## PRESIDENT JOHN F. KENNEDY ASSASSINATION RECORDS COLLECTION ACT OF 1992

From Senate Report (Government Affairs Committee) No. 102-328, Page 20:

## Defining assassination records

"Assassination records" are defined in Section 3. The definition of "assassination records" is a threshold consideration for the suc-cessful implementation of the Act. Its scope will be the barometer of public confidence in the release of assassination records. While the records of past presidential commissions and congressional committees established to investigate assassination of President Kennedy are included as assassination records under this Act, it is intended and emphasized that the search and disclosure of records under this Act must go beyond those records. While such records are valuable, they reflect views, theories, political constraints, and prejudices of past inquiries. Proper implementation of this Act and providing the American public with the opportunity to judge the surrounding history of the assassination for themselves, re- quires including not only, but going beyond, the records of the Warren and Rockefeller Commissions, and the Church and House Select Assassination Committees.

The term "assassination record" was not more specifically defined by the Committee because to do so before more is known about the universe of records would have been premature, and would have further injected the government between the records and the American public. There is a sufficient volume of known as-sassination records to organize and review at the outset. However, it is intended that the Review Board issue guidance to assist in ar-ticulating the scope or universe of assassination records as govern-ment offices and the Review Board undertakes their responsibil- ities. Such guidance will be valuable notwithstanding the fact that government offices will begin to organize and review their records before the Review Board is Government offices are re-quired to begin the established. review and disclosure of records upon enact- ment to expedite public access to the many records which do not require additional review or postponement. However, the ultimate work of the Review Board will involve not only the review of records recommended for postponement, but requiring government offices to provide additional information and records, where appro-priate. Guidance, especially that developed in consultation with the public, scholars, and affected government offices, will prove valua-ble to ensure the fullest possible disclosure and create public confi-dence in a working definition that was developed in an independ- ent and open manner.