1	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
2	ASSASSINATION RECORDS REVIEW BOARD
3	***
4	PUBLIC HEARING
5	
6	
7	Federal Building
8	1100 Commerce
9	Room 7A23
10	Dallas, Texas
11	
12	Friday, November 18, 1994
13	
14	The above-entitled proceedings commenced, pursuant to

1	PRESENT FOR ASSASSINATION RECORDS REVIEW BOARD:
2	JOHN R. TUNHEIM, Chairman
3	HENRY F. GRAFF, Member
4	KERMIT L. HALL, Member
5	WILLIAM L. JOYCE, Member
6	ANNA K. NELSON, Member
7	DAVID G. MARWELL, Executive Director
8	
9	WITNESSES:
10	JIM MARRS
11	DAVID J. MURRAH
12	ADELE E.U. EDISEN
13	GARY MACK
14	ROBERT VERNON

1	THOMAS WILSON
2	WALLACE MILAM
3	BEVERLY OLIVER MASSEGEE
4	STEVE OSBORN
5	PHILIP TENBRINK
6	JOHN McLAUGHLIN
7	GARY L. AGUILAR
8	HAL VERB
9	THOMAS MEROS
10	LAWRENCE SUTHERLAND
11	JOSEPH BACKES
12	
13	
14	

1	WITNESSES [continued]:
2	MARTIN SHACKELFORD
3	ROY SCHAEFFER
4	KENNETH SMITH
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
L1	
12	
L3	
L4	

PROCEEDINGS

1	
2	[10:05 a.m.]
3	CHAIRMAN TUNHEIM: Good morning everyone, and
4	welcome everyone to this public hearing held today in Dallas by the
5	Assassination Records Review Board.
6	The Review Board is an independent Federal agency that
7	was established by Congress for a very important purpose, to identify
8	and secure all the materials and documentation regarding the
9	assassination of President John Kennedy and its aftermath.
10	The purpose is to provide to the American public a
11	complete record of this national tragedy, a record that is fully
12	accessible to anyone who wishes to go see it.
13	The members of the Review Board, which is a part-time
14	citizen panel, were nominated by President Clinton and confirmed by

am also the Chief Deputy Attorney General from Minnesota. The

members of the Board to my right Dr. Henry Graff, who is Professor

Emeritus of History at Columbia University; Dr. Kermit Hall, who is

1

9

10

11

12

13

14

the United States Senate. I am John Tunheim, Chair of the Board, I

Dean of the College of Humanities at the Ohio State University in

Columbus. To my left, Dr. Anna Nelson, who is Professor of History

at the American University in Washington, D.C.; and Dr. William

Joyce who is Associate Librarian at Princeton University.

Then to my far left is the Executive Director for the

Review Board, David Marwell. We have additional staff members

here today that I would just like to mention their names briefly

because they will be here helping us with the hearing today, Sheryl

Walter and Tracy Shycoff, Jeremy Gunn, Tom Samoluk. Also with

us today is our liaison from the National Archives, Mr. Steve Tilley.

A major responsibility of the Review Board is to review
secret Federal government records and decide which records are to be
made public immediately and which records will have postponed
release dates, and we expect that review process to begin within three
months.

Today, however, the Review Board is in Dallas for a different purpose. Our focus today is the search for additional assassination records. We are seeking input about materials that are related to the assassination, where such material may be located, and why the material is relevant. We are holding this hearing in Texas because we believe there are records in this area, in this State, that are essential to a complete record of this event.

I want to point out and ask you to remember that the

Review Board has been charged with the responsibility of securing a

complete record of the assassination and its aftermath. The Review

Board is not reinvestigating the assassination of President Kennedy.

Our purpose really is to lift the veil of secrecy that has surrounded the

records of the assassination and the follow-up investigations and to be

very open with the public about our activities because in the final

analysis, it is really up to the American people to decide for

themselves what happened nearly 31 years ago in Dallas.

The Congress has determined that it is time to make complete the public record of the assassination and the Review Board is her today to carry out that mandate, and we appreciate the help of all of our witnesses today toward that end.

Let me just review very briefly some groundrules for our

hearing. We will recess today at 12:30 p.m., and reconvene at 2:00

p.m. We don't intend to go beyond the hour of 4:00 p.m. this

1 ~

5

8

9

10

	afternoon,	and we	may	finish	earlier	if the	schedule	allows	us t	o do	so.
1			5								

We are asking each witness to provide testimony to us for
no longer than ten minutes. At the close of each testimony, the
Board may wish to ask questions, members of the Board may wish to
ask questions of the witness. In order to keep moving and to allow
all who wish to testify an opportunity to speak, I may ask some
witnesses to cut their testimony short.

We will accept anyone's written testimony for the hearing record, which we will keep open for a short period of time to allow people to sent follow-up testimony in to us. We will publish a transcript of this hearing that will be available to the public.

I thank you all for your assistance and for your interest, and I will ask Mr. Marwell to introduce our first witness.

MR. MARWELL: Is Mr. Jim Marrs here? Mr. Marrs.

	CHAIRMAN TUNHEIM:	Good	l morning,	Mr.	Marrs.
1			9.		

MR. MARRS: Good morning.

Mr. Chairman, lady and gentlemen, first off, my name is

Jim Marrs. I am a native of the Dallas-Fort Worth Metroplex. I

am a journalist and as such I have worked for several Texas

newspapers including more than a decade at the nearby Fort Worth

Star Telegram.

During my career, I had the opportunity to meet many of the key characters of the JFK assassination saga. As a college student, I was in the Carousel Club and met Jack Ruby prior to the assassination, also have interviewed Marguerite Oswald, Marina Oswald, John DeMohrenschildt, General Edmund Walker, and many others including Dealey Plaza witnesses, police and government officials.

1 ~

assassination at the University of Texas at Arlington and, of course,

my book Crossfire: The Plot to Kill Kennedy was a basis for the

Since 1976, I have taught a course on the Kennedy

Oliver Stone movie JFK.

Now having established who I am, let me, since I am up to the plate first, let me welcome you to Dallas and, if you have not been here before, let me assure you that you are going to find Dallas a very hospitable and friendly place, and I hope that your stay here is very enjoyable.

As I am sure you all are becoming very aware, the JFK assassination is, indeed, one of the world's greatest murder mysteries.

The more you study this case, the less you know for certain. The medical evidence, which should be the best evidence, is in total disarray, even imminent medical authorities cannot agree on what

this evidence is much less what it means. Living eyewitnesses have

had their testimony challenged due to the number of years that have

passed. Even the physical evidence and primary FBI reports have

come under fire and rightly so.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

In my own investigations, I have found too numerous instances of FBI reports which do not accurately reflect what witnesses tried to tell them and other activities which were conducted in a manner to incriminate Lee Harvey Oswald. But to get to the crux of the case, I would like to present you this morning with what I consider to be the smoking gun of this case, and it is available right now, it is in the public record, and it can be found on page 193 of the Warren Commission transcript of January 27th, 1964. This is well before they got into their investigative phase, well before they reached any permanent conclusions.

Understand that the Zapruder film established that the shooting sequence occurred within six seconds and the FBI laboratory established that it took two seconds just to cock and fire the Oswald This means that it was only possible for three shots to be fired rifle. within the timeframe of the shooting. One shot struck Kennedy in the head, reportedly, and fragmented, and a second shot reportedly missed and nicked a bystander by the name of James Teague near the triple underpass. This leaves only one shot to account for seven wounds to both Kennedy and Connolly. Now this one bullet strike known as the Single Bullet Theory is pretty ridiculous, but for the sake of argument let's look at this government's premise.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

The Warren Commission report stated: President

Kennedy was first struck by a bullet which entered the back of his

neck and exited through the lower front portion of his neck. The

1	bullet passed through Kennedy's neck and struck Connolly.	They
		,

even show us a nice little diagram of the bullet passing through 2

Kennedy's neck.

The problem here is that at no time did a bullet traverse

President Kennedy's neck. You can see the death certificate plainly

states that a wound occurred in the posterior back at the level of the

third vorasic vertebrae, which is between the shoulder blades. This is

marked on the autopsy face sheet clearly, and is marked at the

bottom verified and signed by Dr. George Burkley, Kennedy's personal

physician.

Seibert O'Neill's FBI report of the autopsy says Dr. Humes located an opening which appeared to be a bullet hole which was below the shoulders and two inches to the right of the middle line of the spinal column. It is all right there.

1 ~

11

12

13

1	Secret Service Agent Glenn Bennett in his typed notes
2	made from handwritten notes made less than an hour after the
3	shooting said, I saw the shot hit the President about four inches down
4	from the right shoulder, the exact location.

Officer Clint Hill from the Secret Service, who was the only agent to react that day, to the Warren Commission said — they asked: Did you see any other wound than the head wound? He said: Yes, sir. I saw an opening in the back about six inches below the neckline to the right hand side of the spinal column.

Available today in the National Archives are Kennedy's bloody shirt and jacket, both of which show a bullet hole low in the back to the right of the midline of the spinal column. The bullet struck President Kennedy in the back.

Now back to page 193, January 27, 1964, Warren

1	Report, we hear their own Chief Counsel J. Lee Rankin state: It
2	seems quite apparent now, since we have a picture of where the bullet
3	entered in the back, that the bullet entered below the shoulder blade
4	to the right of the backbone, which is below the place where the
5	picture shows the bullet came out of the neckband of the shirt in
	front.

You have a bullet low in the back and you have a bullet wound in the throat. If you connect these two wounds, and I assure you they do not connect — but, again, I am going with the government's own documents here — if you connect those that is an upwards trajectory. As anybody knows, simple physics, a high-powered supersonic missile cannot travel upward and then twist in midair and come back down and strike Governor Connolly.

This document not only shows the lie of the Single Bullet

Theory, but shows that the Warren Commission, an official body of the U.S. Government knew it was a lie in January of 1964, and yet they chose to show us a little diagram of a bullet going through the neck and report to us that the bullet went through the neck and did not pose any problems.

I cannot overemphasize the importance of this point because if the Single Bullet Theory falls, as it does based on the statement from the Warren Commission's own Chief Counsel, then the lone assassin theory also falls and we are into conspiracy just like the House Select Committee on Assassinations included in the 1970s.

It is this type of information which has trickled out over the past 31 years and has led researchers and the vast majority of the American public to disbelieve the government's lone assassin theory. So now we must treat the subject of conspiracy seriously,

1	and for this reason it is especially important for you people on the
2	Assassination Records Review Board to look closely at the question of
	conspiracy and the government records which might contain evidence

of such.

I think, lady and gentlemen, you are going to find you are dealing with two conspiracies here. One was the conspiracy to kill the President, and it obviously was quite successful. Who did it, who committed it, how many gunmen, from which trajectory, how many shots, we don't know. That is what is being debated. I am not sure that you are going to find the answers to those questions in these government records that you are looking for.

But the second conspiracy was the conspiracy to cover-up the truth of the first conspiracy, and this one was not quite so successful. Today there is very much evidence to show that officials

_

high within the U.S. Government committed acts designed not to find truthful answers but rather to hide the truth from the American public.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

For example, consider yourselves detectives. You arrive on the crime scene and here is the victim's body. The uniformed police present you with four suspects. By the way, they tell you, we caught Suspect Number 4 destroying evidence, withholding evidence, altering evidence, fabricating evidence and intimidating witnesses. Now who are you going to believe committed the crime? Suspect I this case Suspect Number 4 represents persons within Number 4. the Federal Government of the United States. The crimes mentioned have been documented. So the government that we turn to for information on this case includes some of the very suspects in the case.

With this in mind, I would ask you to watch very carefully

for misdirection, obfuscation, deceit when dealing with these government documents.

Now briefly I would like to turn to the central character of the assassination, Lee Harvey Oswald. The Government and its apologists continue to claim that any investigation into the Kennedy assassination is a waste of time because Lee Oswald acting alone was the person responsible for the assassination. If this is true, and I hope I just disproved that by showing you that it was impossible for the Single Bullet Theory to work and therefore it is impossible for one single assassination, but if it is true, then why should there be anything classified in this case. If it was one lone nut at the wrong place at the wrong time, the Cold War is over and any intelligence agents from the 1960s have probably already retired. There is no longer any need for secrecy in this case, or is there?

1 ~

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

Let me share with you this brief thing. First, I want to 1 point to two documents. The first is a memo from J. Edgar Hoover 2 to the Office of Security at the State Department, it is dated June 3 In this memo, which was also sent to the Office of Naval 4 Intelligence, Hoover writes: Since there is a possibility that an 5 imposter is using Oswald's birth certificate, any current information 6 the Department of State may have concerning subject will be 7 appreciated. 8

What a bombshell. We were told that nobody within the government paid much attention to Oswald, and yet here is a document showing that no less than J. Edgar Hoover personally was aware of Lee Harvey Oswald three years before the assassination, and that he had reason to suspect that someone was posing as Oswald, indicating a possible intelligence connection.

_

9

10

11

12

13

1	Now listen to the words of Oswald's mother, Marguerite,
2	in an interview reprinted in Exhibit 1808 of the Warren Commission
3	volumes: Lee was 16 years old when he wanted to enlist in the
4	Marines. The circumstances in which he chose this least branch of
5	service, in my opinion, are in glaring contradictions to the reports
6	according to which Lee frequented with Communists at this time and
7	was himself a Red and admirer of Karl Marx. For my part, in taking
8	into consideration what I know about the life of my son, I think that
9	on the contrary he was recruited by the CIA and he was sent by this
10	organization to Russia and then to Dallas to infiltrate subversive
11	organizations and, who knows, to expose a conspiracy against
12	Kennedy.
13	Now there is evidence to support this idea. It is well

documented that Oswald left a note styled as a warning with the FBI

two weeks prior to the assassination, despite claims that the note warned FBI agents to leave Oswald's wife alone or he would take action, it has been established that an FBI official in Dallas ordered this note destroyed after the assassination. This was a blatant act of destruction of evidence and leaves the impression that the note must have contained something other than a threat against the FBI, because if it had I think we all know it would have been printed on the front cover of Life Magazine.

Now, Mrs. Oswald adds: This is a theory, but it seems to me that it conforms to my son's conduct on the day in 1954 when he came home accompanied by an officer in uniform. This officer told me that the country needed boys like Lee, alert, educated and loyal, and that I should let him enlist in the Marines despite his youth. I hesitated a little and then gave my consent.

_

1	This sounds like the start of a career in intelligence and
2	other bits of evidence only give further support to this idea. I have a
3	list of these and I will leave this with you, the 201 File, Personnel File,
4	that was discovered within the CIA. The recently released documents
5	from the Soviet Union which clearly show that the Soviets considered
6	Oswald a spy. The ease with which Oswald received passports, both
7	before and after his trip to Russia. The fact that he used the word
8	"microdots" in conversation with his coworkers, which is a method, a
9	spy method, of course. His possession of a tiny Minox spy camera
10	which carried a serial number that showed it was not commercially
11	available.

So we see that beginning with the 1960 Hoover warning we see the very real possibility that there may have been more than one Lee Harvey Oswald. Within the Warren Commission volumes

1 ~

there are school records showing that during the fall semester of

1953, Oswald attended 89 days at Beauregard Junior High School in

New Orleans missing only one day, yet there are also records showing

that during this same period Oswald attended 66 days at Public

School Number 44 in New York City. One person cannot be in two

separate places at the same time.

Officially the Oswalds moved from New York to New
Orleans in early '54, and yet there are some people, including a Mr.
William Timmer, who has told us that as a youngster he met Oswald
when he lived in Stanley, North Dakota. Now Timmer and others
may have been mistaken, but on two separate occasions in a Moscow
interview with reporter Aline Mosby and in talking to New Orleans
Police Lieutenant Francis Martello in the summer of 1963, Oswald
himself referred to his move to North Dakota, and yet there is no

record in the official record. There is no notice of him being in North

Dakota.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

Finally, I will leave you the story of Oswald attending W.C. Stripling Junior High School in Fort Worth, both his mother and his brother Robert told the Warren Commission that Lee entered junior high school in Fort Worth, and Robert specifically named Stripling Junior High School, and yet records presented by the Commission clearly show that Lee entered junior high school in New York where he and his mother had moved in August of 1952. There was no further mention of Stripling Junior High. However, just very recently we located and spoke with a Mr. Frank Kudlaty who now lives in Waco just south of here. In 1963, Mr. Kudlaty was the Assistant Principal at W.C. Stripling High School. He said that the day after the assassination, a Saturday, his principal ordered him to

go to the school and provide FBI agents with records on Lee Harvey

Oswald. He said he handed over a file of school records to the FBI.

There is no mention of these records in the Warren Commission

Report or volumes.

Mr. Kudlaty told us that this was the first time since
1963 that anyone had even asked him about these records, and lady
and gentlemen, this is one of my main points. There has yet to be a
true and full investigation of this case.

So I hope you see now how extremely vital it is to release all the records pertaining to Oswald, even things so minute as his school records. There are thousands — your job is not as investigators, however there are thousands of investigators in this country, private citizens who are spending their own time and money to solve this case. They deserve full disclosure from their own

government, especially in light of the fact that the government and
its apologists still maintain that there is nothing amiss with the
assassination story.

I hope in my own small way I have shown you the need to produce the documents necessary to bring the assassination case to a truthful conclusion, particularly we need Oswald's early school records in Fort Worth, New York and New Orleans. We need all medical records, all military records, all employment and Social Security and I know there has been some controversy over where his tax records. tax forms have been released. Surely we could see his tax forms. The Cold War is over, there can no longer be any justifiable excuse of national security in holding back assassination material. The only possible security involved would be for those who do not want the truth of the assassination revealed to the public. Its time for

1 ~

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

1	consideration of embarrassment to the FBI or the CIA or the							
2	Pentagon has long passed. It is now time for the government to							
3	open its files on one of the most traumatic events in this nation's							
4	history. And you people are the ones, I think, who can effect this							
5	turn to full and honest disclosure, and I thank you for your time and							
6	attention.							
7	CHAIRMAN TUNHEIM: Thank you, Mr. Marrs.							
8	Do members of the Board have any questions?							
9	[No response.]							
10	CHAIRMAN TUNHEIM: Thank you very much. We							
11	appreciate your being here today.							
12	MR. MARRS: Thank you.							
13	MR. MARWELL: Is Dr. Murrah here?							
14	MR. MURRAH: My name is David Murrah. I am an							

1	Associate Director of Libraries and Director of the Southwest
2	Collection at Texas Tech University in Lubbock.
3	CHAIRMAN TUNHEIM: Good morning, Dr. Murrah.
4	MR. MURRAH: Mr. Chairman and others, I appreciate
5	the opportunity to tell you about the Kennedy assassination materials
6	housed at the Southwest Collection at Texas Tech University. The
7	Southwest Collection is a major historical repository for the American
8	Southwest, and within that capacity the repository has received over
9	a number of years donations of personal papers and other materials
10	from former Texas Attorney General and Texas Tech Alumnus
11	Waggoner Carr.
12	As you probably know, Mr. Carr served as Attorney
13	General of Texas from 1963 to 1967. Shortly after the
14	assassination of President Kennedy Mr. Carr began his own

investigation but subsequently acquiesced to Federal authorities as well as the Warren Commission and became liaison to the Warren Commission. In that capacity, Mr. Carr acquired copies of relevant documents from a number of sources, including the Dallas Police files and Dallas County Sheriff Bill Decker's report.

Shortly after the conclusion of the Commission's work, in

June of 1967, Mr. Carr sent copies of this material, which comprised

about 2,500 items, to the Southwest Collection for inclusion with

other papers and material that he had already donated. This

material was inventoried by staff within months of its receipt, and

consequently was made available to the public and has been available

to the public for nearly three decades. I have furnished to you a

copy of that inventory from our files as Attachment A to my

prepared remarks.

1	However, to the best of my knowledge, the collection
2	received very little use until the release of the Oliver Stone move JFK
3	in late 1991. It is also my understanding that Mr. Carr furnished
4	the same set of materials to other universities in the State, but I
5	cannot confirm that other than through hearsay know that the
6	University of Texas and perhaps Texas A&M and another university or
7	two received the same set of materials.

In 1988, Mr. Carr made another large donation of his personal and political papers which also included another set of the Kennedy assassination materials, also photocopies as was the first donation. Much of this donation duplicated the 1967 material, and I have furnished to you a portion of that inventory as well.

Other items in this particular donation were original materials and included letters written to Carr during his involvement

1 ~

in the investigation as well as notes taken by Carr and his assistant

Robert Davis during witness interrogations and news clippings dating

from the 1960s through the late 1970s.

In July 1991, the Southwest Collection listed the Carr papers online through the OCLC bibliographic utility which links
13,000 libraries around the world. This listing carried computer searchable subject headings such as the John F. Kennedy assassination,
Lee Harvey Oswald, Jack Ruby and J.D. Tippit. Yet to the best of my knowledge this wide dissemination to the scholarly community produced no inquiries from the public at large.

Extensive use of the Carr papers by assassination researchers did not occur until the release of the movie JFK and the publication of a story in the February 6, 1992, issue of the Dallas Observer which called attention to Texas Tech's possession of the

1	Waggoner Carr papers.	Southwest Collection was soon besieged by
2	assassination researchers	s as well as the curious general public.

In the interest of security and conservation, the Southwest

Collection then microfilmed every document from the Carr papers

pertaining to the assassination. Great care was taken to compare

the two sets of assassination materials in order to eliminate

duplication and to create as complete a set as possible and I furnish to

you Attachment D which is an inventory of the microfilm set. It is

our policy to sell copies of that microfilm on request. To date we

have had no requests for the film.

I have also attached representative pages from the Carr papers which are examples of the various kinds of materials that are included there. The Southwest Collection also holds material which pertains to the assassination in the papers of the late former

1 ~

	Congressman	George	Н.	Mahan,	and	in	the	papers	of	Dallas
1	J. J.			,				F F		

broadcaster Gordon McClendon. I might add, Mr. Mahan was in, I

believe, the fourth car behind the President's vehicle during the

parade, and he promptly recorded his memories of that and we have

those original comments within his papers. In the McClendon papers

are the broadcast of radio station KLIF as they were recorded as the

radio station covered the events at that time.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

In addition, the Southwest Collection has done extensive oral history interviews with Waggoner Carr pertaining to the assassination.

After the initial flurry of interest shortly after the release of the movie, user interest has declined sharply. But one researcher did call me from out-of-state to inquire about the materials, and in the conversation he had mentioned that he had written six books on

1	the Kennedy assassination. I responded, that's great, and I
2	proceeded to tell them that we had put on microfilm the papers that
3	we had in case that he wanted to acquire the reels. His response was
4	as follows, that is good to know but I hate to ask a dumb question,
5	but what is microfilm. Well, in summary, the Kennedy assassination
6	materials housed at Texas Tech have been available to the public for
7	at least 26 years and yet until the JFK movie was released little use
	was made of them.

I would suggest to you that careful and meticulous scholars would have and should have utilized this material years ago.

Careful and meticulous scholars do not have to be told the definition of microfilm.

I would also add that Texas Tech University would be pleased to furnish to this Board the microfilm copy of this

1 ~

1	assassination material that we hold and will do so upon your request.
2	Thank you again for the opportunity to share with you
3	about what we have.
4	CHAIRMAN TUNHEIM: Thank you, Dr. Murrah.
5	Are there questions?
6	Mr. Joyce?
7	MR. JOYCE: David, are any of the collections in your
8	repository closed in any way and unavailable for research?
9	MR. MURRAH: None of these materials are closed. We
10	do have restricted collections but none pertain at all to the
11	assassination.
12	MR. JOYCE: And are you aware of any additional
13	material that might be related to these collections that is still in
14	private hands?

1	MR. MURRAH: I am not personally, no.
2	MR. JOYCE: Thank you.
3	DR. NELSON: What percentage of your documents are
4	originals? That is, there is only one copy and it is in your collection,
5	would you say? You mentioned some correspondence in here. Are
6	there a lot of original documentation?
7	MR. MURRAH: Well, of the most of the material is
8	photocopied and is not original to us. The only things that are
9	original are the things that were created as part of the personal
10	papers of Waggoner Carr, including his diary, relative correspondence
11	and the inquiries, and so forth, and as well as his own notes within
12	the Waggoner Carr papers.
13	Within the Mahan papers, it is all original material, yes,
14	ma'am.

1	DR. NELSON: If some of his personal papers are there
2	and they are original, obviously that is valuable. I was just curious to
3	know how much of it was.
4	MR. MURRAH: In regards to the whole of what we have
5	only a small percent is original. Yes, ma'am.
6	CHAIRMAN TUNHEIM: Dr. Murrah, I have just a couple
7	of points. What is the total volume of documents or pages that the
	university has that are relevant to the assassination?

MR. MURRAH: I would refer you to Item B2 in the material I have, if you have that before you, the attachments to my remarks. I am sorry, let me back up and refer you to Item A2 -- A1, the inventory for the 1967 donation, 2,479 items which are listed there, and then the 1988 donation, there are 6,190 items. So we received about two-thirds more material there within the

1	second donation that was not within the first, that is material
2	pertaining directly to the Kennedy assassination, out of a total
z	donation of 55,000 items which represent other Waggoner Carr

personal papers.

CHAIRMAN TUNHEIM: I noticed in the materials, the

Carr papers, a reference to autopsy reports. The autopsy records

related to President Kennedy are exempt from the Act. They are in

the collection of the National Archives that is closed. What kinds of

materials are included in this referenced autopsy reports, do you

recall?

MR. MURRAH: It has been a while since I looked at that.

The one item that I do remember is the medical report that was

filled out at the time of the autopsy. It is only a guess on my part,

but this very well may be copies of material that otherwise has been

1 ~

14

11

12

1	restricted at other places.
2	DR. HALL: But it is material that is open in your
3	MR. MURRAH: But it has always been open in our place.
4	We received no instructions whatsoever at the time of donation that
5	it was to be restricted at all.
6	CHAIRMAN TUNHEIM: We may follow-up with you on
7	that.
8	MR. MURRAH: Any further questions?
9	DR. GRAFF: I would just like to inquire, you said the
10	interest picked up after the movie JFK.
11	MR. MURRAH: Yes, sir.
12	DR. GRAFF: Has that continued steady or has there beev
13	some decline, can you tell us?
14	MR. MURRAH: It was very steady for about three

1	months. I examined our use records, and we had approximately 90
2	individuals who made use of that collection shortly after the release of
3	the movie. No, after about three months it fell off quite rapidly, and
4	I would say over the last year-and-a-half or so we have had no more
5	than two or three inquiries to use the collection.
6	DR. GRAFF: Thank you.
7	CHAIRMAN TUNHEIM: Thank you, Dr. Murrah. This
8	type of information is exactly what we are looking for and we
9	appreciate you being with us today.
10	DR. MURRAH: Thank you.
11	MR. MARWELL: Adele Edisen.
12	MS. EDISEN: Good morning.
13	CHAIRMAN TUNHEIM: Good morning, Ms. Edisen.
14	MS. EDISEN: And thank you for your consideration and

My

the opportunity to speak. I received a call from Mr. Marwell. 1 name is Adele Elvira Uskali Edisen. Professionally I have a bachelor's 2 degree and a doctorate degree in physiology from the University of 3

My field is neurophysiology. I am a neuroscientist. Chicago.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

At the time I will be speaking about 1963 from personal experience, but before I do I could give you a brief run down of my background. I have been on the faculty and have done research at Tulane University School of Medicine, at LSU School of Medicine. fact, in 1963, I was there as a third year post-doctoral fellow of the National Institute of Neurological Diseases and Blindness of the National Institutes of Health. I have also been on the faculty of Rockefeller University, that was much later; St. Mary's Dominican College in New Orleans, Delgato College in New Orleans; the University of Texas at San Antonio; and I am currently teaching part-time at

l

	Palo Alto	College	which	is	а	community	college	of San	Antonio.	
1		5				5	5			

- have also been associated with the Mind Science Foundation in San

 Antonio in the past.
- I am seeking specific records which I mentioned in my 4 letter, and there are some others, but perhaps it would be best to give 5 you an idea of the experience I had. I am willing to give you also a 6 narrative that I wrote in 1975 to give to my attorney in the event of 7 my death in case something happened to me so that there would be a 8 record somewhere because we could not obtain records from the 9 Secret Service or the FBI with whom I had an interview on November 10 24th, 1963. 11
- In 1962, I tried to get back into my field of research

 after having three children. My children at that time, in '63, were

 seven, five and three, and I was offered the opportunity to apply for a

	post-doctoral	fellowship. I	' had	already	had	two	uears	of
1	F			J			J	

- post-doctoral fellowship support from that institute, that was at
- Tulane, and Dr. Sidney Harris of LSU's School of Medicine,
- Department of Physiology suggested that I apply and he told me in
- December that he had received a phone call from a Dr. Jose Rivera of
- the Institute telling him that I had been granted that award.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

results to report.

Since my husband had been ill that was a very important award, and by the time that these meetings occurred in April of the Federation of American Societies for Experimental Biology, which is an umbrella organization of six major biological societies including the physiological, American Physiological Society, I had accomplished a certain amount of research on a volunteer basis, and I had enough

So I went to these meetings which were held in Atlantic

1	City and it was there that I met the individual I am going to be
2	speaking about, Jose Rivera, who was manning a booth at the
3	convention hall there.

Well, to make this story shorter, I befriended him or he befriended me, I was planning to go to Bethesda in Washington and visit with colleagues and friends at the NIH and also to see the NIH, and so he had, in the course of our conversations and so on, invited me to his home to have dinner with him and his wife and daughter, and also to help me obtain hotel, motel space for my visit in Bethesda after these meetings, and to give me a site-seeing tour, and so on.

It turned out he had taught at Loyola University in New Orleans, and we knew some people in common who were, for example, Dr. Fred Brazda who was Chairman of Biochemistry at LSU Medical School and a few other people.

1 ~

So, at any rate, I won't go into all the detail in the interest of time, but I will submit my narrative to you. I also wrote a short paper which was published in The Third Decade, which is a research journal of the assassination of President Kennedy, published and edited by Dr. Jerry Rose. This article, this short article was written by me under a pseudonym of K.S. Turner, I was looking trying to find one of the Secret Service agents because I have not yet received any records of my interviews with them.

Mr. Rivera, or Dr. Rivera or Colonel Rivera he also called himself, mentioned to me, and this is April 1963, seven months before the assassination, on Monday night April the 22nd, it turned out that his wife was a nurse and she was on duty at her hospital and so we didn't go to dinner at his home, but rather he took me to Blackie's House of Beef in Washington, and it was there that he said

- to me, as we were waiting to be seated, he told me about his trips to
- Dallas and so on, and he mentioned, he said there is a very nice
- nightclub there, the Carousel Club and the next time you are in Dallas
- you should go there.
- In the few moments later he asked me if I knew Lee
- Oswald. I had never heard of Lee Oswald. I vaguely wondered if he
- was related to a boy I had gone to high school with whose name was
- Fred Oswald, and I went to high school in New York, but that was all.
- 1 said, no, 1 didn't know him.

He said, well, he lived in Russia for a while, and he has a

Russian wife and a child and they are in Dallas now and they are

planning, he is planning to come to New Orleans -- they are planning

to come to New Orleans, and you should get to know them because

they are a very lovely couple. Those are more or less exact quotes.

1 ~

14

I didn't think anything of it. We had dinner and so on 1 and so forth. It was the next night, again his wife apparently 2 couldn't make dinner, and we were seated at, this time, the Marriott, 3 I think it is called the Twin Bridges, across the Potomac River, and 4 there were several other things he asked me about, if I knew of John 5 Abt for example. I later, many years later, learned that was the 6 attorney that Lee Oswald asked to represent him. I didn't know 7 John Abt either. But he did later on say to the effect that Oswald 8 would -- I presume he meant Oswald would call upon Abt to defend 9 him. 10

All of these things were only in retrospect that I put it together. But it was that Tuesday night which was the most devastating. We were site-seeing, and we went all around Washington to the cherry blossoms, the White House, every time we

11

12

13

													_
	toured	around	the	White	House	he	asked	me	if I	saw	Caroline	on l	her
1													

pony Macaroni, and all kinds of crazy nonsense, and I was beginning

to think I was with an absolute mad man.

But the first indication he made of the death of the

President was as we were approaching getting near the White House

the first time, he said, I wonder what Jackie will do when her

husband dies. I said, what? And he said, I mean the baby.

What baby was what went into my mind, I didn't know she was pregnant. He said, well she might lose the baby, and then he began to talk about women having caesarian sections and did I know whether they could have normal deliveries, vaginal deliveries if they have had caesarian sections, and it went on and on like that. I just wondered about what he was — maybe he did make a slip of the tongue or something.

1 ~

But at the Marriott let me get back to that, and I am
sorry I digressed it was after dinner and he asked to do a favor for
him when I got back to New Orleans, and that was the subject of the
note which I mentioned in the letter.

He said that he had talked with this gentleman, I guess it is all right to mention the name, I don't know if he had anything to do with the assassination or not, but it was a faculty member at Loyola who apparently had been a friend of his or was a friend, Winston DeMonsabert. He dictated the name —— I think I misspelled it in the note —— and said call —— tell him to call me when you get back there, and ask him when he is leaving New Orleans, because I heard —— this is Rivera talking —— I heard he was leaving New Orleans.

Rivera when leaving NO, my abbreviation for New Orleans. In some

So I wrote on the note, Winston DeMonsabert call Dr.

1	more conversation, and he then asked me to write down a number
2	which was 899-4244, and after that he said, write down this name,
3	Lee Harvey Oswald. It didn't ring a bell to me that that was the
4	same name that he had mentioned the night before, and he said, tell
5	him to kill the chief. So underneath that part of the note I wrote in
6	quotes "kill the chief."
7	Now, let me explain one more thing, when he saw me
8	writing down the message, he said, no, no, don't write that down.
9	You will remember it when you get to New Orleans.
10	The reference to chief to me meant NIH because NIH
11	made this joke or description several times during these two days.

He said, do you know why NIH is called the reservation? I said, no.

He said, because there are so many chiefs and no Indians.

The organization, the internal organization of NIH is, at

1 ~

least it was then and I presume it is the same now, was that different intermural research groups would have a chief of the section. For example, chief of the spinal cord section, or chief of this or chief of that, and even the training grants and awards section of which Rivera was a part had a chief, Elizabeth Hartman.

So all this time I thought that Oswald was a scientist and a friend of Rivera's. I couldn't understand about the Russian wife because, you know, at that time they were citizens of our two countries were not allowed to leave or to visit each other, and so on.

I became very frightened then, I didn't understand what he was talking about even though he had made references to assassination of the President or killing of the President, but he said when he told me not to write down that part, he said, don't write it down, you will remember it when you get to New Orleans. We are

just playing a little joke on him, presumably meaning Oswald.

1

14

There were other references to the assassination which I 2 only -- he said, for example, after -- he kept talking about it in this 3 way, he would say, after it happens -- it happens, what happens, you 4 know, I don't know what he is talking about -- after it happens, he 5 would say, someone will kill him, meaning apparently the assassin, 6 and I presume it was Oswald, although I never considered until much 7 later that Oswald did it, but anyway Oswald. They will say his best 8 friend killed him. After it happens the President's best friend will 9 jump out of a window because of his grief, and there was such an 10 event about two weeks later, the former Ambassador to Ireland 11 jumped out of a window in Miami, his name was Grant Stockdale. 12 Although, again, at the time I didn't make connection. 13

CHAIRMAN TUNHEIM: Ms. Edisen, this note is a note

1	that	then	you	passed	along	to	a governmei	nt agev	rt?

MS. EDISEN: Yes.

CHAIRMAN TUNHEIM: Can you tell us about that?

MS. EDISEN: Yes. On Sunday, November the 24th, I called the Secret Service. I had actually called the Secret Service in July and I spoke briefly with Agent J. Calvin Rice, and I was going to go down there and tell them this incredible story which now I had —— I thought there was some sort of conspiracy to kill the President after putting it together. And then I thought they wouldn't believe me, and I would only make a fool of myself, and so I called him back and declined.

But when the assassination actually did occur, I did go down there on Sunday and they were very anxious to see me, and Mr. Rice told me not to sign in the register because -- I guess it was

1 ~

1	for protection or something but to call him when I got to the lob	ıby.
2	and I went there.	

As we were walking to his office, Mr. Rice told me that 3 they had just gotten word that Oswald had been shot. So it must 4 have been after Jack Ruby shot him. And we went into his office 5 where he introduced me to a burly FBI agent, a balding man, and I 6 believe he might have been Oren Bartlett. The reason I say that is 7 because his first name was definitely Oren because when he was 8 introduced to me I thought I had misheard, and I said, Owen, and he 9 said, no, Oren, and I thought of a pear making some sort of an 10 association to the name. 11

At any rate, I began to tell him my incredible story, and I was there for about three to four hours in their office. There were only two men there, J. Calvin Rice, Secret Service, who was a

1 ~

12

13

1	youngish man, about in	his, I would imagine, 30s, early 30s, not
2	much taller than I was.	I had small Cuban, you know, walking heels.

CHAIRMAN TUNHEIM: And there were notes taken at this?

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

MS. EDISEN: Well, he took some notes but Mr. Rice was seated at his desk, and I was seated to his right, and the FBI agent remained standing most of the time. I believe he may have taped it because every time Mr. Rice got up from his desk, there was a partition over there, for example, and there was a phone there which they used, even though there was a phone on the desk, which I didn't understand, but apparently there was some reason for that. every time Mr. Rice got up to answer the phone or to use the phone, I noticed his hand would do this, and I would either hear a whirring, a mechanical sound like a tape recorder or something. It may have

2	At the end of the interview, when I was leaving, Mr. Rice
3	asked the FBI agent who had been coming and going more or less, do
4	you have the film and is the plane ready, and they were leaving. I
5	thought they were going to Washington because the FBI agent had
6	been introduced to me as being from Washington, but, no, Mr. Rice
7	said they were going to Dallas. So presumably they were flying that
8	night or immediately, and they all and he put his hat on and they
9	were ready to leave, and they were showing me out. Mr. Rice
10	showed me out the door.

CHAIRMAN TUNHEIM: So what you are seeking is any kind of record of this interview and note that you passed?

MS. EDISEN: Yes. What I am seeking and I have sought for about four times since 1975, my most recent request went in this

summer, the interview of November 24th, 1963, there should be

some record of it. Even if they thought I was completely out of my

mind, there should be some record of it somewhere, either in Secret

Service or in the FBI office. The FBI agent made a call to someone

very important to him asking that Mr. Rivera be interrogated while I

was there.

In 1975, I consulted Mr. Jack Peebles, an attorney in private practice in New Orleans. He advised me to write under Freedom of Information Act to the FBI and to the CIA because we sort of -- I sort of thought Rivera had some link to CIA. They had nothing.

Mr. Peebles then wrote on August 28, 1975, to Mr. Frank Church, Senator Frank Church. Senator Church answered but it was a rather perfunctory thank you, we will use the information that

1	your client has. Mr. Peebles did not, and I will give you a copy of his
2	letter, did not refer to me by identity, by name, because he was
3	trying to protect me. But he said that my client, I, would be willing
4	to send them a tape recording or any materials they wanted as long
5	as my identity would be protected.
6	CHAIRMAN TUNHEIM: So let me just clarify here, so we
7	understand it, it is any kind of record of this interview?
8	MS. EDISEN: Right.
9	CHAIRMAN TUNHEIM: And possibly the note that you
10	passed to them, the note that you had written?
11	MS. EDISEN: The note which I gave to the FBI agent. I
12	am sorry I didn't make that clear.
13	CHAIRMAN TUNHEIM: I was just clarifying for the
14	Board.

1	Do any Board members have any questions for Ms. Edisen?
2	Go ahead, Dr. Graff.
3	DR. GRAFF: Dr. Edisen, have you had any
4	correspondence with Dr. Rivera since you had his hospitality in
5	Washington?
6	MS. EDISEN: I received a letter in early 1964,
7	remember I was a post-doc at LSU, from Elizabeth Hartman who
8	was Chief of the Training Branch and Awards Section asking me to
9	submit a progress report or summary of my activities as a
10	post-doc this is typical which I sent to her. And I received a
11	form letter, and I have a copy of that with me, a form letter with
12	Jose Rivera's signature on it as Executive Secretary of the Training
13	Branch and Awards Section thanking me for this progress report.
14	DR. GRAFF: But you had no other correspondence, you

1	weren't in touch with him to thank him for what he had done for
2	you?

MS. EDISEN: No. I did see him -- let me tell you this

much -- in September, right after Labor Day, a couple of days after

Labor Day. I was at LSU talking to a neurology --

DR. GRAFF: What year are we in now?

MS. EDISEN: 1963, I am sorry, 1963, September, after Labor Day, speaking with Dr. Greg Harris in the hallway going for a drink of water. I looked down the hall and Rivera had just gotten off the elevator and, of course, I watched him, and he didn't see me. He had very thick glasses. He may not have seen at long distance. But when he was about eight or ten feet away, he noticed me and he halted and he almost stumbled stepping backwards. He looked as if

he had seen a ghost, and then he walked on. He recovered by saying,

1 ~

1 1	have	to	go	see	Fred	Brazda,	his	friend	in	the	biochemistry	١.
-----	------	----	----	-----	------	---------	-----	--------	----	-----	--------------	----

- When you read my document, you will see what happened.
- CHAIRMAN TUNHEIM: Any further questions?
- MR. MARWELL: Dr. Edisen, what is the current status of
- your FOIA request, your Freedom of Information Act request?
- MS. EDISEN: I will tell you. I wrote, it was in June,
- yes, in June. I can tell you one more thing. In 1984, I met an FBI
- agent in San Antonio. I will give you his name in confidence because
- I don't want him in, you know, but he listened to -- he knew about 10
- this, and he suggested that I write a brief summary of my
- experiences. I wrote I think a seven-page summary. He submitted
- it with a covering letter to Headquarters. I wrote about a year or so
- later to the FBI again with a request for Freedom of Information Act

1	any files on me and so on, and they replied they had nothing. We
2	know they had something. So this is my concern. Why aren't these
3	files available to me?
4	I also request from this Board that they examine any
5	records pertaining to Jose Rivera, Colonel Rivera of the Army, and
6	what his role was in all of this. I know something about him, that he
7	has spent some time in Japan, for example, he told me that, and
8	may have been there at the time Oswald was there. He knew
9	Oswald somehow.
10	CHAIRMAN TUNHEIM: Thank you very much, Dr.
11	Edisen.
12	MS. EDISEN: Thank you.
13	CHAIRMAN TUNHEIM: We appreciate you sharing your
14	information with us today.

	MS.	EDISEN:	Thank you	very	much.
1			5	5	

MR. MARWELL: The next witness will be Gary Mack.

CHAIRMAN TUNHEIM: Good morning, Mr. Mack.

MR. MACK: Good morning.

I trust you have a copy of the letter that I sent. The areas that I have worked on since the mid-1970s are primarily media and photographs, and I have run across some things that I have yet to find answers for, and I listed some of them here.

The first one I want to ask you about and urge you to poke around as much as you can, recordings of the Secret Service radio channels in the motorcade. There were at least two, perhaps three. There is a reference, and I am sorry I don't recall exactly where, but there is a reference that the Dallas Police broadcasts were also monitored by the Secret Service. They had a center set up at

1	the Adolphus Hotel. It was then fed back to Air Force One out at					
2	Love Field and then monitored back in Washington.					
3	Those recordings have never surfaced. There is an					
4	indication in the testimony from one of the Secret Service agents in					
5	the Kennedy car that he had referred to a transcript. Well, a					
6	transcript tells me that there must have been a recording somewhere					
7	So I would urge you to check with the Signal Corps or its successor					
8	agency or agencies to find out what happened to those transcripts					
9	and recordings.					
10	One of the reasons I suggest that is, the agent in question					
11	Agent Kellerman, testified that he had his microphone on when the					
12	final shot or shots were fired. It would be theoretically another					
13	recording of at least part of the shooting.					
1 1	Number two on my list first came to my attention in					

Mark Lane's book Rush To Judgment in the mid-'60s where he quoted Joe Long of KLIF radio, one of the most popular stations in Dallas at that time, and Joe said that the Secret Service confiscated some of their recordings and never returned them. I have confirmed that with several personnel at other stations that recall in the weeks immediately following the assassination numerous visits by Secret Service agents who asked for specific things, and in those days the material, films, audiotapes and videotapes were turned over without receipts, and the recollection of the people in charge is that not everything they loaned to the Secret Service was returned. To my knowledge, there is no specific listing of material, and I think that should be pursued.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

When a film of Robert Oswald meeting with his brother

Lee Harvey Oswald in custody is known to have been shot and has

never surfaced that concerns me. There is no indication that it was
a sound film, but the fact that a film like that could vanish is very
troubling to me.

Number three on the list, attempts to conduct photo enhancements and blowups of one or more amateur photographs shot by witness Mary Moorman, despite some of the accounts that have appeared in print, at the end of the day Mary Moorman went home with her photographs. They were not confiscated from her, but they were borrowed while she was being questioned the day of the assassination.

In the following months, she loaned the picture to the FBI at least four times. She retained signed receipts for those. She did get a letter to appear before the Warren Commission. She asked for a delay. She had twisted her ankle and could barely walk, and the

Warren Commission indicated	that they	would	recontact	her and	she
never heard from them again.	So that	is the	only reaso	n she did	not
testify.					

But she did loan her picture to the FBI and they had told her it was for the Warren Commission and for their investigation.

There is nothing in the record that indicates that the FBI or Warren Commission ever did anything with her picture of the assassination.

It is the only one known to exist that shows the Grassy Knoll at the time of the head shot, and it is astounding to me that a photograph like that could exist, was known to exist within minutes of the assassination, and yet there is no official interest in that photograph as far as the available documentation shows.

We do have reports of other films and photographs that drew some interest right away, but not this one, and her recollection

is, and it is support by a friend of hers named Jean Hill, their 1 recollection is that at some point in the days or weeks following, they 2 were exhibited a giant blowup of one of the other pictures she took in 3 the sequence, and this picture was of the School Book Depository 4 moments before the assassination, and they were looking at windows 5 and trying to see if there were any figures in the windows. 6 memory is that they never did see anything, but that tells me that 7 there was official interest, at least, on the part of the Secret Service, 8 that they did some work on one or more of those pictures, and the 9 I know of no such documentation and it must be record is blank. 10 somewhere. 11

Number four, Secret Service or FBI efforts to locate Cap

Field who may have photographed the assassination. That name

came from a document that was released in the late '70s, and I tried

14

12

to follow up on that at that time, contacting the college up in

Denton, North Texas State University, and we went through records

and just could not find any reference to this guy named Cap Field.

There is just no way to tell where that trail went, but there is one

document indicating that Cap Field may have taken one or more

pictures that day.

Number five, Army or Signal Corps motion pictures of the JFK autopsy at Bethesda. I am not an expert in this area, but I have been told by a person that was familiar with the Bethesda room that there were mountings for a motion picture camera. It was a teaching institution, at least that room was, and a standard autopsy procedure would certainly include an audio recording of the comments, and I have never seen any reference to either one of those, and I would think that somewhere there have to be some recordings

	of what	exactly	was	said,	and	1	think	pun	intended	that	would
1		9		-				,			

certainly be the best evidence about what they observed in

Washington.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

Number six, broadcast recordings of the Dallas Police Several radio stations in Dallas at the time did radio channels. monitor the police broadcasts. Whether they recorded them or not, I have not been able to determine. Without getting into any great detail on the acoustics evidence, it is the belief of Dr. Barger who did the work for the House Assassinations Committee that those Dictabelts in evidence are not the originals, and it is the one mistake He says that he has told me and he has told others he admits to. that when the House Committee showed him those dictabelts they said these are the originals and they did not question that.

After the controversy arose, his studies indicated that

they have two hum tones and that tells him that they are not the originals. This was a theory of mine that I followed as closely as possible without being a scientist. Dr. Barger, I believe, is an honest, decent man, and he stands by his work. His basic observation that was ignored by the National Academy of Science study is that the Dallas Police radio system at the time was an FM system.

1 ~

When I called Dr. Louis Alvarez, who was the one on the National Academy of Science panel most involved with this, I asked him, was it an AM system or an FM system? He said, well, it was AM, they were all AM in those days. I said, I am sorry, it was an FM system. It was a relatively new system, how would that affect your findings? He said, Gary, if that is true, we would have to start all over again. He asked me if I could document that, I cannot. The paperwork is gone, but I do know the names and phone numbers of

some of the City of Dallas radio engineers who designed the system
and installed it and maintained it.

The acoustics issue, despite the difficulties, is far from a dead issue. It needs to be pursued because, as far as I am concerned, while it is great that everybody is releasing documents, and what you are doing has truly great value, at the end of all this work, your documents are going to give us bits and pieces of information, but I just am not convinced that it is going to solve the crime. I don't think it is going to tell us whether there was or was not a conspiracy to kill the President, but the acoustics evidence can certainly do that.

The other element about the acoustics evidence is that one area where Warren Commission member David Belin and House

Committee Staff Counsel Bob Lakey agree is that the acoustics evidence should be pursued. In other words, the same analysis that

1 ~

was applied to the Grassy Knoll shot should be applied to the other three shots. If you trace the source of those shots, just like the Grassy Knoll shot, either it is going to lead to that window or it is going to lead to some illogical place, and that would be the way to settle this issue. It would be a real shame if the acoustics thing was just left hanging because it is one of the very few pieces of hard evidence left.

Number seven, numerous still photographs of the Oswald emergency work shot by Dr. Carl Dockery. I first learned about Dockery's pictures from Mike Coleto's book The Oswald File, and I called Dockery and he confirmed it. His memory was that he had his camera with him. He shot an entire roll of film documenting what the autopsy surgeons or what the doctors were doing. He ran out of film and he borrowed a camera from someone out in the hallway,

1	apparently a news photograp	pher, and he has something like six or
2	seven rolls of film with him.	As best Dockery could remember, he
_	shot a good 150 photograph	S.

1 ~

I have no idea where those are. He has never seen them.

They were confiscated by Parkland security and ultimately went to,

I believe it was C.J. Price, and I made that phone call, either to him

or one other person, and they claimed they did not recall that. So,

again, these may be in FBI files. There is an FBI document indicating

they were aware of this. I don't know that there is anything of any

significance as far as changing history, but I think it is proper to

document it, and they are certain there were no other photographs

taken in the Oswald emergency work.

Finally, number eight, the numerous confessions in recent years by people who claim to have been involved with some aspect of

the assassination. This has been a very frustrating area for me in 1 that I have spent a lot of time working on legitimate issues in this 2 case, as have many other researchers. Since 1990, I have found that 3 I have spent an awful lot of time trying to correct the historical 4 record with some of these phony stories that are coming out, and 5 without going through a list or maybe I could provide you a list 6 sometime in the future, the most significant story in recent years was 7 Ricky White, the Rosco White story. That story and I could give you 8 a stack of stuff this big, a friend and I have spent hundreds and 9 hundreds of hours on this thing. It is a complete fabrication. 10 my personal belief that everybody involved with that story knew it. 11 I will give you an example. A few days after the August 12 6, 1990, news conference, a friend of mine noticed a document in 13 the office of Bud Fensterwald, who was the head of the Assassination

1	Archives Records Center in Washington. Fensterwald had a report
2	on his desk, an interview that had been conducted by Kevin Walsh
3	with a man named Philip Jordan. Philip Jordan was the mysterious
4	Mr. X who Ricky White kept referring to. Philip Jordan was in a
5	position to know whether that story was true or not, and what he
6	told Kevin Walsh was the story was not true. Yet Fensterwald and
7	others stood by as Ricky White claimed that his father killed Kennedy
8	It is just absolutely outrageous to me that these kind of things go on.
	I am not cure there can be legislation to prevent it but if

I am not sure there can be legislation to prevent it, but if that is part of your work, I would very, very highly, strongly urge you to come up with some legislation that would provide some criminal penalties for these people who come up with these phony stories.

I was on a museum retreat for the past week-and-a-half down in South Texas, and I got a call from one of the Fox stations

1 ~

14

9

10

11

12

with another one. Now we have four gunmen up in the Book

Depository. It is just -- it is never ending. These people get

attorneys to find out whether they are going to be in trouble so they

know exactly what they should or should not say, and it is just

mind-boggling.

One the one hand, those of us who research this case and who are amateurs, don't have any formal training in this, we tend to think outside the borders, which I think overall is good for this case because we are not locked into a certain way of studying something.

But, on the other hand, there are some profiteers and others who like the limelight, and that kind of thing. It is just mind-boggling what is going on in the research community when these stories come out.

And those of us who know how to research and know how to look things up and know how to ask questions, when we look into

1	this and say, you have serious problems with this story, we are then
2	painted as disinformation agents. Some of these people, and I don't
3	wish to cast the research community with such a wide brush, but they
4	want to seek the truth but you had better find the right truth or you
5	are in trouble. Well, I am not locked into those people, and I wanted
6	to at least offer my assistance with some of these phony stories
7	because you will have a lot of work before you, and I would hate to
8	see you get derailed with stuff that leads nowhere.
9	I think I will leave it at that, and I will follow this up with
10	a written.
11	CHAIRMAN TUNHEIM: Thank you, Mr. Mack.
12	Any questions?
13	MR. MARWELL: It would be helpful in your written
14	submission if you give us some details on the issues that you have

1	mentioned	today.
1		

	MR. MACK:	Absolutely.	I would be happy to	ο.
2		3	113	

- CHAIRMAN TUNHEIM: Mr. Mack, the reference to Cap

 Field that you mentioned in your number four, is that in an FBI

 record that you saw the name?
 - MR. MACK: Yes, it was, and I believe it was in one of the documents that was released along with, and it might be the same one, that came out in late '77/early '78 that identified Charles

 Bronson as having taken a film of the assassination. That document went to Earl Golds of the Dallas Morning News. Earl found Mr.

 Bronson, but Cap Field, and my memory is Cap Field is on that list, and that is the only documentation.
- What I have found living here and talking with people is

 that there are a lot of people in the Dallas area who, for one reason

or another, just would prefer not to come forward. I learned a story just a few weeks ago. A retired Kodak executive remembered that while they were processing Abraham Zapruder's film out in the Dallas office out by Love Field, that a woman had come in, and this was a woman in her late 30s, a brunette, who had taken a picture at the assassination scene, and her picture was the first one out of the processor, and they were working on this because it was quicker to do stills than it was moving film.

He didn't catch her name, but he stood next to her while she was explaining her story to some of the Federal investigators who were already there. She was running from Main Street up to Elm Street across the grass, realized she wasn't going to get there close enough, stopped and took a picture. In the foreground were some people standing on the south curb of Elm Street. The Kennedy

limousine was directly behind them, directly behind the limousine was the Book Depository Building. When the picture came out of the processor, the first thing they noticed was the exposure was terrific but the focus was way, way off. It was virtually useless, and she was told that. Well, she apparently went home and whether anyone even got her name or that is unknown.

If this story is true, and I have no reason to doubt it, the man — we sought him out, he did not seek us out, today if we can locate that slide, and this is a color slide, computer enhancement can return it literally to almost the best clarity you could have had at the time. Of course, back in those days nothing like that existed. The Kodak executive's name is Jack Harrison. Jack said they were pushing the technicians very hard to do whatever you can to sharpen this picture and approve it, and they just said, hey, there is nothing

we	can	do.
	• • • • •	

2	So here is a woman with a potentially important
3	photograph, a still photograph. What is especially interesting to me
4	is that from the description of her position, what the picture showed
5	she may very well be the real Babushka Lady.

That is an area in the research community that is very controversial at this point, and without going into any great detail, I do not believe that Beverly Oliver is the Babushka Lady, or, let me rephrase that, she certainly could be but the rest of the story is a fabrication. That is my personal belief based on the work I have done.

What has happened, though, apparently, is the story from

Jack Harrison that this woman existed, she has a photograph that

could have some important answers. It is probably sitting in a shoe

1 ~

1	box somewhere in her closet and she has no idea, and how do you find
2	a woman like that. How do you get people to come forward.
z	Maybe your work will do that.

DR. HALL: Mr. Chairman, I have a question for Mr.

Mack.

5

Do you have any knowledge, Mr. Mack, given the time and effort you have put in to this enterprise of any former public officials who took with them materials related to the assassination that are now held in private hands that would otherwise be deemed public documents?

MR. MACK: I have to think. It seems to me, yes. I don't know of any original materials, if that is what you are asking.

Several police officers kept copies of things, mostly photographs. I don't know of any original material, but I would have to think about

1	that.
2	DR. HALL: Could I ask, Mr. Chairman, that, Mr. Mack,
3	as part of the statement that you provide to us, if you would speak to
4	that issue, I would be most appreciative.
5	MR. MACK: I would be happy to. That is an interesting
6	thought.
7	DR. NELSON: I think just to clarify your point that we
8	are looking for documents and might miss some of these questions,
9	actually under the statute the term document is very widely
10	interpreted, and it means in some ways information that emerges in
11	whatever form, whatever form of the media, so that, in fact,
12	photographs in this instance would be regarded as proper material.
13	I just thought I would clarify that point because
1 1	MR. MACK: One comes to mind. A local photographer

who worked for the NBC affiliate named Jimmy Darnell filmed the loading of the President's casket on to Air Force One. He filmed it from close range, and after he was done, a Dallas Police officer came up to him and said, you shouldn't be doing that, that is sacrilegious, give me your camera. Jimmy had just joined the station and hadn't been in the business very long and he did turn over the camera or gave him the film, and the officer — Jimmy knew the officer's name and he told me the officer's name, and I don't recall it. It will come to me in a minute.

I called him and he had no knowledge of such a film and didn't recall doing that, but was not really surprised, it was not the kind of thing he would be enthusiastic to admit. He said that if he had done that, he would have given it to Chief Curry, which means it would have gone to the FBI, so the TV station filed a Freedom of

1	Information Request right away and got an answer within like four
2	days that they files do not have any such film.
3	Since there is such controversy, and legitimate
4	controversy, I should add, about the condition of the President's body
5	in Dallas versus the body in Washington, I would doubt very highly
6	there would be anything significant in this film of loading the body
7	onto Air Force One, but you never know, and what else was on that
8	film that has also vanished.
9	It is just one that comes to mind.
10	CHAIRMAN TUNHEIM: Other questions?
11	[No response.]
12	CHAIRMAN TUNHEIM: Thank you, Mr. Mack. We
13	appreciate your testimony.
14	MR. MACK: Thanks.

1	MR. MARWELL: I understand that Karen Clem is not
2	here today. I would ask Mr. Robert Vernon if he would like to give us
ろ	a statement at this time.

MR. VERNON: Thank you very much, Mr. Marwell. 1

appreciate it.

5

There was one other gentleman who I don't believe has arrived yet, when you had mentioned to me on the phone yesterday it may be after lunch, I told him to take his time. He is not here, so you will see his name reflected in this transcription.

I appreciate the opportunity to appear before you. Mr. Chairman and distinguished members of the Board, my name is Robert G. Vernon. The gentleman who is supposed to be with me now is John R. Stockwell, he is late. I am a television producer, and Mr. Stockwell is a former CIA case officer. He is a noted author and

screenwriter.

2	We are here representing, Truth Truth,
3	Incorporated, which is a nonprofit Texas corporation that was
4	founded in 1989. Since their formation, Truth Truth had
5	conducted a private unbiased investigation into the death of John F.
6	Kennedy. Mr. Stockwell and I were hired in early 1992 to write a
7	produce a television program and book highlighting the findings of
8	Truth Truth Truth. Our findings are scheduled to be released in the
9	immediate future in TV broadcast, home video, CD ROM and book
10	form.

The first participant in the JFK assassination discovered by Truth Truth Truth is a male African-American named Q.D. Urdy.

Mr. Urdy received immunity from the United States Department of Justice in 1992 in exchange for his testimony. Mr. Urdy testified

1 ~

that he was ordered by Jack Ruby to acquire high-powered rifles with
scopes in the early fall of 1963. Mr. Urdy stated that he then stole
three rifles from a ranch in West Texas. The theft of these rifles has
been verified by the ranch owners.

Retired Dallas Police Officer Tom Tilson testified that he and his daughter observed Jack Ruby and three men dressed in suits, ties and hats taking target practice with rifles at a Dallas-Fort Worth firing range approximately five to seven days prior to the assassination.

No other part of Mr. Urdy's lengthy testimony has been substantiated, although he does claim to have further information and knowledge of the events surrounding the assassination, both before and after the fact.

The second participant discovered by Truth is male

1	Caucasian Robert Tosh Plumlee, a CIA pilot.	Mr.	Plumlee	has piloted
2	and co-piloted clandestine CIA flights for over	31	years.	He testified
3	before the Church Committee in the 1970s as	nd h	is CIA es	capades

have been well chronicled in magazine articles, books and congressional reports.

Mr. Plumlee testified before the Senate Foreign Relations

Committee on August 2nd, 1990, and again in May of 1991. His

testimony under oath has been classified as Top Secret. Senator

John Kerry served as Chairman of the Senate Committee, John

Wyner, I believe his name is, and Dick McCall, staff aides to Senator

Kerry, questioned Mr. Plumlee in relation to the Contra resupply

network behind closed doors.

Mr. Plumlee testified to the U.S. Senate Committee and to Truth Truth Truth, Incorporated, that on the morning of November

1 ~

22nd, 1963, he was a co-pilot on a top secret flight supported by
the CIA. Mr. Plumlee's flight left Florida on November 21, 1963,

and stopped in New Orleans and Houston before heading into Dallas,

Texas, during the early morning hours of November 22nd, 1963.

Mr. Plumlee testified that Philippe or Philippo Socko, alias John Roselli, a known Mafia/CIA double operative was onboard the plane to Dallas. Mr. Plumlee stated that Mr. Roselli departed the plane at Dallas Garland Airport shortly after 6:30 a.m. on the morning of November 22nd, 1963. Mr. Plumlee testified that he was informed that the flight was an abort mission, and that their assignment was to stop the assassination attempt on Kennedy's life.

Mr. Plumlee testified that he was told this by his superior officers, and he has identified those officers. Mr. Plumlee testified that he was present in Dealey Plaza on the South Knoll at the time of

1 ~

the assassination.

1

2	In the spring of 1992, the late George West, the founder
3	of Truth Truth Truth received a call from Beaumont, Texas, FBI agent
4	Zack Shelton. Agent Shelton requested an off-the-record meeting
5	with Mr. West at a location between Houston and Beaumont. Agent
6	Shelton and private investigator West also had a second meeting at
7	which a noted Houston criminal attorney, Don Irving, was present.
8	During the course of these meetings, Agent Shelton, a
9	former member of the organized crime task force in Chicago,
10	provided Mr. West and attorney Irving with a lead on James E. Files,
11	alias James E. Sutton, a male Caucasian from Chicago currently
12	incarcerated in the Stateville Correctional Center in Joliet, Illinois.
13	Mr. Files is serving a 50-year term for the attempted
14	murder of two police officers. His FBI rap sheet indicates a life filled

1 ~

with violent crimes. Agent Shelton informed investigator West and attorney Irving that the FBI had previously gathered information from an informant that Mr. Files has knowledge of the events that occurred in Dealey Plaza on November 22nd, 1963.

Agent Shelton verified that Mr. Files was an associate and driver/bodyguard for one Charles Nicoletti, a known Mafia hitman from Chicago. Agent Shelton further stated that he had long suspected that Chicago mob members Sam Giancana, Charles Nicoletti and John Roselli were involved in the assassination of John F.

Kennedy, but that he had no hard evidence to support his beliefs.

On August the 16th and 17th, 1992, after an extensive search to locate the prisoner, investigator West spent several hours each day questioning James E. Files. During the August 17th, 1992, meeting, Mr. Files confessed that he was involved in the JFK

1	assassination. Mr. Files confessed that he was present in Dealey Plaza
2	and that Charles Nicoletti and John Roselli were also present in
-	Dealey Plaza.

Mr. Files further confessed that he had been recruited and
worked for the CIA in the early 1960s, later becoming a driver for
Charles Nicoletti of the Chicago Mafia.

Investigator West died from complications following open heart surgery in February of 1993 after filing a legal action to exhume the body of John F. Kennedy which was filed in the 160th Judicial District in Dallas in the fall of 1992.

On May 3rd, 1993, I visited James E. Files for the first

time. Present with me was Mr. Barry Adelman, Vice President in

charge of Television Development for Dick Clark Productions in

Burbank, California. During our hour visit, James E. Files confessed

1 ~

3

7

8

9

that he fired the fatal last shot into the right front temple of John	to the tright from tempte of contri
---	-------------------------------------

- Kennedy from a firing position located behind the wooden stockade
- fence on the infamous Grassy Knoll.

Mr. Files confessed that he fired a .222 shell at the

- President and that he left the empty casing on top of the fence. Mr.
- Files said that he had bitten down on the casing for that was a trait
- of his. He always placed the spent shells in his mouth after a job, as
- he called it. Files testified that his teeth marks were in the casing
- that he left behind in Dealey Plaza.
- Upon returning to Texas, we contacted a Mr. John
- Rodamacher, who I might point out is here today, of the Dallas area
- after we found news clippings about him in the files of Truth Truth
- Truth, Incorporated.
- In 1987, Mr. Rodamacher and his stepson were enjoying 14

	a fati	her	and	son	outing	when	they	discovered	а	.222	casing	buried	in
1					•		_				_		

Dealey Plaza approximately four to six feet in front of the wooden

stockade fence. The casing contained dents.

Dr. Paul Stimpson of the University of Texas at Houston, a noted forensic odontologist who was recommended to us by the

Houston Police Department examined the .222 casing in his lab. Dr.

Stimpson issued a written medical legal opinion which states that the dents in the casing are teeth marks.

We shared the confession of James E. Files with criminal attorney Don Irving, who agreed to visit Files in an effort to cross-examine Mr. Files to ascertain his validity. In June of 1993, Attorney Irving, a veteran of over 25 years of high profile criminal cases, spent almost seven hours with James E. Files at the Joliet Prison. Mr. Irving reported that he found no inconsistencies in Mr.

1 ~

9

10

11

12

13

Files confession.

2	Mr. Irving issued a 17-page memo on his
3	cross-examination of James E. Files and that memo has been included
4	in your information packet for your confidential review.

regotiated a deal with the FBI, the United States Department of

Justice, to provide Mr. Files with immunity in order that his

testimony could be heard by a special grand jury that was to be called

following a nonofficial visit with Mr. Files at which Attorney Irving

and the FBI were to be present.

On August 3rd, 1993, Attorney Irving informed us that he had received word that the United States Attorney in Chicago had reviewed and approved the immunity agreement for James E. Files.

Mr. Irving further stated that the government was to fly him and an

FBI agent to the prison for the nonofficial visit with Mr. Files.

On August 9th, 1993, two Chicago FBI agents made an unannounced visit to Mr. Files without the knowledge or consent of

Attorney Irving or the prison. Mr. Files informed Attorney Irving that he was asked to agree that Lee Harvey Oswald was the lone assassin of John F. Kennedy.

Shortly there after, Beaumont Agent Shelton was threatened with the loss of his FBI job and government pension. This fact was verified by both Attorney Irving and newly elected Texas District Judge Charles Carver of Beaumont, Texas. Agent Shelton has failed to respond to our numerous phone calls and the FBI has twice refused to allow me to interview Agent Shelton on camera.

Following the discovery of James E. Files, Truth Truth

Truth, Incorporated, and Dick Clark Productions entered into a

production agreement for a special two-hour television program.

Our program was sold to a major USA television network and was scheduled to be broadcast on May 18th, 1994. Approximately three weeks before the broadcast, a conservative conspiracy researcher author who has made a full career out of denying any CIA involvement in the JFK assassination and who blames the assassination fully on the KGB and Oswald vigorously challenged the project, and the program was placed on hold by the network.

It is the intent of Truth Truth, Incorporated, to provide the Assassination Records Review Board with a complete and accurate accounting of the entire investigation. The accounting will include all evidence we have gathered. We would like to call your special attention to the medical legal report issued by Dr. Randolph Robertson a noted radiologist from Nashville, Tennessee, it is included

in your packe	t in fu	ll with Dr	. Robertson's	permission
---------------	---------	------------	---------------	------------

2	Dr. Robertson was granted rare access to the autopsy
3	X-rays and photos of JFK which are in the National Archives in
4	Washington, D.C. During his examination of the skull pictures and
5	X-rays of the President, Dr. Robertson discovered a second bullet
6	wound in the skull. Dr. Robertson's medical legal opinion states that
7	at least one more assassin of President Kennedy remains to be
8	identified.

Dr. Robertson further states that the second wound in the skull is consistent with a shot fired from the right front Grassy Knoll area.

We ask that the Board review our evidence and Dr.

Robertson's report, investigate our findings and release your official opinion of our findings in your final report to the President of the

1	United States and the American public at the end of your term as is
2	designated in your mandate through which your Board was formed.
3	In closing, we ask that you consider recommending to the
4	President and to the American people that a special grand jury be
5	called to hear the testimony of Q.D. Urdy and Robert Tosh Plumlee
6	and most certainly James E. Files. This was the original plan as per
7	the understanding between Truth Truth, Incorporated,
8	Attorney Don Irving, and the United States Department of Justice
9	according to Attorney Irving.
10	I thank you, and I would be happy to answer any
11	questions that you might have.
12	CHAIRMAN TUNHEIM: Thank you, Mr. Vernon.
13	Questions?
14	[No response.]

