_Time: 8:06:34 PM
ComposedDate:
ComposedDate_Time:
Subject:

Re: IT IS TIME TO RECONCILE OUR INTERNECINE DIFFERENCES
and SHARRECH wrote: << vince >> if you're issuing a call for reconciliation, why leave names? Fitzgerald on the mailing list? Seems like the quality of your work> would greatly improve if you could mend that particular fence.> I've always had mixed feelings myself about the conferences,> but they do bring people together, they offer opportunities to present> new information, as well as opportunities for some healthy give and take> discussions. I have heard every conference bad-mouthed to some degree,> and it seems kind of pointless. I offer my sincere thanks to everyone> who has made the effort to organize conferences. We owe them a major> debt of gratitude.> I also agree that in-fighting can be destructive, and flaming> cheapens the debate. At the same time, a vigorous debate helps us winnow> out the misinformation, and get closer to the truth of this case.> Maybe some people are thinking of the research community as> similar to the anti-war movement: if we could only reconcile our> differences and pull our full strength together, we could end this war.> I remember all that very clearly. But our unity is around a negative:> the Warren Report got it wrong. When it comes to what we are for, we are> divided into many smaller groups. We were able to unify to some degree> to push for the HSCA investigation, and even better for the JFK Records> Act. Those are the kinds of things we can all agree on and have a strong> chance of accomplishing.> Instead of general calls for unity, maybe we should ponder> which issues we can fruitfully work together on, accepting that there> will continue to be theoretical differences galore.FOR MANY YEARS, I WAS SCOFFED AT FOR MY MULTIPLE OSWALD THEORIES. THENALONG CAME JOHN ARMSTRONG WITH DEFINITIVE RESEARCH WHICH GOES FAR BEYOND MYTHEORIES AND PROVES THAT THE TWO (OR MORE) OSWALDS WERE A CREATION OF ANINTELLIGENCE AGENCY. NOW MANY RESEARCHERS ACCEPT **TOO MANY OSWALDS** AS PROVEN.SO MERE OPPOSITION TO A THEORY, EVEN FLAMING THOSE WHO ESPOUSE IT, HAS NOTHINGTO DO WITH THE MERIT OF ANY IDEA.I PREDICT THAT THE STUDY OF THE Z FILM WILL FOLLOW THE SAME COURSE. THOSE OF USWHO SUGGEST THAT ANOMALIES SHOULD BE INVESTIGATED TO SEE IF TAMPERING OCCURREDWILL EVENTUALLY BE VINDICATED WHEN POSITIVE PROOF IS PRESENTED, AND THOSE WHOHAVE VILIFIED US FOR SUGGESTING SUCH A STUDY WILL BE FORCED TO EAT CROW...EXCEPTFOR A FEW LNUITTERS WHO WILL CONTINUE TO ESPOUSE THE GOVERNMENT LINE. Back in the Sixties,> the anti-war movement was incredibly diverse; as Abbie Hoffman said> about his co-defendants in the Chicago 8 trial, "We couldn't agree on> lunch," but they were able to work out strategies together nonetheless.> We can do the same thing.> I would disagree that newsgroup disputes give the media fuel> for the fire. I doubt that the media pays much attention to them,ONLY THE CIA AND FBI MONITOR THEM. NEWS MEDIA IGNORE THEM FOR SURE.if> any. If they do, they certainly don't mention it.> I have a lot

Body:
recstat:
DeliveryPriority:
DeliveryReport:
ReturnReceipt:
Categories: