NR key name: 9F402173BC00996786256675006E6C3E

**SendTo:** eeyore@ecsis.net

KIASJFK@aol.com; palamara@telerama.com; sgalanor@aol.com; SGJONES@PTD.net; peterson@garlic.com; rbfeinman@juno.com; txfilm@airmail.net; pdscott@socrates.Berkeley.EDU; paulhoch@uclink4.berkeley.edu; mshack@concentric.net; mhyman@pascal.coker.edu; jmnewman@erols.com; copa@nicom.com; rose@fredonia.edu; jmnewman@erols.com; rose@fredonia.edu; rose@fredoni

rain@ix4.ix.netcom.com;jrsjfk@idt.net;"James K. Olmstead"

<thpa2d@aol.com>;howpl@aol.com;gjro@concentric.net;Eileen Sullivan@jfk-

CopyTo: arrb.gov;dalcorn@erols.com;wecht@andrew.cmu.edu

DisplayBlindCopyTo:

BlindCopyTo: CN=Eileen Sullivan/O=ARRB
From: David Starks <aweb@cynet.net>

DisplayFromDomain:

**DisplayDate:** 09/04/1998 **DisplayDate\_Time:** 8:03:33 PM

ComposedDate:

ComposedDate\_Time:

**Subject:** [Fwd: Re: Boswell vs. Posner]

PAA14530; Fri, 4 Sep 1998 15:37:55 -0400 (EDT)Message-ID: <35F0421A.7677@cynet.net>Date: Fri, 04 Sep 1998 15:40:11 -0400From: David Starks <aweb@cynet.net>Reply-To: aweb@cynet.netOrganization: The

Assassination WebX-Mailer: Mozilla 3.0 (Win95; U)MIME-Version: 1.0Newsgroups: alt.assassination.jfk,alt.conspiracy.jfkCC: garyag@ix.netcom.com, barb\_j@ix.netcom.com, aweb@cynet.netSubject: Re: Boswell vs. PosnerReferences: <35EF856B.7A89@cynet.net>

<6sp7pi\$9hn\$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bitContent-Type: text/plain; charset=usasciirickgibson@my-dejanews.com wrote:>> In article <35EF856B.7A89@cynet.net>,> aweb@cynet.net wrote:> > What follows is the introductory text from a new page> > on my Web site, The Assassination Web. On it are audio >> files digitized in the wav format that are taken from a>> conversation with J. Thornton Boswell and Dr. Gary Aguilar.>>> (snip some...)>>> Hear for yourselves how Boswell does his part to "expose" Gerald> > Posner as having lied to Congress. Here is the text from this> > page that can be found at the following URL:>>> http://home.cynet.net/jfk/audio1.htm>>> Posner Lies To Congress: The Proof>>> (snip ....)>> Whether what you say is true depends on one's orientation. Posner says X;> Boswell says Y. You then say Y is correct and Posner is lying. Based on> what?Based on Posner's pattern of deception throughout his book. Boswell has no such history of deception, especially relatingto the location of the head wound, the issue that Posner feelsit is important to lie to Congress about. Humes has waffleda bit to the HSCA, but basically sticks to his original conclusions as to the low, EOP entrance location. > Based on Boswell saying something different. At least Posner has> corroboration for his claim he called Boswell -- the phone records. Posner has nothing. Boswell had no reason to lie about being outwhen Posner called. How could he have known that there was a needto lie? There's simply no motive. You have to know that, right?> Apparently you fawningly accept Boswell's claim he wasn't home at the time of> the call; so Posner apparently carried on a lengthy conversation with the> gardener or something. Your "proof" proves nothing except there's a dispute> between Posner and Boswell over what actually occurred between them. (As you> note, both men believe LHO acted alone, so your attacks on Posner are empty> rhetoric.) Empty rhetoric? Sorry, wrong again.

Boswell testified under oathrecently to the ARRB that he did NOT change his opinion of the EOP location. Didn't you know that? Did you also know that Gerald Posner has stonewalled the ARRB? Wouldn't he just love

toslam these "conspiracy kooks" and all his critics by producing the imaginary smoking gun tape of his conversation with Boswell? You better believe he would! He is obviously running scared. The ARRB report will

recstat:

DeliveryPriority: DeliveryReport: ReturnReceipt: Categories: