NR\_key\_name: SendTo: CopyTo: DisplayBlindCopyTo: BlindCopyTo: From: DisplayFromDomain: DisplayDate: DisplayDate\_Time: ComposedDate: ComposedDate\_Time: Subject: E2FCDD57303EE22E862565E60052ABD4 reharris @ thuntek.net

CN=Eileen Sullivan/O=ARRB MilicentCranor@compuserve.com

04/14/1998 10:50:40 AM

## Resp to ROBERT HARRIS

------HARRIS: Ms. Cranor - please excuse me for butting in, but as lunderstand it, you are suggesting that JBC actually turned far to his left,looked at the President and was then hit in the back.CRANOR: (1) CONNALLY said that is what happened after Kennedy was hit;(2) witnesses said that is what happened; (3) an FBI film analyst said thatis what happened on the Z film.HARRIS: Are you suggesting that Connally was a primary target? If he wasnot thendo you believe the shooter was so inept that he missed Kennedy by 3-4feet?CRANOR: WHERE DO I SUGGEST CONNALLY WAS THE PRIMARY TARGET?HARRIS: I can see how you might posit a bullet from the front causinghis woundsas he is turned to the rear,CRANOR: We cannot use any of the testimony to make informed speculationbecause we do not know (1) how far to the left he turned, or (2) whenexactly he was hit in relation to that turn.But the Connally's knew. The FBI knew since the left turn was on \*their\*copy of the film. They could have put the information together and comeup with a conclusion that did not fit the Warren Commission scenario..In any case, this testimony already compromises the WC conclusion because it describes JBC and JFK being hit by separate bullets fired too

closelytogether.HARRIS: but how do you explain the thigh wound? Was this caused by adifferent bullet? If so, did Dr. Shaw and his staff lie when they described the very shallow wound in his leg? And how do you explain

Body: recstat: DeliveryPriority: DeliveryReport: ReturnReceipt: Categories: