	1000.0000000000000000000000000000000000
NR_key_name: SendTo:	1969A9F1B55E1F62862566270070D567 "Marler, Chuck" <cmarler@pwg.co.san-bernardino.ca.us></cmarler@pwg.co.san-bernardino.ca.us>
Senaro.	Jan Stevens ; (unknown) ;Ailan Eaglesnam
	<aeaglesham@email.msn.com>;Anna Marie Kuhns-Walko <twinpa@wf.net>;AnthonyMarsh</twinpa@wf.net></aeaglesham@email.msn.com>
	<amarsh@quik.com>;Barb Junkkarinen <barb_j@ix.netcom.com>;Barbara Daigle <daigle@nstar.net>;Barbara</daigle@nstar.net></barb_j@ix.netcom.com></amarsh@quik.com>
	LaMonica <74041.1372@compuserve.com>;Bill Adams <badams@redacted.com>;Bill Drenas</badams@redacted.com>
	<bd63@ne.mediaone.net>;Charles Drago <cdrago@rilin.state.ri.us>;Chris Courtwright</cdrago@rilin.state.ri.us></bd63@ne.mediaone.net>
	<chrisc@ir01.wpo.state.ks.us>;Chuck Marler <canyon@pe.net>;clintbrad4d@earthlink.net;Connie Kritzberg <ckritzberg@compuserve.com>;"D. DeSalles, MD" <jvtg95a@prodigy.com>;"D.Boylan"</jvtg95a@prodigy.com></ckritzberg@compuserve.com></canyon@pe.net></chrisc@ir01.wpo.state.ks.us>
	Contraberg@compuserve.com/, b. besailes, wb <1v1055x@plotingy.com/, b. boyian <david boylan@brown.edu="">;Dave Perry <dperry2@flash.net>;David Lifton <dlifton@compuserve.com>;David</dlifton@compuserve.com></dperry2@flash.net></david>
	Starks <aweb@cynet.net>;Deanie Richards <deanie@freenet.akron.oh.us>;Debra Conway</deanie@freenet.akron.oh.us></aweb@cynet.net>
	<pre><debra@jfklancer.com>;Doug Weldon <dweldon@kalamazoo.net>;"Dr. David Mantik"</dweldon@kalamazoo.net></debra@jfklancer.com></pre>
	COMMANTIK@aol.com>;Ed Sherry <treefrog@ix.netcom.com>;Eleen Sullivan <eileen_sullivan@jfk-< p=""></eileen_sullivan@jfk-<></treefrog@ix.netcom.com>
	arrb.gov>;Frank DeBenedictis <72040.2426@compuserve.com>;Gaeton Fonzi
	<71510.235@compuserve.com>;Gary Aguilar <garyag@ix.netcom.com>;Gary Mack</garyag@ix.netcom.com>
	<gmack@jfk.org>;GEORGE MICHAEL EVICA <evica@uhavax.hartford.edu>;GG300Lance</evica@uhavax.hartford.edu></gmack@jfk.org>
	<gg300@aol.com>;Glen Sample <sixthfloor@earthlink.net>;Glenn Cressy <gtcressy@pacifier.com>;Greg</gtcressy@pacifier.com></sixthfloor@earthlink.net></gg300@aol.com>
	Jaynes <jaynes@flash.net>;Jan Griggs <igriggs@hotmail.com>;Jack White <jwjfk@flash.net>;Jack Ziegler</jwjfk@flash.net></igriggs@hotmail.com></jaynes@flash.net>
	<jackzig@skylands.net>;James Lentz <jalentz@aol.com>;Jamie Sawa <jsawa@aol.com>;jerrymac <jerrymac@mci2000.com>;Jim Hargrove <jimh@wwa.com>;Jim Marrs <jmarrs@flash.net>;Joe Backes</jmarrs@flash.net></jimh@wwa.com></jerrymac@mci2000.com></jsawa@aol.com></jalentz@aol.com></jackzig@skylands.net>
	<pre><joebackes@aol.com>;Joe Durnavich <joejd@mcs.net>;Joe Riehl <real0@linknet.net>;Joe Riley</real0@linknet.net></joejd@mcs.net></joebackes@aol.com></pre>
	<pre></pre>
	Haapanen <haapanen@lcsc.edu>;Larry Hancock</haapanen@lcsc.edu>
	<74774.1276@compuserve.com>;Lenny_M@earthlink.net;Lisa Pease
	<pre><lpease@netcom.com>;"MADDISON,Mark" <mark.maddison@employment.gov.au>;Martha Moyer</mark.maddison@employment.gov.au></lpease@netcom.com></pre>
	<mam@comteck.com>;MARY FERRELL <mferrell@airmail.net>;Max Holland <mxh@juno.com>;Michael Russ</mxh@juno.com></mferrell@airmail.net></mam@comteck.com>
	<ck260@freenet.buffalo.edu>;"Michael T. Griffith" <mtgriffith@aol.com>;Mike Blackwell</mtgriffith@aol.com></ck260@freenet.buffalo.edu>
	<mikeb@inetport.com>;Milicent Cranor <milicentcranor@compuserve.com>;Nancy Wertz <bndt@classic.msn.com>;Pamela McElwain</bndt@classic.msn.com></milicentcranor@compuserve.com></mikeb@inetport.com>
	<pre><pre>clausec.nsil.com/,ranela McLiwain <pre>clausec.nsil.com/,ranela McLiwain <pre>clausec.nsil.com/,ranela McLiwain <pre>clausec.nsil.com/,ranela McLiwain </pre></pre></pre></pre></pre>
СоруТо:	<pre><pre><pre><pre><pre><pre><pre><pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre>
DisplayBlindCopyTo:	
BlindCopyTo:	CN=Eileen Sullivan/O=ARRB
From:	james fetzer <jfetzer@d.umn.edu></jfetzer@d.umn.edu>
DisplayFromDomain:	
DisplayDate:	06/18/1998
DisplayDate_Time:	8:21:55 PM
ComposedDate:	8:21:55 PM
–	RE: POSNER "REVISITED": The Deconstruction of Vincent Bugliosi
ComposedDate: ComposedDate_Time:	RE: POSNER "REVISITED": The Deconstruction of Vincent Bugliosi Arguments on benait ot predetermined conclusions are not philosopny, but special pleadingBertrand
ComposedDate: ComposedDate_Time:	RE: POSNER "REVISITED": The Deconstruction of Vincent Bugliosi Arguments on benait of predetermined conclusions are not philosopny, but special pleadingBertrand RussellHaving just returned from two weeks in London (where, among other things,I had been invited to
ComposedDate: ComposedDate_Time:	RE: POSNER "REVISITED": The Deconstruction of Vincent Bugliosi Arguments on benait of predetermined conclusions are not philosophy, but special pleadingBertrand RussellHaving just returned from two weeks in London (where, among other things,I had been invited to present a talk to the annual meeting of the BritishSociety for the Philosophy of Science on inference to the
ComposedDate: ComposedDate_Time:	RE: POSNER "REVISITED": The Deconstruction of Vincent Bugliosi Arguments on benait of predetermined conclusions are not philosopny, but special pleadingBertrand RussellHaving just returned from two weeks in London (where, among other things,I had been invited to
ComposedDate: ComposedDate_Time:	RE: POSNER "REVISITED": The Deconstruction of Vincent Bugliosi Arguments on benait of predetermined conclusions are not philosophy, but special pleadingBertrand RussellHaving just returned from two weeks in London (where, among other things,I had been invited to present a talk to the annual meeting of the BritishSociety for the Philosophy of Science on inference to the best explanationthat included conspiracy in the assassination of JFK as an example), I wasdismayed to discover
ComposedDate: ComposedDate_Time:	RE: POSNER "REVISITED": The Deconstruction of Vincent Bugliosi Arguments on benait of predetermined conclusions are not philosophy, but special pleadingBertrand RussellHaving just returned from two weeks in London (where, among other things,I had been invited to present a talk to the annual meeting of the BritishSociety for the Philosophy of Science on inference to the best explanationthat included conspiracy in the assassination of JFK as an example), I wasdismayed to discover that Mr. Bugliosi, whom I have admired in the past inrelation to his good work on the Manson case (HELTER
ComposedDate: ComposedDate_Time:	RE: POSNER "REVISITED": The Deconstruction of Vincent Bugliosi Arguments on benair of predetermined conclusions are not philosophy, but special pleadingBertrand RussellHaving just returned from two weeks in London (where, among other things,I had been invited to present a talk to the annual meeting of the BritishSociety for the Philosophy of Science on inference to the best explanationthat included conspiracy in the assassination of JFK as an example), I wasdismayed to discover that Mr. Bugliosi, whom I have admired in the past inrelation to his good work on the Manson case (HELTER SKELTER) and the O.J.Simpson case (OUTRAGE), is persisting in this project on the assassination.When this first became public knowledge (several months ago), I sent him acopy of ASSASSIATION SCIENCE in the hope that he might at least considersome of the serious scientific evidence of governmental cover-up and com-plicity. At
ComposedDate: ComposedDate_Time:	RE: POSNER "REVISITED": The Deconstruction of Vincent Bugliosi Arguments on benair of predetermined conclusions are not philosophy, but special pleadingBertrand RussellHaving just returned from two weeks in London (where, among other things,I had been invited to present a talk to the annual meeting of the BritishSociety for the Philosophy of Science on inference to the best explanationthat included conspiracy in the assassination of JFK as an example), I wasdismayed to discover that Mr. Bugliosi, whom I have admired in the past inrelation to his good work on the Manson case (HELTER SKELTER) and the O.J.Simpson case (OUTRAGE), is persisting in this project on the assassination. When this first became public knowledge (several months ago), I sent him acopy of ASSASSIATION SCIENCE in the hope that he might at least considersome of the serious scientific evidence of governmental cover-up and com-plicity. At this point, I doubt that he has even seen the book, which lexpect has been passed along to others for their
ComposedDate: ComposedDate_Time:	RE: POSNER "REVISITED": The Deconstruction of Vincent Bugliosi Arguments on benair or predetermined conclusions are not philosophy, but special pleadingBertrand RussellHaving just returned from two weeks in London (where, among other things,I had been invited to present a talk to the annual meeting of the BritishSociety for the Philosophy of Science on inference to the best explanationthat included conspiracy in the assassination of JFK as an example), I wasdismayed to discover that Mr. Bugliosi, whom I have admired in the past inrelation to his good work on the Manson case (HELTER SKELTER) and the O.J.Simpson case (OUTRAGE), is persisting in this project on the assassination. When this first became public knowledge (several months ago), I sent him acopy of ASSASSIATION SCIENCE in the hope that he might at least considersome of the serious scientific evidence of governmental cover-up and com-plicity. At this point, I doubt that he has even seen the book, which lexpect has been passed along to others for their rapid disposition. Hereare a few thoughts about a rather distressing sitation to compliment thosefrom Chuck
ComposedDate: ComposedDate_Time:	RE: POSNER "REVISITED": The Deconstruction of Vincent Bugliosi Arguments on Denair of predetermined conclusions are not philosophy, but special pleadingBertrand RussellHaving just returned from two weeks in London (where, among other things,I had been invited to present a talk to the annual meeting of the BritishSociety for the Philosophy of Science on inference to the best explanationthat included conspiracy in the assassination of JFK as an example), I wasdismayed to discover that Mr. Bugliosi, whom I have admired in the past inrelation to his good work on the Manson case (HELTER SKELTER) and the O.J.Simpson case (OUTRAGE), is persisting in this project on the assassination. When this first became public knowledge (several months ago), I sent him acopy of ASSASSIATION SCIENCE in the hope that he might at least considersome of the serious scientific evidence of governmental cover-up and com-plicity. At this point, I doubt that he has even seen the book, which lexpect has been passed along to others for their rapid disposition. Hereare a few thoughts about a rather distressing sitation to compliment thosefrom Chuck Marler and Vincent Palamara to help keep things in perspective:(1) The book is by Vincent Bugliosi WITH FRED
ComposedDate: ComposedDate_Time:	RE: POSNER "REVISITED": The Deconstruction of Vincent Bugliosi Arguments on benair or predetermined conclusions are not philosophy, but special pleadingBertrand RussellHaving just returned from two weeks in London (where, among other things,I had been invited to present a talk to the annual meeting of the BritishSociety for the Philosophy of Science on inference to the best explanationthat included conspiracy in the assassination of JFK as an example), I wasdismayed to discover that Mr. Bugliosi, whom I have admired in the past inrelation to his good work on the Manson case (HELTER SKELTER) and the O.J.Simpson case (OUTRAGE), is persisting in this project on the assassination. When this first became public knowledge (several months ago), I sent him acopy of ASSASSIATION SCIENCE in the hope that he might at least considersome of the serious scientific evidence of governmental cover-up and com-plicity. At this point, I doubt that he has even seen the book, which lexpect has been passed along to others for their rapid disposition. Hereare a few thoughts about a rather distressing sitation to compliment thosefrom Chuck
ComposedDate: ComposedDate_Time:	RE: POSNER "REVISITED": The Deconstruction of Vincent Bugliosi Arguments on Denair of predetermined conclusions are not philosophy, but special pleadingBertrand RussellHaving just returned from two weeks in London (where, among other things,I had been invited to present a talk to the annual meeting of the BritishSociety for the Philosophy of Science on inference to the best explanationthat included conspiracy in the assassination of JFK as an example), I wasdismayed to discover that Mr. Bugliosi, whom I have admired in the past inrelation to his good work on the Manson case (HELTER SKELTER) and the O.J.Simpson case (OUTRAGE), is persisting in this project on the assassination. When this first became public knowledge (several months ago), I sent him acopy of ASSASSIATION SCIENCE in the hope that he might at least considersome of the serious scientific evidence of governmental cover-up and com-plicity. At this point, I doubt that he has even seen the book, which lexpect has been passed along to others for their rapid disposition. Hereare a few thoughts about a rather distressing sitation to compliment thosefrom Chuck Marler and Vincent Palamara to help keep things in perspective:(1) The book is by Vincent Bugliosi WITH FRED HAINES, who is, I am sure, the actual author of this work. Bugliosi is a very busy guy who would nothave the
ComposedDate: ComposedDate_Time:	RE: POSNER "REVISITED": The Deconstruction of Vincent Bugliosi Arguments on benar or predetermined conclusions are not philosophy, but special pleading."Bertrand RussellHaving just returned from two weeks in London (where, among other things,I had been invited to present a talk to the annual meeting of the BritishSociety for the Philosophy of Science on inference to the best explanationthat included conspiracy in the assassination of JFK as an example), I wasdismayed to discover that Mr. Bugliosi, whom I have admired in the past inrelation to his good work on the Manson case (HELTER SKELTER) and the O.J.Simpson case (OUTRAGE), is persisting in this project on the assassination. When this first became public knowledge (several months ago), I sent him acopy of ASSASSIATION SCIENCE in the hope that he might at least considersome of the serious scientific evidence of governmental cover-up and com-plicity. At this point, I doubt that he has even seen the book, which lexpect has been passed along to others for their rapid disposition. Hereare a few thoughts about a rather distressing sitation to compliment thosefrom Chuck Marler and Vincent Palamara to help keep things in perspective:(1) The book is by Vincent Bugliosi WITH FRED HAINES, who is, I am sure, the actual author of this work. Bugliosi is a very busy guy who would nothave the time to actually research this 992-page work for himself. He isa quick study, of course, and can sound very persuasive, but his knowledgeof the case is almost certainly going to be shallow, if that can be shown. The trick will be to have the opportunity to rebut his theory of the case.(2) The man is a LAWYER, which speaks volumes
ComposedDate: ComposedDate_Time:	RE: POSNER "REVISITED": The Deconstruction of Vincent Bugliosi Arguments on benar or predetermined conclusions are not philosophy, but special pleading."Bertrand RussellHaving just returned from two weeks in London (where, among other things,I had been invited to present a talk to the annual meeting of the BritishSociety for the Philosophy of Science on inference to the best explanationthat included conspiracy in the assassination of JFK as an example), I wasdismayed to discover that Mr. Bugliosi, whom I have admired in the past inrelation to his good work on the Manson case (HELTER SKELTER) and the O.J.Simpson case (OUTRAGE), is persisting in this project on the assassination. When this first became public knowledge (several months ago), I sent him acopy of ASSASSIATION SCIENCE in the hope that he might at least considersome of the serious scientific evidence of governmental cover-up and com-plicity. At this point, I doubt that he has even seen the book, which lexpect has been passed along to others for their rapid disposition. Hereare a few thoughts about a rather distressing sitation to compliment thosefrom Chuck Marler and Vincent Palamara to help keep things in perspective:(1) The book is by Vincent Bugliosi WITH FRED HAINES, who is, I am sure, the actual author of this work. Bugliosi is a very busy guy who would nothave the time to actually research this 992-page work for himself. He isa quick study, of course, and can sound very persuasive, but his knowledgeof the case is almost certainly going to be shallow, if that can be shown. The trick will be to have the opportunity to rebut his theory of the case.(2) The man is a LAWYER, which speaks volumes about his ability to come togrips with the evidence in this case. ("Which evidence?", by the way, isa key to
ComposedDate: ComposedDate_Time:	RE: POSNER "REVISITED": The Deconstruction of Vincent Bugliosi Arguments on benar or predetermined conclusions are not philosophy, but special pleading."Bertrand RussellHaving just returned from two weeks in London (where, among other things,I had been invited to present a talk to the annual meeting of the BritishSociety for the Philosophy of Science on inference to the best explanationthat included conspiracy in the assassination of JFK as an example), I wasdismayed to discover that Mr. Bugliosi, whom I have admired in the past inrelation to his good work on the Manson case (HELTER SKELTER) and the O.J.Simpson case (OUTRAGE), is persisting in this project on the assassination. When this first became public knowledge (several months ago), I sent him acopy of ASSASSIATION SCIENCE in the hope that he might at least considersome of the serious scientific evidence of governmental cover-up and com-plicity. At this point, I doubt that he has even seen the book, which lexpect has been passed along to others for their rapid disposition. Hereare a few thoughts about a rather distressing sitation to compliment thosefrom Chuck Marler and Vincent Palamara to help keep things in perspective:(1) The book is by Vincent Bugliosi WITH FRED HAINES, who is, I am sure, the actual author of this work. Bugliosi is a very busy guy who would nothave the time to actually research this 992-page work for himself. He isa quick study, of course, and can sound very persuasive, but his knowledgeof the case is almost certainly going to be shallow, if that can be shown. The trick will be to have the opportunity to rebut his theory of the case.(2) The man is a LAWYER, which speaks volumes about his ability to come togrips with the evidence in this case. ("Which evidence?", by the way, isa key to coping with an imposter of this kind. Almost certainly, he willbe unable to deal with scientific evidence of the
ComposedDate: ComposedDate_Time:	RE: POSNER "REVISITED": The Deconstruction of Vincent Bugliosi Arguments on benair or predetermined conclusions are not philosophy, but special pleadingBertrand RussellHaving just returned from two weeks in London (where, among other things,I had been invited to present a talk to the annual meeting of the BritishSociety for the Philosophy of Science on inference to the best explanationthat included conspiracy in the assassination of JFK as an example), I wasdismayed to discover that Mr. Bugliosi, whom I have admired in the past inrelation to his good work on the Manson case (HELTER SKELTER) and the O.J.Simpson case (OUTRAGE), is persisting in this project on the assassination.When this first became public knowledge (several months ago), I sent him acopy of ASSASSIATION SCIENCE in the hope that he might at least considersome of the serious scientific evidence of governmental cover-up and com-plicity. At this point, I doubt that he has even seen the book, which lexpect has been passed along to others for their rapid disposition. Hereare a few thoughts about a rather distressing sitation to compliment thosefrom Chuck Marler and Vincent Palamara to help keep things in perspective:(1) The book is by Vincent Bugliosi WITH FRED HAINES, who is, I am sure,the actual author of this work. Bugliosi is a very busy guy who would nothave the time to actually research this 992-page work for himself. He isa quick study, of course, and can sound very persuasive, but his knowledgeof the case is almost certainly going to be shallow, if that can be shown.The trick will be to have the opportunity to rebut his theory of the case.(2) The man is a LAWYER, which speaks volumes about his ability to come togrips with the evidence in this case. ("Which evidence?", by the way, isa key to coping with an imposter of this kind. Almost certainly, he willbe unable to deal with scientific evidence of the fabricatation of X-rays,the substitution of diagrams and photographs of a brain, and the editingof the Zapruder
ComposedDate: ComposedDate_Time:	RE: POSNER "REVISITED": The Deconstruction of Vincent Bugliosi Arguments on benait or predetermined concusions are not philosophy, but special pleadingBertrand RussellHaving just returned from two weeks in London (where, among other things,I had been invited to present a talk to the annual meeting of the BritishSociety for the Philosophy of Science on inference to the best explanationthat included conspiracy in the assassination of JFK as an example), I wasdismayed to discover that Mr. Bugliosi, whom I have admired in the past inrelation to his good work on the Manson case (HELTER SKELTER) and the O.J.Simpson case (OUTRAGE), is persisting in this project on the assassination. When this first became public knowledge (several months ago), I sent him acopy of ASSASIATION SCIENCE in the hope that he might at least considersome of the serious scientific evidence of governmental cover-up and com-plicity. At this point, I doubt that he has even seen the book, which lexpect has been passed along to others for their rapid disposition. Hereare a few thoughts about a rather distressing sitation to compliment thosefrom Chuck Marler and Vincent Palamara to help keep things in perspective:(1) The book is by Vincent Bugliosi WITH FRED HAINES, who is, I am sure, the actual author of this work. Bugliosi is a very busy guy who would nothave the time to actually research this 992-page work for himself. He isa quick study, of course, and can sound very persuasive, but his knowledge of the case is almost certainly going to be shallow, if that can be shown. The trick will be to have the opportunity to rebut his theory of the case.(2) The man is a LAWYER, which speaks volumes about his ability to come togrips with the evidence in this case. ("Which evidence?", by the way, isa key to coping with an imposter of this kind. Almost certainly, he willbe unable to deal with scientific evidence of the fabricatation of X-rays, the substitution of diagrams and photographs of a brain, and the editingof the Zapruder film, which he will eithe
ComposedDate: ComposedDate_Time:	RE: POSNER "REVISITED": The Deconstruction of Vincent Bugliosi Arguments on benair or predetermined conclusions are not philosophy, but special pleadingBertrand RussellHaving just returned from two weeks in London (where, among other things,I had been invited to present a talk to the annual meeting of the BritishSociety for the Philosophy of Science on inference to the best explanationthat included conspiracy in the assassination of JFK as an example), I wasdismayed to discover that Mr. Bugliosi, whom I have admired in the past inrelation to his good work on the Manson case (HELTER SKELTER) and the O.J.Simpson case (OUTRAGE), is persisting in this project on the assassination.When this first became public knowledge (several months ago), I sent him acopy of ASSASSIATION SCIENCE in the hope that he might at least considersome of the serious scientific evidence of governmental cover-up and com-plicity. At this point, I doubt that he has even seen the book, which lexpect has been passed along to others for their rapid disposition. Hereare a few thoughts about a rather distressing sitation to compliment thosefrom Chuck Marler and Vincent Palamara to help keep things in perspective:(1) The book is by Vincent Bugliosi WITH FRED HAINES, who is, I am sure,the actual author of this work. Bugliosi is a very busy guy who would nothave the time to actually research this 992-page work for himself. He isa quick study, of course, and can sound very persuasive, but his knowledgeof the case is almost certainly going to be shallow, if that can be shown.The trick will be to have the opportunity to rebut his theory of the case.(2) The man is a LAWYER, which speaks volumes about his ability to come togrips with the evidence in this case. ("Which evidence?", by the way, isa key to coping with an imposter of this kind. Almost certainly, he willbe unable to deal with scientific evidence of the fabricatation of X-rays,the substitution of diagrams and photographs of a brain, and the editingof the Zapruder
ComposedDate: ComposedDate_Time:	RE: POSNER "REVISITED": The Deconstruction of Vincent Bugliosi Arguments on benari or predetermined conclusions are not philosophy, but special pleadingBertrand RussellHaving just returned from two weeks in London (where, among other things,I had been invited to present a talk to the annual meeting of the BritishSociety for the Philosophy of Science on inference to the best explanationthat included conspiracy in the assassination of JFK as an example), I wasdismayed to discover that Mr. Bugliosi, whom I have admired in the past inrelation to his good work on the Manson case (HELTER SKELTER) and the O.J.Simpson case (OUTRAGE), is persisting in this project on the assassination. When this first became public knowledge (several months ago), I sent him acopy of ASSASSIATION SCIENCE in the hope that he might at least considersome of the serious scientific evidence of governmental cover-up and com-plicity. At this point, I doubt that he has even seen the book, which lexpect has been passed along to others for their rapid disposition. Hereare a few thoughts about a rather distressing sitation to compliment thosefrom Chuck Marler and Vincent Palamara to help keep things in perspective:(1) The book is by Vincent Bugliosi WITH FRED HAINES, who is, I am sure, the actual author of this work. Bugliosi is a very busy guy who would nothave the time to actually research this 992-page work for himself. He isa quick study, of course, and can sound very persuasive, but his knowledgeof the case is almost certainly going to be shallow, if that can be shown. The trick will be to have the opportunity to rebut his theory of the case. ("Which evidence?", by the way, isa key to coping with an imposter of this kind. Almost certainly, he willbe unable to deal with scientific evidence of the fabricatation of X-rays, the substitution of diagrams and photographs of a brain, and the editing the Zapruder film, which he will either ignore or dismiss out of hand.)(3) Remember that THE WARREN COMMISSION was staffed by lawyers, whose back-grou
ComposedDate: ComposedDate_Time:	RE: POSNER "REVISITED": The Deconstruction of Vincent Bugliosi Arguments on penair or predetermined conclusions are not philosophy, but special pleadingBertrand RussellHaving just returned from two weeks in London (where, among other things,I had been invited to present a talk to the annual meeting of the BritishSociety for the Philosophy of Science on inference to the best explanationthat included conspiracy in the assassination of JFK as an example), I wasdismayed to discover that Mr. Bugliosi, whom I have admired in the past inrelation to his good work on the Manson case (HELTER SKELTER) and the O.J.Simpson case (OUTRAGE), is persisting in this project on the assassination.When this first became public knowledge (several months ago), I sent him acopy of ASSASSIATION SCIENCE in the hope that he might at least considersome of the serious scientific evidence of governmental cover-up and com-plicity. At this point, I doubt that he has even seen the book, which lexpect has been passed along to others for their rapid disposition. Hereare a few thoughts about a rather distressing sitation to compliment thosefrom Chuck Marler and Vincent Palamara to help keep things in perspective:(1) The book is by Vincent Bugliosi WITH FRED HAINES, who is, I am sure, the actual author of this work. Bugliosi is a very busy guy who would nothave the time to actually research this 992-page work for himself. He isa quick study, of course, and can sound very persuasive, but his knowledgeof the case is almost certainly going to be shallow, if that can be shown. The trick will be to have the opportunity to rebut his theory of the case. ("Which evidence?", by the way, isa key to coping with an imposter of this kind. Almost certainly, he willbe unable to deal with scientific evidence of the fabricatation of X-rays, the substitution of diagrams and photographs of a brain, and the editing of the Zapruder film, which he will either ignore or dismiss out of hand.)(3) Remember that THE WARREN COMMISISION was staffed by lawyers, whose back-g
ComposedDate: ComposedDate_Time:	RE: POSNER "REVISITED": The Deconstruction of Vincent Bugliosi Arguments on benair or predetermined conclusions are not philosophy, but special pleading. —Bertrand RussellHaving just returned from two weeks in London (where, among other things, I had been invited to present a talk to the annual meeting of the BritishSociety for the Philosophy of Science on inference to the best explanationthat included conspiracy in the assassination of JFK as an example), I wasdismayed to discover that Mr. Bugliosi, whom I have admired in the past inrelation to his good work on the Manson case (HELTER SKELTER) and the O.J.Simpson case (OUTRAGE), is persisting in this project on the assassination. When this first became public knowledge (several months ago), I sent him acopy of ASSASSIATION SCIENCE in the hope that he might at least considersome of the serious scientific evidence of governmental cover-up and com-plicity. At this point, I doubt that he has even seen the book, which lexpect has been passed along to others for their rapid disposition. Hereare a few thoughts about a rather distressing sitation to compliment thosefrom Chuck Marler and Vincent Palamara to help keep things in perspective:(1) The book is by Vincent Bugliosi WITH FRED HAINES, who is, I am sure, the actual author of this work. Bugliosi is a very busy guy who would nothave the time to actually research this 992-page work for himself. He isa quick study, of course, and can sound very persuasive, but his knowledgeof the case is almost certainly going to be shallow, if that can be shown. The trick will be to have the opportunity to rebut his theory of the case. (2) The man is a LAWYER, which speaks volumes about his ability to come togrips with the evidence in this case. ("Which evidence?", by the way, isa key to coping with an imposter of this kind. Almost certainly, he willbe unable to deal with scientific evidence of the fabricatation of X-rays, the substitution of diagrams and photographs of a brain, and the editingof the Zapruder film, which he will eit
ComposedDate: ComposedDate_Time:	RE: POSNER "REVISITED": The Deconstruction of Vincent Bugliosi Arguments on benan or predetermined conclusions are not philosophy, but special pleading. —Bertrand RussellHaving just returned from two weeks in London (where, among other things,I had been invited to present a talk to the annual meeting of the BritishSociety for the Philosophy of Science on inference to the best explanationthat included conspiracy in the assassination of JFK as an example). I wasdismayed to discover that Mr. Bugliosi, whom I have admired in the past inrelation to his good work on the Manson case (HELTER SKELTER) and the O.J.Simpson case (OUTRAGE), is persisting in this project on the assassination. When this first became public knowledge (several months ago), I sent him acopy of ASSASSIATION SCIENCE in the hope that he might at least considersome of the serious scientific evidence of governmental cover-up and com-plicity. At this point, I doubt that he has even seen the book, which lexpect has been passed along to others for their rapid disposition. Hereare a few thoughts about a rather distressing sitation to compliment thosefrom Chuck Marler and Vincent Palamara to help keep things in perspective:(1) The book is by Vincent Bugliosi WITH FRED HAINES, who is, I am sure, the actual author of this work. Bugliosi is a very busy guy who would nothave the time to actually research this 992-page work for himself. He isa quick study, of course, and can sound very persuasive, but his knowledgeof the case is almost certainly going to be shallow, if that can be shown. The trick will be to have the opportunity to rebut his theory of the case. ("Which evidence?", by the way, isa key to coping with an imposter of this kind. Almost certainly, he willbe unable to deal with scientific evidence of the fabrication of X-rays, the substitution of diagrams and photographs of a brain, and the editingof the Zapruder film, which he will either ignore or dismiss out of hand.)(3) Remember that THE WARREN COMMISSION was staffed by lawyers, whose back-ground
ComposedDate: ComposedDate_Time:	RE: POSNER "REVISITED": The Deconstruction of Vincent Bugliosi Arguments on penair or predetermined conclusions are not philosophy, but special pleading. —bertrand RussellHaving just returned from two weeks in London (where, among other things,I had been invited to present a talk to the annual meeting of the BritishSociety for the Philosophy of Science on inference to the best explanationthat included conspiracy in the assassination of JFK as an example). I wasdismayed to discover that Mr. Bugliosi, whom I have admired in the past inrelation to his good work on the Manson case (HELTER SKELTER) and the O.J.Simpson case (OUTRAGE), is persisting in this project on the assassination.When this first became public knowledge (several months ago), I sent him acopy of ASSASIATION SCIENCE in the hope that he might at least considersome of the serious scientific evidence of governmental cover-up and com-plicity. At this point, I doubt that he has even seen the book, which lexpect has been passed along to others for their rapid disposition. Hereare a few thoughts about a rather distressing sitation to compliment thosefrom Chuck Marler and Vincent Palamara to help keep things in perspective:(1) The book is by Vincent Bugliosi WITH FRED HAINES, who is, I am sure, the actual author of this work. Bugliosi is a very busy guy who would nothave the time to actually research this 992-page work for himself. He isa quick study, of course, and can sound very persuasive, but his knowledgeof the case is almost certainly going to be shallow, if that can be shown. The trick will be to have the opportunity to rebut his theory of the case.(2) The man is a LAWYER, which speaks volumes about his ability to come togrips with the evidence in this case. ("Which evidence?", by the way, isa key to coping with an imposter of this kind. Almost certainly, he wilby unable to deal with scientific evidence of the fabricatation of X-rays, the substitution of diagrams and photographs of a brain, and the editing of the Zapruder film, which he will either
ComposedDate: ComposedDate_Time:	RE: POSNER "REVISITED": The Deconstruction of Vincent Bugliosi Arguments on Denair or predetermined conclusions are not philosophy, but special pleadingBertrand RussellHaving just returned from two weeks in London (where, among other things,I had been invited to present a talk to the annual meeting of the BritishSociety for the Philosophy of Science on inference to the best explanationthat included conspiracy in the assassination of JFK as an example), I wasdismayed to discover that Mr. Bugliosi, whom I have admired in the past inrelation to his good work on the Manson case (HELTER SKELTER) and the O.J.Simpson case (OUTRAGE), is persisting in this project on the assassination. When this first became public knowledge (several months ago), I sent him acopy of ASSASSIATION SCIENCE in the hope that he might at least considersome of the serious scientific evidence of governmental cover-up and com-plicity. At this point, I doubt that he has even seen the book, which lexpect has been pased along to others for their rapid disposition. Hereare a few thoughts about a rather distressing sitation to compliment thosefrom Chuck Marler and Vincent Palamara to help keep things in perspective:(1) The book is by Vincent Bugliosi WITH FRED HAINES, who is, I am sure,the actual author of this work. Bugliosi is a very busy guy who would nothave the time to actually research this 992-page work for himself. He isa quick study, of course, and can sound very persuasive, but his knowledgeof the case is almost certainly going to be shallow, if that can be shown. The trick will be to have the opportunity to rebut his theory of the case.(2) The man is a LAWYER, which speaks volumes about this ability to come togrips with the evidence in this case. ("Which evidence?", by the way, is key to coping with an imposter of this kind. Almost certainly, he willbe unable to deal with scientific evidence of the fabricatation of X-rays,the substitution of diagrams and photographs of a brain, and the editingof the Zapruder film, which he will either
ComposedDate: ComposedDate_Time:	RE: POSNER "REVISITED": The Deconstruction of Vincent Bugliosi Arguments on penair or predetermined conclusions are not philosophy, but special pleading. —bertrand RussellHaving just returned from two weeks in London (where, among other things,I had been invited to present a talk to the annual meeting of the BritishSociety for the Philosophy of Science on inference to the best explanationthat included conspiracy in the assassination of JFK as an example). I wasdismayed to discover that Mr. Bugliosi, whom I have admired in the past inrelation to his good work on the Manson case (HELTER SKELTER) and the O.J.Simpson case (OUTRAGE), is persisting in this project on the assassination.When this first became public knowledge (several months ago), I sent him acopy of ASSASIATION SCIENCE in the hope that he might at least considersome of the serious scientific evidence of governmental cover-up and com-plicity. At this point, I doubt that he has even seen the book, which lexpect has been passed along to others for their rapid disposition. Hereare a few thoughts about a rather distressing sitation to compliment thosefrom Chuck Marler and Vincent Palamara to help keep things in perspective:(1) The book is by Vincent Bugliosi WITH FRED HAINES, who is, I am sure, the actual author of this work. Bugliosi is a very busy guy who would nothave the time to actually research this 992-page work for himself. He isa quick study, of course, and can sound very persuasive, but his knowledgeof the case is almost certainly going to be shallow, if that can be shown. The trick will be to have the opportunity to rebut his theory of the case.(2) The man is a LAWYER, which speaks volumes about his ability to come togrips with the evidence in this case. ("Which evidence?", by the way, isa key to coping with an imposter of this kind. Almost certainly, he wilby unable to deal with scientific evidence of the fabricatation of X-rays, the substitution of diagrams and photographs of a brain, and the editing of the Zapruder film, which he will either

recstat: DeliveryPriority: DeliveryReport: ReturnReceipt: Categories: