MEMORANDUM

July 24, 2017	
TO:	David Marwell
VIA:	Jeremy Gunn
FROM: Doug Horne	

SUBJECT: Possible Subpoenas of Jerrol Custer and Ed Reed

- 1. On April 18, 1996 and February 6, 1997 I submitted memos to Jeremy explaining why I believed it was important to take Jerrol Custer's deposition. My second memo (a draft dated February 6, 1997) recommended a subpoena, since he had just rebuffed us for the second time on the telephone.
- 2. In the latter half of February, 1997 Jeremy and I discussed the matter further, and he suggested that I prepare a draft memo for you to send to the Review Board which would provide justification for issuing a subpoena.
- 3. I did not tackle that assignment as promptly as I would have wished. However, now that the ARRB has just had one final year of activity authorized, I feel this is an opportune time to refocus on this issue. Accordingly, I am submitting to you, under cover of this memo, my proposed draft of a memo which is designed for you to submit to the Board Members for their consideration.
- 4. Issuing subpoenas to uncooperative witnesses obviously requires this agency's most careful consideration. For this reason, I have provided, in the draft memo, specific questions which would be posed to the two Navy x-ray technicians, as well as the context in which these proposed questions are asked. I believe that without benefit of this level of detail, the Board Members may not see the need to issue subject subpoenas.

You will note that I now feel that both autopsy x-ray technicians should receive a subpoena; they were both at the autopsy, neither individual has cooperated with us, and therefore I feel they should both be treated equally by the ARRB.

Horne t:\medical\cusreed.wpd File: 4.0.2 (JFK Medical)