NR_key_name:	FC2DE31AE9CB41CC86256681000FD747
SendTo:	"Eileen Sullivan" <eileen_sullivan@jfk-arrb.gov></eileen_sullivan@jfk-arrb.gov>
	garyag@ix.netcom.com.Gary.L.Aguilar;MD.909.Hyde.Street@ix.netcom.com;#530.San.Francisco@ix.netcom.c
СоруТо:	om;CA.94109.415-775-3392.FAX.415-563-4453@ix.netcom.com
DisplayBlindCopyTo:	
BlindCopyTo:	CN=Eileen Sullivan/O=ARRB
From:	garyag@ix.netcom.com (Gary Aguilar)
DisplayFromDomain:	
DisplayDate:	09/16/1998
DisplayDate_Time:	2:48:51 AM
ComposedDate:	
ComposedDate_Time:	
Subject:	Re: Review/release of new FBI memo
	אין
	D.C. 20530Dear Ms. Sullivan,Earlier today (9/15/98) I left you a long phone message regarding someFBI
	records that have been released only in severely redacted form toresearcher Bill Adams. He has written you
	about this already, Ibelieve, for he sent me a copy of his letter to the ARRB.He argues, persuasively to my
	mind, that at least one document, andperhaps several others as well, may have important bearings on
	ourunderstanding of Kennedy's death.As I mentioned in my phone message, there is one key FBI document
	whichmay be of central importance. It pertains to the arrests of two men(Witter and Miller) who were
	captured in what appears to have been agun-running affair. Evidence suggests this affair probably
	involvedJack Ruby, and/or perhaps even Oswald. And it occurred within a week ofJFK's death. The other
	factors which tie this information to the JFKcase are well explored in Mr. Adams' letter, and I won't duplicate

allof his remarks here. In sum, however, the FBI apparently had an informant with insideknowledge of this Witter-Miller business, for Adams was able to securewhat had been thought to be a non-existent FBI memo about it. The copyof the memo he got, alas, was only in redacted form. It is virtuallycertain the informant was named in the memo. And as Adams has demonstrated, that identity may be guite illuminating, as may be otherredacted information in the memo as well. For links between the FBI andRuby and/or Oswald, and/or other previously unknown figures, may berevealed.35 years after this episode, it is hard to imagine the Bureau'sredacting this document in any way protects its sensitive sources andmethods. In fact, there is a reasonable chance that no one named in itis even still alive. The FBI's uncooperativeness with Mr. Adams is discouraging. Was it not, after all, the ARRB who produced a New York Times article on anFBI-conducted study to refute the FBI's attempts to withhold documents from the ARRB? As I recall (I can dig the article out of my files ifyou'd like a copy of it), the FBI's own internal study showed that itssources were NOT lost because of later exposure of informants during prosecutions. After the ARRB documented this fact by producing the NewYork Times article to counter the Bureau's foot-dragging, the FBI, as lunderstand it, became much more cooperative in releasing documents to he Board. I know the Board's time and resources are tight as you wind down towardexpiration. The potential value of this unredacted document (andperhaps several others), and the ease with which the Board could retrieve and review it/them, compels me to kindly request a big favorat the 11th hour. Could you please try to review and release this/thesedocument(s) prior to expiration? If the Board lacks the resources to do this, may I offer to donate tothe Board whatever costs might conceivably be

incurred in securing thedocument(s)?Bill Adams, who found the document, did not include a copy of

Body: recstat: DeliveryPriority: DeliveryReport: ReturnReceipt: Categories: