

September 24, 1996

Mr. Jim Koepke
8437 Penn Ave. S.
Bloomington, Mn 55431

Dear Mr. Koepke:

This is a follow-up to my letter of September 9, 1996 regarding two matters that you raised for the Board's attention in your letter of September 3, 1996 to Judge Tunheim.

The two matters that you raised have been carefully reviewed to determine whether or not any action can reasonably be taken by the Board.

First, we have determined that given the remoteness of the two associates of Mr. Hall to the allegations that have been raised in connection with the assassination, the Board will not pursue the matter further. Our judgment is that staff resources could better be used on matters that are more likely to result in assassination records becoming available to the public. We would certainly be open to any additional information that would supplement what you have already provided and could possibly be the basis for a reevaluation of this matter.

Second, the Review Board staff has interviewed Mr. Richard Helms regarding the assassination. We would be interested if there is any additional information that you can provide relative to our mandate to make the record of the assassination as complete as possible.

Thank you for providing us with information and for your interest in the work of the Review Board. We appreciate your time and efforts. I am sure you understand that with thousands of suggestions and leads coming in from the public, judgments have to be made and priorities must be set as we seek to fulfill our mandate within the time frame given to us under the law.

Sincerely,

Thomas E. Samoluk
Associate Director