1	CHAIRMAN TUNHEIM: We appreciate all the materials
2	that you have provided.
3	MR. VERNON: It is rather lengthy and there is quite a
4	bit more, and anything else you would like to see, have Mr. Marwell
5	contact me. There is also quite a bit of video that I think you would
6	be extremely interested in.
7	DR. HALL: Mr. Vernon, in the course of carrying out
8	production and investigation here have you come across or has your
9	attention been brought to any records created by any public officials
10	that are held in the hands of those officials that would otherwise be
11	considered to the public documents?
12	MR. VERNON: There are quite a few records that we
13	would be happy to give you, our copies. Some of ours are copies,
14	some of ours are originals. I was glad to see the gentleman from

1	the I believe it was Texas Tech earlier with the Waggoner Carr
2	stuff. We had access to some of that earlier Waggoner Carr stuff,
3	and that was very interesting. We would be glad to give you what
4	we have there, and there are lots of transcriptions and there are
5	several other documents. I don't know which you are aware of, but
	we will be alad to aive you them, sir.

DR. HALL: Thank you.

MR. VERNON: Thank you, sir.

CHAIRMAN TUNHEIM: Thank you, Mr. Vernon, we appreciate your being here today.

MR. MARWELL: Mr. Thomas Wilson, please.

MR. WILSON: First, I would like to thank the Board for allowing me to come here and make my presentation to you. I am a private citizen, an American citizen, and that is what dictated that I

be here today. I have a business which is consulting with image processing, with computer analysis. I am also qualified in Federal Court as an expert in the flow of material as related to entrance and exit wounds in a cadaver from images. I have worked on several cases involving a murder trial, civil suit, and so forth. My findings have resulted in the exhumation of a cadaver to prove that the data was real and verifiable. The cadaver was exhumed, and it was verifiable.

The thing that I would like to present to the Board today, and I do not mean to demean any agency, that is not my task, but this is the real world. I have worked for many large corporations, sometimes the left hand doesn't know what the right hand is doing, and I would just like to briefly go through my attempts to get articles from the Archives.

1 ~

In 1991, I visited the Archives and looked at some of the 1 I asked for a request for authenticity on several things, material. 2 and I will just go through a few articles here. On July 2nd, 1991, I 3 wrote to the National Archives and Records Administration. After 4 conferring with people there, and during my visit to the Archives in 5 June, I viewed two three-quarter inch beta films that were the 6 Zapruder, Nix and Muchmore films. During my viewing, I requested 7 an established authenticity of where these films came from, where 8 they were copied, who copied them, and the process in which they 9 were copied. 10

In July 1991, I received a letter from the Archives, and if
the Board desires I can have this copied and sent to you at some date:
In reply to your letter of July 2nd, we are unable to answer
completely all of the questions you posed for us concerning the

11

12

13

1	administrative history and handling of the originals and various
2	copies.
3	It goes on and it discusses the three-quarter inch copies:
4	This copy of the Zapruder film was received as part of the files of the
5	1978 House Assassination Committee. It is a 16 millimeter
6	enhanced color copy.
7	Now I have to tell you, I just hate the word "enhanced"
8	because enhanced means that somebody has changed something for
9	the human eye, and the human eye just is not good enough to present
10	evidence in a murder case. So here we have enhanced things being
11	used as evidence for the Warren Commission, for the House
12	Assassination Committee, and these people are trying to make an
13	honest determination based on a false image.
1.1	So they said in their other holdings they have the original

8 millimeter film held as a courtesy and so forth, and so on.

The final paragraph says: You must realize that while we can trace the providence and our continuous possession of these materials since they arrived in our custody, we cannot after these many years provide names, dates, types of equipment, or copying processes. Well, these are the images of the assassination of our President. This boggles my mind.

On May 8, 1992, I sent a request in. I have a request under the Freedom of Information Act. FBI photography expert Lyndal Shaneyfelt to examine the photograph Exhibits 133-A and 133-B. My request is for information on the photograph of a person, head removed from the photograph, holding the rifle and simulating the pose in Exhibit 133-A. I asked for a copy of the photograph, name of the person holding the rifle, the title of the

person taking the photograph, the type of camera, the film used, the

department that developed it. The exact location where the

photograph was taken with a reference to north, south, east and

west.

The reason that I asked this is I have analyzed the

so-called "Lee Harvey Oswald backyard photograph" and have been

able to establish the time of day that that photograph was taken

through various means, and there is a little -- getting that

information.

But the interesting part about it is that the FBI reenactment has several qualities within that reenactment that are also in the Lee Harvey Oswald backyard photograph, and this should definitely be explored because there are photographic image anomalies present in both. That was in May 8th, 1992.

1 ~

10

11

12

13

The National Archives wrote me back on May 26th.

They were very responsive. I thought, oh, boy, this is it. Here is

what they said: This is in response to your letter, a Freedom of

Information Act about the assassination, we can provide a

photographic print of the Commission Exhibit that you specified at a

cost of \$6.25. Very efficient, it got me exactly what I wanted.

This is the photograph that I am referring to. Now comes the Catch-22. I wrote to the Director of FBI on June 5th, 1992. I said: Gentlemen, I have a request under the Freedom of Information Act. I talked about Mr. Shaneyfelt's analyses. As far as I can tell, and I have his deposition, this is the one gentleman who did the best he could to analyze the information that he had and determine the shots and so forth, and the fake or not fake

photographs.

1 1992, I got a letter back. This is in reference to your request -- this

I asked the same questions of the FBI. On August 22nd,

is astounding to me, and I think the Board should certainly look into
this matter -- efforts were made by FOIA personnel who are familiar
with the JFK assassination documents and they have been unsuccessful
in locating the photograph, the one I just showed you. The FBI does

not have the personnel resources available to conduct the research

necessary to locate the photograph you described. The records we

currently have processed under the provisions of FOIA are 202,134

pages. If you would please enclose a check for \$20,203.40, we will

send this information to you.

Now honest researchers trying to get information, and I

have worked for some big companies, believe me, I can see what

happened. Well, I didn't have the \$20,000 or I think I would have

1 –

9

10

sent it just to see what happened.

Okay, so then I wrote to the Director of FBI on June 5th, 1992, and I asked them -- I told them where I found the Shaneyfelt exhibit. I told them they could have it in file so-and-so for \$6.52. I wasn't being facetious. I was trying to make a point that I am desperate for evidence. No reply.

Then in January 8th, 1993, I wrote a letter to Mr.

O'Brien, at the FBI -- Chief of the FOI Section, excuse me, and the purpose of the letter is to inquire into the status of my request that I just mentioned. So months have gone by. I would like to take this opportunity to again request your assistance on Item H since Mr.

Shaneyfelt did the analysis on the Oswald backyard photograph and the rifle, your Department must have a file under his name. I am only interested in the FBI files containing his analyses, techniques,

data and testimony on the photograph and the rifle.

I got a letter back saying that there are 84 pages of documents they will send me at no charge because someone else had asked this first and they had it. So I get the impression that the only reason I got 84 pages is because I am number two. If were number one, I would not have gotten this. And this was free of charge, including transportation.

So I am starting to wonder, I realize our government is trying to help, but this is getting to be a little bit ridiculous. They also sent an explanation of the exemptions, and there are many exemptions. One of the exemptions is listed, in the interest of national defense, and would disclose techniques and procedures for law enforcement investigations or prosecutions or would disclose guidelines for law enforcement investigations.

1	In August of 1993 I got another letter saying that they
2	are sending me the 16 photographs, but I never really got the data
3	That brings me up-to-date with why I am really here.

First off, I feel that it is absolutely necessary for me to see the analyses by the FBI of the photographs that they have in question on this assassination. I feel that it is absolutely necessary for me to go into the Archives and look at the autopsy photos. I have a request in to Mr. Burke Marshall for eight months, and I don't want to embarrass Mr. Burke Marshall but he got back to me recently and he right now is looking into the possibility of letting me go into the Archives to look at the autopsy photographs.

If the information contained in the FBI analyses is security-wise, then I would ask for a security clearance as a United States citizen to look at this material, because what has happened is,

1	for the	first 1	five	years	of	looking	into	this	situation,	and	Iw	as	drawn
1				5		5			-				

into this completely by accident -- I am not a research buff, I am an

engineer. I work with the facts, I don't have a theory. Since the

25th anniversary I have found out several things.

4

10

11

12

13

14

For instance, Mr. Mack was talking about the Mary

Moorman photo. I can verify absolutely with hard scientific data

that there is a shooter up there on the Knoll, no question about it.

Mr. Mack and Mr. White are the fathers of that finding and I will

verify that.

But in the last years, when I tried to bring this to the public's attention, I decided, you know, you can go and you can prove that Mr. Oswald did this, he didn't do this, all these theories, I am going to concentrate on one thing, the head wound. That is all I am going to talk about, and I want to tell you what I have and what I

would like to do about giving this evidence up.

1

12

13

14

I have chain of evidence photographs that were held by 2 private citizens since their inception. They have been signed and 3 Everyone that has touched these photographs is a part of the 4 chain of evidence. This chain of evidence brings out three things that 5 I am going to bring to the State of Texas because Mr. Kennedy, our 6 President, was murdered in Texas. Lee Harvey Oswald was here in 7 Harvey Oswald was arraigned for the murder of the Texas. 8 President. As I understand, now I have never seen an official 9 document, but I have certainly read a lot, he was arraigned for 10 murder in Texas. 11

Now I am going to bring hard scientific proof, chain of evidence photographs, data of everything I have done, all of the protocol that I have used which can be reproduced by any agency of

1	the governm	nt anywhere, and I am going to bring that in the nex	ζt
2	few months.	It is going to prove three things positively.	

Number one, Lee Harvey Oswald did not fire the shot that

hit President Kennedy in the head. If the shot that hit President

Kennedy in the head is the fatal shot, then there is a still a murderer

on the loose.

I am going to prove the direction that the missile came into his head, and the damage that was done within the head from these images as chain of evidence, and I am going to prove what happened to the missile when it struck President in the right front forehead.

Now, there are three things that I would please request the Board to do. Number one, these documents are in various places, so if something happens to Tom Wilson I want to assure you

that this will go forward, and I am not joking.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

Number two, I want to let you know that when this evidence is brought forth in Dallas, and there are some people that are going to make the arrangements for me, I would offer the Board, any government agency, to participate in this, and I would particularly like you to take my message back to the Senators from my State, Senator Specter, Senator Walford and Rick Santorm who is going to be the next Senator. I can't speak for Marina Porter, Marina Oswald Porter, but I want to tell you that this woman had the right to know did her husband or did her husband not fire the fatal shot. I don't know anything else about Mr. Oswald, so I am going to request that she get in touch with her Senator from Texas, and when this evidence is submitted it will all be done in a public forum.

1	If there is anything I can help you, the Board Members, or
2	anything between now and when this is submitted, I will be very
3	happy to do so, but I have the proof, I have it documented, it can be
4	verified, and it is not a theory.
5	CHAIRMAN TUNHEIM: Thank you, Mr. Wilson.
6	Questions?
7	DR. HALL: Yes, I have a question. What is the status of
8	your FOIA request now?
9	MR. WILSON: As of right now, I have not gotten
10	anything from the FBI about seeing Mr. Shaneyfelt's files. I even
11	telephoned down there. They were very cooperative, don't
12	misunderstand me, but I said, is Mr. Shaneyfelt still alive, because you
13	know we are all getting gray hair, we are going over the hill here, but
14	I said I can even have an interview with him. I really want to

1	see I have to say to you that after 30 years of working with this,
2	working on everything in the industrial to tremendous forensic work,
3	the things that I see in his analysis, I don't follow him, but that was
4	30 years ago, and it is wrong, it is flawed, and they will not let me
5	have access to that file. I have it on appeal.
6	DR. HALL: What I think would be very helpful to us is if
7	you could provide us a list of the FOIA requests you have made and
8	the status of those requests as you understand them at the moment,
9	including, of course, to whom they were directed.
10	MR. WILSON: Okay. Should I send it to the same
11	address that I sent my initial letter?
12	DR. HALL: Dr. Marwell will do the job for you.
13	MR. WILSON: I will do that when I get back home

shortly.

1	DR. NELSON: I would like to add, Mr. Wilson, that our
2	statute does not have the same exemptions as Freedom of Information
3	Act. It has more exemptions than our statute does. You might
4	want to compare the two of them when you start looking for
5	exemptions, or postponement in this case.
6	MR. WILSON: How do I get a copy of this?
7	DR. NELSON: It should be in any library that has
8	government documents. Mr. Marwell can provide you with that.
9	MR. WILSON: If you would send it to me, I would
10	appreciate it, yes.
11	DR. NELSON: That is a difference in what will be
12	postponed. There is a difference between being exempt, being totally
13	exempted and postponed also. Under our statute we postpone.
14	MR. WILSON: I realize that your task here also was to

1	locate these images, okay, and rightfully so, but you understand these
2	images cannot be given up until they have been presented as a chain
3	of evidence in a murder trial, but believe me they are all documented
4	and verifiable.
5	CHAIRMAN TUNHEIM: We will look forward to that.
6	MR. MARWELL: These images that you described, have
7	they been seen by anyone else?
8	MR. WILSON: They have been seen by the person that
9	owns them, and they have been by Dr. Sillwyck.
10	MR. MARWELL: But they are previously unknown to the
11	general public?
12	MR. WILSON: Let's say this, they are all known. All
13	these photographs are nothing that hasn't been available through

whatever, but these are chain of evidence photographs.

1 enhanced for the Assassination Committee, I have a copy of the 2 frontal X-ray and I can see the terminology down there, and 3 immediately I know how this X-ray was -- I will use the word 4 Believe me, you don't ever want to use enhanced in this "enhanced." 5 type of thing. I can see where they have done -- and I am not 6 bringing in the technical jargon -- but they have done things to 7 average data and when you average data you don't have the right 8 So I would like to see the 1978 House Committee, how are thing. 9 they going to analyze it? I understand they hired private firms. If 10

See in the House Committee, when they had the X-rays

sure I am up to the government's status here as far as technology,

maybe a little bit ahead. I just came from Comdex where Norgate

has talked about some things in the future that I have done in the

this is really -- I can't believe that what I am doing now, and I am

1 ~

past couple of years.

But if I could get to see how the House Committee

analyzed those X-rays, if it is detrimental to our country, I would go

for a secret clearance, and I would not divulge it, but I have to see it.

I cannot rest until I see this.

MR. MARWELL: Could you just give us an idea of what you mean by chain of evidence?

MR. WILSON: Yes. In any trial, if you have a piece of evidence, let's say I got shot, and this is my coat and I have a hole in it. Well, if somebody takes this coat, they put it in a bag and they sign, I received this coat, so forth and so on, and date it and sign it.

Now forensics wants to look at this hole and see where the hole came in or out, so they take this coat and they give it to John Smith.

John Smith signs it and dates it, so that everywhere that here this

1	piece of evidence has been, it knows exactly who had it and when
2	they had it and where they had it.
	These photographs have never left the chain of eviden

inese photographs have never left the chain of evidence, 3 and I must say that these photographs have been shown throughout 4 the world for 30 years, everybody has looked at them, and they 5 never saw what is in them. Our eyes just aren't good enough.

CHAIRMAN TUNHEIM: Thank you, Mr. Wilson.

MR. MARWELL: The next witness will be Mr. Wallace Milam.

CHAIRMAN TUNHEIM: Let me just add, some members of the audience have had trouble hearing some of the witnesses. I would ask the witnesses to speak directly into the microphone, and if others wish to have conversations during our hearing perhaps they could go out into the hallway.

14

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

Thai	nk	you
------	----	-----

2	Go	ahead,	Mr.	Milam.
/				

MR. MILAM: Thank you, Mr. Tunheim and members of
the Review Board. I appreciate the opportunity to appear here. My
name is Wallace Milam. I am an American History teacher in

Dyersburg, Tennessee. I have been a Kennedy assassination

researcher for the past 25 years, particularly interested in the
medical evidence.

I want to call your attention to some documents in the medical area which may have fallen or are in danger of falling through the cracks, you might say. I think none of these are particularly esoteric, and they should fall within the ability of this committee to recover.

First is a referred House Assassinations Committee

document -- yes, let me add also that we became aware of the

inconsistencies or absences of the complete record here with the

recent releases from the National Archives. A six-page letter from

Dr. Pierre Finck to House Select Committee counsel Andy Purdy.

This six-page document dated the 26th of February 1978 shows that

this document was withheld by authority of the CIA. This document,

according to its indexing, contains references to such topics as William

Harvey, to organized crime, to anti-Castro activities and to Jack

Ruby.

8

10

11

12

13

As a person interested in the medical evidence I was particularly interested and struck by the fact that this was a reference made by one of Kennedy's pathologists, and I wondered what it was that Dr. Finck had to say in a letter to Committee

counsel before he came to Washington to testify about such

1 ~

nonmedical matters, and these pages have been withheld, and I would request that they not be withheld. I would request that they not be 2 withheld, that they be removed, their status as referred should certainly be removed.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

I had occasion to meet Andy Purdy last month in Washington, and I called his attention to this. His statement was that he was not aware that these were referred, but he was not able to tell us what the content was at that time.

The second item also involves Dr. Finck. Incidently, I brought, I am afraid, a single copy of each of these which I will give the Committee including their background. There is an incomplete House Select Committee on Assassinations document relating to Dr. Finck's second appearance before the medical panel. On March 11, 1978, Dr. Finck testified along with Dr. James Ebersole, gave his

	testimony	to	what	has	been	called	the	House	Assassina	tions
1	J									

1 ~

- Committee's pathology panel. It was quite a stormy session. We have a transcript of that.
- Then in a remarkable occurrence, Dr. Finck asked to

 appear again the next day, even though it was a Sunday, and in his

 reinterview, Dr. Finck was again at odds with panel members about

 the location of a key wound.
- On page 12 of this second appearance in mid-sentence

 there is a large question mark and the remainder of the transcript is

 missing. There is a note on the coversheet which simply states that

 "ends abruptly, final page missing" and notes the box in the archives

 from which this document was apparently taken.
- We know that this was transcribed because we have a

 verbatim transcript of these early pages. Now there is some evidence

that this hearing, this requested hearing by Dr. Finck went on for nearly two hours, and I believe that we have a total of 12 pages.

There must have been a great deal more. Certainly when this was transcribed, those who transcribed it were aware of the fact that there was an inconsistency here, that it ended abruptly. I think this should certainly be looked into.

The third item that I would call your attention to is another document regarding a taped interview with Mr. Thomas Robinson of Gawler's Funeral Home. Mr. Robinson particularly did the reconstructive work on the head of President Kennedy after the autopsy was completed. This also was taped. We have a transcript. There has been, as I am sure some of you are aware of, many people in this room are aware of, there has been a great deal of controversy about the method and the manner by which President Kennedy's

body moved after he was shot.

doesn't end there, there is more.

1

2	At one point in the transcript, Mr. Purdy asked Mr.
3	Robinson if there is anything he would like to add to the record, or
4	actually his quote was that he had heard or read some things which
5	struck you as incorrect, what would those things be?
6	The record taken from the tape is as follows: The time
7	the people moved (autopsy) the body was taken deleted
8	deleted the body never came, lots of little things like that.
9	The next question by Mr. Purdy says essentially, thank you
10	and we will move on to another area.
11	Now, first of all, I don't know how people speak in
12	parentheses. The word "autopsy" is in parentheses, obviously inserted
13	by someone else. That tape should exist also, and obviously the tape

1 ~

We made a request during an effort this summer to

acquire the tapes, which we were promised would be available. We

have not been able to acquire that tape.

Another item also involves a tape, and in this case it involves Mr. Samuel Bird. Mr. Bird was the head of the casket team which managed and was in charge of carrying the casket and ceremonial details during that weekend. Mr. Bird is known to have recorded a tape soon after. We have that not only from the House Assassinations Committee verified that fact, but were also told by a Mr. Richard Lipsey who told the House Assassinations Committee that he was aware of Samuel Bird's taped recording.

An effort was made in 1978, and I will submit the documentation, Mr. Bird was contacted in Wichita, Kansas, and a notation was made from the outside contact report, "Bird said that

he would review the tape over the weekend and then I could call him

on Tuesday, February 21, 1978, and see what the tape contained."

There is evidence that the tape was acquired.

It is a contemporaneous document. Bird recorded this within a week of having performed these activities as part of the weekend. I would urge the committee to acquire that tape.

Finally, something which I did not note. I have noted in writing to Mr. Tunheim and, I believe, members of the committee before, we in the research community were somewhat surprised a few years ago, just a short time ago, in the publication of historian Michael Beschlasch's book, The Crises Years, in that he references the fact that he interviewed Richard Helms of the CIA, and Helms told him that President Johnson was not satisfied with the Warren Report or wanted further investigation done, and that late in 1964 he asked

the CIA to conduct its in-house investigation. That among the things which were done was the acquisition of a copy of the Zapruder film and the autopsy materials, which were then analyzed.

Now there is some evidence that the CIA did acquire a copy of the Zapruder film. Researchers are aware of the fact that the FBI wrote to the Warren Commission saying that CIA had asked to acquire a copy of the film "for training purposes," and it occurred to many of us that this may have been the ruse by which the CIA obtained a copy to conduct its in-house investigation.

On another aspect of that, if, indeed, the CIA acquired the autopsy materials in late 1964, it would mean that the CIA had access to the autopsy materials fully two years before any other government agency examined them officially, before the official study was made by the autopsy — those who participated in the autopsy,

1	and the autopsy pathologist, and it should also mean that there	
2	should be a mountain of generated materials relating to this	
3	investigation somewhere.	
4	Mr. Helms can probably speak to this. Mr. Beschlasch is a	
5	respected historian. I believe that this is something the committee	
6	should look into. As I said, none of these are, I think, particularly	
7	esoteric requests, and I think they are needed particularly in the area	
8	that I am interested in, in the area of the medical records.	
9	I thank the Board for its attention.	
10	CHAIRMAN TUNHEIM: Thank you, Mr. Milam.	
11	Any questions?	
12	MR. JOYCE: Yes.	
13	Mr. Milam, have you made an effort to recover these	
14	documents that you referred to through the Freedom of Information	

	Act?
1	

11

12

13

14

2	MR. MILAM: Yes. We have had our we have a
3	researcher in Washington that we rely upon, Ms. Koontz-Wacco has
4	assured me that she has tried on several occasions especially to locate
5	the tape recordings.

MR. JOYCE: Have those requests been responded to, or what is their status now?

MR. MILAM: The tape recordings, yes. The tape recordings have not been found as of this summer.

DR. HALL: What is the evidence that you cite under Number 4, you say there is further evidence that the House Select Committee on Assassinations did obtain the tape, what is the nature of the evidence that establishes that connection?

MR. MILAM: Ms. Koontz-Wacco was told, as I recall,

1	that the tape did exist and that it had come to Washington, but that	
2	they had not found it at this point. In fact, my recollection is that	
3	she was also told that they though they would, I think those are her	
4	quotes.	
5	DR. HALL: And you, of course, would be willing to share	
6	that information with Mr. Marwell or the Executive Director for the	
7	purposes of being able to pinpoint.	
8	MR. MILAM: Absolutely.	
9	DR. HALL: Thank you.	
10	CHAIRMAN TUNHEIM: Any further questions?	
11	[No response.]	
12	CHAIRMAN TUNHEIM: Thank you, Mr. Milam. We	
13	appreciate your assistance.	
14	MR. MARWELL: Beverly Oliver Massegee.	

CHAIRMAN TUNHEIM: Welcome, Ms. Massegee.

MS. MASSEGEE: Thank you. First of all, I just wanted to thank you for the privilege of appearing before you. I just want to say thank you for the privilege of being here to appear before you. I know I am out-classed and out-numbered, and Mr. Marwell I apologize for my secretary's misspelling of your name when I sent you the letter.

I don't have the documents before me. I am not a researcher. I was a 17-year-old girl that was at Dealey Plaza that day taking pictures of the President when he was assassinated. I never wanted to become a public figure over this. I never intended to. Until my name was accidentally leaked to the press in 1972, I was not a public figure. It has caused me great grief. It has caused me a lot of concern in my life.

1	I have been called a liar as recently as today. I have been
2	called a hoax. I am neither a liar nor am I a hoax. I am who I say I
3	am. I was down there that day standing between 20 and 30 feet
4	from the President when he was shot. I was taking a movie film
5	which on the 25th of November was confiscated by a man who
6	identified himself as an FBI agent.

I have never until recently started trying to inquire about my film because I am extremely patriotic, did not see that there was any reason to because I had assumed all these years that it was locked up until the year 2029 as evidence, and I am still not sure that there is anything sinister about it, and that is why I am here. I would just like an explanation as to what happened to my film and where it is, and that is the only reason that I am here.

CHAIRMAN TUNHEIM: Questions?

1	DR. HALL: Could you just briefly indicate to us what
2	measures or steps you have taken to secure your film?

MS. MASSEGEE: Well, I have not taken any other than questioning people, but there have been people like a Mr. Woods, and Gary Shaw, and different people who have made inquiries about my film in the past. Like I said, I have never until recently felt any need to until I began to be called a liar and a hoax and decided that I needed to stand up for myself and my own rights and, therefore, that is why I am here.

There have been documents making reference to my film that Ms. Wacco has sent me in the recent past. One of the document that I remember that Gary Shaw and Mr. Woods wanted requested it said that it is not in their possession at this time.

Another one was the film that was taken by Ms. Oliver has not been

1	retained by this office.	So there is multiple reference to my film
2	and I would just like to	know where they are.

I am not here to cause trouble. I am not here to

embarrass anybody. I just want to know, and I think I have a right

to that.

MR. JOYCE: Do you have any documentary evidence
that this film was taken by the FBI?

MS. MASSEGEE: No, because I was only 17 years old and I wasn't smart enough to ask for a receipt. This is a man representing my government. If he had asked me for my soul, I would have tried to give it to him. Also, there are ulterior motives, and I would go ahead and tell you that before someone else does.

Laying next to the camera in my makeup kit was a Prince Albert can of marijuana, and I would have done anything to keep him from

1 ~

1	looking in my makeup kit. But also let me share this with you, I no
2	longer use marijuana or anything else. I am a born again Christian
3	and I am married to a preacher and have been for 23 years.
4	DR. GRAFF: Might I ask you if you would withdraw the
5	word, out-classed?
6	MS. MASSEGEE: Thank you.
7	DR. GRAFF: Ms. Oliver, were these still photographs?
8	MS. MASSEGEE: No, they were a movie camera.
9	DR. GRAFF: It was a movie camera?
10	MS. MASSEGEE: Yes. It was an 8 millimeter.
L1	DR. GRAFF: Eight millimeter movie camera.
12	MS. MASSEGEE: Yes, I have been accused of saying it
13	was a Super 8, but I don't recall that, and to the House Select
14	Committee investigator Jack Moriarty I, in 1977, March the 12th, I

told him it was a movie camera, not a Super 8 movie camera. I just recently got my typed deposition. I was glad to see that.

2 MR. MARWELL: Had you gotten the film developed? 3 MS. MASSEGEE: No, I had not. It was an experimental 4 camera that a friend of mine named Lawrence Taylor Roscoe, Jr., 5 had given me, and I had to send the film -- and I don't recall why, 6 but I had to send it to Rochester, it was a magazine, and that may be 7 You know, you didn't roll it on, it was a magazine, and I just why. 8 had not done it. I found some film, and this is what I brought with 9 me because people are often curious about why I didn't do it, I have 10 film that I have no earthly idea how old they are or how they are 11 ever going to develop, and it is a movie film, and I brought it. 12 going to get somebody to look at it, and see what I can do to get it 13 developed, because it is old it probably won't be able to developed.

is	just	а	flaw	in	my	character
•	,				_	

1

But I would like to make one statement to you, and to 2 anybody else who is interested, when all the pictures or all the pieces 3 of this puzzle is put together, and I have faith enough in my 4 government and in my country to believe that eventually it will be all 5 out, all of it will be given to the researchers and the research 6 community unredacted, unedited, undamaged in any manner, and 7 whenever this is all put together and we really have the honest 8 picture of what happened that day, no one more than Beverly Oliver 9 hopes I have to stand up to America and apologize. 10 Thank you. 11

CHAIRMAN TUNHEIM: Thank you very much.

MR. MARWELL: Mr. Steve Osborn.

CHAIRMAN TUNHEIM: Good afternoon, Mr. Osborn.

1 ~

12

13

MR. OSBOR	N. C	and at	termoon
MIN, 03001	.IV. U	iooa ai	LEYNOUN.

2	1, too, appreciate the opportunity to address the Board
3	today and appreciate the time you are spending going to the public
4	for information. One of the theories of the assassination revolves
5	around the possibility that there may have been some involvement by
6	persons with experience in the U.S. intelligence community.
7	In my study and research of the assassination I have
8	discovered and investigated supporting information of the presence o

discovered and investigated supporting information of the presence of an intelligence unit of the U.S. Army having been present and on assignment in Dealey Plaza just before, during and immediately after the assassination. To the best of my knowledge, information on this unit has not been released publicly.

In 1992, as the fury of the public resulted in the proposed legislation which created your Board, I came across an individual who

	claimed	to	have	been	veru	near	Dealeu	Plaza	durina	the	assassinat	ion
1					5		5		5			

Now, as a researcher, you can understand that this immediately caught my attention, and I began to question this gentleman about the experience.

Now before I tell you the entire story, I want you to know that I had a difficult time believing his story the more and more I thought about it. Even though I had personal experience with some of the devices and the techniques that this group used, I was still very skeptical, as you may be also. But with further investigation I convinced myself that it technically could have been accomplished, and I think you will be similarly so impressed about the possibility when we are finished.

The gentleman I spoke with proceeded to tell me he was in the Army Station in Fort Hood, in Clean, Texas. On the day of

the assassination his group, a communications group, was assigned the task of observing and videotaping the presidential motorcade as it moved through the Plaza. This unit had no similar assignment in any other Texas city during the President's visit, and they were only to tape that portion of the motorcade as it proceeded through Dealey

Now if this event actually occurred, if it actually

happened, it makes their activity highly suspicious and adds new

questions to the assassination, particularly with reference to the

possible foreknowledge of the assassination of intelligence personnel.

In my conversations with this gentleman, I asked questions of a technical nature trying to discovery how their assignment was accomplished. After discovering that the camera signals were transported by wireless means back to the control studio, which was

1 ~

Plaza.

actually a semi-tractor-trailer, I found myself doubting that this type
of equipment was available in 1963.

I knew that ham radio operators have been sending television signals easily for a number of years, and I had also participated in that hobby. I also knew that videotaping was still in its infant years in 1963. I started to research available equipment to see if this story had any possibility of being true.

I have another handout that I would like to give you.

Now that we know that equipment existed in 1963, and I can tell

you a little bit about the equipment, if you would like, in the question

and answer, I can relate his entire story, the following information

was obtained over approximately three separate conversations with

this individual. I had extracted a verbal consent to get his story on

videotape, like any good researcher would, but when the time came

for doing so, his attitude on the matter had completely reversed and I

am only left to day with the recollection, you know, the notes that I

had taken from the conversation and the subsequent information by

my independent investigation.

This military communications group had several cameras stationed around the Plaza. The signals from the cameras were sent back to a semi-tractor-trailer acting as a mobile studio parked a short distance from the Plaza. Each camera had a preview monitor and videotape machine associated with it inside the trailer recording the view of each camera. There was no sound recorded in this assignment.

Each videotape position had a single person responsible for its proper operation. Each position these men occupied was shielded from the others so that they could only see the preview for their

1 ~

individual camera. Each man saw the assassination occur from a different perspective of their monitors.

About 15 minutes after the assassination, a group of men appeared who identified themselves as FBI agents. These agents seized all the equipment used to videotape the motorcade. Each man was put on a bus which had been summoned to the scene and they were all driven back to their base. Upon their arrival, they were simply told to forget it.

Finding that there was equipment available in 1963 that would do this made it easier for me to accept the story I have just related to you. Several things have made me believe that this group was an intelligence unit.

First, the gentleman would not give me the name of his unit.

1	Secondly, this individual advised me that his 201 file was
2	inaccessible.
	Thirdly he offered his arising as partaining to the reason

Thirdly, he offered his opinion as pertaining to the reason his group was sent there, which would probably have been in line with the responsibility of an intelligence unit.

Fourth, having reflected on his story and what I have what I have additionally discovered, I am impressed that he realizes that he probably said more things to me than he should have revealed. At one point, he mentioned to me that he was allowed by a letter from the military to discuss some things in relation to his duties on the day of the assassination, but I believe he probably went further than he was allowed.

All these things collectively make me believe that this unit in Dealey Plaza was an intelligence unit. Still, one important step in

1 ~

my investigation was to find some additional evidence that the event occurred. You should know that there is some possible photographic evidence of this communication group being in Dealey Plaza that day, and I would be happy to provide you with further information on that if time allows at the end of my presentation.

Some requested things I would like to see the Board do, obviously what was recorded on this videotapes would be of invaluable aid to a serious study of the assassination, as well as cast more suspicion on the intelligence community. An attempt should be made by the Board to locate the tapes and request that an other government agency attempt to get the exact electrical format determined and a video machine constructed to bring their images to view. Duplication to modern day formats would then make the tapes available publicly.

1	So far as locating the videotapes are concerned, the Dallas
2	Field Office of the FBI and the Bureau Headquarters may have
3	information or be in possession of the tapes. If there remains an
4	estate of the late J. Edgar Hoover, they may have some information
5	or be in possession of the tapes themselves.
6	If the men who seized the tapes were not real FBI agents,
7	then CIA, military intelligence and other splinter groups of the
8	intelligence community should be checked. Also, I would inquire of
9	Mr. E. Howard Hunt, if he is still alive, as to his knowledge of the
10	tapes and their subsequent disposition. There exists a possibility that
11	he may even have them in his possession.
12	Regarding locations where you might find documents
13	supporting this activity, I would suggest beginning with the records at
14	Fort Hood. I would not be familiar with other depositories of

documents, and you will probably have to use some of your existing source to hopefully lead you in the correct directions.

There seems to be a problem of gag orders that I would

like to address also, and the fact that this individual I had interviewed had received notification that he did not have to continue to keep certain things confidential is further indication that there continue to be individuals who continue are under an obligation of confidentiality. I believe this brings up an interesting problem for the There appear to have been several instances of this Board. happening to individuals required by military order or other Executive Branch order not to discuss any details of what they know of the assassination or its subsequent investigations, perhaps even the Board

members itself have been required to sign promises of confidentiality.

Since these individuals do not have the permission of

1 ~

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

disclosure, many have not written of their experiences or granted interviews to members of the media or the research community to record their recollection. This will give history an incomplete record of this tragic event as well as making this information unavailable to the Board for review and release.

Therefore, I believe and propose that the Board consider asking the President of the United States as Commander and Chief to rescind any and all standing orders issued from any Department or part of the U.S. Government requiring the confidentiality of the information retained by these individuals, whether that knowledge is in written or memory form. If our government is really serious about full disclosure of all facts surrounding the assassination, he will rescind these orders, prevent them from being renewed and allow a complete compilation of personal records and recollections. This will

allow the Review Board to further fulfill and properly perform its congressionally mandated task.

Additionally, as distasteful and wild as the thought is that the American intelligence community could be involved in such an event, I hope the Board will keep an open mind as you sift through the records. Your work may be the last official attempt to bring to the light of day this dark deed, so it is vital for you to question everything you find.

Remember, if any intelligence personnel were involved, it is their profession and they are very adept at covering up any evidence of their involvement in any activity. I mean, would we as citizens expect anything else of them. In any operation that U.S. intelligence personnel are involved in, we the citizens would expect them to be able to complete their missions with expert precision. We

would expect that they would be able to cover up their involvement as an agency and our involvement as a nation if the nature of the task so dictated. We would expect them to have thought of every possible snag in an operation and work to make their mission completely successful.

I have spoken with individuals involved in intelligence work or who have known persons who were, and they have indicated that the intelligence community could basically do anything they wanted, and we have had some recent indications of that, of this, in the form of millions of dollars spent on building projects unknown to Congress.

Be this right or wrong, we as citizens should have a great amount of respect for and suspicion of the power that these individuals and agencies wield in our world. Please keep this in mind as you ponder the information brought to your attention in whatever

1 ~

form it is presented.

1

13

14

Finally, I would like to make a comment in relation to the 2 Board's mandate. One of the problems that certain individuals in 3 our government have had with the idea of releasing all the 4 assassination records is that to do so may compromise methods 5 employed by the various intelligence agencies in their covert activities. 6 At first glance, we may take this to mean that it may make it 7 difficult for them to use these techniques in the future if they are 8 made known to the general public, but I would encourage the Board 9 to consider that it may be that many of these covert methods were 10 used to carry out the assassination of President Kennedy, whether by 11 Americans or some other government. 12 I have found considerable circumstantial evidence of more

than a few intelligence techniques used in the assassination that may

1	not be generally known. But if this assassination was accomplished
2	by Americans from the intelligence community, they have not only
3	betrayed the citizenry of this country by taking from them their
4	President, but they have betrayed their agencies and the U.S. public
5	by making it necessary to uncover and publicly expose their methods
6	in order to bring satisfaction to the American people in this matter.
7	This betrayal of their agencies alone makes them no better than Mr.
8	Ames of recent history.
9	I again thank you for your time.
10	CHAIRMAN TUNHEIM: Thank you very much.
11	Questions?
12	Go ahead, Dr. Joyce.
13	MR. JOYCE: Mr. Osborn, in your testimony you
14	indicated that information you had gathered from a subsequent

1	independent investigation helped you informing your conclusion that
2	there was an intelligence unit in Dealey Plaza. Is there any
3	documentary information that you have been able to acquire as part

of your subsequent investigation?

MR. OSBORN: I have not made any attempts at that because I believe the story so thoroughly. I felt that if I were to make any attempts to confirm any of this or search for the tapes that those things might be destroyed, because these tapes — if you would like to discuss the photographic evidence, there is one that would probably show a shooter behind the stockade fence, so I did not want these materials because of something that I did to end up disappearing. However, your mandate and your sources are much better than anything that I could ever do.

DR. HALL: Mr. Chairman, with that in mind, I would

1 –

like to pose to you a set of questions, if I may, and you can cut me off

if I get too long-winded here, as I am sure you will. Who are you?

MR. OSBORN: I am a citizen of the United States. I

have not had any type of military experience, so I probably approach

this a little bit differently as a citizen that would like to know what

my government has been up to or persons within my government

have been up to, why I can't know, why it has been hushed up so

much.

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

individual.

I have been researching for approximately the last 15 years, not quite, and have mostly focused my investigation on identifying the man who fired the fatal head shot. These other things have just come about because of various digging and this is one of the things that I hope to use to be able to further identify that

1 ~

DR. HALL: I am wondering, Mr. Chairman, for the record, we could ask Mr. Osborn to provide us with a biographical statement, if that would be acceptable to you.

Can you provide us with the name of the individual with whom you spoke?

MR. OSBORN: Because I feel that he may have violated military orders, I believe that he thought that he had originally been saying things he could, and then the way that he froze up -- in fact, I have had several individuals that have done that, I feel that he may be guilty of some sort of violation. If the President were to rescind all those orders, I would be happy to provide his name. At this point, because of the way that he did not really want to be involved any more in the discussions, I feel incumbent upon myself for his personal privacy not to reveal that.

1	DR. HALL: Did he ever provide to you any written
2	information or did you take any notes arising out of your
3	conversations with this individual?
4	MR. OSBORN: The only thing that I really did was, from
5	the conversations that we had, I prepared a list of questions, because I
6	do have a technical background, I have been in electronics since I was
7	14, ham radio, and television, and most recently personal
8	communications, and so I was very interested in the technical aspects
9	of this because I doubted in my senses that this could be done in
10	1963.
11	If you would look at the cameras, this is a fully
12	transistorized camera. It comes with a backpack transmitter so that
13	you don't have to have a cable going back to a videotape recorder,
14	and this device was available in 1962 by a company that regularly

supplied the military with all kinds of camera equipment and, as I

also indicated, there is some photographic evidence that exists that

may possibly show one of these individuals. If you would like a

summation of that, I am prepared to do that.

DR. HALL: If you will document it, that would be helpful.

You also indicate on page 5 that he had mentioned to you that he was allowed by a letter from the military to discuss some things in relation to his duties on the day of the assassination. Now it would obviously be in the interest of the Board, since you purport that a connection exists between this individual, the military establishment, and the assassination, to be able to know the name of that individual so that it would be possible to try to secure whatever copy of a letter may have existed that would have been written to

him by the military.

MR. OSBORN: I will -- what I will do, sir, is, I will

probably seek some counsel on that to ensure that I, myself, do not

get into a situation here that may make me liable for something, and

I will be glad to consider that for you.

I was going to, let me go on record saying, I was going to ask that individual for that document in the videotaped session, but because he cut everything off, I was not able to actually view that document. So I had to just go from my recollection as I made my notes as to what the individual had.

DR. HALL: One final question for you, if I may, Mr.

Osborn, would your view be that this Commission or this Board,

rather, excuse me, should undertake to disclose the names, identities

of both living as well as deceased informants, agents, and intelligence

operatives of the United States Government?

MR. OSBORN: That certainly is a gray area, and the problem with dealing — if we are dealing with the intelligence community here in this time, they certainly have at their disposal, shall we say, executive privilege, and the rules are a little bit different when dealing with these type of people because they can claim national security.

I think national security a lot of times can mean more than one thing. It can mean security of our nation from its people being held in arms over something that the government or people within the government have done, so I think they really use the term national security quite widely, and I would fully expect that if there were individuals from the intelligence community involved that they would do everything in their power, like I had mentioned before, they

are very adept at covering up. So that is a gray area because we

don't know if these persons were really involved, and they may be

saying that these are operatives that we can't afford to let their

names go. So we have to -- it is going to have to be analyzed.

am kind of glad it is you.

I used to think that it would be nice to have been a

member of this Board, after hearing what is going on today, I think I

DR. HALL: Would it be fair to say that any effort to pursue the line of inquiry that you have set out would turn directly on an evidentiary and documentary basis on being able to know the name of the individual, and inasmuch as you have indicated that that person is known to you by name, there is some responsibility here, I would think, to be able to assist the Board in this matter in a significant way.

1 ~

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

1	DR. GRAFF: I would like to ask this question with respect
2	to the letter that this young man received saying what he could say
3	about his activities. Was this a cover that he was being given, was
4	this a story that was being laid upon him so that he would have an

answer when people asked what are you doing?

MR. OSBORN: I don't believe so. I believe this had been received by him a number of years later.

DR. GRAFF: I see, I misunderstood that.

MR. OSBORN: Yes, this was a number of years later that these things were no longer — that certain things, and I never got into the exact details. I just assumed that the things that he was telling me were things that I could know. So I am sorry, I am not clear exactly what that letter said, or if it even still exists.

DR. GRAFF: I see.

1	CHAIRMAN TUNHEIM: Anything further?
2	[No response.]
3	CHAIRMAN TUNHEIM: Thank you, Mr. Osborn.
4	The public hearing will stand in recess until promptly the
5	hour of 2:00 p.m., and we will return and reconvene at that time.
6	Thank you very much.
7	[Whereupon, at 12:45 p.m., the hearing was recessed, to
8	reconvene at 2:00 p.m., this same day.]
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
1 -	

AFTERNOON SESSION	SION	SES	OON	TERN	AFT
-------------------	------	-----	-----	-------------	-----

1	AFTERNOON SESSION
2	[2:20 p.m.]
3	CHAIRMAN TUNHEIM: We will reconvene the hearing of
4	the Assassination Records Review Board with our next witness.
5	MR. MARWELL: Dr. TenBrink, Dr. Philip TenBrink.
6	MR. TenBRINK: My name is Philip TenBrink, I am the
7	emergency room physician from Terre Haute, Indiana, and I am here
8	today to maybe provide some additional insights into your quest to
9	unify all of the records and maybe provide more insights into this
10	tragedy in America.
L1	For 30 years, the American people really have not had an
12	opportunity to have the full truth, and the basis of a democratic
13	society is a trust relationship between its leaders, its intelligence

officials, and its citizens, and without that trust it puts us at a grave

disservice.

2	to look at the Kenneay assassination as a single event,
3	instead of looking at all the geographical parameters and geopolitical
4	things happening at the time is to kind of put on a very tunnel vision
5	and with four governments turning over within a 60-day period
6	within the free world, it may represent other outside forces at work.
7	But what I am really interested in this committee looking at, since
8	you should have the ability to explore these records, is to look with
9	regards to the Cuban Missile Crisis, which seemed to cause a great
10	deal of concern around the world, and President Kennedy's
11	decisionmaking was the one who was responsible for the decisions and
12	the outcome, and not a lot of people were happy with the outcome.
13	If it happened that some of the information that was
14	given was truth full and some wasn't fully revealed, it could give the

impression to members inside of his own military counsel that he was a man of poor judgment. Specifically, what I would like you to look at is the briefings that were provided for the Senate Internal Security Subcommittee, and the Joint Chiefs of Staff and National Security Council with respect to the briefings on the convertability of the Cuban bases from a three-day period from a defensive site to an offensive site.

It seems that Kenneth Keating, the Senator from New York who was on the Committee, seems to take a great deal of conflict with Robert Kennedy over who knows what and who knows it when in response to that decisionmaking, but if the President wasn't informed that these defensive sites were convertible within three days to offensive sites, it might explain why we as a nation were not prepared to find offensive sites in place in October, six weeks later,

and hadn't had any U-2 flights to look for that. But that is one

where I think you might want to look to see if possibly conflict with

the CIA had resulted in failure to properly inform the President.

That would involve interpretation. The President wasn't a rocket

scientist. He wouldn't understand the difference between the

defensive pact and an offensive missile pact.

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

The second area at which I think it might be helpful to look is with respect to the missile crisis that was occurring in the Middle East at the same time where nuclear material from Germany was going to Egypt, and NASA was threatening to annihilate the Jewish state with chemical, biological and atomic weapons. This would put people who had just come through a great holocaustic experience under a great deal of stress, and when the President of the United States' response to what are you going to do about this is,

well, we can send you some missiles to deal with B-52 bombers, it

doesn't quite maybe address the situation enough to diffuse the

problem.

But I think if you could look into the field of the Nuclear Regulatory Commission and find out how it was that the Galen organization could get their hands on nuclear material to send it to Egypt, it might shed some insight into who was stimulating this kind of crisis.

The third thing I would like to talk about or have you explore is why the Secret Service would be responsible for repairing a leased car. The automobile in which the President was riding in was not U.S. Government property but was leased from Ford Motor Company, and why are they ordering parts to repair a car that has been damaged in an accident, and why did they order two

frequently take care of victims of gunshots, and it seems pretty clear

to me from what I can see from the emergency room physicians'

treatment and previous experience that any bullet that struck the

President in his throat and exited in his back would have continued in

windshields, why? By mistake? As an emergency room physician, I

a rearward direction, and the most likely object right behind him is

the follow-up car, the Secret Service. It would be interesting to look

at the order forms for where they ordered the new windshields to see

if, indeed, the windshield that they should have returned but kept fit

the backup car.

The fourth thing I think would be helpful would be to look at individuals that might have been out of the country during a particular time period where this might have been planned. If you were to plan an assassination of the President of the United States

1 ~

14

9

11

12

13

1	inside the U.S. or U.S. soil, you could be charged, but if you are offsite
2	in another foreign country, the United States would have no legal
3	jurisdiction.

on his World Peace Tour. He leaves Egypt on June the 24th and shows up in the Vatican on June the 27th, but where is he for those three days. Many people have suggested that he may have played a role in this, but if he disappears, where did he go? You folks have been given the trust and the authority of the United States Congress to investigate these issues and search for these records, and maybe finding those records could share some insight into this problem.

Thank you.

CHAIRMAN TUNHEIM: Thank you, Dr. TenBrink.

Are there questions?

1 ~

1	[No response.]
2	CHAIRMAN TUNHEIM: Thank you very much. We
3	appreciate your testimony.
4	MR. MARWELL: Is Mr. DeBenedictis here?
5	[No response.]
6	MR. MARWELL: Dr. Aguilar?
7	VOICE: He will be right back.
8	MR. MARWELL: Why don't we ask Mr. John McLaughlin
9	CHAIRMAN TUNHEIM: Welcome, Mr. McLaughlin.
10	MR. McLAUGHLIN: Thank you.
11	First of all, I would like to straighten out the record. I
12	used John McLaughlin as my pseudonym, as my author's pseudonym.
13	My real name is John Bevilaqua.
14	A quick synopsis or a background, I am a graduate of

1	Harvard University, I was classmates, ironically, of both Al Gore and
2	Tommy Lee Jones, and I grew up in the City of Miami, Florida. My
3	only other connection to the assassination investigation is, I also enjoy
	Lee Harvey Oswald's favorite drink, Dr. Pepper.

MR. MARWELL: Could we just have the spelling of your name?

MR. McLAUGHLIN: Sure. It is B-e-v-i-l-a-q-u-a, and I am currently an independent computer consultant, and I use computerized database management and analysis techniques for New England corporations as well as applied to the Kennedy assassination.

The material I have developed, and I only have three copies of but I would like to circulate it now, it is a document I developed with the help of a lead that Mary Farrell gave me. Mary Farrell has reviewed this document which is titled, Red Scares, White

		. ,	DI -	Deall	. ,	!! !	٠,		,, ,			. 1
1	Power	ana	Blue	Death,	ana	callea	Ιτ	possibly	tne	most	interesting	ana

significant piece of material that she has read in the past several

years.

1t is based on both personal observation and experience in

Miami, Florida, with anti-Castro Cuban exiles of Alpha-66 and the

3Oth of November Movement, as well as the investigations that I have

carried out in the ensuing years.

A summary of what I believe to be the two most significant intelligence connections as a result of all my research center around both the Senate Internal Security Subcommittee of Senator Thomas Dodd and Senator James Eastland, and the House UnAmerican Activities chaired until his death in the early '60s by representative Francis E. Walter of Pennsylvania and Richard Arrans, who was the Chief Counsel, and in particular I am focusing also on the

1 ~

	former	Chief	Counsel	of	Joe	McCarthy's	SISC	as	well	as,	be	elieve
1						5				-		•

- Senator Dodd's SISC, Robert Morris, who is still alive today in
- Pennsylvania.

Mr. Morris shared a summer home in Miami with me on Northwest 15th Street, as well as also on Northwest 15th Street at 3638 Northwest 15th Street was a safehouse for Alpha-66 and the 30th of November Movement. On November 16th of 1963, my father overheard several conversations in Spanish. He happens to have a Master's Degree in Spanish. He translated those conversations and reported to the City of Miami Police, Intelligence Division, the fact that the Cubans in our neighborhood were talking about going to Dallas and doing something to President Kennedy.

I wrote an article under the name of Michael Kensington which appeared in The Third Decade in November of 1992, I believe,

which has been reviewed and researched by both Jerry Rose, Gordon

Winslow and other people for its veracity, and they have both

concluded that it is a basically accurate and correct account of what

occurred.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

At the same time, the Miami Police Intelligence Division was examining and investigating the reports about Joseph A. Milteer, which everybody is probably fairly familiar with, and they put my father's report in the same category. And I believe either the Joe Milteer incident or my father's report resulted in the infamous Airtel of November 17th, 1963, sent out by the FBI describing imminent plans of, I quote, "an extremist revolutionary group" who are planning to take action against Kennedy in Dallas. Perhaps they didn't even mention Dallas, but sometime between the 17th and some unnamed date.

1 ~

agencies like the City of Miami Police Intelligence Division, but I have
had absolutely no luck in recovering any of the lead up information
either related to the Joe Milteer incident or to my father's incident
regarding the two reports of pending assassination plots that

I don't know if your power or authority extends to

Milteer incident, and November 16th or 17th, which was my father's reported incident.

originated from South Florida between November 9th, which was the

Following the leads from Alpha-66 into the World

Anti-Communist League, I first investigated both the Senate Internal

Security Subcommittee and HUAC. In particular the two incidents

that I would request additional documentation or release of relates to

Peter Dale Scott's reference, and to Senator Thomas J. Dodd's direct

request to Oswald to purchase the Mannlicher-Carcano from Kline's

1	Sporting Goods in Chicago. I believe that Lee Harvey Oswald was
2	quite possibly an agent of the Senate Internal Security Subcommittee
3	and he was doing the bidding of Dodd and Eastland and Morris.
4	I am sorry, I am drying out, and it is a difficult thing to
5	do.
6	Secondarily, to the incidents surrounding the Clinton,
7	Louisiana, visit of Lee Harvey Oswald on an allegedly exploratory
8	mission regarding voter registration and the difficulty or the ease
9	with which an outsider could register to vote compared to that of a
10	local Louisiana resident who was a member of a minority also was
11	requested and Dodd and further helped implicate Lee Harvey Oswald
12	on his own. It was almost self-implication as was the Kline Sporting
13	Goods.

On the HUAC side and related to a lead that was given to

not she wants to disclose who it was that gave her this piece of

information, this gentleman who was a soldier of fortune, a not

well-known soldier of fortune in South Florida indicated to her that

the most significant leads that had to be explored involved Thomas F.

Ellis, who is currently on the Council of National Policy, he is alive and

me by Mary Farrell, and it is up to her, I think, to decide whether or

apparently very well. He is on the Council of National Policy with

Oliver J. North, Nelson Bucker Hunt, and Alton Oxner, Jr., who is the

son of Dr. Alton Oxner, who was part of INCA in New Orleans.

I have also looked at why Richard Arrans was fired from HUAC, and I have found that the reason Richard Arrans was fired was because he worked for an organization called the Draper Committees and the Draper Genetics Committees, which is part of the Pioneer Fund. The thing that concerns me about the Pioneer

1	Fund is	that	even	today,	1 have	traced	them	back to	1924	, eve	en	

today they are in California with Proposition 187, sponsoring it, they

are the main sponsors and financial backers of Prop. 187, which is

the anti-immigration issue.

They have sponsored William Shockley's research into the genetic inferiority of minorities as well as Arthur Jensen's research into the inheritable composition of intelligence as opposed to the environmental, which they say does not exist. They backed Richard Arnstein and the Bell Curve. They were involved, in 1937, they went over to Germany and helped Hitler and Goebbels write the laws against the prevention of hereditarily ill-progeny, which essentially became the holocaust justification laws.

They were involved with involuntary sterilization programs in Virginia. They were involved with the original

anti-immigration legislation in 1924 which also was designed to keep

Central European minorities, particularly targeted groups, from

entering this country.

If you move further and follow them as they develop into the World Anti-Communist League through the Alpha-66 connections, and all of a sudden you find that four of the past presidents of the World Anti-Communist League all have significant ties by researchers into the assassination.

Ray S. Kline was in Taiwan between 1958 and 1962, he is still alive and working at Georgetown University Center for International Studies, he was there when Oswald was there at the Peng Tong Marine Base. I would like to find out what, if anything, the CIA is willing to release regarding the alleged mind control experiments that went on in Taiwan.

1 ~

1	Yarzlo Stetsco was another President of the World
2	Anti-Communist League, he was Sposti Reiken's immediate superior
3	and his immediate boss in the anti-Bolshevik nations.
4	John Singlob and Roger Pearson were also involved and
5	very directly related to not only activities in the Pioneer Fund but
6	also activities at the World Anti-Communist League.
7	Another word on Thomas F. Ellis, he first gained his fame
8	and recognition as campaign chairman for the 1972 Jesse Helms
9	Senatorial Campaign, and he has been involved quite directly in both
10	Carolina politics as well as U.S. politics.
11	I would also like to find out if there is anything that can
12	be done regarding quasi-private agencies. I don't know if your
13	jurisdiction also extends into this area of things like the American
14	Security Council and the Liberty Lobby, and Council for National

1	Policy, unfortunately which are primarily private agencies, but have
2	full-time active employees of the government also involved.
3	I believe that is as complete and as short a summary as I
4	could possibly make of a 70-page document, and I just want to thank
5	you for giving me the opportunity to appear before you and say
6	something.
7	CHAIRMAN TUNHEIM: Thank you, Mr. Bevilaqua.
8	Any questions?
9	DR. NELSON: Have you made an attempt to get any
10	documents from HUAC or the Senate Internal Committee?
11	MR. McLAUGHLIN: I haven't yet submitted any actual
12	FOIAs. I have submitted a FOIA
13	DR. NELSON: They are not subject to FOIA.
14	MR. McLAUGHLIN: They are not.

1	DR. NELSON: But you can get some of the documents.
2	MR. McLAUGHLIN: And how could you do that?
3	DR. NELSON: Well some of the records are in the
4	National Archives. Most of them, you have to appeal to the various
5	congressional committees, or the Secretary of the Senate. But I just
6	wondered if you had made an attempt to do that?
7	MR. McLAUGHLIN: No, I haven't yet. I requested
8	military record of Wyecliff P. Draper who was the head the Draper
9	Committees and then head of the Pioneer Fund before he died, and
10	he was in Army Intelligence. In World War II he fought on our side,
11	and in World War I he fought on the British side, for whatever reason.
12	But it is a new enough lead and an interesting enough lead that we
13	haven't yet had the chance to do a lot of formal documentation.
14	CHAIRMAN TUNHEIM: Thank you very much.

1	Questions?
2	MR. MARWELL: Is your father still living?
3	MR. McLAUGHLIN: Yes, he is. And I am sure, he can't
4	travel, but I am sure if someone would like to discuss it with him, I
5	am sure he would be able to cooperate.
6	CHAIRMAN TUNHEIM: Thank you.
7	MR. MARWELL: Dr. Aguilar.
8	MR. AGUILAR: I would like to thank the Review Board
9	for allowing me the opportunity to speak and explain my friend. I
10	often find it is useful, two heads are better than one for the purposes
11	of my presentation today, and I would also like to apologize for a
12	copy of a letter that I sent to Mr. David Morwell. My secretary did
13	that.
14	In any case, my name is Gary Aguilar. I am a practicing

pathologist in San Francisco. I am on the faculty of the University of

California in San Francisco, and on the faculty of the Stanford

University Medical Center. I am the Chairman of the Department of

Surgery at St. Francis Memorial Hospital, and have, I think, more of

an academic interest in this than anything else.

I campaigned against Jack Kennedy, as young as I may seem, my parents were very staunchly opposed to him, and I carried the placard in hand. In any case, I come here before you today to request something which should be in a letter that I have sent on numerous occasions. I have to make a couple of amendments to it, if only because some other information has become available.

The first issue that I care to raise, and it is under Title

Number I -- I don't know if you have copies of it, I have one copy

here, but I have my laptop with me and I could runoff copies for

1	everyone is a agency file, restricted file, pertaining to Pierre Finck.
2	It is Agency File Number 06165. I hold it in my hand here, and
3	for reasons that baffle me, Pierre Finck, it should be known by all, is
4	one of the autopsy pathologists and is the only forensically trained
5	autopsy pathologist. It is baffling to me that a document pertaining
6	to anything that he has done or said would be restricted. It is
7	apparently six pages in length and the requesting authority that it be
8	restricted was the CIA, as I understand it, according to this document
9	here.

Should I leave this with you? I think I already sent a full copy of all of these. I think I sent copies of everything, but if you didn't have them I thought I would bring additional copies.

The specific puzzling issue to me from an academic standpoint and from a medical standpoint is that the original autopsy

							_		
	report insists	that the	wound	that	killed	Jack	Kennedy,	the	bullet
1	•						J		

- that killed Jack Kennedy entered the skull to the right and just above
- the external occipital protuberance. I thought I would bring the skull
- because it would most nicely explain exactly where that location is.
- The knob in the back of the head is the external occipital
- protuberance. It is right here. That is the knob that you feel at the
- base of your skull.
- To the right of the occipital protuberance and slightly
- above is about this location and before the House Select Committee on
- Assassinations, Dr. Humes, Boswell and Finck labelled a skull and
- labelled dots in these three locations. That was to identify the
- external occipital protuberance, and they basically marked it this.
- It was then determined on the basis of photographic and
- X-ray evidence which have been called into question that, in fact, the

wound was not here, it was at the parietal bone here. The difference in size here is 10 centimeters, and it is a huge error for even a first year resident to have made on a skull location. It places it in a different bone, and it is the kind of thing that a first year pathology would have been failed by one of his professor pathologist for having made, a professor like Finck, who was, in fact, a Director of the Forensic Section at the AFIP at that time. In any case, I would like

to request that this document be released if that is possible to do so.

Number two is, and I do not have a copy of this with me.

I covered my files. I know I have it some place. I am moving files,
but in any case there was an incomplete transcription of an interview
with Pierre Finck, and I have the case record or the record number
here, it is an Agency file. The copy of the interview which I have
received, and I have reviewed this with other people, they have

	exactly the	same thing,	it appears	incomplete.	The las	t sentence of
1	9	9	, ,	,		

- my copy appears in the middle of page 12 and it reads: Do you
- think it is possible that in the course of preparing this report,
- recognizing the limitations that you had without photographs, things
- like that, do you think it is possible that that measurement relative to
- the occipital protuberance that is contained within the -- and it
- trails off, that is it, right in midsentence.
- So there must be something there that might -- and
- presumably Dr. Finck was at that point going to answer this question,
- something about the occipital protuberance and, of course, that has
- great relevance to the findings of the autopsy report.
- There was Audrey Bell, Item Number 3, Parkland nurse
- Audrey Bell. I have the HICA record number for you. Apparently
- she prepared a diagram diagraming fragments of bullets which she

saw. That has never been seen. It is a small matter, I think. If that was available anywhere it would be nice to track that piece of information down if we could.

of the autopsy, and I have listed this under 4A and 4B in the remarks that I sent along to you. Number 4A refers to agency record number wherein on page 8, Dr. Finck notes, "I helped a Navy photographer to take photographs of the occipital wound, internal and external aspects as well as," and it goes on, but there is no such photograph of a wound.

Now it has been argued by some that the photographs of the back of the head which show the scalp intact are, in fact, the photographs that he was directing be taken, but Finck didn't think that and it only became apparent in recent released documents, in

1	fact,	that	Finck	was	convinced	that	those	photogi	raphs	were	not	the
	nhoti	oakak	olac +lac	+ 60	had taken	raic	ina au	uections	ahout	- a+ la	act t	-100

photographs that he had taken, raising questions about at least the completeness of the photographic record, if nothing else.

I have been, incidently, to the Archives and seen the
original autopsy photographs, and so I have seen what it was that he
was being shown before the House Select Committee on
Assassinations, the originals.

Now just to go on here, he had an exchange with Dr.

Petty regarding the photographs to the back of the head in which he said, Dr. Petty asked him, because they were looking at these photographs, well, aren't these the ones, Dr. Petty asked him. Dr.

Petty: If I understand you correctly, Dr. Finck, you wanted particularly to have a photograph made of the external aspect of the skull from the back to show that there was no cratering to the

1 ~

3

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

Dr. Finck: Absolutely.

Did you ever see such a photograph?

Finck: I don't think so, and I brought with me

memorandum referring to examination to the examination of

photographs in 1967 when I was recalled from Vietnam. I was

asked to look at the photographs and as I recall there were two blank

four-by-five transparencies, in other words to photographs that had

been exposed but with no image, and as I can recall I never saw

pictures of the outer aspect of the wound of entry in the back of the

head and the inner aspect of the skull in order to show the cratering,

although I was there asking of these photographs, I don't remember

ever seeing those photographs.

Now this went on again in another exchange which I think

1 –

that when a bullet enters it causes beveling, and that is like a BB

hitting a window, you have a very tiny point of entry and then the

exit side on the other side of the window or the other side of the

skull, as the case may be, you have a much larger wound, and that

helps to establish the direction of the shot. It would also presumably,

if such photographs were available, help identify whether they were

was even more to the point. The point about the photographs is

Counsel Andy Purdy asked him, he said: We have here a black and white blowup of the same spot. At that time he was showing the back of the scalp with the scalp intact. You previously mentioned that your attempt here was to photograph the crater, I think that was the word you used.

actually talking about the external occipital protuberance or whether

the wound was higher than that.

1 ~

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

Finck answered: In the bone not in the scalp, because to determine the direction of the projectile, the bone is a very good source of information. So I emphasized the photographs of the crater seen from the inside the skull, what you are showing me is soft tissue wound in the scalp. So it is clear that he is talking about 5 having taken photographs that weren't there when he was shown the photographs.

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

Under 4B I go on into a lengthy listing of individuals, and I don't think I will recount it here for the time constraints that you Suffice it to say that John Stringer who is the autopsy have. photographer, Floyd Reeby who was his associate, Commander Humes, Boswell and Dr. Carne all recalled having taken photographs of the interior of the chest. Now these were mutually corroborated photographs, and presumably they would have taken two black and

whites at a minimum and two colors at a minimum, there are four images there, and probably they would have taken more than that.

One would guess that there are many more photographs from that section. That has relevance as to whether one can see the point of entry if they were indenting the interior of the body with a probe while they are taking the photograph as Dr. Carne suggests, who was a pathologist who was there, and may have some relevance to the path of the bullet.

Under Item Number 5, Dr. Humes testified to the Warren Commission, yes, sir, these are various notes in longhand, or copies rather of various notes in longhand made by myself in part during the performance of the examination of the late President and, in part, after the examination when I was preparing to have a typewritten report made.

1 ~

Now what he is referring to are notes of the autopsy that

he had at the time. Now he has said, and the amendment that I

need to make here is that he has said both to the Journal of

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

American Medical Association and to the House Select Committee on

Assassinations that he did destroy some autopsy notes. So we don't

know which ones he had and which ones he didn't have. But

presumably he had some autopsy notes and, in fact, Dr. Carne, under

something which I will submit to you separately, also recalled their

having had autopsy notes. These autopsy notes have never been seen.

The only autopsy note we have is Dr. Boswell's face sheet diagram and a face sheet diagram, in fact, tends to prove that the entrance point was low in the skull. The way that it proves that is easily seen in the skull, and that is, the autopsy diagram that Finck prepared shows something that says, 17 centimeters missing, with

I have here and shows the most anterior portion for the exit to have been was just above the hairline. In other words, you would have

1

9

10

11

12

13

14

the words "missing" on it. If one takes a skull, and disarticulates it as

seen it. There is a bullet hole coming out here. And the autopsy

photographs, even in the books that any of you may have seen, you

don't see a bullet hole coming out. So presumably it was right about

the hairline and, of course, Jack Kennedy fortunately had a lower

hairline than I do. In any case, it was at about this point.

Now if one measures from the lowest point that that could have been for the exit to have been four centimeters above the ridge backwards, he said 17 centimeters missing. Now I have talked to Dr. Boswell about this, and you put a centimeter ruler back there, 17 centimeters back of this point puts you spot on the external occipital protuberance. I can demonstrate it with a ruler, if anybody

cares to see it, but I have done it many times.

Now if, in fact, the entrance wound and a defect was that much higher, this distance from here to that exit point is only about 12 to 13 centimeters. So he basically has biangulated the wound to this low point, but the photographs are missing that would help establish that it was there, and since that was a contemporaneously prepared diagram —

Now the confusing thing here is that in the autopsy report it describes the defect as being 13 centimeters, not 17 centimeters.

Well, Dr. Boswell told the House Select Committee on Assassinations, told Harrison Livingstone and told me on the phone on March 30th of this year that it was 17 centimeters when the body arrived, and then he found or got — they got a bone fragment in, and he put the bone fragment back in place, and with the bone fragment the defect

was, in fact, then 13 centimeters.

1

13

14

Now to illustrate what I am talking about, here is a 2 These are the diagrams from the Warren Commission, photograph. 3 and they are in color, very nice ones. Here is the way that they 4 This is 13 centimeters across here but, in fact, diagramed that. 5 when the body first arrived, according to what he told me on the 6 phone, and I have a recorded conversation of this, this fragment of 7 bone was not there. This fragment of bone was absent and, in fact, 8 there was a hole extending all the way from the entrance point 9 forward 17 centimeters which would have to place the wound, the 10 defect, quite low in the rear of the skull, placing some forensic 11 problems for the reconstruction of the shooting. 12 In any case, under Item Number 6, there is a segment

from Dr. Finck in which -- in the last page of the gross examination

1	of a formal and fixed brain, and I have the Agency file number there
2	there are on the fifth page of this document, which is titled Personal
3	Notes Used for the Tech Sent With Letter of 1 February 1965 to
4	Brigadeer General Blumberg, AFIP Director. There are five lines
5	blocked out of Dr. Finck's first paragraph.

Now I have that to show you, and I will hold it up for others to see. Here we have that document, and here it is. It is all medical, and here are all these lines are blocked out. Dr. Humes called me on the 29th of November, it is basically dealing with how he was called, and so on and so forth, but those lines are blocked out. I can't think of a national security reason for excluding that.

One final issue that I would like to raise

DR. HALL: Mr. Aguilar, I wonder if I might, Mr.

Chairman, before you go on, could I see that particular document?

1	MR. AGUILAR: Sure. Some of this might have been
2	released in the meantime, I don't know. These are the copies that I
3	have of it, and I would be happy to show it to you.
4	DR. HALL: Thank you.
5	MR. AGUILAR: If, in fact, anything has been released
6	that I am requesting, I apologize for not knowing. By the time it
7	gets out to San Francisco, information gets out to San Francisco
8	Do you have any questions regarding that, Mr. Hall?
9	DR. HALL: No, you can just proceed. I am going to
10	listen and read at the same time.
11	MR. AGUILAR: You remind me of me.
12	Under Item Number 7, there were some recent
13	revelations, and for this I have brought copies to give to you. I have
14	three copies for you, and I could make more if they are needed, but

three copies I will pass to you. As I mentioned, JFK's pathologist

James Humes, J. Thornton Boswell described the entrance to the

President's skull wound as being to the right and just above the

external occipital protuberance in the original autopsy report. They

repeated that assertion in an interview published in the Journal of the

American Medical Association on May 27th, 1992.

On November 17th, 1993, author Gerald Posner, the author of the book Case Closed reported to the Congress Committee that he had interviewed both Dr. Humes and Dr. Boswell at apparently the same time they were interviewed by the Journal of the American Medical Association in 1992. Mr. Posner reported that Drs. Humes and Boswell told him that JFK's skull wound was not low and near the rear, near the external occipital protuberance, but rather it was high in the President's skull.

	Mr. Posner	indicated	during	his	testimony	to	the
1			5		3		

Representative of Congress that he would ask Dr. Humes and Boswell

for permission to release information on his interviews with them, but

he has not done so to my knowledge.

On March 30th of this year, I, myself, called both Drs.

Humes and Boswell to inquire about Mr. Posner's report of their surprising turnabout on this important question. Dr. Humes indicated to me that he stood firmly by his statements in JAMA. Dr. Boswell also told me that he had never changed his mind about the low location of JFK's skull wound and, moreover, Dr. Boswell told me that had never spoken with Mr. Posner. I have a recording of this and I would be happy to leave it with you, if you would like. As I spoke with both pathologists four-and-a-half months after Mr.

Posner's claim, I am baffled at this discrepancy.

. _

1		
2	inconsistent and reliable about the President	t's skull wounds is of
7	enormous evidentiary significance, I believe.	Their claims about JFK's

fatal wound which contradict Mr. Posner's assertions have been

published in a peer review medical journal and the Journal of the

American Medical Association, and if JAMA's representations are not

In any case, that Jack Kennedy's pathologists might be

reliable, must light is shed on the ambiguity of the autopsy findings.

I respectfully request that you ask Mr. Posner, as he has already offered to do before Congress, to produce the copies of all records that he possesses in his interviews with Drs. Humes and Boswell.

As a physician who has an interest in this case, I think from an academic standpoint, I thank you very much for the time you have allowed me, and if you have any questions, I would be happy

to answer them for you.

1 ~

Could I give you a copy of this, I have highlighted them.

In fact, just for the purposes of -- can I read from this one, and then

I will give it to you as I leave. I would like to read, in fact, from Mr.

Posner's testimony so that there are no ambiguities about precisely

what he said.

On the bottom of page 112 of his document, and I need to explain the pages that follow page 112 and 113, on the bottom of page 112 it was during the testimony of a Dr. Randy Robertson, Mr.

Posner essentially interrupted that testimony of Dr. Robertson's because Dr. Robertson was discussing the fact that the autopsy pathologists had placed the skull wound low. Mr. Posner interrupted to say: I have interviewed the autopsy doctors — this a quote now — I have interviewed the autopsy doctors. I have interviewed

Mr. O'Neill. What is left here on the record today should not be allowed because it is not correct, which is the implication that the autopsy doctors agree with their original drawings in 1963 -- that they agree with the original drawings in 1963 and, of course, they do -- which were made without the benefit of X-rays -- that's not true, they had X-rays, they looked at X-rays -- photographs. the credit of the House Select Committee on Assassinations and Congressman Stokes, that Committee did a superb job on the forensics of this case. It was the work of that Committee that had the two autopsy physicians change their minds that they had been mistaken about the placement of the wound here.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

In fact, it is equivocal that Dr. Boswell told me he doesn't believe that Humes caved in on the question of the wound being higher, but reading Humes testimony after having been harangued

1	and harangued and harangued, Boswell would never change his mind,
2	Finck would never change his mind, but Humes came back and said,
3	well, maybe that is what it is on the photographs, but still has never
4	said that the wound was any place other than low.
5	In any case, he says here, and I quote again: I have
6	spoken to them and he had just mentioned Humes and
7	Boswell about this and they have confirmed their change of
8	testimony that they gave before the House Select Committee on
9	Assassinations.
10	Now they had just told the Journal of American Medical
11	Association presumably that the wound was low, and now he is saying
12	that they confirmed to him that they had changed it and made this
13	wound high, an enormous error.
11	James Lasar asked a question about Mr. Posner's releasing

1	these documents, and Mr. Posner says, and I quote again: I would be
2	happy, Mr. Chairman, to ask Drs. Humes and Boswell if they would
3	agree for their notes to be released to the National Archives.

This occurred on November 17th. I called Dr. Boswell on March 30th, four-and-a-half months later, and at that time he had not yet spoken to him for the first time, so presumably he had not asked him to release notes. Now I don't know, and I am not accusing Mr. Posner of misrepresenting the truth here, I don't know what the truth is, but I think that if Mr. Posner could convincingly demonstrate that the doctors are unreliable about this, I think it would have some great value to this.

CHAIRMAN TUNHEIM: Thank you.

Are there questions for Dr. Aguilar?

[No response.]

1 ~

1	CHAIRMAN TUNHEIM: Thank you. We appreciate your
2	testimony today.
3	MR. AGUILAR: Thank you very much.
4	MR. MARWELL: Mr. Hal Verb.
5	CHAIRMAN TUNHEIM: Mr. Verb, we didn't have you on
6	the list ahead of time. Could you spell your name for the record?
7	MR. VERB: Yes, my name is Hal, H-a-l, and the last
8	name is Verb, that is like pronoun, subject, V-e-r-b.
9	CHAIRMAN TUNHEIM: Go ahead, sir. Thank you.
10	MR. VERB: I am from San Francisco. I am a private
11	researcher. I have been conducting research for about 30 years, in
12	fact, almost from the very first day of the assassination because it was
13	a tremendous event in American history, still unresolved in my mind,
14	and still unresolved in the minds of most of the American public.

That is why I am here, and that is why you are here.

2 I am here as a private citizen who is deeply concerned to
3 know the full truth about the assassination of President Kennedy.
4 Today I wish to call attention to a serious question that has long
5 lingered about the event, and that is precisely the accused assassin,
6 Lee Harvey Oswald, and his alleged association, connection or
7 involvement with the U.S. Government as a possible agent for an
8 agency or agencies that represented the U.S. Government.

Regardless of whether one believes or asserts that there was no conspiracy or there was a conspiracy, the unresolved question of Oswald's ties to the U.S. Government looms large and, indeed, hangs over us like the proverbial Damocles sword, and that is over all of us, including the U.S. Government, whether the U.S. Government is in any way connected or not.

1	Now I realize fully well that virtually no government
2	reveals its agents or its methods of operations, but in the matter of
3	the President Kennedy's death this question can no longer be ignored,
4	and the longer it is avoided the greater the harm that will befall us

all.

To focus the attention of the Review Board more closely in this regard, I specifically call your attention to a radio program that I appeared on in December 1966 which was several hours long and concerned the Kennedy murder. I was in the radio station studio, this was in Oakland, California, and the other half of the program was a telephone hook-up to a Maryland writer and researcher Harold Weisberg who had written and began a series of books called Whitewash, the Whitewash series.

At the end of the program, the program moderator

1 ~

received a call from an individual who did not wish to be heard on the radio, and who stated he wished to speak only to Mr. Weisberg confidentially. Now I was able to hear the contents of this discussion, the entire discussion between Mr. Weisberg and the caller, and the phone call from the individual who insisted that he not be identified and who wanted to remain anonymous. In fact, Weisberg asked the individual if you desire to come forward, you always know how to reach me, but this individual never did step forward.

I am going to go into what the nature of this call was.

The gist of the phone call was this. The caller had been a barracks roommate of Lee Harvey Oswald who was stationed at El Toro Marine Corps Base in California. The caller stated that about two weeks before Oswald received his so-called alleged hardship discharge, which is all over the record, can be established, he was constantly in the CID

1	Headquarters being briefed for a mission overseas. The caller
2	provided the information that Oswald also had a crypto secre
3	clearance.

Now I raise this matter because if, indeed, the CID was
involved in such an event -- I am not here to state flatly that they
did engage in this, I don't know, I want the evidence to be
presented -- it would necessarily follow that the ONI, which is the
Office of Naval Intelligence, must certainly have been aware of this.
It just boggles my mind.

I at one time served in an intelligence section during the Korean Warm, and it is impossible for me to believe that the CID could not have had in some way connection or approval by the ONI.

10

11

12

13

14

Now I mention ONI because there is a lot of speculation in the literature, some of which you may have read, you may not have, and none of this speculation has proven to be final, and this

speculation is pointing to Oswald being connected in some capacity

with the ONI. Other writers will suggest FBI, CIA, my concern here

is with the ONI.

Recently Professor John Newman, who has appeared I believe at the last hearing, he has been looking into this matter, and has stated that as significant portion of the ONI files relative to JFK have been destroyed. There are still remaining, however, at least two boxes of ONI files that still have to be gone through. I have not, myself, seen these so I do not know what the nature of those files consist of. I believe it is incumbent upon this Board to reach a fairly definitive determination as why, when and how these ONI files were destroyed and whose responsibility it was for the destruction.

Since ONI files necessarily involve the Navy Department, it

1	appears evident that those individuals from the Secretary of the Navy							
2	on downward, and those immediately below charged with their							
3	necessary responsibilities be asked precisely about the issues and points							
4	raised in my statement today.							
5	If this is not done, history will not be served, and the							
6	American people will once again, as in prior investigations, be the							
7	ultimate losers. Respectfully, that is my I will be willing to send							
8	you this entire statement in a letter which I will forward to the							
9	Board, and I will answer any questions that you have about this.							
10	CHAIRMAN TUNHEIM: Thank you, Mr. Verb.							
11	Any questions?							
12	DR. GRAFF: What do you mean, Mr. Verb, by crypto							
13	security clearance?							
14	MR. VERB: Well, a crypto secret clearance has to do, as							

the caller explained -- I didn't get into it further. Actually crypto

secret clearance is mentioned in the Warren Commission documents,

in its actual Warren Commission volumes. I found that out after

having looked into it. You can find them.

Crypto secret clearance is a very specialized high security clearance that very, very few people would obtain in any capacity, and it is interesting to note that at this time while Oswald was in the Marine Corps he was receiving "Communist literature," so you have a pro-Communist, Marxist having crypto secret clearance.

I talked to a General who was an aide to President

Kennedy, who accompanied President Kennedy, I asked him about

crypto secret clearance. He knew about it. He said, I have not

confirmed this, that the only way that you could obtain crypto secret

clearance is that you had first top secret clearance. Crypto secret

1	clearance had to do with black box stuff, which is the information	
2	provided on atomic warhead missiles in case of atomic attack. I	

understand the Strategic Air Command has the capacity for this kind

of information. I don't know precisely the nature of it, I haven't

looked into it further.

But I have spoken to people who have had crypto secret clearance, many people, in fact, that I have spoken to who have pretty much asserted that what I have learned through this broadcast and other means turns out to be fairly accurate, and I only go by what I can document. I am not interested in speculation. I do not go by hearsay to the extent that I simply believe every single theory.

I want documentation to prove what can be clearly set in the record and determined to be the truth.

DR. HALL: Have you made requests of the United States

1 ~

Governme	nt?
----------	-----

2	MR. VERB: No, I have not. I have not. In fact, I have
3	never issued a single FOIA request in the years that I have been doing
4	this, although I have relied on other FOIA requests from other not
5	necessarily connected with this particular issue, but I have interviewed
6	people who would have been in a position to know precisely what this
7	kind of clearance was, and the nature of ONI and related matters.
8	DR. HALL: Well, the issue that you raise, of course, is
9	one that goes to the availability or the destruction of materials held
10	by the United States Government.
11	MR. VERB: Exactly.
12	DR. HALL: May I ask why you decided or have foregone
13	the opportunity to use the Freedom of Information Act?

MR. VERB: To be quite honest, I am primarily involved

in so many other areas. My area that I have been looking into is the

photographic evidence, and that takes an enormous amount of time.

I write letters and receive letters.

In fact, I should mention one important thing that may
go to the heart of the matter. I recently received a call from a
person who took Oswald's place in the Marine Corps in his very
position after he left and went to Soviet Russia. I hope to be in
communication with this person to find out precisely what the
nature, if he knows anything at all, about crypto secret clearance.

So my answer would be, I simply have not had the time to do this. If you have been involved in this case, it is very time consuming. I have a full-time job during the day. I am not a member of any particular group or organization, nobody is sponsoring me. All the money I have put into this basically simply because I

1 ~

1	desire the truth, and I think justice will be served if the truth is
2	known.
3	DR. HALL: I do think it is the case that part of what the
4	Board is interested in is the efficacy and efficiency of the Freedom of
5	Information Act as it relates to this matter, so my questions are
6	directed to that issue and not directed necessarily to your personal
7	capacity.
8	MR. VERB: I understand that. Right.
9	CHAIRMAN TUNHEIM: Other questions?
10	[No response.]
11	MR. VERB: Thank you for the opportunity.
12	CHAIRMAN TUNHEIM: Thank you, Mr. Verb. We
13	appreciate your being here today.
14	MR. MARWELL: Mr. Thomas Meros.

1	MR. MEROS: Thank you very much.
2	MR. MARWELL: Could you please just state and spell
3	your name for the record?
4	MR. MEROS: Yes, my last name is Meros, M-e-r-o-s.
5	live and work in Cleveland, Ohio, and over the years I have been
6	coming to Dallas, Texas, and the first opportunity I had to bring my
7	teenage children was May of '92.
8	In May of '92, I took my children into the Old Courthouse
9	We were walking around, and I was trying to find the courtroom
10	where Jack Ruby was tried. Somebody told me that that room was
11	locked, it was disassembled and it became a storage room. Well, the
12	lady that told me that told me that she had been at the Ruby trial
13	and she would be glad to talk to my children about that trial.
14	So we went into her office and she told my children a

1	story that I videotaped.	For a half-hour I listened to this story

- She told me -- her name is Dee McCarell, M-c-C-a-r-e-l-l -- she is
- presently the administrative assistant for Judge Nicky Deshazo in the
- probate court here in Dallas.
- She told me that in November of 1963 she had worked
- for the County Recorder's Office. The FBI came to the County
- Recorder's Office one week before the assassination and they asked the
- County Recorder to get all the documents turned over to the FBI that
- contained the name Lee Harvey Oswald. She said nobody ever
- interviewed her about this before, and she has never told her story
- before.
- I have this on videotape and I will be glad to send it to
- you. She told me that they gathered up whatever they had, and the
- County Recorder gave it to the FBI because she was assigned the task, 14

	her	and	another	coworker,	theu	are	only	21	uears	old	at	the	time
1					J		5		J				

They gave these documents to the FBI, whatever existed. This was during the week prior to the murder of President Kennedy.

The day before the assassination Jack Ruby came to the

Office of the County Recorder and insisted upon seeing the County

Recorder. This was a Thursday. The County Recorder did not come

to work that day, but Jack Ruby hung around that office for almost

the entire day because he wanted to see the County Recorder.

Now my questions are, was there a lease that was possibly recorded with the name of Lee Harvey Oswald here in Dallas County that may have had a cosigner on that lease that didn't want his name to be known? Could it possibly have been Jack Ruby who had cosigned for a lease when Oswald moved in and out of Dallas to different addresses he lived in in Oak Cliff? Where are these

1 ~

1	documents today?	Maybe the County Recorder or his family knows
2	of course, that was	30 years ago and who even knows if they exist.

But I have Dee McCarell's address, her phone number, and she is within a mile from here.

I brought my son back to Dallas on the 30th anniversary, which was a year ago, and on November 22nd, on the Grassy Knoll, we got to meet Jim Lavelle was the detective who was wearing the white suit, and I find it kind of strange, he is wearing a white suit just about eight days before December, and he is the only man, if you look at all the videotape of that weekend, the only person who wears a white suit. I asked him why he was wearing a white suit, and he says, well, that is the only suit I had available, and that is what my wife laid out for me.

Then I asked him why nobody took notes of Lee Harvey

l

1	Oswald during the interrogation of Oswald for the ten hours prior to
2	his death, and he said, oh, we took notes. We took notes, he said. I
3	said what about your notes? He said, I still have my notes at home
4	in my basement. I said, why didn't you turn them over to the
5	Warren Commission? He said, nobody asked me for them.
6	So if Officer Lavelle still has his notes as to what Lee
7	Harvey Oswald had to say during his ten hours of questioning before
8	his own murder, I think that would be important for this Board to
9	find out.
10	Thank you very much for the opportunity.
11	CHAIRMAN TUNHEIM: Mr. Meros, thank you.
12	Any questions?
13	[No response.]
14	CHAIRMAN TUNHEIM: Thank you very much. We

1	appreciate the help.
2	MR. MARWELL: Mr. Lawrence Sutherland.
3	CHAIRMAN TUNHEIM: Could you, Mr. Sutherland, spell
4	your name for our record?
5	MR. SUTHERLAND: Yes, it is S-u-t-h-e-r-l-a-n-d.
6	CHAIRMAN TUNHEIM: And Lawrence with a W?
7	MR. SUTHERLAND: Yes.
8	CHAIRMAN TUNHEIM: Go ahead.
9	MR. SUTHERLAND: Okay.
10	My name is Lawrence Sutherland. I am a private citizen,
L1	and sometimes freelance writer, and I have written in the past on the
12	subject of the Kennedy assassination. I come before the Board today

to urge the release of all documents, as have others, under the

government control relating to the assassination of President John F.

1 ~

14

1	Kennedy.	If required, and I rather suspect it will be, additional
2	legislation	should be enacted to accomplish this goal.

Such a request for full disclosure has often been heard in 3 the past and, of course, continues today. My perspective, however, is 4 likely quite different from many who have addressed this Board. I 5 believe a full and complete disclosure of all heretofore secret 6 documents will fail to overturn the Warren Commission's conclusion 7 that Lee Harvey Oswald acting alone killed President Kennedy. If I 8 am wrong, then let the now hidden facts prove me wrong. The 9 public deserves the truth. 10

To be sure, the uncovering of new information on the assassination will hardly be enough to establish that there was no conspiracy, but it may, in some small measure, add to the credibility of government. The public deserves a government it can trust.

1 ~

11

12

13

continue to allow doubts to be raised about who participated in the

assassination, and for some the answer of who may never be

Anything less than full disclosure of government documents will

satisfactorily resolved no matter what is disclosed, but we should try.

When public opinion polls consistently reveal high percentages of the American people, as high as 90 percent, believing there was a conspiracy, then there is even more need to open the files. That so many accede to a conspiracy notion is not surprising in a wide range of media over the years, the public has had no shortage of outlets for pro-conspiracy viewpoints.

Oliver Stone's propaganda in JFK is perhaps the most prominent avenue, but is far from the only one. Bookstores, at least those in the Dallas-Fort Worth area, often offer half a dozen or more works espousing one conspiracy theory or another. All these theories

	have	aiven	the	American	people	а	plethora,	if	иои	will,	of	mania.	W	16
1		J '			, ,		,		5					

- have badge man, and umbrella man, and Dal-Tex man, and fake
- Oswald in Mexico City man, and something even I believe you call it a
- manhole cover man.

Now it is right and proper that proponents of conspiracy

- theories be permitted to air their views. They may well be wrong,
- but they have every right to be wrong. The public's interests are best
- served, however, by a search for hard facts that stand up to scruting.
- One can only hope that the unreleased documents will aid in that
- search.

To that end, the Board should endeavor to open for public

inspection all documents held by the House Select Committee on 12

Assassinations. That Committee, of course, concluded that there

were two gunmen firing at the President, to quote from the 1979

1	final report:	The various scientific projects indicated that there was
2	a high probab	bility that two gunmen were firing at the President

Scientifically, the second gunman was established only by the acoustical study. As you may know, three years after the report was issued, the National Academy of Sciences issued its study seriously undermining the acoustical study.

Furthermore, much of the expert testimony before the

House Select Committee in my opinion tends to downplay a

conspiracy possibility. I would hope that the release of any additional

documents of the House Select Committee might resolve questions

about the Committee's credibility. At the very least, we should open

doors for knowledge about the assassination that have for too long

remained closed.

CHAIRMAN TUNHEIM: Thank you.

1	Any questions?
2	DR. HALL: Mr. Sutherland, do you believe that it would
3	be proper to disclose the names of informants, agents, or others
4	working under the protection of the government of the United States
5	who have information that bears upon the assassination but the
6	disclosure of whose names might put in jeopardy themselves or their
7	families?
8	MR. SUTHERLAND: I think that would be perhaps the
9	only exception that I would not. But anything else, autopsy records,
10	photographs, anything among the dozens of cubic feet of documents I
11	think should be released. But not in that particular instance.
12	CHAIRMAN TUNHEIM: Other questions?
13	[No response.]

CHAIRMAN TUNHEIM: Thank you, Mr. Sutherland. We

1	appreciate your testimony.
2	MR. MARWELL: Mr. Joseph Backes.
3	CHAIRMAN TUNHEIM: Good afternoon, Mr. Backes.
4	MR. BACKES: Thank you for the opportunity, Mr.
5	Chairman and panel members, for allowing me to speak today. I
6	didn't think I would get the chance.
7	CHAIRMAN TUNHEIM: We need you to spell your name
8	for the record.
9	MR. BACKES: My name is Joseph Backes, B-a-c-k-e-s.
10	I am from Albany, New York. I am a private researcher into the
11	case.
12	I wanted to applaud the idea of lifting a gag order
13	universally across the board for all intelligence agencies and especially
14	Federal Commissions who have researched and looked into the

assassination of President Kennedy, especially House Select Committee

members who would have a lot to say on this case.

I also want to raise the issue of evidence being in the 3 hands of private individuals and private corporations and ask the 4 Review Board how they would go about acquiring such material. I 5 could corroborate that Detective Lavelle does have notes in regard to 6 the interrogation of Lee Harvey Oswald. Asked in '92 on an 7 eyewitness panel, he said as such, and the last sentence of that panel 8 was Detective Lavelle saying, I will not release mine. So if you start 9 with him, I think we will see a lot of notes on that interrogation 10 suddenly start appearing. 11

Several retired Dallas policemen have files and evidence relating to this case. So far there have been two books from Dallas policemen, Jesse Curry's assassination file, recently there is a book by

1 ~

14

12

Gary Savage, I believe, a nephew of Rusty Livingston who worked in the crime lab. This book is called, First Day Evidence. Rusty

Livingston would have a lot of evidence and files relating to the case that would be worth looking at.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

Specifically in that book, he worked in the crime lab, he mentions a camera which was used to take photographs of photographs, and he mentions that they were of such good quality that the photographs of the photographs could pass for originals. I find that very interesting, and I would ask the review panel if somehow they could acquire that camera. I would like people with more scientific and photographic technical expertise than I have to examine that camera. I would like to look at documents of the Dallas police crime lab, how many photographs of photographs they took, who saw them, where they went, were any used as an original photograph, did that get into the official record, and such.

1

14

1 ~

I would also like to know if the review panel has been in 2 contact with organizations that have photographic evidence like local 3 television stations here in Dallas, the Sixth Floor Museum has a lot of 4 photographs, Southern Methodist University, Dallas Times Herald 5 although no longer in existence as a newspaper must have their 6 archives somewhere, the Dallas Morning News, Fort Worth Star 7 Telegram, and things like that. How would you guys go about 8 getting material from that, or would you need more specific 9 information from someone like me asking them a question? 10 CHAIRMAN TUNHEIM: Well, we simply are taking any 11 input today that you might have. Those are good suggestions for us 12 to follow-up on, and we certainly will do that. Whatever precision 13

you can provide to us in terms of the kinds of records that you think

are there is helpful to us.

1

12

13

14

MR. BACKES: Along those lines, how much of 2 investigatory work would you do? Like if I have an opinion that 3 there is a film or photograph at one of these places, and they come 4 back to you or they deny it. I mean, I am not for certain that such 5 a photograph may be there, but how much investigatory work would 6 you do, or would you throw that back on a researcher such as myself? 7 CHAIRMAN TUNHEIM: We certainly would make every 8 effort to follow-up, but it would be very helpful for us to know the 9 basis for which you believe that there are photographs or records at 10 the location that you are asking us to seek. 11

MR. BACKES: Okay. I would also ask to look into the National Photographic Interpretation Center, which was like the CIA's photo lab, basically. There are documents that they may have had

the Zapruder film on the night of the assassination that is somewhat in dispute, maybe it was a few days later, or something, but that, in my opinion, would be part of their job. I would want them to look You know, I am sure there are honest people in the CIA, there are honest people in the FBI, and some of them are actually trying to do a respectable job and trying to find out what happened, and some people say they are trying to mess around with the photographic evidence, but to get the documents from these organizations and to know that the names of the employees and who worked there, and what the hierarchy Washington, who was whose boss, and exactly what went on would be interesting to look at.

Also, radio is something that is often overlooked as a source of valuable information. I would ask to look at the FCC, if they have master copies of radio broadcasts that day, and similarly

_

14

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1	like CBS News is kind of difficult to get material from, basically their
2	policy is, if they didn't air it, you can't see it. I think they have an
3	archive in Fort Lee, New Jersey. That would be the number one
4	network I would ask the Review Board to pay attention to, especially
5	outtakes of interviews, like if it was ten minutes on a national
6	program, there must be three or four hours with that person that
7	didn't get on television that must be stored on tape somewhere.
	That is basically it.

MR. JOYCE: Mr. Backes, you described yourself as a private researcher. In the course of your research on the assassination, have you identified any records either in private hands, rather, or that are restricted in government that you specifically would wish us to go after that you know exist?

MR. BACKES: The Dallas policemen that I have

	mentioned,	and 1	think	that	could	start	а	snowball	effect	because
1						200 0		20 00 00 000		

- people don't want to be out in the spotlight that they have been
- withholding documents for a long time. It is like, you know, what
- about him. Don't look at me, this is something that maybe their
- boss, Chief Curry, or Will Fritz says not to talk about it.

Because at Bethesda also there was like a gag order to

- people like Floyd Reeby, and Gerald Custer that David Lifton
- interviewed on film, you know, you are not to discuss this and you
- might be court-martialed if you do. There may have been something
- similar to that in the Dallas Police Department.

I know for a fact that Detective Lavelle has notes on the

interrogation of Lee Harvey Oswald because he said so publicly and 1 12

have it on videotape, and I will mail that videotape of that panel

conference to you, and you can see for yourself. He says: I will not

1	release mine. Well, if you don't have any, what are you talking
2	about.
3	CHAIRMAN TUNHEIM: Further questions?
4	DR. HALL: I do have a question.
5	It is a question we haven't had the occasion to pose today
6	but I would like to pose it in a brief compass, and that is to ask you
7	whether, not as a matter of law or a matter of statutory authority,
8	but as a matter of principle and good public policy, whether you
9	believe it is appropriate for Federal agency, and an agency of the
10	United States Government, to take the property of private
11	individuals?
12	MR. BACKES: I think this is going to be a difficult,
13	probably your most difficult assignment in releasing documents. I
14	am a little bit annoyed at how private ownership has been held up as

this sacred thing, especially with the Zapruder film, almost from day

one, and I question that the Secret Service didn't say, hand it over,

and how the right of private ownership throughout this case,

especially with the photographic evidence had been used as a barrier

to prevent honest research, and I would ask the Review Board if it is

possible, they can keep the rights to the photographs, but I would like

them to be made more public, more available, maybe if you could

somehow bend that, especially with organizations like CBS and

Time-Life, because I believe there was a system set up to acquire the

photographs and put them in the hands of these corporations to

prevent public access to it.

10

12

13

14

1 ~

No one saw the Zapruder film until 1975, 13 years later.

It was shown during the Garrison trial, but I would kind of ask if

there is someway -- when we have really important evidence like the

	Zapruder	film	or the	Nix	film.	to	kind	of	bend	private	ownership	laws
1					111111			٠.		p	5 VIII 5 VIII P	

- They can have royalties or something, like a play, there are royalties
- to the writer, but if you pay him a reasonable amount and you
- produce the show any way you want it, something like that should
- happen with the photographs. I mean pay the royalty to the owner,
- but the public should have them, be allowed to look at them.
- DR. HALL: Thank you.
- MR. BACKES: Thank you very much.
- CHAIRMAN TUNHEIM: Thank you, Mr. Backes.
- MR. MARWELL: Martin Shackelford.
- CHAIRMAN TUNHEIM: Good afternoon, Mr. Shackelford.
- MR. SHACKELFORD: Good afternoon. My name is
- Martin Shackelford, that is S-h-a-c-k-e-l-f-o-r-d. I am a 13
- delinquency social worker in Michigan with a Bachelor's Degree in

	History from	the University of Michigan.	I have studied the JFK case
1	· ····································		

- for 20 years with a primary focus on the photographic evidence, and
- I also try to keep up with the literature on the case.

Mr. Hall has been asking the question about agent

- identities, my own feeling is that if an employee of the U.S.
- Government has concealed information on this case, has covered up
- the facts in the case, the American public has the right to know who
- that person is. So in that type of situation, I would have no qualms
- about revealing the name.

I gave a list of materials, sources of materials to the Board

prior to the in Washington, D.C., hearing. A couple of things have

come to my attention in the last few days. One was in an article in

the Fourth Decade by Philip Coppins, a Belgian journalist that I have

been in correspondence with. He reports on an article written by

13

two Dutch journalists about Lee Harvey Oswald's stay in Holland, and one of the things that came out of that is that there is an American Express file on Lee Harvey Oswald in the Rotterdam Branch Office of American Express. That might give some of the expenditures of the Oswalds while they were in Holland, it might give a little more information on an aspect of his return from the Soviet Union that we really don't have very much information on at this point.

The American Express Office told the journalists that as far as they knew there was nothing of particular interest in the file and, therefore, they weren't going to show it to them. But apparently there is such a file there. If Oswald had American Express, there may be other American Express files with information about him as well.

The other matter which has come up was most recently

1	mentioned in Anthony Sommers article in Vanity Fair is the CIA
2	project called Q K Enchant. Apparently Clay Shaw had a clearance
3	for that project, apparently also the Director of the San Francisco
4	Trade Mart, who was Mr. Shaw's witness to say that he was in San
5	Francisco at the time of the assassination also had a clearance for
6	that project.
7	Right now there is nothing in the public record as far as I
8	know about that project, what it was, what it was supposed to be
9	doing, and I think it is important to clear that up and get some
10	information out as to what the Q K Enchant was. I am sure
11	something could be released on that that would satisfy the public
12	interest.
13	Thanks very much.
14	CHAIRMAN TUNHEIM: Thank you.

1	Any questions?
2	[No response.]
3	CHAIRMAN TUNHEIM: Thank you, Mr. Shackelford. We
4	appreciate your assistance.
5	MR. SCHAEFFER: My name is Roy Schaeffer and I am a
6	private citizen, and I never met Hal Verb, but I am
7	CHAIRMAN TUNHEIM: Could you spell your name for
8	the record?
9	MR. SCHAEFFER: Okay, it is S-c-h-a-e-f-f-e-r.
10	CHAIRMAN TUNHEIM: Thank you.
11	MR. SCHAEFFER: I am the person Hal Verb mentioned, I
12	am the person who replaced Oswald after he left El Toro in 1960.
13	He left in 1959, and I joined his unit. So I did have a crypt
14	clearance. I don't want to get into that.

1	Basically, what I would like to mention is, I am a private
2	probably an assassination buff more or less. In 1986, I contacted
3	Jim Garrison, and before he died he had sent well, when he got
4	the Zapruder film down at the trial on '66, he ran off 100 copies.
5	Sometime in 1989, you know, I received a copy from him, and then I
6	have been researching using a copy of the 100 that was made.
7	Now what I had found then at first, you know, I am
8	interested in this flawed editing of the Zapruder film, and I
9	wondered, Zapruder said that he had set his camera on a film speed
10	of 24, and so over the years it got me thinking. In 1963, I worked
11	for the Dayton Daily News, and Hess & Eisenhart was the company
12	that rebuilt the Presidential Limousine, so I had gone down there with
13	a fellow reporter because I had like a scientific background.
1.1	Okay, so anyway what was unique about that time was

the emergency lights on the Presidential car. Now the lights were interesting in one aspect, they blinked, they would blink on one side and then on the other. So one problem I had in -- so I know there was a constant blink rate. Now, I have taken a lot of eight millimeter film. In other words, if something has a constant blink rate and you are photographing it through, like Zapruder, that Bell & Howell camera, then it would show a constant rate.

In other words, if it found that the blink rate was .41 seconds, so it would show a rate of nine blinks in the film. Now what I had submitted, I believe you have that record I gave to Mr. Gunn, I plotted from 133 to 238, and the pattern does not show up that way. So I am suggesting, you know, to yourselves that that proves that alterations was done to the Zapruder film.

Also on the night of the assassination, what I believe, the

film -- in other words, Zapruder took the film to the Kodak lab in

Dallas. Now I have some film expertise. I served a six-year

government sponsored apprenticeship in film, and that had what they

call a 14K process. This 14K process is how they developed

Kodachrome. It is quite complicated. At that time, the only place

that had that process was here in Dallas next to Love Field, and that

was at the Dallas Eastman-Kodak lab.

From my information on the Max B. Phillips minimal, I think Paul Halp talked about that on Commission Exhibit 450, that it shows that the Zapruder film, and I believe three copies were flown to Washington the night of the assassination, I believe they were taken into — they had five hours from my timetable. I worked with a Dr. James Fetzer on this, and also Mike Pinser, he is an attorney. So

any way, I interjected on that, but anyway I lost my place when I

1 ~

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

	said that.	Could you help me?	I lost my place.
1		5	5 7

- DR. HALL: You were saying only the Dallas
- Eastman-Kodak lab.

- MR. SCHAEFFER: Okay. So anyway, it is a very 4 complicated process, and it takes about 45 seconds, so it is called the 5 K-14 but the 14K process because it is what they call a subtractive 6 It is a reversal film that like comes into a color process. 7 transparency after it is developed. So, in other words, I believe that 8 they took the original film to the National Interpretation Lab and at 9 that point they altered it down to approximately 18 frames per 10 Like I say, in 1960 -- so what I am saying is that I believe second. 11
- Now the way I found that out was, I personally had the
 film and I went through and I plotted each blinking light per frame,

Frame Z-133 to 238 is where they altered that.

1	and that is how I derived that the film was altered. Unless you have
2	the actual film, you can't there is no way you can determine that.
3	So that is pretty much what I had to say. I thank you
4	for your time.
5	CHAIRMAN TUNHEIM: Any questions for Mr. Schaeffer?
6	DR. NELSON: I have one. You say you replaced Oswald,
7	that is to say you took over all of his functions, his job?
8	MR. SCHAEFFER: That's correct.
9	DR. NELSON: Which were? What was the job
10	assignment?
11	MR. SCHAEFFER: We worked at TACC, Tactical Air
12	Control Center, basically, and it was basically tracking IFF boxes. In
13	other words Strategic Air Command, and then they had like IFF
14	boxes. And then they would set those in the morning, and then your

1	crypt orders would come down from Washington, and they were like
2	Zulu Time Rated, 24-hour time, and then there was what you call
3	authentifications. So that is what a person that has crypt does.
4	So our job was, when the planes left the United States
5	through the EDACs area was to clear them and plot them, and so
6	that was basically what our job function was there at El Toro, and I
7	am sure Oswald did the same thing.
8	MR. MARWELL: Mr. Chairman, in fact, Mr. Smith had
9	signed up earlier.
10	CHAIRMAN TUNHEIM: Thank you, Mr. Schaeffer, we
11	appreciate your help.
12	MR. SCHAEFFER: Thank you.
13	CHAIRMAN TUNHEIM: Go ahead.
14	MR. SMITH: To follow-up here real quick, my name is

1		·			•
2	Washington in-between	assignments	and looking	at Mr.	Oswald's fil

Kenneth Smith, and I had the privilege of just stopping up in

which was very difficult to locate being it was in a boxcar running

around in the Peoria, Illinois, area, and the essence of what I was told to check on with Oswald was the U-2.

You know, Gary Powers was shot down, and he was from Jenkins, Kentucky, which wasn't too far away from where I lived and where my father's brother lived, my father's sister lived. They wanted to be sure that Oswald wasn't going to Russia and divulging anything about this radar bubble, or what this gentleman has just went through on his job assignment. That is where I entered the picture historically with Mr. Oswald.

Now getting back to me personally, I collaborated with Mr. Schweiker under his Intelligence investigation committee. I

1	transported documents, and liaisoned and discussed matters of the
2	Kennedy assassination with him under Congressional privilege starting
3	in 1972 up until the time that he ran for President with Mr. Reagan,
4	at which time they felt, because of political considerations, it would be
5	unfair for me to go ahead say anything with him.

In other words, that is when officially he lost his committee assignments as far as the people who felt I had the right to discuss matters with him.

Personally, I am involved — personally I was misled to believe that I could publish on the Kennedy assassination, and I did a considerable amount of investigative work which has continued from the time that I looked at Mr. Oswald's file before I came out here to Albuquerque, New Mexico, to use training on crypto.

M.K. Ultra is what you can look up in Crossfire, and the

gentleman might be talking down here to ask about it, or Dick

Russell's book that he has out on Oswald also. But I was more

involved in electronic aspects of the equipment, radar equipment,

than this gentleman here. His job was completely different than

mine. I was interested in intelligence.

I had the privilege to talk with Mr. Kennedy when I was at Fort Mona Signal School. He confided in me that he was interested in running for the Presidency. He was campaigning in New Jersey at the time. I came out to New Mexico for a year, and then I went to Europe. But before I went to Europe I stopped by, and Kennedy was to have three speeches with Richard Nixon. These speeches were to be carried on television, which was a new media. Military intelligence was interested in anything that had that amount

1 ~

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

of national exposure.

I attended the Geneva Disarmament Conferences that was

2

3

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

started at the end of the Nixon Administration. The gist of what happened on this follow-up was, there was an attempt on President

Kennedy's life in Venice, Italy, which I don't want to go into at this

time. However, because of that, and other personal knowledge I had

concerning security, there was a bad problem for the CIA, military

intelligence took over their own gathering of intelligence.

My job, to make it simple, was a nuclear football. I worked on NATO codes for nuclear weapons. Robert McNamara, the Secretary of Defense, insisted these codes be strengthened. I came into this job. Mostly warrant officers reported to me on intelligence. Because of this, I found the situation in Venice, Italy, which developed, and when I got out of the Service, I was asked by Military

Headquarters in Stugaardt, Germany, when I came back to the

1 ~

1	States, when I came back to the States, to Fort Harrison, to divulge
2	what I knew. This came down through the chain of military
3	intelligence.
4	I did work for Naval Intelligence which other people have
5	talked about here, and there was a possibility of a plot to kill
6	Kennedy. I knew that Oswald was in Minz, Russia. There is also
7	something nobody wants to say anything about, there were five
8	different Soviet spy schools in Minz.
9	When I came out here, I was understanding that Oswald's
10	file was going to be released, whether or not he had been working for
11	an intelligence agency prior to the time of the Kennedy assassination.
12	This goes back to what another gentleman said about the District
13	Court here.
1.1	I had to call the District Court in Dallas, and I might be

1	your phantom witness one of these days, about security precautions
2	on the Kennedy assassination. I did a lot of work and tried very
3	hard to convince Mr. Kennedy, through the chain of command, not
4	personally, but it ended up at the White House, Jack Palance, you
5	know, of the Film Guild did a follow-up with me in Jenkins,
6	Kentucky, and we looked into all this one time, which I intend to
	publish on.

In 1982, I attempted to publish my book, no go. They didn't want me to publish it. All right. Now I have talked to Mr. Gates at CIA Headquarters after Mr. Bush signed his directive in 1992 saying that the CIA wanted all this brought out, and they would go along with anybody if they didn't get into national security on the matter.

So that is the reason I feel I have the right to come here

now and tell you that I want to go ahead and publish my book as long as it doesn't jeopardize national security.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

1 ~

Now States Rights is where I am held in. I obtained a Federal authentification through Marshall University, and the Dean of the College at Marshall University, at the time and prior to the time Kennedy was killed. Now my Congressman was Ken Heckler, he is now West Virginia's Secretary of State. He advised me of the procedures that I was to go through with this so that later I could publish what I knew about this prior knowledge. National security estimates are looked at six months and two weeks. Now this was brought up on C-SPAN, by the way. I am not telling any tales out of school, by the way.

At the beginning of the William Gates confirmation hearings, there was an agent that went into how you go about, if you

are an agent and you feel somebody's life is in jeopardy. I talked to

him on the phone and explained my situation to him, but I didn't

have no way to explain what happened. So this is already in the

public domain now.

I went through the same procedures that an agent of the CIA would have went through, and I didn't work for the CIA, I worked for Military Intelligence, I worked for Navy Intelligence, I have made trips behind the Iron Curtain.

But the gist of it is, to make a long story short today, the FBI knew that there was a plot to kill Kennedy at Dallas, and I have been held in limbo under States Rights. I had to go to Charleston, West Virginia, which is my state capital, and appear at the beginning of the Warren Commission hearings for approximately a day-and-a-half in court altogether, I was there more than that, but

1	my testimony would amount to a day-and-a-half, and I have never
2	been able to publish on any of this follow-up investigation.

In 1969, Richard Nixon said, I want all this looked at

again. Well, I got a job as a long-haul truck driver. I really didn't

like the idea because I am a college graduate, but I went along with it,

and I investigated up in the Chicago, and wherever the judges from

Charleston felt it was appropriate for me to go to.

What I found and finally proved that I confided in Mr.

Schweiker about, Secret Service procedures were defeated at Dallas,

and that is the reason the President stopped down here before he got

to the Book Depository. They were worrying about what was going

to happen.

I called Washington from Marshall University. I had a monitor set up. They let me monitor this. I had a monitor set up,

1 ~

and they said the Secret Service has been notified and Kennedy is
going ahead, and that is where the matter ended, and you know
what happened then on the news releases.

But there was prior knowledge. I was with the military.

The military said, after 30 years, we are not hiding nothing as long as it don't have to do with national security. Stugaardt, Germany, was my battalion headquarters. I was under the authority of the Provost Marshal, Heidelberg, Germany.

I gave testimony to all of this in Charleston, West Virginia, in February after Kennedy was assassination, and the attorney that represented the State was Mr. Opplinger. He was the head of the Public Service Commission, and at one time they kept the files on this. Now, if you can get State files, everybody will get to see them. If not, I am still going to try to publish.

1	CHAIRMAN TUNHEIM: Thank you, Mr. Smith.
2	Are there any questions?
3	[No response.]
4	CHAIRMAN TUNHEIM: Thank you very much.
5	MR. SMITH: Bob Smith of Huntington, West Virginia,
6	WSAZ, showed your picture on TV and said he talked to you, that is
7	where I approached the legal law clerk and started this procedure of
8	obtaining this national security assessment.
9	CHAIRMAN TUNHEIM: Thank you.
10	MR. SMITH: You are welcome.
11	CHAIRMAN TUNHEIM: We have reached the end of our
12	hearing today. All of the witnesses who had signed up to testify have
13	testified, all the ones that were able to make it.
14	We do intend to publish a draft definition of the term

"assassination record" hopefully by the middle part of December in the

Federal Register for commentary. That is part of the Review Board

to further define the words assassination record as they appear in the

legislation which created this Board. So that really is one of the next

items on our agenda.

But on behalf of the Review Board today, I would like to express my thanks to all of the witnesses for their assistance. We appreciate your help and also your willingness to come forward and present testimony to us today.

Anyone who is here today who has further information or other information that might be relevant to the assassination of President Kennedy, we would encourage you to provide that information to the Review Board. We have offices in Washington, you can get our address here, if you would like it.

	As we	move	toward	the	hopeful	aoal	of full	disclosure,	1
1					1	J		,	

hope that all of you will continue to have an interest in the work of
the Review Board, in the work that we are trying to do, and hope
that you all will realize that your are our partners in this very

important effort as we move forward.

Thank you very much for your attention and interest.

MR. AGUILAR: I just want to get back to the records of notes that were taken during the interrogation of Oswald, my understanding of that would be these men were working in capacity, in an official capacity, at that time taking notes, and in that official capacity, presumably, they were employed by the citizens of the United States, and any notes they might have been taking were being taken not on their own private personal time, but rather on their employed time. Inasmuch as we employed them, I would

1 ~

expect -- but I am not an attorney, I certainly don't know how to

say this -- that this is not their private property, it pertains to a

murder and that, in fact, those records should be available and, in

fact, it is stunning to me and surprising that they were not originally

made available. They should have been immediately requested, I

would have thought, through legal channels.

Secondly, on the issue that I raised with respect to Mr

Posner, in the attachment I gave you, behind it is a letter I wrote to

the Conyers Committee in which I, prior to having spoken with Dr.

Boswell myself, I raised the questions about how they could have

reversed that. I just wanted to explain that.

The final thing is, I wanted to emphasize again the importance of getting records from Parkland Hospital, the official medical records from Parkland Hospital, and also the question of the

1	autopsy notes. There is a woman named Kathy Cunningham who
2	has written a very lengthy and extraordinarily detailed thing about
3	the presence of notes from the autopsy that are no longer in the
4	record, and I would like to submit that to you. I think she may have
5	sent it to you under separate cover, but if it could be submitted to
6	you formally today, I would like to do so.

CHAIRMAN TUNHEIM: Absolutely.

DR. HALL: If I may, just for the record here so that we don't end up with a question mark, I share your concern about the holding of what are essentially public records in private hands. There is, however, a distinguishable issue between those kinds of records and records that are generated entirely privately, and that is, I think, a matter of some concern.

MR. AGUILAR: With respect to the evidence, and I am

1 ~

not knowledgeable that aspect of the evidence, but my understanding 1 is that there were many individuals taking contemporaneous notes 2 during the interrogation. These are not reflections upon what 3 happened at a later time, and a jotting down of a personal memoir. 4 These are apparently notes that were taken contemporaneously. 5 That, I think, separates them from what someone may reflect upon 6 at a later time at his leisure during his private offduty hours, and I 7 think it is notes that were apparently taken during the time of the 8 interrogation that is being spoken about here today, if I am not 9 mistaken. 10

DR. HALL: I believe, Mr. Aguilar, if you had been here earlier, you would have found that I pursued that line of questioning, and the distinction I think you are trying to draw is an important one, one that I share with you, one that needs to be taken account of

11

12

13

1	in the Board's definition of what constitutes an assassination record,
2	which is why I posed the questions originally.
3	MR. AGUILAR: Okay. Thank you very much. I
4	just I am sorry I wasn't here earlier.
5	CHAIRMAN TUNHEIM: Thank you, Dr. Aguilar. If you
6	want to present that, we will take it.
7	[Whereupon, at 4:05 p.m., the public hearing was
8	concluded.]
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
1 -	