

# Assassination Records Review Board FY 1996 Report

## Introduction

This Report is being submitted to the Senate Governmental Affairs and the House Government Reform and Oversight Committees by the Assassination Records Review Board in compliance with Section 9(f) of the President John F. Kennedy Assassination Records Collection Act of 1992, 44 U.S.C. § 2107 (note), PL 102-526, (the "JFK Act").

The Assassination Records Review Board ("Review Board") is an independent ~~federal~~[Federal](#) agency created by the JFK Act to oversee the identification and release of records related to the assassination of President John F. Kennedy. The JFK Act, which received broad bipartisan support in 1992, was signed into law by President Bush. The five members of the Review Board were appointed by President Clinton, confirmed unanimously by the U. S. Senate, and sworn in on April 11, 1994. The JFK Act granted the Review Board the mandate and the authority to identify, secure, and make available, through the National Archives and Records Administration ("NARA"), records related to President Kennedy's assassination.

Section 9(f) of the JFK Act requires the Review Board to submit a report to Congress each year that accounts for the progress of the Review Board, describes the status of agency compliance with the JFK Act, identifies "any special problems," and proposes "requests to Congress for additional legislative authority needs." The report that follows addresses each of the statutory requirements and recommends that Congress grant an extension of the Review Board's mandate beyond its scheduled expiration on September 30, 1997. The Review Board believes that a one-year extension will be necessary to complete the principal objectives of the JFK Act.

**Background of the JFK Act.** The tragedy of the assassination of President Kennedy has been compounded over the years by the lingering suspicion -- widely shared by the American people -- that the Federal government is in possession of secret records that reveal that the government has known much more about the assassination than it has been willing to disclose publicly. Although many pledges were made, as early as 1963, that the government would reveal all of its evidence related to the assassination, more than 30 years have gone by with only portions of the record and the story being revealed.

From the beginning, the Federal government was responsible for the investigation of the assassination and for collecting records related to the assassination. In order to ensure that there would be a single investigation, President Johnson named five distinguished Americans to the President's Commission on the Assassination of President John F. Kennedy (the "Warren Commission"). Despite the early pledges to release all of the Warren Commission evidence to the public, and although the Warren

Commission itself published 26 volumes of evidence, many of the records had still not been declassified by 1992. Although records continued to be released pursuant to declassification and to FOIA suits, the public was still not privy to much of the evidence that had been available to the Commissioners.

After several previously unknown facts were revealed in the late 1960s and 1970s, including CIA assassination attempts on Fidel Castro and others, the scope of illegal domestic activities by the CIA and the FBI, and the first public viewing of the famous Zapruder motion picture that depicted the assassination, Congress decided that it should conduct new investigations into the assassinations of President Kennedy and Martin Luther King. Accordingly, Congress formed the House Select Committee on Assassinations (HSCA) in September, 1976, to inquire into the two slayings.

In addition to these two major Federal investigations into the assassination of President Kennedy, four other Federal investigatory bodies have dealt with the assassination to some degree. In January, 1975, President Ford created the President's Commission on CIA Activities Within the United States (the "Rockefeller Commission") to investigate allegations about domestic CIA activities. The Rockefeller Commission made some limited inquiries into the Kennedy assassination and related issues. Also in January, 1975, Congress created the Senate Select Committee to Study Governmental Operations with Respect to Intelligence Activities (the "Church Committee") and the House Select Committee on Intelligence (the "Pike Committee"). Some of the work of these committees was related to the assassination. Book 5 of the Church Committee Report, [for example](#), was devoted [exclusively](#) to the Kennedy assassination.

Although many records that had been reviewed by the HSCA, Rockefeller Commission, Church Committee, and the Pike Committee were made available to the public, as of 1992 there were a significant number of records that remained unavailable. Many FOIA requests had been filed in order to obtain access to the records resulting in continuing (and expensive) litigation brought by private citizens who sought to learn what their government knew about the Kennedy assassination but was unwilling to tell the American people.

In 1992, Congress wisely decided that it was time to bring an end to the suspicion and secrecy that has surrounded the death of the 35th President. In order to show the American people that its government was ~~not afraid~~ [fully prepared](#) to release all of the evidence in its files, it enacted the ~~President John F. Kennedy Assassination Records Collection Act of~~ [JFK Act](#) in 1992. [The JFK Act was designed, in part, to be an innovative alternative to the existing mechanisms for the public release of records related to the assassination and to the conduct of U.S. government agencies.](#) The JFK Act was designed, in part, to be an innovative alternative to the existing mechanisms for the public release of records related to the assassination and to the conduct of US government agencies. The JFK Act states that "the Freedom of Information Act, as implemented by the executive branch, has *prevented*

the timely public disclosure of records relating to the assassination . . .” ~~{(emphasis added)}~~. In addition, Executive Order No. 12356 ~~(Executive Order No. 12356)~~ on National Security Information was found by Congress to have excluded from the automatic declassification provision matters such as the identification of intelligence sources and methods, as well as informants, which form the core of the Kennedy assassination records that remain unavailable to the public.— ~~(Executive Order No. 12356 has been superseded by Executive Order 12958, which similarly protects sources and methods.)~~ ~~on~~— “Classified National Security Information” ~~specifically excludes from the automatic declassification provision matters such as the identification of intelligence sources and methods, as well as informants, which form the core of the Kennedy assassination records that remain classified.~~— The Senate Committee on Governmental Affairs concluded that the creation of a Review Board was required to ensure an “independent and enforceable mechanism for disclosure under uniform standards for review.”

**Key Provisions of the JFK Act.** ~~The President John F. Kennedy Assassination Records Collection~~ JFK Act states provides that: “All government records concerning the assassination of President John F. Kennedy should carry a presumption of immediate disclosure.” Furthermore, the JFK Act ~~mandates~~ requires that all assassination-related materials be ~~housed in~~ transferred to a single collection ~~in the National Archives and Records Administration (at )NARA~~ to be known as the President John F. Kennedy Assassination Records Collection (“JFK Collection”).

The JFK Act required all Federal agencies to make an initial assessment of whether they possess records relating to the assassination. The agencies themselves were to have conducted an initial review and to have identified *all* “assassination records” in their possession within 300 days of the enactment of the JFK Act. The agencies also were required to determine whether their records might be disclosed immediately or whether disclosure should be postponed.

~~The JFK Act defines five categories of information for which disclosure may be postponed, including national security, intelligence gathering, and privacy. The JFK Act anticipated that there may still be valid reasons for postponing the release of assassination records, but emphasizes that such postponements should occur only in rare cases and only when the harm of the release outweighs the public interest in the disclosure of the information.~~

~~The JFK Act requires all Federal agencies to make an initial assessment of whether they possess records relating to the assassination. The agencies themselves conduct an initial review to determine whether their records may be disclosed immediately or whether disclosure should be postponed. All records deemed by an agency as not suitable for immediate release are subject to evaluation by the Review Board. Once the Review Board completes its review of an agency's recommendation for postponement, all records, including those that have a postponed release date, are transferred to the~~

NARA. The JFK Act requires that all assassination records must be opened by 2017, with the exception of records certified for continued postponement by the President.

~~Authority of the Assassination Records Review Board.~~ The JFK Act defines five categories of information for which disclosure may be postponed, including national security, intelligence gathering, and privacy. The JFK Act presumes that in the overwhelming number of cases the information should be opened and emphasizes that such postponements should occur only in rare cases where the harm of the release outweighs the public interest in the disclosure of the information. However, the JFK Act also provides that the agencies shall have an opportunity to present to the Review Board information supporting their proposed postponements. The agencies, properly, have submitted a great deal of information to the Review Board in support of their proposed postponements. This process, which properly was established by Congress in the JFK Act, has nevertheless consumed a great deal of time both for the Review Board and the agencies.

Authority of the Assassination Records Review Board. According to the Senate report on the JFK Act, "the underlying principles guiding the legislation are independence, public confidence, efficiency and cost effectiveness." To achieve these broad objectives, the JFK Act gave the Review Board specific powers, including the powers to:

- Direct government offices to provide identification aids and organize assassination records;
- Direct government offices to transmit assassination records to the National Archives;
- Obtain assassination records that have been identified and organized by a government office;
- Direct government offices to provide additional information, records, or testimony from individuals which the Review Board has reason to believe is required;
- Request the Attorney General to subpoena private persons to compel testimony, records, and other relevant information;
- Require any government office to account in writing for the destruction of any records relating to the assassination of President Kennedy;
- Receive information from the public regarding the identification and public disclosure of assassination records; and
- Conduct hearings, administer oaths, and subpoena witnesses and documents.

**Early Delays in the Work of The Review Board.** When Congress drafted the JFK Act, it estimated that the Review Board would –complete its mandate in three years. There were, however, a number of delays, in the early phase of the Board’s operation that have affected the ability of the Board to meet the deadline set by Congress.

Although the JFK Act was signed into law in October 1992, the Board was not sworn in until April 1994. While Congress passed the JFK Extension Act in 1994 to reset the clock and to give the Board a full three-year mandate, it did –not address/foresee the impact of the agencies operating without the guidance of the Review Board. During the 18-month period between the passage of the Act and the appointment of the Review Board, some government agencies proceeded with independent reviews of their files in the absence of Review Board guidance. Unfortunately, these agencies had to revise a significant amount of work once the necessary Review Board guidance was available.

In addition, the JFK Act contains certain provisions that considerably slowed the early phase of the board’s/Review Board’s operation and delayed the point when the Board/it could operate effectively in its review of records.– As an independent agency, the Board had to locate and construct office space that was suitable for the storage of classified material. The Board had to hire a staff and get it cleared at the Top Secret level. Significantly, there is a provision in the JFK Act that prohibits the Review Board from hiring (or detailing) individuals employed by other federal/Federal agencies. As a result of these built-in delays, the Review Board did not even have the three years that Congress initially envisioned as necessary to complete the job.

**The Review Board Members.** The Review Board consists of five members:

The Honorable John R. Tunheim, Chair; United States District Court Judge for the District of Minnesota

Dr. Henry F. Graff; Professor Emeritus of History at Columbia University

Dr. Kermit L. Hall; Dean, College of Humanities, and Professor of History and Law at The Ohio State University

Dr. William L. Joyce; Associate University Librarian for Rare Books and Special Collections at Princeton University

Dr. Anna K. Nelson; Distinguished Adjunct Historian in Residence at The American University.

**The Staff of the Assassination Records Review Board.** The Executive Director of the Review Board is Dr. David G. Marwell. The ~~ARRB~~ Review Board's staff ("ARRB staff") was composed of 25 employees as of the end of FY 1996. The staff includes persons involved in management, administrative, analytic, and investigative duties. ARRB staff analysts continued to review records and make recommendations to the Review Board. Organized into three teams, analysts have continued to develop the necessary working relationships with the agencies for which they are responsible. Team members have all developed subject matter expertise, which is essential in the review of records and in supporting the Review Board members in their determinations. In addition, analysts have continued the important assignment of determining whether additional records should be requested from agencies. ARRB staff investigators, who have been primarily responsible for locating non-Federal records, have had continued success in identifying and locating significant collections of records in private hands and arranging for their donation to the JFK Collection at the National Archives. Furthermore, investigators played a critical role in locating former government employees who were subsequently interviewed regarding the possible existence and location of additional assassination records.

## I. Activities of the Review Board

### A. The Review of Assassination Records

1. **Review Board Meetings and Public Hearings.** The ~~US~~ U.S. Congress made clear, with the passage of the JFK Act, that it wanted to "ensure that there is an adequate opportunity for public input and participation."

Although the nature of the Review Board's work -- dealing with classified information -- requires closed meetings, the Review Board continued to conduct open meetings and hearings whenever possible to fully inform the public of its actions and the release of documents. During FY 1996, the Review Board ~~conducted eleven meetings in Washington, D.C. and public hearings were held~~ eleven meetings in Washington, DC and conducted public hearings in Washington, D.C. and Los Angeles, ~~CA, respectively~~ California. The details and results of those meetings and hearings are ~~listed~~ described below.

Through October 1996, despite lengthy delays by some Federal agencies, the Review Board acted to transfer 8,972 documents to the National Archives and Records Administration for inclusion in the ~~President John F. Kennedy Assassination Records~~ JFK Collection, which is housed at the ~~National~~

Archives [NARA](#) facility in College Park, Maryland. This total includes 3,624 FBI documents, 2,852 CIA documents and 2,496 documents from the House Select Committee on Assassinations. Significantly, all of the remaining documents contained in the CIA's Lee Harvey Oswald "201" file were released in part or in full in 1996 under the Review Board's direction. These include 781 documents released with the consent of the Agency.

In FY 1996, the Review Board and ARRB staff focused on increasing the rate of records reviewed, as well as identifying and locating additional records. The result was a consistent and dramatic increase in the number of records voted on by the Review Board, and the identification and acquisition of new records. The Review Board's accelerated hearing and review process has significantly expanded the historical record of the Kennedy assassination. At the end of 1996, the collection totaled 1,290 cubic feet, equivalent to 3.3 million pages of documents. Tens of thousands of other assassination records, however, await review and the identification process for new records continues.

## 2. Meetings

### December 13, 1995

The Review Board voted to release 127 CIA, FBI, and HSCA documents.

The Review Board voted to release 57 CIA documents, 23 in full and 34 in part. These documents related to the CIA's broad post-assassination investigation of Lee Harvey Oswald, including its investigation of Oswald's trip to Mexico City in the fall of 1963.

The Review Board also voted to release 47 FBI documents, 25 in full and 22 in part. These documents related in part to the Fair Play for Cuba Committee's reaction to the assassination of President Kennedy.

In addition, the Review Board voted to release 23 HSCA documents in full. These documents related primarily to David Ferrie's attempt to get reinstated as a pilot with Eastern Airlines after his dismissal by the airline following the filing of 'corruption of minors' charges against him.

### January 5, 1996

The Review Board voted to release an additional 68 CIA, FBI, HSCA, and Warren Commission documents.



The Review Board voted to release 34 CIA documents, seven in full and 27 in part. These documents related to the CIA's broad post-assassination investigation of ~~Lee Harvey Oswald~~, particularly ~~Oswald's trip through Europe on his return~~ [trip](#) to the United States from the former Soviet Union in 1962.

The Review Board also voted to release six FBI documents in full. These records related in part to the Bureau's interest in New Orleans District Attorney Jim Garrison's investigation into the assassination of President Kennedy.

In addition, the Review Board voted to release 23 HSCA and five Warren Commission documents in full. These documents were previously available, but contained redactions based on privacy grounds.

Notification of the Review Board's action on these documents was sent to the President of the United States and the agencies on January 25, 1996.

#### January 31, 1996

The Review Board voted to release 207 additional CIA, FBI, HSCA and Warren Commission documents.

The Review Board voted to release 72 CIA documents, 17 in full and 55 in part. These documents related in part to the Agency's post-assassination investigation of ~~Lee Harvey Oswald~~, including ~~Oswald's~~ [his](#) trip to Mexico City in the fall of 1963.

The Review Board also voted to release 108 FBI documents (including duplicates), 42 in full and 66 in part. These documents related in part to the FBI's post-assassination investigation of Jack Ruby. Other documents related to a request made by the White House to the FBI for information about critics of the Warren Commission. These documents were previously available but contained redactions.

In addition, the Review Board voted to release 23 HSCA documents in full, with the exception of HSCA staff social security numbers. These documents included interviews with Secret Service Special Agents in Chicago and Miami regarding the assassination. Other HSCA documents related to David Ferrie and his attempt to get reinstated as a pilot with Eastern Airlines after his dismissal by the airline following the filing of 'corruption of minors' charges against him. These documents have been previously available with certain names redacted for privacy reasons.



**DRAFT: February 12, 1997, Page 9**

The Review Board also voted to release four Warren Commission documents. These documents are the last documents generated by the Commission that remain to be released in full to the public.

Notification of the Review Board's action on these documents was sent to the President of the United States and the agencies on February 14, 1996.

In other Review Board related activities, both the Department of State and the Department of Defense agreed to release documents from the HSCA Security Classified files series. DOD released three documents and DOS released 30 documents, some of which pertain in part to the HSCA's 1978 trip to Cuba to interview Castro.

The Review Board voted to release 21 of these CIA documents at the January 5, 1996 meeting, but reconsidered them at its March 19, 1996 meeting after receiving additional evidence from the CIA.

**February 29- March 1, 1996**

The Review Board met in closed session for two days of meetings. These meetings included ARRB staff briefings on various pending matters, a classified briefing by the National Security Agency, and a classified briefing by the Central Intelligence Agency.

The members of the Review Board also reviewed assassination records. No formal votes were taken during this meeting.

**March 19, 1996**

The Review Board voted on March 19, 1996 to release 271 CIA, FBI and HSCA documents.

The Review Board voted to release 135 CIA documents, 24 in full and 111 in part. These documents related primarily to the CIA's international investigation to learn everything it could about Oswald, or persons and events allegedly having everything to do with him, during the days and weeks following the assassination. The Review Board voted that some information relating to intelligence sources and methods and true names should not be released.

Other Review Board action included votes to release 130 FBI documents, 27 in full and 103 in part. These documents related in part to the Bureau's investigation of the incident involving Silvia Odio in the fall of 1963, before the assassination. Other documents detailed the FBI's post-assassination investigation of Jack Ruby.

**DRAFT: February 12, 1997, Page 10**

The Review Board voted to release six HSCA documents, five in full and one in part. Included in this group were four documents that related to Secret Service protection of the President.

In addition to the 21 CIA documents from the January 5, and 28, CIA documents from the January 31, 1996 meetings were reconsidered by the Review Board, (referred to above), along with two other CIA documents. The Review Board reconsidered a CIA document originally voted on at the November 14, 1995 meeting. The issue was protection of information about an individual. The other CIA document reconsidered by the Review Board was from the December 13, 1995 meeting. As with other CIA documents originally considered at Review Board meetings of January 5 and January 31, the issue is disclosure of the originator of a cable. The Review Board voted to use substitute language in these documents.

Notification of the Review Board's action on these documents was sent to the President of the United States and the agencies on April 2, 1996.

In other Review Board activities, 886 additional documents were opened in full by the CIA and the FBI, as well as records from the files of the HSCA. The release of these records was based on the standards established by the Review Board during its earlier review of other records. They did not require a Review Board vote because the agencies released them in their entirety. The CIA released 405 documents, the FBI released 410 documents, and 71 HSCA records were made available in full, 55 of which are organized crime-related documents obtained from the Metro-Dade County Police Department.

**April 16-17, 1996**

The Review Board voted on April 16 and 17, 1996 to release an additional 193 FBI, CIA, and HSCA records.

The Review Board voted to release 158 FBI documents (including duplicates) in full. These documents related to the Bureau's broad post-assassination investigation of ~~Lee Harvey~~ Oswald, including the FBI's investigation into the incident involving Sylvia Odio.

The Review Board also voted to release 33 CIA documents, 14 in full and 19 in part. These documents consisted of various records from Oswald's "201" file.

In addition, the Review Board voted to release two HSCA documents in full, which were duplicates of documents previously opened.

**DRAFT: February 12, 1997, Page 11**

Notification of the Review Board's action on the above documents was sent to the President of the United States and the agencies on May 1, 1996.

As part of the mandate of the Review Board to identify assassination records, the Review Board designated Secret Service records from the files of Chief James Rowley as "assassination records." These records included Secret Service comments on the William Manchester book manuscript.

In other Review Board activities, after consultation with the appropriate Federal agencies and in compliance with the JFK Act, 119 additional FBI and HSCA records were released in full. The FBI released 89 documents, and 30 HSCA documents were made available by consent release.

**May 13-14, 1996**

The Review Board voted on May 13 and 14, 1996 to release an additional 528 FBI, CIA, and HSCA documents.

The Review Board voted to release 132 CIA records, one in full and 131 in part. These documents were from ~~Lee Harvey~~ Oswald's "201" file.

CIA "Scelso" Documents

A category of records that has received great attention involves a former CIA employee who testified before the HSCA in executive session under the pseudonym "John Scelso." The Review Board has postponed the name of this former CIA employee who was involved in the assassination investigation.

The Review Board voted to release the individual's name in 188 "Scelso" documents on either May 1, 2001, or three months after the death of the individual whose name is postponed, whichever occurs first.

The Review Board is very much aware of the research community's interest in knowing the identity of the person identified in the records under the pseudonym of "John Scelso." The Review Board received extensive information about the true identity of Mr. Scelso. Because of issues related to his identity, the Review Board decided that Mr. Scelso should be protected for five years, after which his true name will be revealed.

The Review Board is obtaining additional information regarding Mr. Scelso's work at the CIA both before and after the assassination. Much of this information has already been revealed in Mr. Scelso's sworn testimony before the HSCA, which has been made available. Moreover, additional information gathered by the Review Board regarding Mr. Scelso also will be made available within the next year.

#### FBI Records

The Review Board also voted to release 197 additional FBI records, 40 in full and 157 in part. These documents related to the Bureau's post-assassination investigation of ~~Lee Harvey~~ Oswald.

#### HSCA Documents

The Review Board voted to release 11 HSCA documents, one in full and 10 in part. These documents related to a variety of different topics. The postponed information consisted of social security numbers.

#### Notification to the President and the Agencies

Notification of the Review Board's action on the above documents was sent to the President of the United States and the agencies on May 29, 1996.

#### Secret Service Records

As part of the mandate of the Review Board to identify assassination records, the Review Board designated additional Secret Service records as "assassination records." These records included protective survey reports for planned presidential trips to Houston, Ft. Worth, and Austin, Texas on November 21-22, 1963, and shift reports of unusual incidents from March 1963 to January 1964. In addition, the Richard Case Nagell file was designated as an "assassination record." The Review Board will review these documents for inclusion in the JFK Assassination Records Collection.

#### Other Board-Related Activities

After consultation with the appropriate Federal agencies and in compliance with the JFK Act, 463 additional FBI, CIA, HSCA, and Warren Commission records were released in full. The FBI released 289 documents, and the CIA released 146 documents. In addition, 26 HSCA documents and two Warren Commission documents were made available by consent release.

### **June 4, 1996**

The Review Board voted on June 4, 1996 to release 439 CIA, FBI, and HSCA documents, including duplicates.

#### CIA Records

The Review Board voted to release 228 CIA records, four in full and 224 in part. Included in these documents was the "Mexico City Chronology," a 133-paged summary of CIA communications related to ~~Lee Harvey~~ Oswald's trip to Mexico City in the fall of 1963. Other documents related to Oswald's stay in the Soviet Union, and former New Orleans District Attorney Jim Garrison's

investigation into the assassination. The redacted information included, for the most part, names of CIA employees and sensitive operational details.

#### FBI Records

The Review Board also voted to release 205 FBI records, 37 in full and 168 in part. These documents consisted in large part of post-assassination FBI headquarters and field office files on Oswald. The redacted information contained informant names and symbol numbers.

#### HSCA Documents

The Review Board voted to release six HSCA documents, three in full and three in part. Included in this group of documents: a United States Customs Service document from the Metro-Dade Police files, which was acquired by the HSCA, concerning an organized crime meeting in Miami; multiple-year tax returns for Eileen Kaminsky (Jack Ruby's sister); a Department of Defense document that included a fact sheet on the 112th Intelligence Corps and a fact sheet on the destruction of Oswald's IRR dossier.

#### Secret Service Records

As part of the mandate of the Review Board to identify assassination records, the Review Board designated additional Secret Service records as "assassination records." These records include Secret Service correspondence with members of the Warren Commission in 1964, and the document "Briefing Book: Director's Appearance Before the House Select Committee on Assassinations, December 1978." The Review Board will review these documents for inclusion in the JFK Assassination Records Collection.

In other Review Board activities, after consultation with the FBI and in compliance with the JFK Act, 82 FBI documents were made available by consent release.

### **July 9-10, 1996**

The Review Board voted on July 9 and 10, 1996 to release 416 CIA, FBI, and HSCA documents, including duplicates.

#### CIA Records

The Review Board voted to release 257 CIA records, ten in full and 247 in part. This was the first meeting in which the Review Board reviewed a significant number of documents from the CIA's 'JFK Collection', the group of records containing documents which the Agency considers part of the assassination investigation itself. The issues in these documents covered a wide variety of topics including the investigation of Jack Ruby, the Garrison investigation, and JMWAVE, the CIA station

**DRAFT: February 12, 1997, Page 14**

in Miami that concentrated on anti-Castro issues. The Review Board determined that the release of some information relating to intelligence sources and methods should be delayed.

FBI Records

The Review Board also voted to release 150 FBI records, 64 in full and 86 in part. These records included documents that relate to the investigations of ~~Lee Harvey~~ Oswald and Jack Ruby. Other documents in this group related to the international reaction to the assassination of President Kennedy, including that of the Communist Party. The Review Board delayed public release of some information relating to informant names and symbol numbers.

HSCA/Warren Commission Documents

The Review Board voted to release seven HSCA documents, five in full and two in part. Included in this group of documents was Marina Oswald's Social Security Administration file, which was opened for the first time, with the exception of social security numbers. Two duplicates of previously opened Warren Commission documents that are in the HSCA files were also cleared for release by the Review Board.

Other Board-Related Activities

After consultation with the appropriate agencies and in compliance with the JFK Act, 402 FBI, CIA, and HSCA documents were made available in full by consent release. The FBI released 153 documents, and the CIA released 131 documents. In addition, 118 HSCA documents were made available by consent release.

**August 5-6, 1996**

The Review Board voted on August 5 and 6, 1996 to release 316 CIA, FBI, and HSCA documents, including duplicates.

CIA Records

The Review Board voted to release 204 CIA documents, eight in full and 196 in part. In addition to these documents, the Review Board acted on two HSCA documents with CIA equities. These documents included portions of the Lopez Report, which pertained to Oswald's trip to Mexico City, and the 193-page testimony to the HSCA by the CIA officer, alias John Scelso, who coordinated the CIA investigation in the months immediately following the assassination. The redacted portions of these documents included intelligence sources and methods, true names, and file number prefixes.

FBI Records

## DRAFT: February 12, 1997, Page 15

The Review Board also voted to release 104 FBI documents (including duplicates), 57 in full and 47 in part. The documents related in large part to the Bureau's investigation of Jack Ruby. The redacted portions of these documents consisted mostly of informant names and symbol numbers.

The FBI has appealed to the President the Review Board's decisions to release information contained in 36 documents (including duplicates) from the July 9-10, 1996 meeting. The information contained in the redacted portions of these documents has to do with intelligence sources and methods. The Review Board had responded to this appeal.

### HSCA Documents

In addition to the two HSCA documents mentioned above, the Review Board voted to release six HSCA documents in full, including a previously withheld document relating to Joseph Milteer. Also made available by consent release are 17 HSCA records that document the Miami Police Department's response to inquiries related to Jim Garrison's investigation of the assassination.

### Secret Service Records

As part of the mandate of the Review Board to identify assassination records, the Review Board designated additional Secret Service records as "assassination records." These records include protective survey reports for planned presidential trips in the period between October 31--November 21, 1963, and a 13-minute tape of a radio interview with Secret Service Chief James Rowley on August 7, 1963.

### Other Board-Related Activities

After consultation with the appropriate Federal agencies and in compliance with the JFK Act, 221 additional documents (24 CIA, 180 FBI, and 17 HSCA) were made available in full by consent release.

## **September 27, 1996**

The Review Board voted on September 27, 1996 to release 1,393 CIA, FBI, HSCA, and Secret Service documents, including duplicates.

### CIA Records

The Review Board voted to release 222 CIA records, two in full and 221 in part. These documents included communications between the Mexico City station and CIA headquarters during the months immediately before and after the assassination, Mexico City surveillance reports, correspondence between the CIA and HSCA regarding access to information, and documents related to assassination attempts on Fidel Castro, including portions of the 1967 Inspector General's report.



### FBI Records

The Review Board also voted to release 214 FBI records, 115 in full and 88 in part. These FBI assassination investigation documents consisted, in part, of records related to Clay Shaw, Michael and Ruth Paine, and Orest Peña. Other documents related to the Communist Party reaction to the assassination. An additional 174 FBI documents were made available by consent release.

### HSCA and NARA Documents

The Review Board voted to release 47 HSCA documents, 13 in full and 34 in part, and one Warren Commission document. Included in this group of documents were previously withheld records such as a Congressional Research Service memo to the HSCA summarizing the Clark Commission re: autopsy, and HSCA records concerning Dealey Plaza/TSBD witness James Jarman. Also, included in the group of documents were handwriting analyses of Marina Oswald and George DeMohrenschildt.

An additional 728 HSCA documents were made available by consent release.

### Secret Service Records

The Review Board voted to release two documents in part. Five additional Secret Service documents were available by consent release.

## 3. Public Hearings

August 6, 1996  
Review Board Offices  
Washington, DC

The Review Board conducted this public hearing to give the public an opportunity to comment on possible strategies for the review of a large volume of CIA records known as the "Sequestered Collection."

During the summer of 1996, after making progress on the review and release of records from the CIA's Oswald 201 File, the Review Board turned its attention to the CIA's "~~Sequestered~~ Sequestered Collection." These records are those that were segregated from the agency's filing system at the conclusion of the HSCA investigation.

The Sequestered Collection ranges from records of direct relevance to the assassination to others for which the Review Board is unable to identify any relevance. The Review Board and ARRB staff undertook an effort to establish an approach to the handling of these records that was fully consistent with its mandate and responsibilities, and, at the same time, reflected a reasonable and appropriate

investment of (Review Board, ARRB staff, and agency) resources. Separating the “wheat from the chaff,” and devoting the Review Board’s time to a detailed review of postponements in the “wheat,” was essential to completing the most important parts of the Review Board’s mission on time.

The Review Board heard testimony from the following invited witnesses:

**John Pereira**, Central Intelligence Agency  
**J. Barry Harrelson**, Central Intelligence Agency  
**T. Jeremy Gunn**, Assassination Records Review Board staff  
**Steven D. Tilley**, National Archives and Records Administration

Other members of the public who testified were:

**John Newman**  
**James Lesar**  
**Harrison Livingstone**

The hearing was helpful to the Review Board as it grappled with this difficult area and moved to reach a final decision on this issue.

The Review Board allowed a 30-day public comment period following the hearing.

**September 17, 1996**  
**Los Angeles Board of Education Hearing Room**  
**Los Angeles, California**

The Review Board conducted its fourth field hearing in Los Angeles, fulfilling a commitment made by the Review Board that there would be geographic balance to the location of public hearings. The public hearing in Los Angeles allowed members of the public in this area to observe the Review Board at work and gave the Review Board members an opportunity to hear expert testimony from individuals who reside in this part of the country.

The public hearing was productive for the Review Board. As will be detailed in another section of this report, several witnesses donated records that will become part of the JFK Collection at the National Archives.

The following invited witnesses testified at the public hearing:

**David Belin**, former Assistant Counsel to the Warren Commission and author of two books on the assassination, *November 22, 1963: You Are The Jury* and *Final Disclosure: The Full Truth About The Assassination of President Kennedy*. Belin also served as the Executive Director of the Rockefeller Commission in 1975, investigating CIA assassinations of foreign leaders.

**James DiEugenio**, author of *Destiny Betrayed: JFK, Cuba and the Garrison Case*, an analysis of New Orleans's District Attorney Jim Garrison's inquiry and the assassination itself.

**Eric Hamburg**, co-producer of the Oliver Stone movie *Nixon* and a former Congressional staff assistant involved in the passage of the JFK Act.

**Wesley Liebeler**, former Assistant Counsel to the Warren Commission and currently a professor of law at UCLA. Liebeler donated to the JFK Collection an unpublished manuscript he wrote on various controversies surrounding the assassination.

**David Lifton**, author of *Best Evidence: Disguise and Deception in The Assassination of John F. Kennedy*, which focused on the medical evidence in the case. He is currently working on a book about ~~Lee Harvey~~ Oswald. Lifton agreed to donate various original oral histories of various witnesses connected to the assassination story that he recorded in the 1960's and early 1970's.

**James Rankin**, son of former Chief Counsel to the Warren Commission, the late J. Lee Rankin. He testified about his father's personal papers related to his work for the Warren Commission and agreed to donate them to the JFK Collection.

**Robert Tanenbaum**, former Deputy Counsel for the House Select Committee on Assassinations in the late 1970s. He is the author of *Corruption of Blood*, a fictionalized account of his experience with the Committee investigating the Kennedy assassination.

**Steven D. Tilley**, of the National Archives, oversees the JFK Collection. He gave an update on the contents of the Collection.

Approximately 100 members of the public and the media attended the hearing. The entire hearing was also televised on PBS in the greater Los Angeles area.

## B. Special Projects

1. **Medical Evidence Records Inquiry**-- The Review Board has several ongoing investigations relating efforts to different categories of identify and locate assassination records involving medical evidence issues. As part of the review of the medical with any homicide, the

medical records are among the most important pieces of evidence. As part of its attempts to ensure that the medical records are as complete as possible, the ARRB staff deposed the three principal pathologists involved in President Kennedy's autopsy: Drs. James Humes, "J" Thorton Boswell, and Pierre Finck, as well as the autopsy photographer, John Stringer.

In addition, the ARRB staff conducted interviews with several individuals who had knowledge of the autopsy and the related photographic record. These included Dr. Robert Karme, a staff resident at Bethesda Naval Hospital who assisted in security arrangements and witnessed parts of the autopsy procedure; Joe Hagan, Tom Robinson and John VanHoesen, morticians with Gawler's Funeral Home who prepared President Kennedy's body for burial; ~~Vince Madonia and Sandra Spencer, members of~~ and persons affiliated with the Naval Photographic Center ~~who processed some autopsy-related film~~; and Carl Belcher, former head of the General Crimes section of the Justice Department's Criminal Division, who ~~took part in the~~ participated in the 1966 inventory of autopsy-related photographic material.

~~The Review Board considers its activities in this area part of its mandate is to make the JFK Collection at the National Archives as complete as possible. Important medical issues and questions surrounding records related to the autopsy need to be addressed and made available to the public.~~

**2. Photographic records.** In 1996, the Review Board initiated a public appeal for any photographs and motion pictures that would enhance the historical record of the assassination of President Kennedy. This appeal called on individuals who had taken or were in possession of photographs or motion pictures of Dealey Plaza on November 22, 1963, to present them to the Review Board for inclusion in the JFK Collections at ~~the~~ NARA. As a result of this initiative, both the Veazey and the Powers films were donated showing events that occurred before and after the assassination.

**Veazey Film--** The so-called Veazey film consists of KTVT television news film out-takes that had been discarded following the assassination of President Kennedy. Literally rescued from the trash by photographer Roy Cooper Jr., the 45-minute black-and-white 16mm film contains unique scenes before and after the assassination. The film, along with one copy, was held by Cooper and his friend, Eli Sturges, and later their heirs. Janet Veazey, Sturges' stepdaughter, read a Dallas newspaper account of the Review Board's search for photographic materials from the assassination and notified the Review Board of the film's existence in May 1996. The film was retrieved and transported to ~~the~~ NARA, where it was viewed and duplicated on video tape. The discovery of the films was confirmation of the Review Board's claim that photographs and films, which have never been publicly seen or reviewed, do exist.

**Powers Film--** On November 21, 1996, the Review Board, with the Cooperation of Dave Powers, released a three-minute, 16 mm amateur film taken by President Kennedy's aid, Mr. Powers, on November 21-22, 1963, who had accompanied President Kennedy to Texas. The film, never seen publicly, contains a series of short sequences (some lasting only a few seconds), but does not show the assassination itself. Powers, who was riding in the Secret Service car directly behind the presidential limousine during the Dallas motorcade, ran out of film minutes before the motorcade entered Dealey Plaza. Because of Powers' position within the Kennedy White House, much of the film was taken from a perspective not permitted to news photographers.

3. **BriLab Tapes.** In the late 1970s, the FBI conducted a "Bribery-Labor" (or "BriLab") investigation of several persons, including Carlos Marcello of New Orleans. In the course of its investigation, the FBI conducted electronic surveillance, tape recorded conversations, and made transcripts of the recordings. Some of the tapes were admitted in evidence in the trial of Carlos Marcello, but many other records of the electronic surveillance have remained under a court seal. There have been many allegations that the transcripts and tapes include information relevant to the assassination of President Kennedy, but it has not been possible to confirm or reject such allegations because of the court seal. The Review Board has been pursuing information related to these records, and has received the helpful cooperation of the FBI (both at Headquarters and in the New Orleans Field Office), the Department of Justice (including the office of the U.S. Attorney for the Eastern District of Louisiana), and the Federal District Court of the Eastern District of Louisiana. This work is ongoing.

#### 4. Foreign Government Records [for DGM]

Russia and Belarus [[To be supplemented by DGM](#)]. During 1996, Chairman Tunheim, Dr. Hall, and Dr. Marwell visited Russia and Belarus to request assassination-related documents collected in the former Soviet Union. ~~ADD-ADD~~

#### C. Review Board Outreach

1. **Mailing lists.** The Review Board has maintained contact on a bimonthly basis for the past two years with members of the public who have requested to be placed on our mailing list. The Review Board has a regular mailing list as well as an electronic list, and the total number of people on the lists has grown to more than 500. This number is growing every week.

Members of the public on our mailing list receive press releases, updates on the Review Board's activities, meeting results, information about documents transferred to the ~~President John F. Kennedy~~ ~~Assassination Records~~ [JFK](#) Collection, and Federal Register notices.

**2. COPA Conference 1996.** David Marwell, Executive Director, updated the research community on the activities of the Review Board during the 1996 conference of the Coalition of Political Assassinations (COPA). Marwell informed the researchers of the Review Board's actions in FY 1996, and provided a preview of the Review Board's agenda for 1997. The presentation concluded with a question and answer period. On October 21, 1996, the Review Board held an Open House for the public and the research community. Open House attendees were given access to newly released documents, the Review Board video library, and a tour of Review Board offices.

**3. Professional Associations.** On January 6, 1996, the Review Board made a presentation at the annual meeting of the American Historical Association (AHA) in Atlanta, Georgia. The Review Board provided background information and updates regarding its mandate. Approximately 100 AHA members attended, and the Review Board provided time for a question and answer period.

On March 28, 1996, the Review Board made a presentation at the Organization of American Historians (OAH) Conference. One-hundred OAH members attended the session and heard the Review Board provide a brief introduction, and then continued with a lengthy question and answer period regarding specific Board actions.

On August 29, 1996, the Review Board and ARRB Executive Director David Marwell attended the annual meeting of the Society of American Archivists in San Diego. The Review Board gave a presentation about its activities and, Marwell made a presentation about the Review Board on a panel about access issues and U.S. Government information.

**4. Journals and Newsletters.** Several times a year, Review Board Chairman Tunheim submits Review Board updates to journals and newsletters that serve the research community. In 1996, Chairman Tunheim provided articles about the Review Board to the *AARC Quarterly*, *Open Secrets*, and *Probe*, all of which serve researchers and are circulated worldwide. In addition, ARRB Executive Director David G. Marwell, General Counsel and Associate Director for Research and Analysis, T. Jeremy Gunn, and Associate Director for Communications, Thomas E. Samoluk, participated in an extensive, in-depth interview about the Review Board with the editor of *Probe*.

**5. Dallas Trip.** In April 1996, Review Board Chairman John Tunheim, ARRB Executive Director David Marwell and Associate Director for Communications Tom Samoluk visited Dallas to tour assassination-related sites and determine fertile areas for additional documents. The tours included the Sixth Floor Museum at the former Dallas School Book Depository, a review of the

Dallas Municipal Archives, and discussions with local newspapers to appeal for assassination-related documents, photographs or motion picture film taken by amateur photographers.

#### D. State, Local, and Private Records

The Review Board's investigative staff is involved in numerous research activities involving state, local and private records. These activities include contacting state and local officials regarding assassination records, and locating and interviewing key witnesses about records that may be in private hands. The Financial Crimes Enforcement Network (FINCEN) has proven to be a valuable tool for the ARRB investigative effort. Established in 1990 by the Treasury Department as a multi-agency, multi-source financial intelligence and analytical network, FINCEN has proven extremely useful in locating individuals using a variety of commercial and government databases. In FY 1996, ARRB investigators conducted more than 500 searches on individuals, organizations, and events related to the assassination. In addition, ARRB staff also took advantage of the resources of the National Personnel Records Center (NPRC) in St. Louis, which maintains almost all personnel records for military and civilian government employees. These records have proven to be not only important sources for assassination related records, but also useful tools in the search for relevant witnesses.

1. **Clay Shaw-Jim Garrison Records.** The Review Board has actively pursued several different groups of records located in New Orleans, including records belonging to Federal and local governments, individuals, and organizations.

In the 1960s, New Orleans District Attorney Jim Garrison conducted a criminal investigation of alleged ~~conspirators~~ co-conspirators in the assassination of President Kennedy. The investigation led to the indictment, trial, and acquittal of Mr. Clay Shaw, a prominent New Orleans businessman. Because the Garrison investigation has become a significant part of the story surrounding the assassination, the Review Board undertook several steps to obtain as many records related to the investigation as it reasonably could.

In a 1995 public hearing, ~~New Orleans~~ Parish District Attorney Harry F. Connick, Sr., graciously offered to donate to the JFK Collection all remaining District Attorney files that had been created in the course of Garrison's prosecution of Clay Shaw, with the exception of Grand Jury records, which Mr. Connick suggested were no longer available. Subsequent to the hearing, the Review Board received an unsolicited package of materials that ~~appeared~~ appear to be ~~copies~~ include some of the heretofore missing Grand Jury transcripts from the Garrison investigation. Upon ~~hearing~~ learning that the Review Board had received this material, Mr. Connick refused to send to the Review Board the materials that he had promised.



After making several unsuccessful attempts to discuss the issue with Mr. Connick, the Review Board obtained the assistance of the U.S. Attorney General to issue a subpoena for the records that had been promised by Mr. Connick at the New Orleans hearing. The subpoena required the District Attorney to produce "all documents and records in [his] possession, custody or control relating to the assassination of President John F. Kennedy, including but not limited to handwritten notes, memoranda, drawings, photographs, tape recordings, and correspondence that relate to the investigation and prosecution of Mr. Clay Shaw by former New Orleans District Attorney Jim Garrison, with the exception of any grand jury materials still extant in the possession of the District Attorney's Office." After Mr. Connick refused to comply with the subpoena, the Justice Department filed an enforcement action in Federal court in the Eastern District of Louisiana. Judge Marcel Livaudais of that court ruled that the Review Board is entitled to receive the original records. Mr. Connick has appealed Judge Livaudais's ruling to the Fifth Circuit, which as of this date has not scheduled oral argument. Subsequent to Judge Livaudais's ruling, and pending the outcome of the Fifth Circuit argument, the Review Board and Mr. Connick agreed that the Review Board would be permitted to make copies of all of the subpoenaed records, including original tape recordings. Following the Review Board's efforts, copies of all available official DA records from the Garrison investigation will soon be available to the American people for the first time. (Issues surrounding the Grand Jury records have not yet been resolved.)

In addition to the official DA records, the Review Board arranged for the donation of the personal records of two of the principal attorneys involved in the Shaw trial. The family of the late Edward Wegmann, who was a member of the legal team that defended Clay Shaw at his 1969 assassination conspiracy trial, agreed to donate Mr. Wegmann's files to the JFK Collection. Some of the documents in the Wegmann collection came from the office files of District Attorney Garrison. William Gurvich, an investigator for Garrison, made copies of many internal memoranda and witness interviews from the District Attorney's files when he left the office after becoming disenchanted with Garrison and the investigation. He turned the documents over to Shaw's attorneys. There are approximately 6,000 pages in the Wegmann collection. On April 9, 1996, the Review Board announced that NARA has processed the these papers of Mr. Wegmann, who was a member of and that they are now fully available for inspection and review at the team defending Clay Shaw College Park facility.

After being approached by the Review Board, the late Jim Garrison's family also agreed to donate a collection of records on the assassination of President Kennedy that Mr. Garrison kept in his personal possession.—The papers are now fully available for inspection and review at the College Park facility.

~~After being approached by the Review Board, the late Jim Garrison's family also agreed to donate a collection of records on the assassination of President Kennedy that Garrison kept at his residence.~~

The collection includes records from his assassination investigation and prosecution of Clay Shaw, as well as other files on individuals or subjects that Garrison thought were connected to the assassination. ~~There are approximately~~ The 15,000 pages ~~in~~of the Garrison ~~collection~~.

2. Collection have been inventoried and are now available at NARA. [Correct? Are they open?]

**2. Metropolitan Crime Commission of New Orleans.** The ARRB staff traveled to New Orleans to review the records of the Metropolitan Crime Commission of New Orleans (MCC). For years, the MCC has maintained files on crime and public corruption in New Orleans. The ARRB staff established a ~~strong~~cooperative working relationship with the MCC and arranged to obtain many MCC records for the JFK Collection. Among the MCC records the Review Board reviewed and marked for inclusion in the JFK Collection are records on District Attorney Garrison's investigation and prosecution of Clay Shaw and records regarding New Orleans organized crime figures. The HSCA ~~Staff~~staff reviewed many of these files in their 1977-1979 investigation of the assassination of President Kennedy.

**3. Other privately held records.** As mentioned elsewhere, several other persons have agreed to donate private collections of records to the JFK Collection. These papers include the Warren Commission papers of J. Lee Rankin, General Counsel of the Warren Commission, and the papers of Wesley Liebler, David Lifton, FBI Special Agent James Hosty, Attorney Frank Ragano, and others.

## II. Agency Compliance

~~The Review Board and ARRB staff have been in regular communication with the relevant agencies that may possess assassination records. The results~~With the Requirements ~~of the Review Board's efforts to date to ensure that these agencies are in compliance with~~JFK Act

Overview -- The JFK Act is an innovative approach to how the JFK Act are mixed Federal government can and should disclose classified information to its citizens. As with all new attempts to streamline government and to resolve issues in new ways, the Review Board was confronted by unanticipated difficulties that needed to be overcome. On several occasions during the past year, Although significant progress has been made to resolve the Review Board has been asked institutional and bureaucratic hurdles faced by an agency to reconsider a formal determination. The Review Board has taken such action reluctantly and infrequently the Review Board and the agencies, the development of this new program in uncharted terrain was more time-consuming than initially had been hoped.

Prior to the JFK Act, the declassification of records was controlled almost exclusively by the Federal agencies that had created or obtained the records. However, in Although the interest Freedom of ensuring that its decisions are based on Information Act provided a significant mechanism for the most complete evidence available release of governmental information, the Review Board has it has not been willing to review additional materials submitted by an agency and has, on occasion, changed an initial determination an effective means for the release of classified information.

The Board has had a different experience with each agency Very few judges in FOIA litigation have been willing to order Federal agencies to release classified information that it has encountered. In every case, there has been a period of education during which the agencies have learned the nature of their responsibilities under the they agencies have argued should be closed.

The JFK Act, and the Board has learned has been the first attempt to place the effective power of declassifying government records outside of the particular needs and sensitivities of the originating agencies. One of two problems has presented Although the Review Board itself technically cannot declassify Executive Branch records -- that power remains with the President -- the Review Board has become the first body with the significant authority to require agencies to justify redactions in nearly every case their records. Some agencies have had great difficulty in understanding what among their holdings meets the definition of an assassination record, while others have struggled along with the The only agency to have appealed Review Board to reach an understanding of the proper standard for the postponement of the release of information in their records determinations, the FBI, ultimately withdrew its appeals prior to a presidential decision.

~~For some agencies, principally~~In this sense, the FBI and the CIA, Review Board has essentially become the problem of identifying records has been uncomplicated, while reaching an understanding on postponement standards has been exceedingly complex and time-consumingprincipal agent for determining what classified information should be released to the public.—

~~For other agencies, particularly the Secret Service and the many components of the Defense Department, the identification of records~~The novel mechanisms of the JFK Act has proven to be the most complicated and time-consuming.—

~~Establishing and applying disclosure standards for FBI records proved a protracted, and occasionally difficult, process~~caused agencies to rethink and to revise their earlier approaches to releasing classified information. This rethinking and reevaluation has been a difficult and time consuming process for the agencies involved, particularly the FBI and CIA. There is no doubt that many people in the agencies have strongly resisted this important declassification effort. But it is equally clear that, for the most part, the agencies as a whole have made strong and significant efforts to cooperate with the Review Board to bring about the goals of the JFK Act. Although the work has not been smooth, easy, or swift, most of the agencies are contributing towards satisfying the Congress' goals.

The Review Board has had a different experience with each agency that it has encountered. In every case, there has been a period of education during which the agencies have learned the nature of their responsibilities under the Act, and the Review Board has learned about the particular needs and sensitivities of the agencies. The two over-arching problems have been first, the identification and locating of assassination records, and second, determining the proper standard for the postponement of the release of information in agency records. Brief descriptions of the status of the compliance of Federal agencies with the JFK Act are provided below.

**Federal Bureau of Investigation** -- The FBI has devoted more resources towards complying with the JFK and has released more records than has any other agency. The FBI also is the only agency to have appealed Review Board determinations to the President, a process that entailed a notable expenditure of resources of the Review Board and FBI in the briefing of the issues to the President. But by subsequently withdrawing its appeals, the FBI also demonstrated its willingness to reconsider earlier decisions and has continued, throughout the process, to cooperate with the Review Board.

#### Review and Processing of FBI Assassination Records

The Review Board has worked with FBI documents continuously throughout FY 1996. To date, the Review Board has voted on postponements claimed in more than 1,300 FBI records. The FBI also has agreed to release in full an additional 1,600 assassination records that previously were available

only in redacted form, rather than submit to the Review Board evidence in support of its redactions. When it has chosen to ask the Review Board to uphold claimed postponements, the FBI has significantly improved in making timely and pertinent evidence submissions.

Establishing and applying disclosure standards for FBI records proved to be a protracted and difficult process. The largest body of postponements claimed by the FBI concerned confidential informants and Sections 6(2) and 6(4) of the JFK Act. On December 14, 1994, the Review Board received its first briefing by FBI officials on the Bureau's reasons for wishing to withhold information tending to identify informants. In the months that followed, the Review Board members and staff learned much from the FBI about how the Bureau handled informants and informant reports in the 1960's, and explained to the FBI ~~their~~<sup>its</sup> reasons for believing that the JFK Act required more particular consideration of current circumstances before the Review Board could sustain informant postponements. Although the FBI and Review Board identified a number of postponements that both agreed should be released under the JFK Act, they were unable to resolve larger issues.

When the Review Board first voted on a set of informant postponements in July 1995, the FBI sought protection of its informants as a class, rather than provide evidence regarding individual informants. The Review Board voted to open these postponements and the FBI exercised its right to appeal to the President. In August 1995, the FBI and the Review Board thoroughly briefed the White House on the appealed issues. ~~After these briefings, the FBI~~<sup>The FBI subsequently</sup> provided information about these informants to ~~and~~<sup>and</sup> the Review Board, ~~the Review Board~~<sup>thereupon</sup> reconsidered its prior determinations in the light of this evidence, ~~and the FBI released the records per the Board's determinations, rather than renewing its appeals.~~

~~Since that time, the FBI and the Review Board staff have worked to ensure that information relevant to informant postponements is efficiently provided.~~ The FBI then released the records in accordance with the Review Board's determinations rather than renew its appeals to the President.

Since that time, the FBI and the ARRB staff have worked to ensure that information relevant to informant postponements is efficiently provided. Nevertheless, ten months were expended and a labor-intensive appeal undertaken before it was established what type of evidence would support continued postponement.

The next-largest group of postponements in FBI records involve the FBI's "foreign counterintelligence" ("FCI") activities and Sections 6(1)(B) and 6(1)(C) of the JFK Act. At the request of the FBI, the Review Board delayed consideration of these postponements until 1996, in order to give the Bureau and the Department of State sufficient opportunity to review this set of issues. When, in 1996, the Review Board voted to open a number of ~~"FCI"~~<sup>FCI</sup> postponements, the FBI, with the support of the Department of State, noticed for appeal over a hundred of these

determinations to the President. The issues presented on appeal were exhaustively briefed in May 1996, with supplementary papers filed later in the year. In December 1996, the FBI advised the White House and the Review Board that it was withdrawing all of the records from the briefed “FCI”FCI appeals in the light of comparable official releases identified by the Review Board. While it now appears that the most important issues regarding “FCI”FCI postponements have been resolved, it again required a lengthy appeal process to achieve that resolution.

~~The Review Board’s experience with the CIA was of~~By a different charactersignificant margin, the FBI has identified more assassination records than any other Federal agency.—Rather than using the appeal process to clarify the issues in dispute, the CIA met extensively with the Board, providing detailed and sensitive evidence.—The result, not unlike that with the FBI, was an identification of the truly important issues.—The contrast between the initial postponements taken by the CIA and the final determinations made by the Review Board and accepted by the CIA is dramatic indeed.—The time and energy invested in the process, however, was significant.—

~~The Review Board’s experience with the Secret Service offers a good example of the difficulty some agencies have had in identifying records that should be processed under the Act.—~~In March of 1995, the Secret Service reported to the Review Board that they believed that they were in full compliance with the Act.—The Review Board has no reason to think that the Secret Service was representing anything other than its honest view of the status of its efforts at that time.—Unfortunately, as it turns out, the Secret Service was far from finished.—Time consuming and careful review of Secret Service activities by the Review Board produced a series of requests for additional records and information that, in turn, led to the identification of additional relevant assassination records.—

~~Similarly, the many components of the armed services have required extensive support and follow-up in their efforts to comply with the Act.—~~Military records are distributed among a wide variety of entities, and their records are scattered among numerous repositories.—Military records include those of the Office of the Secretary of Defense, the individual services (Army, Air Force, Navy and Marine Corps), the Joint Staff, and individual DOD agencies such as the National Security Agency (NSA) and the Defense Intelligence Agency (DIA).—The records of each service or agency are organized separately; each sets its own standards for which records will be retained, which will be destroyed, and what the destruction schedule will be; and each stores its records in one or more archival repositories which often lack any centralized index or catalogue.—Additionally, the sheer magnitude of DOD records (which is several times greater than that of CIA, FBI and Secret Service put together) makes it extraordinarily difficult to identify and locate assassination-related records.—

~~As a consequence, a major problem in the search for military records involves simply finding assassination-relevant materials within so many large, separately managed records collections.—~~Unlike the FBI and the Secret Service, the Department of Defense and the military services did not

play a role in investigating the assassination.—Consequently, while those other agencies often have records holdings clearly marked “JFK Assassination” or “Assassination Investigation,” DOD entities do not.—Instead, individual DOD documents referring to the assassination are generally to be found (if at all) buried in collections dealing primarily with other topics.—Finding these records among the voluminous military records holdings is no easy task, since detailed subject headings for old file collections are frequently so vague (hundreds of boxes marked “General Office Files, 1963”) as to be useless.—

Further exacerbating this problem was the initial difficulty in educating DOD records managers on what broad topics or records groups should be searched in detail in the hopes of uncovering information that might have had some bearing on the assassination.—For example, records dealing with domestic surveillance of possible “subversives” by military intelligence units in Texas and Louisiana (and which led to the creation a pre-assassination file on Lee Harvey Oswald by an Army intelligence unit based in San Antonio) may shed additional background information on the Kennedy assassination.—Such connections were not necessarily apparent, however, to the people who did the initial search of DOD records: they were not themselves experts on the assassination and tended, therefore, to limit their search to documents directly related to the president’s assassination in Dallas on November 22, 1963.—An important component of the ARRB’s work with DOD has been to broaden the search for files to other related topics to see if they will yield information that will broaden our understanding of the assassination and its historical context.

Yet another difficulty in assuring a thorough search of military records arises from the way in which such records are stored.—For the most part, the initial records search done by each service and agency in compliance with the JFK Act focused on records currently in its custody.—Significantly, however, these efforts generally failed to search the stored records that are under the service or agency’s legal control, but that are no longer in its custody: namely, records stored at archival repositories like the Federal Records Center in Suitland, Maryland.—These records have not yet been accessioned by the NARA and thus remain the property of the individual service or agency that created them.—(To access these records, one must obtain permission from the owning service or agency, not NARA.)<sup>†</sup>—

Through a process of close cooperation and careful follow-up, the Review Board has been successful in addressing the complex issues that the Act has raised concerning postponement standards and the identification of relevant records.—This success has been achieved only after a significant investment of time and effort.—



Brief descriptions of the status of the compliance of Federal agencies with the JFK Act are provided below.

**The Federal Bureau of Investigation** — The Review Board has worked with FBI documents continuously throughout FY 1996. To date, the Review Board has voted on postponements claimed in more than 1,300 FBI records. The FBI also has agreed to release in full an additional 1,600 assassination records that previously were available only in redacted form, rather than submit to the Review Board evidence in support of its redactions. When it has chosen to ask the Review Board to uphold claimed postponements, the FBI has significantly improved in making timely and pertinent evidence submissions.

By a large margin, the FBI has identified more assassination records than any other Federal agency. As a result, although the FBI has devoted substantial resources to implementing the JFK Act, there are still more than 200,000 pages of assassination records that remain unprocessed. At the present rate, the FBI will not have completed its processing of these records until after the Review Board winds down at the end of Fiscal Year 1997. In view of these resource and time constraints, the Review Board is taking steps to ensure that it focuses on those FBI records of greatest use and interest to the public. Within the body of unprocessed assassination records, the ARRB staff is identifying subjects of highest interest, so that the FBI can process these subjects next. The FBI has fully cooperated in this effort.

#### Identification of Additional FBI Records and Information

The ARRB staff Review Board has also continued its efforts to locate additional FBI assassination records not previously identified as such by the FBI making several requests for records and information. The FBI has assisted in this effort by giving Review Board members access to requested files. The FBI has, on the whole, been extremely cooperative and helpful to the Review Board and has provided the information requested.

**Central Intelligence Agency** -- Throughout the past year, the Review Board has experienced a high level of cooperation and compliance from with the Central Intelligence Agency. The CIA has proven proved willing to release records of great operational sensitivity where on issues of immediate relevance to the Oswald story is concerned and has agreed as well as to a wide variety of other releases in documents that are only tangentially less closely connected to Oswald or to the JFK assassination or to Oswald. In addition, the CIA has taken upon itself to release in full to the NARA large numbers of previously redacted previously redacted documents from its Oswald file and JFK records. Particularly worthy of note was the CIA's commitment of time and manpower to reviewing

records (under Review Board auspices) at the JFK Library in June, where it cleared for release a large body of Cuba-related records from the National Security Files.

So far, the CIA's Historical Review Group has managed to keep pace The CIA efforts to cooperate with the Review Board's requests for records and information, but during spirit of the JFK Act were solidly demonstrated its willingness, under Review Board auspices, to send a team of reviewers to the JFK Library in Boston to clear for release a large body of Cuba-related records from President Kennedy's National Security Files. It appears to the Review Board that the declassification process has produced more internal agency difficulties for the coming and final year the Review Board expects requirements to increase and significantly exceed the CIA's ability to comply unless the Historical Review Group receives much-needed additional staff support CIA than for any other Federal agency. The Review Board hopes this problem can be solved as quickly as possible.

In December, 1996, the CIA transferred many identification, discussion, and resolution of the records from the HSCA Sequestered Collection issues pertaining to secured NARA spaces at the Review Board office classified information has imposed a significant challenge on the CIA and on the Review Board. Although the CIA has not agreed with many Review Board determinations, it nevertheless has undertaken significant efforts to cooperate with the Review Board to satisfy the standards of the JFK Act.

#### Review and Processing of CIA Assassination Records

Unlike the FBI, the CIA did not employ the presidential appeal process to clarify issues in dispute. The CIA met extensively with the Review Board, providing detailed evidence in support of the proposed postponements. The ultimate outcome, not unlike that with the FBI, was an identification of the truly important issues. The contrast between the initial postponements taken by the CIA and the formal determinations made by the Review Board and ultimately accepted by the CIA is dramatic indeed. The time and energy invested in the process, however, was significant.

Thus far, the CIA has largely managed to keep pace with the Review Board's requests for records and information on documents for review. [Is this really correct?] During the coming and final year, the Review Board expects requirements to increase and significantly to exceed the CIA's ability to comply unless the Historical Review Group receives much-needed additional staff support. The Review Board hopes this problem can be resolved as quickly as possible.

In December, 1996, the CIA transferred many of the records from the HSCA Sequestered Collection to a secured space adjoining the Review Board offices. The close proximity to the Review Board will increase the efficiency of record review.

Identification of Additional CIA Records and Information

The Review Board has initiated several requests to the CIA for additional information and records.—  
S. Secret Service—Over the past twelve months, the Secret ServiceAlthough the CIA has developed a  
system forrepeatedly stated its internal review of records and submission of documents and disks both  
to the Review Board and to the JFK Collection at the National Archivesintention to fulfill these  
requests, many have been long outstanding. The Review Board expects that these requests will be  
promptly and fully satisfied during the upcoming year.

U. S. Secret Service -- The Review Board's experience with the Secret Service offers an  
instructive example of the difficult process of education demanded by the JFK Act. In March of  
1995, the Secret Service reported to the Review Board that it believed it had effectively satisfied its  
responsibilities under the JFK Act. Although the Review Board has no reason to question the  
sincerity of this early representation, it is now clear that the Secret Service was far from finished.  
Although the Review Board and the Secret Service had a difficult first year in sorting out their  
comparative responsibilities, the Review Board is very appreciative of the significant improvement in  
the cooperation of the Secret Service during this past year. Although much work remains to be  
done, and some important differences in interpretation of the JFK Act need to be resolved, the Review  
Board looks forward to a mutually cooperative relationship with the Secret Service during the  
upcoming year.

Review and Processing of Secret Service Assassination Records

Over the past twelve months, the Secret Service has developed a system for its internal review of  
records and submission of documents and disks both to the Review Board and to the JFK Collection  
at the National Archives. Since October 1995, the Secret Service has processed approximately 500  
records referred from the HSCA collection at Legislative Archives. Of those 500 records, the Secret  
Service forwarded approximately 450 records without postponements to the JFK Collection and  
submitted more than 50 items with postponements to the Review Board. Four documents are still  
under consideration by both the Review Board and the Secret Service. The Review Board continues  
to be engaged in discussions regarding specific requirements under the JFK Act with the Secret  
Service.

In response to a total of eight requests for additional information from the Review Board, the  
Secret Service has submitted more than 1,500 pages of material.Identification of Additional Secret  
Service Records and Information

Time consuming and careful review of Secret Service activities by the Review Board produced a  
series of requests for additional records and information that, in turn, led to the identification of

additional relevant assassination records. In response to the first eight requests for additional information from the Review Board, the Secret Service has submitted more than 1,500 pages of material. Three requests are still outstanding, and a few documents from the submitted material are still under review. The Review Board will be following up some of these responses with additional requests in the coming year. The Secret Service has forwarded material from Chief Rowley's files, letters from the public, and protective information (including trip reports, administrative files, and Warren Commission memoranda) from the 1963-1964 period. Most of these 1,500 pages will be available at the JFK Collection by October 1996.

Department of the Treasury (excluding IRS, Customs Service, ATF, and Secret Service) --  
Prior to being contacted by the Review Board, "Main Treasury" had identified no assassination records under the JFK Act. ~~ARRB staff interviewed Robert I. Bouek, who worked with the Protective Research Service in 1963, and Floyd M. Boring, part of the White House Detail in 1963.~~

~~The Department of the Treasury (excluding IRS, Customs Service, ATF, and Secret Service) -- "Main Treasury" had identified no assassination records under the JFK Act prior to being contacted by the Review Board.~~ ARRB staff members requested access to specified Treasury records and, last fiscal year, identified assassination records among Treasury files held at the Federal Records Center at Suitland, Maryland. The Review Board has directed Treasury to transfer these records to the National Archives for inclusion in the JFK Collection, as well as to locate additional records that may contain assassination-related materials. The identification of assassination related documents in Treasury files is an example of the Review Board's ongoing effort to identify additional documents and of the need for an extension of the Review Board's mandate.

Internal Revenue Service -- Section 11(a) of the JFK Act statesThe JFK Collection at NARA includes three boxes of closed IRS records ~~that the transmission and disclosure provisions of the JFK Act do *not* take precedence over Section 6103 of the Internal Revenue Code, which affords confidentiality~~were made available to tax return and related informationthe Warren Commission. Accordingly, Federal law exempts much of the information in IRS investigative files from inclusion in the JFK Assassination Records Collection.

~~Within these legal constraints, the IRS~~These records apparently include original Oswald tax return forms ~~and the Review Board have worked together to ensure~~other records ~~that the Collection is as complete as possible~~are of substantial interest if they could be disclosed publicly. Section 6103 of the Internal Revenue Code generally prohibits public disclosure of confidential tax returns. For example, the IRS has agreed that tax return information may become part of the JFK Collection if the taxpayer Although Section 11 ~~(or his surviving spouse or descendant)~~ consents in writingof the JFK Act provides that the Act generally supersedes all other law with respect ~~to disclosure of the information~~assassination records, it specifically states that the Act does *not* take precedence over

Section 6103 of the Internal Revenue Code. However, Marina Oswald Porter, widow of accused assassin Lee Harvey Oswald, has refused repeated requests from the Review Board for permission to access her and her late husband's tax records. \_\_\_

The Review Board is engaged in discussions with the IRS to determine whether there is a legal means by which these records could be disclosed.

Within these legal constraints, the IRS and the Review Board have worked together to ensure that the JFK Collection is as complete as possible. For example, the IRS has already agreed that tax return information may become part of the JFK Collection if the taxpayer (or his surviving spouse or descendant) consents in writing to disclosure of the information. After receiving this assurance from the IRS, the Review Board contacted Marina Oswald Porter and asked for her consent to release of the tax return records. Although she requested that the Review Board to pursue certain records, and although the Review Board is in the process of seeking those records, she has thus far been unwilling to take the simple step that would enable the documents to be released promptly.

**Customs Service** -- The Customs Service is in the final stages of its work with the Review Board to implement the JFK Act.

Under generally applicable retention policies, most Customs Service records are authorized for destruction after 20 years. However, the ARRB staff identified more than 100 boxes of Customs Service records from the 1950s through the 1970s at the Washington National Records Center at Suitland, Maryland. This year, Customs officials and ARRB staff members reviewed more than 100 boxes containing these records, and identified a small number of assassination records. In August 1996, Customs advised the Review Board that it has concluded its search of field offices and that those offices that had located assassination records had sent them to Customs headquarters for review under the JFK Act.

Customs is now preparing electronic identification aids for all of its assassination records and will transfer the records to the National Archives when these aids are completed. Customs has advised that it does not anticipate seeking postponements in any of these records. Finally, Customs has approved release in full of all assassination records referred to it by other agencies.

**The Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco and Firearms (BATF/ATF)** -- To date, BATF/ATF has sent no records to the NARA for inclusion in the JFK Assassination Records Collection. After some initial difficulties in establishing a point of contact, BATF/ATF responded to the Review Board's inquiries by stating that it had searched its records and found nothing related to the assassination of President Kennedy. The Review Board followed up with specific requests targeting particular individuals and events of interest to the Warren Commission and HSCA investigations, as well as to

private researchers. In response to these requests, ~~BATF~~ATF identified one assassination record. ~~BATF~~ATF advises that other responsive records it once may have had were probably destroyed prior to the passage of the JFK Act pursuant to records disposition schedules generally applicable to ~~BATF~~ATF investigative files.

~~The Department of Justice (excluding INS, FBI, and DEA) --~~ The Review Board has established points of contact within the Office of Information and Privacy (for records of the DOJ leadership), the Criminal Division, the Civil Rights Division, and the Civil Division. Each of these offices has transferred records to the National Archives for inclusion in the JFK Assassination Records Collection. In addition, the Office of Legal Counsel is processing for inclusion into the JFK Collection relevant documents from that office, including documents relating to legal issues that arose regarding the Warren Commission. DOJ has also allowed the ARRB staff to review microfilmed copies of DOJ records located at the John F. Kennedy Presidential Library in order to determine to what extent these records may fall within the purview of the JFK Act. We understand that some of these records now exist only on microfilm. DOJ has also responded promptly to Review Board requests for information and referrals of records from the HSCA and other agencies.

~~Immigration and Naturalization Service --~~ The INS has transferred to the NARA two of its four original folders from the Lee Harvey Oswald file More than any other Federal agency, and it is in the final process of review of the remainder of the Oswald file as well as the file of Marina Oswald Porter the INS has thus far failed to meet its obligations under the JFK Act. Prior to November 1, 1996, Although the INS had not transferred any -- like all agencies -- believes that it has insufficient resources to comply with the Act, all other similarly situated agencies have made much greater efforts to comply with the spirit and the letter of its own assassination records to the Review Board or to the NARA the law. The IRS has repeatedly failed to meet agreed-upon deadlines.

As of November 1, 1996, the INS had not transferred any of its own assassination records to the Review Board or to NARA. Although the INS had reviewed its own equities in third agency documents and returned them to the appropriate agencies, it had not completed any of its own review.

Beginning in November 1996, the INS finally began the process of transferring records to NARA with its shipment of INS files for several individuals, none of which contained any postponements. ~~The INS now estimates, according to its communication to~~ It recently transferred to NARA two of the Review Board on November 4, 1996, that it expects to complete the review four folders from the original Lee Harvey Oswald file, and ~~transfer~~ it is in the final process ~~by February 1, 1997~~ of reviewing the long-delayed remainder of the Oswald file as well as the file of Marina Oswald Porter.



More recently, the INS failed to adhere to its November 4, 1996 promise that it would complete the review and transfer process by February 1, 1997.

**Drug Enforcement Administration** -- The DEA has not, on its own initiative, identified any records of its own for inclusion in the JFK Assassination Records Collection. However, the DEA has responded cooperatively to Review Board requests to locate and retrieve files potentially containing assassination records. The ARRB staff is reviewing retrieved records so that the Review Board may determine how the JFK Act should be implemented with respect to these records. To date, this effort has concentrated on files responsive to HSCA requests for information, but records potentially relating to the assassination that postdate the HSCA also have been identified. Under its generally applicable records disposal policies, the DEA destroys investigative files after twenty-five years. As a result, ARRB staff has not identified any records potentially related to the assassination dating before the 1970s.

**United States Postal Service** -- The Postal Service has identified in response to the JFK Act a number of records, principally relating to postal inspectors' investigation of the mail-order purchase of the rifle that, the authorities concluded, was used to kill President Kennedy. However, the Postal Service has not yet transferred any of these records to the National Archives for inclusion in the Collection.

**Department of Defense** -- ~~There have been a few highlights to the Review Board's searches~~The Department of Defense (including its many components and review of the military services) (collectively "DoD"), identified few assassination records on its own initiative. The Department of Defense DoD has agreed nevertheless been very cooperative with the efforts of the Review Board to release, without postponement, 45 previously withheld HSCA documents. —

~~The ARRB staff received and reviewed two packages of assassination-related documents from the Office of the Secretary of Defense (OSD)~~locate assassination records. When such records have been located, DoD has been very willing to release the records with very few redactions. For example, DoD released, without postponements, the 45 previously withheld HSCA documents.

The many components of the armed services have required extensive support and follow-up in their efforts to comply with the Act. Military records are distributed among a wide variety of entities, and their records are scattered among numerous repositories. Military records include those of the Office of the Secretary of Defense, the individual services (Army, Air Force, Navy and Marine Corps), the Joint Staff, and individual DOD agencies such as the National Security Agency (NSA) and the Defense Intelligence Agency (DIA). The records of each service or agency are organized separately; each sets its own standards for which records will be retained, which will be destroyed, and what the destruction schedule will be; and each stores its records in one or more archival repositories which

often lack any centralized index or catalogue. Additionally, the sheer magnitude of DOD records (which is several times greater than that of CIA, FBI and Secret Service put together) makes it extraordinarily difficult to identify and locate assassination-related records.

As a consequence, a major problem in the search for military records involves simply finding assassination-relevant materials within so many large, separately-managed records collections. Unlike the FBI and the Secret Service, the Department of Defense and the military services did not play a role in investigating the assassination. Consequently, while those other agencies often have records holdings clearly marked "JFK Assassination" or "Assassination Investigation," DOD entities do not. Instead, individual DOD documents referring to the assassination are generally to be found (if at all) buried in collections dealing primarily with other topics. Finding these records among the voluminous military records holdings is no easy task, since detailed subject headings for old file collections are frequently so vague (hundreds of boxes marked "General Office Files, 1963") as to be useless.

Further exacerbating this problem was the initial difficulty in educating DOD records managers on what broad topics or records groups should be searched in detail in the hopes of uncovering information that might have had some bearing on the assassination. For example, records dealing with domestic surveillance of possible "subversives" by military intelligence units in Texas and Louisiana (and which led to the creation a pre-assassination file on Oswald by an Army intelligence unit based in San Antonio) may shed additional background information on the Kennedy assassination. Such connections were not necessarily apparent, however, to the people who did the initial search of DOD records: they were not themselves experts on the assassination and tended, therefore, to limit their search to documents directly related to the president's assassination in Dallas on November 22, 1963. An important component of the ARRB's work with DOD has been to broaden the search for files to other related topics to see if they will yield information that will broaden our under-standing of the assassination and its historical context.

Yet another difficulty in assuring a thorough search of military records arises from the way in which such records are stored. For the most part, the initial records search done by each service and agency in compliance with the JFK Act focused on records currently in its custody. Significantly, however, these efforts generally failed to search the stored records that are under the service or agency's legal control, but that are no longer in its custody: namely, records stored at archival repositories like the Federal Records Center in Suitland, Maryland. These records have not yet been accessioned by NARA and thus remain the property of the individual service or agency that created them. (To access these records, one must obtain permission from the owning service or agency, not NARA.)<sup>1</sup>

---

<sup>1</sup> This initial failure to search Suitland records was a serious omission inasmuch as many records from the early 1960's are located there. To give just two examples, both the Defense



The ARRB staff received and reviewed two packages of assassination-related documents from the Office of the Secretary of Defense (OSD). The first, an unclassified package, contained information regarding FOIA requests previously processed by OSD. The second package, which was classified, contained six documents (totaling approximately 130 pages) related to ~~US~~U.S. policy toward Cuba in 1962-63. Of the classified documents, three have now been cleared for full release. The three remaining documents have been cleared by State, CIA, Army and OSD, but have redactions proposed by the Joint Staff. The ARRB staff will meet with the Joint Staff records manager to request reconsideration of Joint Staff redactions to facilitate voluntary declassification and consent release of all documents. If such consent is not obtained, the records will be sent to the Review Board for its review and formal determinations.

Approximately 20 Joint Staff or Joint Chiefs of Staff documents have been identified for immediate release without postponements. The ~~Review Board~~ARRB staff has identified additional historical documents (chiefly within J3 Special Operations Division) as possibly assassination-related. Review of these records is ongoing.

In one of the more interesting and successful activities related to military records, the ARRB staff located the microfilm records of the Pentagon Telecommunications Center. These records consist of all regular cables either originated by or addressed to senior Army officials or Army agencies in the Pentagon. Documents in this collection include records from all of the military services as well as some from the CIA, State Department, and other agencies. DOD has assisted the ARRB staff in locating, reviewing and partially declassifying documents of relevance to the assassination. To date, more than 300 documents (all of which are either incoming or outgoing messages in the 1962-64 time frame) have been photocopied for declassification, referral and possible Review Board action. Personnel from the Army's Center of Military History in Washington, DC, and the Military History Institute at Carlisle Barracks, Pennsylvania, have been particularly helpful in searching for and declassifying Army records.

With the help of the ~~Review Board~~ARRB staff, the Army located, reviewed and cleared for release without postponement the Army Corps of Engineers documents related to the JFK grave site. Other components of the Army have been helpful as well. The Historical Office of the Army's Intelligence and Security Command (INSCOM) assisted the ARRB staff in locating Army intelligence files previously accessioned by ~~the~~NARA and held in ~~the~~NARA's classified ~~collection~~storage. A review

---

Intelligence Agency and the Navy's former Office of Naval Intelligence have substantial records holdings at Suitland from the 1962-64 era; neither of these voluminous collections was searched for assassination-relevant material in response to the Review Board's initial request.

of these records is ongoing. They include military intelligence records of persons of interest to assassination researchers.

In addition, Army declassification will begin soon on six cartons of Top Secret and Secret documents found by the ARRB staff at NARA. These documents pertain to military policies and activities involving Cuba during 1962-64.

The Air Force located, reviewed and cleared for release without postponement approximately ten assassination-related documents. Additional classified records currently are being reviewed. In an interesting discovery, the Air Force canvass of subordinate commands yielded a ledger entitled "Log of Events" and "Death of JFK" that appears to be a record of incoming and outgoing messages, orders, and reports kept by an unknown individual assigned to the headquarters or operations section of the 1254th ATW at Andrews Air Force Base. This book contains handwritten entries from November 22 to November 25, 1963, describing actions related to the return of Air Force One from Dallas to Andrews and other activities. It will be transferred to NARA without redactions.

The Navy and Marines have provided the Review Board with the least substantive feedback of all the services. After several calls, however, the Review Board was finally able to secure ~~Lee Harvey~~ Oswald's original personnel and medical records for transfer to NARA. The Navy also provided two cartons of documents (previously open to the public) concerning USMC operational planning during the Cuban Missile Crisis in 1962. The ARRB staff is continuing its work to ensure that the Navy and Marines locate any existing assassination-related records, particularly records relating to ~~Lee Harvey~~ Oswald, who had served in the Marines.

ARRB staff and National Security Agency (NSA) officials met throughout FY 1996 to identify and prepare documents for the Review Board. Throughout this process, NSA officials showed sensitivity and responsiveness to the Review Board's goals. Because of the secrecy and sensitivity of NSA activities, record identification had to be carried out by selected members of the ARRB staff with appropriate security clearances and often involved review of files at NSA headquarters in Fort Meade, Maryland. NSA officials also provided a series of candid, comprehensive briefings for the Review Board and ARRB staff on NSA procedures, capabilities, and record holdings. These briefings were of great assistance to the Review Board when it later came time to consider declassification of NSA documents in light of the peculiar challenges faced by NSA. As a result, in December 1996, the Review Board released approximately 80 NSA documents (primarily communications intercepts) with minimal redactions.

**Department of State** -- The Department of State not only maintains assassination-related records of its own, but also supports the Review Board's efforts at locating and obtaining foreign records.

Although the Department has performed well in complying with the act as far as its own records are concerned, it has failed to provide adequate support in its other area of responsibility. The Review Board experienced unusual and unacceptable delays in the transmission and transfer of cable communications to and from ~~U~~S. embassies abroad. These delays, in some cases, may have significantly compromised the Review Board's ability to obtain assassination records held by foreign governments.

With the exception of its handling of cables, the Department of State has provided a high level of cooperation and has continued to release, in full, most of its records related to Oswald or the JFK assassination. In addition, State actively participated in the Review Board's joint-agency review of Cuba-related records at the JFK Library, clearing for release most of those records containing DOS equities.

**Presidential Libraries** -- The Review Board has received cooperation from the three Presidential libraries that house significant collections of assassination-related materials. The President John F. Kennedy Library initially undertook a survey of its collections and forwarded to the JFK Collection at College Park copies of a number of records of interest from the Kennedy administration. Pursuant to follow-up inquiries from the Review Board, the ~~Library~~Library has made its facilities available for several trips by the Review Board and the staff. The staff is in the process of undertaking a comprehensive review of the ~~Library's~~Library's collections in an attempt to locate additional assassination-related records. Through the efforts of the Review Board, and with the excellent cooperation of the Kennedy Library, the CIA, and the State Department, 20,000 pages of Cuban-related records have been declassified and released from several collections at the Library. The ARRB staff anticipates completing its comprehensive review of the Library's collections in mid-Spring. The ARRB staff is also conducting a review of 44 rolls of microfilm that contain Department of Justice records from the Kennedy administration. The Kennedy Library has continued to release additional records from the Kennedy administration, including tape recordings, as well as private collections, including the pending release of Evelyn Lincoln papers.

The Lyndon B. Johnson Library and the Gerald R. Ford Library were among the earliest institutions to complete their initial review, processing, and forwarding of copies of assassination records to the JFK Collection. The staffs of both libraries have responded to the requests of the Review Board. The Review Board is currently awaiting shipments of unredacted copies of records so that it may render its formal determinations on the proposed postponements.

**National Archives and Records Administration** -- Mr. ~~Steve~~Steven D. Tilley, who is the Chief of the JFK Collection at NARA, has been of invaluable assistance to the Review Board and the ARRB staff. He and his office have provided easy and expedited access to JFK records for the staff. Although it is the Review Board's impression that he would greatly profit by receiving additional staff support and resources, he has provided helpful and willing assistance throughout the process.

The Review Board has also received the cooperation of other areas within NARA, including the General Counsel's Office, the Still and Motion Picture Branch, and many other areas. The Review Board is concerned, however, that -- other than records in the JFK Collection -- not all records that have been transferred to NARA have been reviewed for assassination-related materials.

**House Select Committee on Assassinations** -- The collection of documents created by the HSCA was turned over to the Review Board and the National Archives. Reviews by third party agencies continued in 1996 with the Review Board voting to release 154 HSCA documents and nearly 2,000 released with agency consent. Many of these records are being made available to the public for the first time.

**Senate Select Committee on Intelligence** -- The staff of the ~~House~~ Senate Select Committee on Intelligence submitted to NARA 40 boxes of documents compiled by the Church Committee. The SSCI staff ~~contended~~ thereupon reported that the submission of ~~the~~ these documents completed ~~their~~ its compliance requirements ~~to~~ with the ~~Review Board~~ JFK Act. An ARRB staff review of those documents disclosed, however, that many documents referenced in the Church Committee report on the Kennedy assassination were not included in the submitted material. The ~~committee~~ SSCI staff, citing the complexities in dealing with other unrelated congressional issues, has not ~~complied with~~ yet completed its response to the Review Board Board's request to submit the missing documents for review.

### III. The Job Ahead

#### A. Remaining Records to be Reviewed

As the agency-by-agency review reveals, the Review Board has made significant progress in the review of identified assassination records. ~~As the agency-by-agency review indicates, the Review Board has made significant progress in the review of identified assassination records.~~ We believe that we should be able to complete the review of nearly all records by the end of this fiscal year with the important exception of the records that were requested of the FBI and the CIA in the course of the HSCA investigation.

#### CIA Sequestered Collection

~~As noted above, the~~ The Review Board has completed its review of the Oswald 201 File, the file created and maintained by the CIA on Oswald and the assassination.— The Review Board is now faced with the task of reviewing the agency's "Sequestered Collection, the large collection of files that was assembled by the CIA in response to requests made by the HSCA. ~~These files find their relevance~~ (As discussed above, the Review Board's Public Hearing on August 6 focused on how best to the assassination defined in part by the course approach its review of the HSCA investigation these records. *The collection consists of 17 boxes of miscellaneous "working files," 63 boxes of CIA and HSCA-originated* See pp. - - above.) These records, as well as 72 reels of microfilm find their relevance to the assassination defined in part by the course of the HSCA investigation.

~~Unfortunately, these records are in a confused order, poorly described~~ The Sequestered Collection consists of 17 boxes of miscellaneous "working files," 63 boxes of CIA and ~~riddled with duplicates~~ HSCA-originated records, as well as 72 reels of microfilm. ~~There is a frustrating mix of significant records and those of only marginal interest.~~ Unfortunately, these records are in a confused order, poorly described, and replete with duplicates. There is a frustrating mix ranging from significant records, to those of only marginal interest, to those where no relevance can be identified.

#### ~~FBI-HSCA Collection~~ FBI Collections

The FBI divides its assassination records into two general categories, first, the "Core and Related Files" which consists Files," which consists of nearly 600,000 pages of files collected in the course of the massive FBI investigation into the assassination. The Review Board should complete its review of this significant collection by the end of FY 1997. The second, which the FBI refers to as its "HSCA records," is a large collection of records that were identified as being of interest ~~to the HSCA~~ and which remain to be reviewed by the Board. Like the ~~sequestered collection of the CIA~~ CIA's Sequestered Collection, these this voluminous body of records (approximately 280,000 pages) ~~range~~ [Phil--are these numbers accurate?] ranges widely in ~~their~~ its relevance to the assassination.

### **An Approach to the Review of the Sequestered CIA and FBI "Segregated" Collections**

In its review of the FBI and CIA core files, the Board ~~subjected every requested redaction to a rigorous test: Did the evidence of the harm that would result from the release of the information outweigh the high-public interest in the information?~~ FBI's "Core and Related Files" and the CIA's "Oswald 201 File," the Board has subjected every requested redaction to a rigorous test: Did the evidence of the harm that would result from the release of the information outweigh the high-public interest in the information? ~~In contemplating its review of the sequestered collections of the FBI and CIA, the Board realized that it needed to develop a different approach to these records that would take into account the varied degree of relevance of individual records to the assassination.~~ information?

In contemplating its review of the CIA's "Sequestered Collection" and the FBI's "HSCA Collection" which collectively can be referred to as those agencies' "Segregated Collections" -- the Board recognized that it needed to develop a different approach to these records that would take into account the varied degree of relevance of individual records to the assassination. Only in this way could the Board ensure that it would appropriately expend its resources. As a first step, the Board carefully analyzed each collection in order to determine what priority should be assigned to the various category of records. In addition, the Board developed a set of guidelines for the review of these records that recognized that some categories of records did not require the intensive word-for-word review that had been the rule for the core collections. The development of these guidelines began with the August 6, 1996 public hearing and culminated with their adoption at the October 16, 1996 Board meeting. The ARRB staff will distinguish between records whose relevance to the assassination is clear and those not believed to be relevant (or "NBR"). Applying these new standards will permit the ARRB staff to identify and review the most significant remaining records in order of priority.

These detailed guidelines will significantly reduce the loss of valuable Review Board and ARRB staff time expended to review, on a word-by-word basis, those documents that have a remote relationship if any to the Kennedy assassination. Those documents that are identified as relevant to the assassination will be reviewed word-by-word. These standards of relevance are designed to ensure that the greatest number of true assassination records is properly identified, reviewed and made public in the JFK Collection at ~~the~~ NARA.

### **Foreign Records**

The search for records maintained by foreign governments has been compromised by frustrating delays on the part of the State Department in relaying cables to and from our posts abroad. Nevertheless, the Review Board feels that it will be successful in obtaining significant new releases of assassination records if it is given sufficient time.

### **Identification of New Records and Ensuring Compliance**

One of the most important and most difficult responsibilities of the Review Board is to identify additional records that are relevant to the assassination. This is a task that must logically come late in the process after the Review Board has gained a full understanding of the records that have already been identified. Although the Review Board has made a significant number of requests for additional records and information, much remains to be done before it can be confident that it has completed this responsibility.

Beginning in November 1996, the Review Board initiated requests to approximately 25 ~~U~~S.S. government agencies and departments asking that they fully cooperate with the Review Board in discharging its responsibility of assuring Congress and the American people that the goals of the JFK Act have been accomplished to the greatest possible extent. These requests were initiated to confirm that the ~~U~~S.S. government has identified, located and released all records relating to the assassination of President Kennedy.

The Review Board requested the relevant Federal agencies and departments to provide a complete and specific accounting of their efforts to locate and release assassination-related records, including a full explanation for the destruction of any records. The purpose of this accounting is to confirm that the United States government is not withholding material information regarding the assassination of President Kennedy or regarding ~~Lee Harvey~~ Oswald.

In response, each agency and department designated a Compliance Official, who ultimately will be responsible for ensuring that each entity has complied with its obligations under the JFK Act, including identification, location and organization of assassination records and the transmission of those records to the National Archives. The Review Board also received agreements from each agency and department to adhere to the compliance procedures outlined by the Review Board.

In addition, the Review Board has requested from each agency an Initial Statement of Compliance which explains the steps taken by the agency to locate and process assassination records. Shortly after the submission of these Statements of Compliance, the Agency Compliance Official (as well as any individuals who helped prepare the statements) will be made available to the Review Board for an interview regarding the scope of the agency's search and the location of agency assassination records. The interview will be used as an opportunity to resolve any outstanding questions regarding the search, including any subsequent tasks to be completed by the agencies. The Review Board anticipates that these interviews will be conducted in January and February 1997.

The agencies and departments were notified by the Review Board that they would be expected to complete the process of identification, location and declassification of its assassination records by July 1, 1997. At that time, the agencies will submit to the Review Board a Final Declaration of Compliance certifying, under oath, their compliance with the provisions of the JFK Act. This final

declaration will set forth all of the information set forth in the initial Statement of Compliance and will also supplement the initial Statement of Compliance by detailing any further steps that were conducted by the agencies in identifying and locating assassination records. The agencies' Final Declarations of Compliance will be included in the Review Board's final report to Congress.

The Review Board anticipates that it may conduct depositions, under oath, of Agency Compliance Officials along with any other agency officials with responsibility for complying with the JFK Act on or about August 1, 1997. The decision to take a compliance deposition from an agency will be made on a case-by-case basis, taking into account the importance of the agency to the work of the JFK Act and the sufficiency of the agency's efforts to account fully for its compliance with the JFK Act. Should the Review Board decide to require a compliance deposition of an agency, the responsible agency designee(s) will be expected to testify under oath on any and all issues relating to the agency's record search; including the scope of the search, the identity of files searched, the destruction of any relevant records, and any other matters set forth in the Final Declaration of Compliance.

#### **B. Recommendations for Legislative Action**

**The Review Board Recommends that the JFK Act be extended for one additional year to enable it to complete its important work-**

The Review Board has been entrusted by Congress with the significant responsibility of ensuring, to the best of its ability, that the historical and documentary record relating to the assassination of President Kennedy is complete and fully available to the American people to the greatest reasonable extent possible. The Review Board has worked diligently and carefully to comply with the provisions of the JFK Act. In order to satisfy the requirements Congress articulated in the JFK Act, the Review Board has painstakingly reviewed records and evaluated oftentimes complex evidence submitted by agencies in support of postponing the release of these records. The Review Board's scrupulous attention to detail, and careful weighing of national security concerns, has required a significant amount of time that it believes was not foreseen by the drafters of the JFK Act.

As we have shown throughout this Report, the JFK Act was unduly optimistic regarding the time that would be required to fulfill the Review Board's mandate as set forth by Congress. In short, it is the Review Board's belief that Congress did not sufficiently appreciate the volume and complexity of work that would be required for the Review Board to complete the work mandated by Congress. The Review Board does not believe, however, that any additional yearly fiscal appropriations to the Review Board would have had a substantial impact on its ability to complete its work. Although additional appropriations will be required to finance the Review Board's continuing work, the Review Board does not anticipate the need for any increase over and above previous budgetary allocations. As a result of the Review Board's protracted start-up, a budget carryover of no-year funds from its



first year would be sufficient to fund a full quarter of continued operation. The Review Board would consequently require only \$1.6 million of additional funds to continue operating for one year.

There have been three principal impediments to the Review Board's completing the workload created by Congress. The first issue was the ability of Federal agencies, particularly the CIA and FBI, to review and process the statutorily-defined "assassination records" in the time allotted and to make them available for Review Board action. Section 5(c)(1) of the JFK Act provided that all Federal agencies should complete their review and identification of "assassination records" within 300 days of the date the law went into effect (October 26, 1992). Accordingly all agencies should have completed the initial identification and review process by approximately September 1, 1993. In fact, no agency had completed its review by that point, and the majority of agencies have still not completed that initial review.

The second impediment was the delay in the appointment and staffing of the Review Board. Section 7(a)(2) provided that the President was to appoint Review Board members 90 days after the enactment of the statute, that is by approximately January 25, 1993. In fact, the Review Board members were not appointed by the President and confirmed by the Senate until April 11, 1994.<sup>2</sup> Because of the lateness of the appointment of the Review Board, the many Federal agencies were unable to obtain the early guidance of the Review Board on the questions of the definition of "assassination record" or the standards for postponements under Section 6 of the JFK Act. Accordingly, much work of the agencies needed to be revised -- which in turn slowed down their processing and re-reviewing of assassination records. In addition, the protracted ~~start-up of~~ [start-up of](#) the Review Board, which resulted from certain statutory restrictions and requirements, prevented the Review Board from being able to engage in the efficient review of records until the second half of its first year.

Finally, and importantly, the JFK Act properly affords the agencies the opportunity to provide evidence to the Review Board in support of recommended postponements. The Review Board believes that, in order to protect important national security secrets and safety concerns for informants and agents, the agencies need to have every reasonable opportunity to present evidence to the Review Board about the importance of redacted information. This process, which is an important component of the JFK Act, has been very time consuming for both the agencies and the Review Board.

Although the Review Board could have acted much more swiftly by not affording the agencies the opportunity to collect and provide evidence, the Review Board would have thereby neglected its duties to make informed judgments.

---

<sup>2</sup>It should be noted that during this 90-day period the Bush administration was replaced by the Clinton administration. Although it is fully understandable why the appointments were not made late in the Bush administration or early in the Clinton administration, there nevertheless was a significant delay over the time contemplated by Congress when enacting the statute.

In summary, the agencies, for different reasons, have not completed the work assigned to them by the JFK Act. The Review Board attributes such delays by the CIA and the FBI not to any intended disregard or disrespect for the law, but to an enormous volume of work that they have not been able to complete within the short deadlines provided by Congress.

Because the Review Board believes that in order for it to be faithful to its historical responsibility and commitment to release to the public all known assassination records, it respectfully recommends to Congress that the JFK Act be extended for one additional year by amending Section 7(o)(1) by striking "1996, except that the Review Board may, by majority vote, extend its term for an additional 1-year period if it has not completed its work within that period" and inserting "1998."

## List of Appendices

### 1. Financial Report

The expenses for all official activities and requirements of the Review Board and its personnel follows.

### 2. Final Determination Forms

Final Determination Forms for every document containing postponements sustained by the Review Board through the October 1996 meeting.

### 3. List of Formal Determinations

Actions taken by the Review Board for all documents reviewed through the October 1996 meeting.

### 4. List of Regulatory Actions

List of –notices and proposed final rules.

### 5. Appeals to the President

Appendix 1  
Financial Report

## Appendix 2

(Please see Congressional File 10.4 or the Reading Room Notebook if you wish to see Appendix 2)

## Appendix 3

### List of Formal Determinations

## Appendix 4 List of Regulatory Actions

"Guidance on Interpreting and Implementing the President John F. Kennedy Assassination Records Collection Act of 1992," Proposed Interpretive Regulation, 60 F.R. 7,506 (February 8, 1995).

"Rules Implementing the Government in the Sunshine Act," Notice of Proposed Rulemaking, 60 F.R. 32,930 (June 26, 1995).

"Guidance on Interpreting and Implementing the President John F. Kennedy Assassination Records Collection Act of 1992," Final Regulations, 60 F.R. 33,345 (June 28, 1995).

"Rules Implementing the Freedom of Information Act," Notice of Proposed Rulemaking, 60 F.R. 34,193 (June 30, 1995).

"Assassination Records Review Board Rules of Procedure," adopted on July 12, 1995.

"Rules Implementing the Privacy Act," Notice of Proposed Rulemaking, 60 F.R. 39,905 (August 4, 1995).

"Rules Implementing the Government in the Sunshine Act," Final Rulemaking, 60 F.R. 45,335 (August 31, 1995) (to be codified at 36 CFR Part 1405).

"Rules Implementing the Freedom of Information Act," Final Rulemaking, 60 F.R. 45,338 (August 31, 1995) (to be codified at 36 CFR Part 1410).

"Freedom of Information Act Statement of Organization, Functions, and Authority Delegations," 60 F.R. 51,733 (October 3, 1995).

"Rules Implementing the Privacy Act," Final Rulemaking, 60 F.R. 64,122 (December 14, 1995) (to be codified at 36 CFR Part 1415).

"Privacy Act Systems of Records," Notice, 60 F.R. 64,143 (December 14, 1995).





## Appendix 5 Appeals to the President

The FBI appealed to the President the Review Board's decisions to release redacted information in 139 records, dealing almost exclusively with "foreign counterintelligence" postponements. On December 13, 1996, after reconsidering its position in light of arguments advanced by the Review Board, the FBI withdrew appeals on 21 documents pertaining to the investigation of ~~Lee Harvey~~ Oswald and the assassination of President Kennedy. In its letter withdrawing its appeal, the FBI said it would review its position with respect to all other pending appeals and would soon advise the Review Board of the results.

Ten other FBI documents (including duplicates) which the Review Board had also originally voted to release in full in July 1995, and on which the FBI appealed to the President, were eventually released by the Review Board in October 1995. After receiving additional information from the FBI, the Review Board voted to open the documents, except for the numerical portion of informant symbol numbers.

The Review Board released December 28, 1995, five FBI documents relating to the FBI's investigation of ~~Lee Harvey~~ Oswald while he was in the Soviet Union in 1960 and 1961. The FBI had previously sought to keep these documents closed principally because they contained some information provided by the Swiss Federal police. The Review Board originally voted to open these documents in full on July 17, 1995. The FBI appealed the Review Board's decision to the President.

The Review Board approached the Swiss government, through diplomatic channels, to seek a waiver of any remaining Swiss interest in the records. The Swiss Government said it had no objection to the release of the documents, if the names of Swiss citizens were redacted. On December 13, 1995, the Review Board again voted to release the documents, except for the name of a Swiss citizen, which appeared twice in the documents. The FBI did not oppose the release of the documents after approval had come from Swiss officials. These pre-assassination FBI documents on ~~Lee Harvey~~ Oswald are an important part of the historical record which were worthy of the Review Board's aggressive pursuit. The documents reveal the steps that the United States government took to determine what ~~Lee Harvey~~ Oswald was doing after he left the United States in 1959 and whether or not someone was posing as Oswald.

Introduction .....	1
Background of the JFK Act.....	1
Key Provisions of the JFK Act.....	3
Authority of the Assassination Records Review Board.....	34
Early Delays in the Work of The Review Board.....	4
The Review Board Members.....	5
The Staff of the Assassination Records Review Board .....	5
I. Activities of the Review Board.....	56
A. The Review of Assassination Records.....	6
1. Review Board Meetings and Public Hearings. ....	6
2. Meetings.....	6
December 13, 1995 .....	67
January 5, 1996.....	7
January 31, 1996.....	7
February 29- March 1, 1996 .....	8
March 19, 1996.....	89
April 16-17, 1996.....	910
May 13-14, 1996.....	10
June 4, 1996.....	12
July 9-10, 1996 .....	13
September 27, 1996 .....	14
3. Public Hearings .....	15
Washington, DC.....	15
Los Angeles, California .....	16
B. Special Projects.....	18
1. Medical Evidence <u>Records</u> Inquiry .....	18
2. Photographic records.....	18
Veazey Film.....	18
Powers Film.....	1918
3. BriLab Tapes.....	19
4. Foreign Government Records.....	19
Russia and Belarus.....	19
C. Review Board Outreach .....	19
1. Mailing lists.....	19
2. COPA Conference 1996.....	2019
3. Professional Associations.....	20
4. Journals and Newsletters .....	20

5.	Dallas Trip.....	20
D.	State, Local, and Private Records .....	21
1.	Clay Shaw-Jim Garrison Records .....	21
2.	Metropolitan Crime Commission of New Orleans .....	23
3.	Other privately held records .....	23
II.	Agency Compliance.....	23
	The Federal Bureau of Investigation .....	27
	<a href="#">Federal Bureau of Investigation</a> .....	25
	Central Intelligence Agency .....	27
	U. S. Secret Service.....	28
	The Department of the Treasury.....	28
	Internal Revenue Service.....	29
	Customs Service.....	29
	The Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco and Firearms.....	30
	The Department of Justice.....	30
	Immigration and Naturalization Service.....	30
	Drug Enforcement Administration .....	31
	United States Postal Service.....	31
	Department of Defense.....	31
	Department of State.....	33
	Presidential Libraries.....	33
	National Archives and Records Administration .....	34
	House Select Committee on Assassinations .....	34
	Senate Select Committee on Intelligence .....	34
III.	The Job Ahead.....	34
	Sequestered Collection.....	35
	A. Remaining Records to be Reviewed.....	35
	CIA Sequestered Collection.....	35
	FBI HSCA <a href="#">CIA Sequestered</a> Collection .....	35
	An Approach to the Review of the Sequestered <a href="#">FBI</a> Collections.....	35
	Foreign Records .....	36
	Identification of New Records and Ensuring Compliance.....	36
	B. Recommendations for Legislative Action.....	37
	List <a href="#">Identification</a> of Appendices .....	41
	Appendix 1.....	42
	B. <a href="#">Recommendations for Legislative Action</a> .....	40
	Appendix 2 <a href="#">List of Appendices</a> .....	43

	Appendix 3 <u>1</u> .....	44
	Appendix 4 <u>2</u> .....	45
	Appendix 5 <u>3</u> .....	46
	<u>Appendix 4</u> .....	<u>47</u>
	<u>Appendix 5</u> .....	<u>48</u>

