August 28, 1996

Dr. Anna K. Nelson Adjunct Professor Department of History American University 4400 Massachusetts Avenue, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20016

Re: Ethics Issues Regarding Proposed Essay for University of Kansas Press Publication

Dear Anna:

I am writing in response to your request, dated August 20, 1996, seeking advice as to any ethics issues that might arise regarding an essay you have been requested to write for the University of Kansas Press regarding the Assassination Records Review Board. As we understand it, the proposed essay is intended for a book tentatively titled the *Culture of Secrecy*, and it will largely consist of a factual description of the Review Board and its work. Moreover, we understand that you will not be compensated for the essay.

Based on these facts, we believe that no ethical conflicts exist that would prevent you from writing the essay and contributing to the volume. However, you should be aware of a few related ethical issues.

First, you should be certain that you do not receive any compensation or benefit of any kind for writing the essay. 5 C.F.R. § 2635.702. This prohibition applies not only to you directly, but also to any compensation or benefit that you might obtain for friends, relatives, or organizations with which you are affiliated.

Second, you should not refer in the essay to your position with the Review Board in such a way as to imply that the Review Board or the Federal government approves of or endorses your writing. 5 C.F.R. § 2635.702(b). When identifying your credentials, you may refer to your position with the Review Board as one of several biographical details noted in your essay, but it must not appear more prominently than other significant biographical details. 5 C.F.R. § 2635.807(b)(1). In addition,

Dr. Anna K. Nelson August 28, 1996 Page 2

you should also include a disclaimer stating that the views expressed in the essay do not necessarily represent the views of the Review Board or the Federal government. 5 C.F.R. § 2635.807(b)(2).

Third, you should include only non-classified and publicly available information in the essay, so as not to disclose any information not intended for dissemination to the general public. 5 C.F.R. § 2635.703(a). This caution is especially relevant given your assurance at your confirmation hearing that you would not disclose non-public information:

Senator LIEBERMAN. As a member of the Board, you . . . will have privileged and unique access to material that may not be available to the public for years, and I wanted to ask you for the record whether you would commit . . . not to use the information gained from service on the Board until such time as that information is publicly available.

Ms. NELSON. Yes.

Nominations of Graff, Tunheim, Nelson, Joyce, and Hall Before the U.S. Senate Committee on Governmental Affairs, 103rd Cong., 2nd Sess. 877 at 10 (1994).

We believe that the project, as you describe it, fully complies with the spirit of your answer to Senator Lieberman. To the extent there is any question in your mind regarding whether the information is publicly available, you may wish to have the essay reviewed either by the Review Board staff or by the appropriate government agency.

I hope these comments will assist you in your decision regarding your contribution to the upcoming publication. If I can answer any further questions, please do not hesitate to call.

Sincerely,

T. Jeremy Gunn General Counsel