

NR_key_name: DEDF58A535EC0682862565FB005D422C

SendTo: Eileen_Sullivan @ jfk-arrrb.gov

CopyTo:

DisplayBlindCopyTo:

BlindCopyTo: CN=Eileen Sullivan/O=ARRB

From: Judge.John.Tunheim@usdcmn.cchub.com

DisplayFromDomain:

DisplayDate: 05/05/1998

DisplayDate_Time: 11:28:38 AM

ComposedDate:

ComposedDate_Time:

Subject: Re[2]: Indigo Films, documentary about ARRB
 THANKS, EILEEN SPOKE WITH JEREMY THIS MORNING ABOUT THE WAFFEN ISSUE. HE MAY HAVE ALREADY SPOKE WITH
 you, but I told him that I believe that we need to cooperate, but that the level of cooperation should be
 commensurate with our many responsibilities to wrap up our effort. I plan to raise the issue generally with the
 Board (our minimalist cooperation) and Jeremy suggested that I meet with Waffen, perhaps for breakfast on
 Wednesday. (Another thought, maybe it would be good for you to join us for breakfast). My thought is that I
 can do an interview and perhaps one additional Board member (Bill, maybe?) and we can let them film some
 work going on in the office. Do you think that this is a reasonable response? I don't think that Jeremy wants to
 be interviewed, unless the entire Board is interviewed and he needs to address some of the additional issues
 that might be raised under such a scenario. Kermit and Anna have raised legitimate concerns, I think, but we
 are better off cooperating than saying we are not interested. Jack _____ Reply
 Separator _____ Subject: Re: Indigo Films, documentary about ARRB Author: "Eileen
 Sullivan" <Eileen_Sullivan@jfk-arrrb.gov> Date: 5/5/98 11:48 AM I am sending this again, as it was returned to
 me. To: Eileen_Sullivan @ jfk-arrrb.gov, waltz.interliant.com cc: "Jeremy_Gunn/ARRB%ARRB" @ jfk-arrrb.gov
 (bcc: Eileen Sullivan/ARRB) From: hall.409 @ osu.edu @ INTERNET @ INTERLIANT Date: 05/01/98 05:25:41 PM
 MST Subject: Re: Indigo Films, documentary about ARRB Eileen and Jeremy, I continue to have serious
 reservations about the proposal, which I have now read. The language strikes me as hyperbolic and even
 bombastic in places, and it largely misses what it is that we have done and what it is that we have contributed.
 So, I vote no, although I would, as always, be willing to discuss the issue further with colleagues. Best,
 Kermit At 02:01 PM 5/1/98 -0400, Eileen Sullivan wrote: >> This same message below, along with the attachments, is also
 being > transmitted by fax. >> Dear Board Members: >> As you are aware, David Frank and Nan Waffen of Indigo
 films would like to > do a documentary about the Review Board. I have attached a revised > proposal and a copy
 of an e-mail that I received from Ms. Waffen. >> After reviewing this material, please let Jeremy and me know
 how and if you > would like to proceed. With regard to interviews, Indigo films has > requested interviews with
 you and Jeremy. Jeremy is reluctant to do so, > but will participate if you think it is advisable. >> Ms. Waffen
 would like to meet with you for a few minutes while you are here > in May. She would explain a little more
 about the documentary and answer > any questions you may have. Please let me know if you would like me
 to > arrange such a meeting. >> I found out that Steve Tilley is being interviewed today, and that Richard > Trask
 has agreed to participate as well. >> Thank you. >>> Eileen >>>> Kermit L. Hall Dean, College of
 Humanities Professor of History and Law The Ohio State University 186 University Hall 230 North Oval

Body:

recstat:

DeliveryPriority:

DeliveryReport:

ReturnReceipt:

Categories: