1	ASSASSINATION RECORDS REVIEW BOARD
2	***
3	PUBLIC HEARING
4	***
5	
6	Auditorium
7	Old U.S. Mint
8	400 Esplande Avenue
9	New Orleans, Louisiana
10	
11	Wednesday, June 28, 1995
12	
13	The above-entitled public hearing commenced, pursuant
14	to notice, at 10:00 a.m.
<i>1</i>	

1		
2	BEFORE:	
3		JOHN R. TUNHEIM,
4		Chairman
5		
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
L1		
12		
L3		
L4		

1	PRESENT FOR THE BOARD:
2	KERMIT L. HALL, Member
3	WILLIAM L. JOYCE, Member
4	ANNA K. NELSON, Member
5	DAVID G. MARWELL, Executive Director
6	
7	PARTICIPANTS:
8	THE HONORABLE LINDY BOGGS
9	THE HONORABLE HARRY F. CONNICK, SR.
10	WAYNE EVERARD
L1	MICHAEL L. KURTZ
12	STEPHEN TYLER
13	CYNTHIA ANNE WEGMANN
14	STEVEN D. TILLEY

1 ~

PROCEEDINGS

3	CHAIRMAN TUNHEIM: A call to order,	this public
4	hearing of the Assassination Records Review Board.	Welcome
5	everyone here to our hearing today in New Orleans.	We're very
6	happy to be here.	

We're happy to be in New Orleans for a number of different reasons: The importance of this city in terms of overall understanding of this very tragic event, the assassination of President Kennedy, the fact that the only criminal prosecution associated with the assassination of President Kennedy took place here in New Orleans, and the fact that the prime suspect in the case, Lee Harvey Oswald, was born here and spent time here in the months before the assassination.

1 ~

Congress of the United States is to find all of the records associated

with the assassination of President Kennedy, put them all together in

one collection at the National Archives freely available to the

We are on a search for records. Our mandate from the

to make their own minds up about what happened on that tragic day

American public to review, to come in, to study, to understand and

in Dallas 31 plus years ago.

It's important for closure to this event to have a complete collection of the records. While one of our central focuses as a Board is a review of Federal records, particularly records that are held at the CIA and FBI and other Federal investigative agencies, records that we are in the process of reviewing now, we are also interested in state and local records, in records that are in private hands because, as I said, what we'd like to see in the end and what the Congress has

tasked us with is creating as complete a collection of the records of
the assassination of President Kennedy as possibly can be done in this

period of time years later.

Just a short bit of history. The Assassination Records

Review Board was created by an act of Congress passed in 1992 and

signed into law by President Bush. Within the following year, the

Board members were appointed, confirmed by the Senate and we

have the begun the process of surveying records in the Federal

Government.

We, in fact, just last week ordered the release in full of 16 records that were held by the CIA, records that had been only partially released in the past. We're hopeful that those records will be available to the public and to researchers within the next month. So the process of reviewing the records is underway.

1 ~

14

10

11

12

We've held other public hearings. We held a public hearing in Dallas, a public hearing in Boston. Part of our mission is to communicate with the American public, to give you an opportunity to let us know where records are. It's very important for us to have the cooperation and assistance of the public because we can't possibly know where all the records are ourselves. So our appeal to the public is to let us know where records are, even if you don't know for sure, if you have a hunch. We're happy to follow up on any leads that anyone might have.

I have to emphasis that it's not the role of this board to reinvestigate the assassination of President Kennedy. It's not our responsibility to come up with a conclusion as to what happened in Dallas 30 years ago. But it is our responsibility to find the records and we have sufficient powers to be able to do that, as given to us by

the Congress.

of 1997. We fully expect to be done with our work by that time
and hopefully in the end we will have a collection of millions of
records at the National Archives that will be freely available to the
public and to researchers. Then the public, hopefully, will be able to
understand and make up their own minds about what happened, the
assassination.

A couple of other points. The Board has met in the past to discuss and finally approve a definition of what an assassination record is. It's my understanding that the final definition is published today in the "Federal Register." So that process is now been completed.

I also want to make a special note of thanks before we

begin to Lyon Garrison and the Garrison family, who have donated records from the personal files of Jim Garrison to the Board for inclusion in the public collection of the JFK assassination records. So I'd like to just publicly acknowledge that donation and thank the Garrison family for their willingness to make Mr. Garrison's records part of the national collection related to the assassination of President Kennedy.

I want to extend a special welcome to all of you here today and especially our witnesses. As I said, we're on the search of records and that's the focus of the testimony today, where records might be, leads that we might be able to follow. This is an issue of trust for the American public, an issue of trust in their government, and we hope that through our work we can restore some of the trust that perhaps has been lost over the past 30 years with the veil of

1	secrecy that has shrouded some of the records of this very tragic
2	event.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

I'd like to call our first witness to the stand this morning and extend a special welcome. Congresswoman Lindy Boggs is here to testify before us this morning, the wife of Hale Boggs, who, of course, was a member of the Warren Commission in 1964. We're especially honored that she has agreed to testify today. Mrs. Boggs Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Mr. Chairman MS. BOGGS: and members of the Committee, welcome to New Orleans and a special welcome to Anna Nelson, who we were very sorry to lose from New Orleans and from her service to our city and state and Tulane We're very happy to have her back for awhile. University.

And welcome to this grand ole building where you have assembled in a splendid effort to uphold the finest traditions of our

Constitutional heritage.

In this era of cynicism about government, your mission is of critical importance. Devoted to the archival history of our nation and to those institutions that preserve and distribute it, I served for several years on the National Historical Publications and Records

Commission at the National Archives, as a member from the U.S.

House of Representatives. I currently serve as a member of the National Archives Foundation Board. I think I'm the Vice President, but I'm not quite sure.

I salute your dedication to your task and am pleased to cooperate with you and I have assured Mr. Samoluk from your Commission that he has my consent to examine the papers of my husband, Hale Boggs, who, of course, was a member of the Warren Commission, at the Tulane University Library.

1	Hale's service on the Warren Commission demanded
2	untold hours of hearings and of reading of transcripts, and also of
3	heartbreaking experiences concerning the assassination of his good
4	friend, Jack Kennedy, and the removal of him as an inspiring young
5	leader of our nation.

When the metal-bound loads of testimony would arrive on our doorstep at home every night, I wished fervently that I could read and digest it and put it into outline form, as I sometimes did with some of the other voluminous testimony from less sensitive hearings.

But, of course, I was precluded by security standards from doing so.

Consequently, Hale read far into the night on many occasions and his attitude was indicative of the devoted service rendered by all of the members of the Warren Commission.

Following Hale's death, Chief Justice Warren often

1 ~

	repeated	to me	that	Hale's	language	that	and	
1					J J.			

- paraphrase -- according to the evidence submitted to this
- Commission, Lee Oswald has assassinated the President, and that this
- language resulted in the unanimous signing of the report by the
- commissioners.

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

My feeling has always been that if new evidence was discovered and new hearings conducted as a result, that Hale would applaud those efforts. Consequently, when Congressman Lou Stokes, a Democrat of Ohio, who chaired the Special Committee to examine new findings and to review the existing testimony, I spoke out in favor of extension of his committee on the floor of the House during the general debate.

Prior to my arrival on the floor, my colleague,

Congressman Dave Treen of New Orleans, and I attended a luncheon

1	with young scholars specially selected from the New Orleans area for
2	this trip to Washington. When Dave asked for a show of hands
3	among the thoughtful young Americans about any doubts concerning
4	the Kennedy assassination and about the necessity to extend the wake
5	of Mr. Stokes' committee, at least two-thirds of the students
6	vigorously thrust their hands up.
7	So, Mr. Chairman and the members of this committee, I
8	strongly thrust up my hand and my encouragement to this
9	Commission, and I wish you well in your continued quest for truth
10	and justice. Thank you.
11	CHAIRMAN TUNHEIM: Thank you, Mrs. Boggs. If you
12	wouldn't mind, if members of the Board have any questions for you.
13	MS. BOGGS: I would be delighted, Mr. Chairman.
14	CHAIRMAN TUNHEIM: Any questions?

MS. NELSON: I don't know that I have a question so

much as I have a comment. That is that I'm not surprised that you

supported the archival record and also the fact that there might be
new information.

Is there a sense that -- did your husband have a sense that the Warren Commission was happening so fast that, in fact, other information would come out? Do you think that was that sense during the Warren Commission?

MS. BOGGS: I think that when they read all the testimony that came to them each night that they felt a great deal of information had come their way. However, there were obvious feelings of wanting to know more about certain areas of the investigation, wanting to have filled in some of the unanswered questions, and of course, that was why it was so necessary for Hale to

1	be able to say that according to the testimony submitted to the
2	Commission, that Oswald was the assassin.
3	MS. NELSON: It's interested the public a great deal as to
4	how members of the Warren Commission were chosen? There have
5	been various members of the public who have questioned that. Do
6	you remember how Congressman Boggs was?
7	MS. BOGGS: Well, Hale was one of the first people who
8	suggested to President Johnson that there should be a commission.
9	MS. NELSON: I see.
10	MS. BOGGS: Hale was devoted to President Kennedy,
11	and there was some talk following the assassination that Hale had
12	warned the President not to go to Dallas. The connotation was that
13	it would be physically dangerous for him to do so.
14	That was not Hale's message to the President because just

1	a few weeks prior to that the President was coming to New Orleans
2	to dedicate the new wharf and the President said to Hale that he had
3	some warning that he should not come to New Orleans. Hale had
4	answered when the President of the United States can't go to a city
5	of the United States and be protected, we've come to a very difficult
6	time in our nation's history, and encouraged him to come.

But Hale's warning the President about going to Dallas
was that there was great in fighting among the members of the

Democratic party and the Democratic stars in the state and he didn't
want the President to become involved in a factional disagreement.

So that I'm happy to have another opportunity to lay that rumor to rest.

MS. NELSON: Thank you very, very much.

MS. BOGGS: I thank you.

1	MR. HALL:	Mrs. Boggs, knowing what our job is, is there
2	any place in particular	where you might send us to look for

documents?

MS. BOGGS: I hope that you have examined all the

documents from the Louis Stokes' Committee and I'm certain that

you have been in touch with Congressman Stokes. He is an

extraordinarily reliable member of Congress and a searcher always for

the truth. He would be a source of tremendous help to you.

Also, I think that what you're doing in reaching out to people who are in the public sector, just people who are perhaps have information, have documents, have recorded perhaps conversations and so on, that you're doing the correct thing. Of course, you are to judge these were valid expressions or not. But the expressions should be there for the public to see, whether you consider them valid or not.

1 ~

assassination of President Kennedy and the prosecution of Mr. Clay Shaw, which was conducted by former District Attorney James Garrison in the late 1960s. Good morning, Mr. Connick and thank you.	1	I am very pleased that you are involved in that quest.
MS. BOGGS: Thank you very much. [Applause.] CHAIRMAN TUNHEIM: Our next witness is the Honorable Harry F. Connick, Sr., the District Attorney of New Orleans. His office possesses records from the investigation into the assassination of President Kennedy and the prosecution of Mr. Clay Shaw, which was conducted by former District Attorney James Garrison in the late 1960s. Good morning, Mr. Connick and thank you. MR. CONNICK: Good morning. Thank you very much. I want to compliment you after some prolonged thought and	2	CHAIRMAN TUNHEIM: Thank you very much, Mrs.
[Applause.] CHAIRMAN TUNHEIM: Our next witness is the Honorable Harry F. Connick, Sr., the District Attorney of New Orleans. His office possesses records from the investigation into the assassination of President Kennedy and the prosecution of Mr. Clay Shaw, which was conducted by former District Attorney James Garrison in the late 1960s. Good morning, Mr. Connick and thank you. MR. CONNICK: Good morning. Thank you very much. I want to compliment you after some prolonged thought and	3	Boggs. We really appreciate your testimony this morning.
CHAIRMAN TUNHEIM: Our next witness is the Honorable Harry F. Connick, Sr., the District Attorney of New Orleans. His office possesses records from the investigation into the assassination of President Kennedy and the prosecution of Mr. Clay Shaw, which was conducted by former District Attorney James Garrison in the late 1960s. Good morning, Mr. Connick and thank you. MR. CONNICK: Good morning. Thank you very much. I want to compliment you after some prolonged thought and	4	MS. BOGGS: Thank you very much.
Honorable Harry F. Connick, Sr., the District Attorney of New Orleans. His office possesses records from the investigation into the assassination of President Kennedy and the prosecution of Mr. Clay Shaw, which was conducted by former District Attorney James Garrison in the late 1960s. Good morning, Mr. Connick and thank you. MR. CONNICK: Good morning. Thank you very much. I want to compliment you after some prolonged thought and	5	[Applause.]
Orleans. His office possesses records from the investigation into the assassination of President Kennedy and the prosecution of Mr. Clay Shaw, which was conducted by former District Attorney James Garrison in the late 1960s. Good morning, Mr. Connick and thank you. MR. CONNICK: Good morning. Thank you very much. I want to compliment you after some prolonged thought and	6	CHAIRMAN TUNHEIM: Our next witness is the
assassination of President Kennedy and the prosecution of Mr. Clay Shaw, which was conducted by former District Attorney James Garrison in the late 1960s. Good morning, Mr. Connick and thank you. MR. CONNICK: Good morning. Thank you very much. I want to compliment you after some prolonged thought and	7	Honorable Harry F. Connick, Sr., the District Attorney of New
Shaw, which was conducted by former District Attorney James Garrison in the late 1960s. Good morning, Mr. Connick and thank you. MR. CONNICK: Good morning. Thank you very much. I want to compliment you after some prolonged thought and	8	Orleans. His office possesses records from the investigation into the
Garrison in the late 1960s. Good morning, Mr. Connick and thank you. MR. CONNICK: Good morning. Thank you very much. I want to compliment you after some prolonged thought and	9	assassination of President Kennedy and the prosecution of Mr. Clay
12 you. 12 MR. CONNICK: Good morning. Thank you very much. 13 I want to compliment you after some prolonged thought and	10	Shaw, which was conducted by former District Attorney James
MR. CONNICK: Good morning. Thank you very much. 13 I want to compliment you after some prolonged thought and	11	Garrison in the late 1960s. Good morning, Mr. Connick and thank
I want to compliment you after some prolonged thought and	12	you.
I want to compliment you after some prolonged thought and 14	13	MR. CONNICK: Good morning. Thank you very much.
	14	I want to compliment you after some prolonged thought and

1	deliberation about the propriety of what to do with these records
2	that we have. I compliment you for attempting to do what I think is
3	a necessary undertaking. Your folks came down to our office and we
4	made available to them the viewing of what records that we have in
5	our office that were left.

At my understanding from talking to people who had some familiarity with this investigation and prosecution, there was a substantial amount of material at one time and that what we have left how when we took office in 1974, in April of 1974, we, in essence, had one file cabinet with five drawers of material in it.

Then in 1990, we turned some of those materials over to the public library and I think they're going to make that available to you.

But we think that what you are doing is important and we think that what we can hopefully add to what you're doing will

1 ~

1	clarify some of the clouded areas of the past and make sense out of
2	what happened.

CHAIRMAN TUNHEIM: Thank you. Questions?

MR. HALL: Mr. Connick, do you have any idea whether the documents that have been held at your office have remained the same since the donation that was made to the public library

MR. CONNICK: Did they remain the same?

MR. HALL: Yes. Has there been any official deletions of those materials?

MR. CONNICK: No, the materials that I have in my office and have had for 21-1/2 years have been I think under fairly close control and we really haven't had to give access except on maybe one occasion, shortly after we took office. But most of that is intact. A lot of it, though, is missing and was taken before we took

1	office. This is my understanding. Where that is, I don't know.
2	I might also answer one of the questions that you posed to
3	Congresswoman Lindy Boggs. There are a lot of folks that were
4	connected with that investigation and prosecution and were in that
5	office from that time of the trial. So we took office in '74. I think
6	that a lot of that material is probably in their custody.
7	I think those files were rifled and I think they took from
8	those files things that would be of great interest to the American
9	public and to the world as a matter of fact, because of what
10	happened in that case and the tragedy of the whole short prosecution.
L1	But what we have has been fairly well untouched for 21-1/2 years
12	until very recently.
13	MR. HALL: Thank you.
14	CHAIRMAN TUNHEIM: Mr. Connick, are there lists

1	available of prior employees of the Office that we might be able to
2	follow up on

MR. CONNICK: Yes.

CHAIRMAN TUNHEIM: And see?

MR. CONNICK: Yes. I think anyone down here in New Orleans who followed that prosecution can give you that information, too. But we have some of that information, if not all of it, and can let you have it.

For instance, I was talking to someone who was very close to the investigation at that time. I was told that there was an index, there was a record kept, an archive as a matter of fact, of everything that came into that office connected with that investigation and prosecution, and all those things are gone. We'll be happy to work with you and your folks to make information and

1	possible leads available to them so you perhaps can recover some of		
2	that.		
3	MR. JOYCE: Mr. Connick, in addition to the Clay Shaw		
4	prosecution by Mr. Garrison, have you become aware of other		
5	information that might be related to the assassination of President		
6	Kennedy that would be local in its orientation and that we might		
7	want to pursue?		
8	MR. CONNICK: Not really, not really. I wasn't in the		
9	United States Attorneys Office at that time of the Clay Shaw trial		
10	and was removed from any direct contact with anything that		
11	happened in that building. But I don't know of anything.		
12	I know I spoke to our Clerk of Court this morning. There		
13	was some question about this Zapruder film because it was not in my		
14	office when we went there. I was asking him if he had turned over		

1	to you information that the Clerk's Office had in connection with the				
2	prosecution of Mr. Shaw. He informed me that he had made				
3	available to you these things, but did not know whether that				
4	particular film was in that packet or not.				
5	MR. JOYCE: I see. Thank you.				
6	MR. HALL: Do you know if the public servant under				
7	Louisiana law removed materials relating to this investigation whether				
8	that would be a violation of Louisiana law?				
9	MR. CONNICK: Our criminal code calls that theft				
10	MR. HALL: It's pretty simple.				
11	MR. CONNICK: It's pretty clear that you have no right				
12	to take something that belongs to the state. If a public servant				
13	removes documents, as they obviously did in Mr. Garrison's office,				

then that would constitute a violation of our law on theft.

MR. HALL: Would you say that over the past quarter of

a century, maybe over the past 30 years, the level of record keeping

in the District Attorney's Office can best be described as diligent and

systematic?

1 ~

MR. CONNICK: Well, when we went into office, it was a pretty sorry state of affairs. We immediately took an inventory of everything that we were inheriting from Mr. Garrison and we found that it was not a very well managed office and that things were run in a very slipshod manner.

We set about to correct that by bringing in a computer system and by accounting for every record that we were responsible for, every police report, where that police report or where that case went, whether it was accepted or refused or referred to another law enforcement body. But it was — it took us a while to compile that.

It was in bad shape.	Thank you very much
----------------------	---------------------

2	CHAIRMAN TUNHEIM: Thank you, Mr. Connick. You've
3	been extraordinarily helpful and generous with your time and staff
4	time and we appreciate that. Thank you.

Next, we'd like to hear from Mr. Steve Tilley, who is the person in charge of the JFK Collection at the National Archives. Mr. Tilley works closely with us. We're an independent agency. He works for the National Archives, but he's the person who is in charge of the collection and he periodically provides updates to the Board on additions that have been made to the collection and he will give us an update today. Welcome, Steve.

MR. TILLEY: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. It's a pleasure to appear before the Board again.

On the Kennedy Act, the John F. Kennedy Act, mandated

	seven specific	responsibilities:	to the	National	Archives,	but fo	or ou	r
1	•	•						

purposes today I'll discuss that I think are probably the most

important as far as the public is concerned.

First, within 45 days of the statute being signed, the

Archives was required to prepare to make available standard

identification forms for use by all government offices in describing

assassination records. Further, the Archives was required to ensure

the creation of a database for these identification forms to serve as an electronic finding aid to the collection.

Of course, as the Board knows and as anybody who has researched with us knows, this, in fact, has been accomplished and the database is up and running at this time. It currently contains about 120,000 forms, records we say or forms. It's important to point out that the database does not contain the actual documents

_

themselves. It is not a scanned type situation where the actual text are in the database. This is a database of the record identification forms that have been created by the agencies as they reviewed their records.

At this time, the database can still only be searched by members of the National Archives staff, but we are continuing to work on getting this thing available via the Internet, which is a development I know the research community is awaiting.

Our second responsibility was to establish the President

John F. Kennedy Assassination Records Collection. On December 28,

1992, we established the collection based on an announcement

published in the "Federal Register" on December 21. This

announcement also solicited open assassination records from all

Federal agencies.

Now since the Archives already had custody of most of the open records, this is primarily just a technical event designating the follow records as part of the collection: It was the records of the Warren Commission; the records of the Secret Service; part of the records of the Department of Justice, the Criminal Division case file, which we already had custody of; records of the Central Intelligence Agency, the CIA having already transferred the first portion of Lee Harvey Oswald's 201 personality file in September of 1992; and personal papers and donated records from our presidential libraries.

A third major requirement was, along with other government agencies, was to identify, review and make available to the public all assassination records that were closed that could be disclosed within a 300-day review period. All records reviewed in this 300-day review period were required to be entered into the

1 ~

database and have a record identification form attached.

1

11

12

13

14

At the end of the 300-day review period, which was 2 August 23, 1993, the Archives made available the newly released 3 records, which included the remainder of the CIA's 201 file, along 4 with other records which we've deemed the "segregated collection"; 5 records from several components of the Department of Justice, 6 however, none from the Federal Bureau of Investigation at that time; 7 the records pertaining to the President Kennedy assassination from 8 the House Select Committee on Assassinations; and records from our 9 presidential libraries. 10

Now the first FBI records were transferred in December of 1993, beginning with the records on the investigation of Jack Ruby.

Since then, we have also acquired records on the -- their file on Lee

Oswald and also their file on the assassination itself. The FBI has also

1	transferred files on related individuals, such as Marina Oswald, David
2	Ferrie and Clay Shaw and on related subjects, such as its liaison file
3	with the House Select Committee on Assassination and the Church
4	Committee. Also files on certain individuals related to organized
	crime, such as Sam Giancana. Other files are also under review at

In September of '94, the CIA made an additional transfer

of approximately 30,000 pages of material as part of the segregated

collection, and these records relate primarily in CIA's with Cuban exile

groups in the early 1960s.

At this time, though, I should point out that only a portion of the 201 file is available on the database, can be searched through the database. We're still waiting for the transfer of disks, dated disks from the CIA for the remainder of their records.

1 ~

the FBI.

and the initial transfer took place in January of 1994. We currently

have approximately 40,000 pages of this material. There are

additional records under review at the committee. Once again, we

cannot search these in the database at this time. The committee

Now we also have the records of the Church Committee

hasn't turned over their disks yet.

There are also three boxes from the records of the Pipe

Committee that have been transferred. It's important for the

research community to remember that those two committees looked

at a number of different subjects dealing with the activities of the

CIA, primarily domestic activities outside their charter, and potential

involvement with the assassination of President Kennedy was only one

aspect of their charge and we have other records related to that

particular aspect of their work.

1	We have State Department records.	We have received
2	approximately 17,000 pages of State Departmen	nt records. We also
	have records of the Naval Criminal Investigative	Service, which

includes records of the Office of Naval Intelligence.

Records from presidential libraries. We have a number of records from five of our libraries. The three that had the most materials, of course, are the Johnson Library, the Kennedy Library and the Ford Library. Materials from the Johnson Library include transcripts and tape recordings of conversations of President Johnson that are related to the assassination.

All conversations of December and November of '63 have been released in the interest of having total disclosure so there would be no idea that perhaps certain conversations in that most important period right after the assassination were being withheld. From

1 ~

January to '64 on then, assassination related conversations have been released.

All tape recordings that have been identified by the staff of the library have been released with — there are a few which have some minor deletions. Not every conversation has a transcript and the Archives does not make transcripts of records and the transcripts that do exist were made by the staff of the White House or persons working for President Johnson on a private basis at the time he working on his memoirs, "The Vantage Point."

The Kennedy Library has released desk diaries, telephone messages, and telephone logs of Attorney General Robert F. Kennedy for the years 1961 to 1964. There are some gaps in these records, however, and they've also released copies of the Secret Service gate post log for the White House.

1	Now just in the past month, Kennedy Library has also
2	released papers from the documents from the papers of Theodore
3	White, that deal with the so-called "Camelot Papers" and based on an
4	interview he did with Mrs. Kennedy on November 29, 1963. They
5	have just recently been released and have been added to the
6	collection.

Now in November of 1994, the CIA sent a team of reviewers to the Ford Library to review records of the Rockefeller Commission, which are in the custody of the Ford Library. That review has resulted in the release of approximately a third of those records. We are still awaiting copies of those records to be sent by the staff of the Ford Library. The remainder of that file is still under review by the CIA.

At this time -- well, let me just say one other thing in

1 ~

reference	to	court	material	ls.

2	There are some Federal court records at our record center
3	in Fort Worth that do apply to Clay Shaw and to Jim Garrison. My
4	understanding is that Mr. Shaw eventually had to get a restraining
5	order through the Federal courts in New Orleans to basically keep Jim
6	Garrison away from him and we have found out that there are files
7	down there and we are getting copies of those and we'll be adding
8	them to the collection as soon as possible. Of course, they'll be open.
9	Shouldn't be any problem with any withholdings there.
10	CHAIRMAN TUNHEIM: Are those records, Steve, in Fort
11	Worth did you say?
12	MR. TILLEY: Yes.

CHAIRMAN TUNHEIM: And they're not held under any

seal of court at this time?

1	MR. TILLEY: I'm sorry.
2	CHAIRMAN TUNHEIM: They're not held under any seal,
3	any court seal at this point?
4	MR. TILLEY: No, they're just part of the holdings of the
5	U.S. District Court record group down there and we've had people
6	down there go through the finding agent and identify these case files
7	that apply to this. So they are going to be copying those and then
8	sending them to us.
9	Around this time the collection is approximately doubled
10	since the time we opened it August of 1993, well over a million pages
L1	of documents so far. We are awaiting additional records to be
12	transferred from other agencies and, of course, records that will come
13	to us through the activities of the Review Board.
1 1	I'll be glad to answer any questions the Board may have,

CHAIRMAN TUNHEIM: Thank you, Mr. Tilley. Are
there questions?

MS. NELSON: You keep mentioning gaps. Can you tell us a little bit more because, of course, gaps are what we as a board have to deal with, those things that are not open? Can you tell us a little bit more about the gaps, for example, gaps in some of the collections from the Kennedy Library?

MR. TILLEY: Sure. The particular instance that I mentioned is that the desk diaries for Robert Kennedy, the desk diaries, which are basically a calendar of his daily events with his meetings and et cetera that on there, the diary for 1963 is missing. The Library staff indicates that it was never in the possession of the library. It was never turned over to the library by the Kennedy

1	family. So that's one example where there is a gap.
2	There are also gaps in some of the telephone logs for that
3	period. I believe we're missing both '62 and '63 on the telephone
4	logs. So that's an example of a gap that does exist.
5	MS. NELSON: Are there similar gaps in the Johnson
6	Library?
7	MR. TILLEY: Not really, no. I don't think there's
8	anything like that. We have a complete listing of all of the tape
9	recordings that are in the Johnson Library and the library staff has
10	been listening to all the tape recordings since the law was passed and
11	has identified what they say are all the assassination related tape
12	recordings.
13	Now they have recently informed us that a couple of more
14	have been identified that will eventually come to us. But there is an

1	ongoing review and I really don't think we can say there's any gaps
2	there. They seem to have a good control of what they have.
3	MS. NELSON: The documents that are turned over by
4	the government agencies have deletions? That's the gaps?
5	MR. TILLEY: Well, yes. I mean many of the records
6	that have been transferred by agencies do have deletions in them. In
7	its initial transfer in '93, the CIA estimated that approximately 10
8	percent of their records were released in full, 10 percent denied in
9	full and 80 percent released with deletions. My experience in looking
10	at the records and in dealing with them over the past couple of years,
11	I would say that's probably a fairly accurate guess.

There's no doubt that the FBI records also contain many, many deletions of information within them. So when I say gaps, I'm talking about things which should be there and aren't. As far as

1	deletions, just many, many documents in the collection do have
2	deletions that have been made by the reviewing agencies.
3	CHAIRMAN TUNHEIM: Mr. Joyce?
4	MR. JOYCE: Mr. Tilley, you refer to a number of
5	instances in which the agencies have not provided the disks necessary
6	to provide information for the database for the collection. Can you
7	elaborate on the reasons why that might be the case and what effects
8	that's had on access to the collection by researchers?
9	CHAIRMAN TUNHEIM: Well, I think in certain instances
10	it's probably because they're doing a lot of quality control checking on
11	the disks. They're trying to make them as accurate as possible.
12	They're going back and putting in more when they have records
13	come back that have been on coordination with another agency,
14	they're holding it so they can try to put that information into it so

it'll be as final as possible before they transfer it to us.

also a question that have so many people working and they're just continuing to review documents and they continue to add documents to the disks. But I won't say that it hasn't had an effect on our ability to service the collection. Certainly, we have not been able to do as good a job in providing access to particularly the records of the CIA without having these data disks available to us.

What we have done is we have tried to create some more traditional archival finding aids, which we call folder title list, where we list the title of every folder that's in a box and provide a paper listing to the researchers, so they can at least get some idea of what is in that particular box or what's in that folder. But it's obviously not as detailed nor nearly as complete as a document level finding, which

1	the database is.
2	I mean the database lists every document that's in the
3	collection. So it's obviously had some effect on our ability to help the
4	researchers.
5	MR. JOYCE: Thank you.
6	CHAIRMAN TUNHEIM: Thank you, Mr. Tilley. I'm
7	constantly struck by the immense volume of the records that are
8	accumulating at the National Archives and we're going to be
9	providing a few more for you.
10	MR. TILLEY: I'm sure you are, sir.
11	CHAIRMAN TUNHEIM: Thank you.
12	MR. MARWELL: I have one question.
13	CHAIRMAN TUNHEIM: Go ahead, David.
14	MR. MARWELL: We've learned today that members of

the public have donated records to the collection. Can you just tell us what will happen to those records, the records that Mr. Connick spoke about and the Garrison family records?

MR. TILLEY: Sure. The Archives has a small gift collection that we have maintained from before where folks have wanted to donate records to the Federal Government. We have procedures where the donation will be received by our Projects Division, we call it, our Archival Projects Division and someone from our staff will probably examine the records at some time and do what we call an appraisal on it.

The appraisal generally would be done to make sure that, in fact, the records are worthy of retention by the National Archives as history of the Federal Government. Obviously, I think in this instance that will be a perfunctory event because obviously these

1	records are worthy of retention as part of the collection.	But there
2	is a bit of a paper process we have to go through.	

But we will have it — we'll have the records appraised,

have a formal document signed, which says they are worthy of

retention in the National Archives, and then once the record is

transferred to us, then we have a certain period of time in order to

process them.

Our projects people will then go through the records. If they are not well-arranged, we'll try to arrange them in some sort of form. If they are properly arranged, we will then accept them as they are. We'll identify them in some manner with some sort of a finding aid.

Then what we will have to do, I think there's no doubt, that we will then to do record identification forms on each document

1 ~

so that they will be part of the database. That will be a time consuming process obviously. I would think that we will try to make some accommodation with the research community. We won't sit back and spend six months or a year doing that process, which for a large collection of records could very well take that long because it is a time consuming process doing this data entry. However, we'll probably try to do it in stages and have other records available with some of a sort less creative finding aid, if you will, for research.

But that will be the process. We will bring them in, do some marketable processing on them and then as soon as possible, make them available.

Let me just say one more thing, I think it will be also — the Board should know that James L. Rankin, Jr., the son of J. Lee Rankin, the General Counsel of the Warren Commission, has

1	contacted us, has written to us and has indicated that he wishes to
2	donate his father's papers to be stored with the records of the Warren
3	Commission, approximately seven boxes. I'm not sure how much
4	volume we're talking about.
5	People from our Records Center, Records Branch, out in
6	Laguna and Miguel in California I'm sorry San Bruno in
7	California, will be in contact with Mr. Rankin in the near future to
8	start the process of having those records made part of the JFK
9	Collection. We're looking forward to adding those to the collection.
10	CHAIRMAN TUNHEIM: It's an important addition to the
11	collection.
12	MR. TILLEY: Yes, I think it is.
13	CHAIRMAN TUNHEIM: Thank you, Mr. Tilley.
14	MR. TILLEY: Thank you, sir.

1	CHAIRMAN TUNHEIM: Our next witness this morning is
2	Cynthia Ann Wegmann. Mrs. Wegmann is the daughter of the late
3	Edward Wegmann, a member of the legal team that defended Clay
4	Shaw at the 1969 assassination conspiracy trial. Good morning,
5	Mrs. Wegmann.

MRS. WEGMANN: Yes. I am Cynthia Wegmann,
daughter of Edward F. Wegmann, who was Clay Shaw's civil attorney
for some 20 years before this tragic event occurred. At that time I
was 16, a senior at the Academy of Sacred Heart here in New
Orleans and totally outraged. I spent the next two years in New
Orleans at Daddy's side and at Mr. Shaw's side trying to assist and at
the same time being totally wide-eyed at the facts and the allegations
and utter insanity of it.

The records that we are making available to the

	Assassination	Board	are	the	records	that	were	maintained	for
1									

- prepared for trial by my father, his brother, Billy, Irving Diamond,
- who was the criminal attorney in charge of the defense team, and Sal
- Panseca. These are the investigation files and the statements taken
- and whatever we could grasp at in order to attempt to defend this
- mass tangle of a web that was conceived and then pursued.
- What is amazing to me now, some 30 years later -- 1
- guess it's not that -- 25 years later, is that despite the fact that
- after a 40-day trial, a 55-minute deliberation by 12 jurors and a
- resounding acquittal, that Clay Shaw's name remains besmirched,
- that he can be portrayed as a buffoon in films, that the true nature
- of the man has been hidden and destroyed.
- I believe that anyone who takes a look at these records
- will realize how amorphous, how little evidence, if any, there was, and

it's for this reason that my mother and I and my brothers would like
to make this record available to the public.

The unfortunate thing in my view is that the records do not tell the story of the man, Clay Shaw, whose true courage has been — his reputation has been ruined — that I met him as a 16-year-old and found him to be a gentleman in every sense of the word, a man of dignity, an enormous presence and only learned later of his contributions to the city; of the fact that he had envisioned the World Trade Mart.

He had started it, he had a great deal to do to encourage trade with the Port of New Orleans, both in South America, France, Belgium. He received awards from those countries — that when he was in the Army he received the Quade Gaie from France, the Legion of Merit and the Bronze Star from the United States, that he made

the first restoration of our French Market.

1

9

10

11

12

13

14

He restored singlehandedly on his limited resources some 2 nine buildings in the Quarter, which remain restored today. He was 3 He spoke several languages -- Spanish, French, English a playwright. 4 and something else. I don't remember what -- and that I would 5 hope that anyone who sees what these records reveal would know 6 that he was a victim, someone chosen to be the patsy, somebody who 7 could make an otherwise fictional investigation gain publicity. 8

Had Clay not died in 1974, some seven years after his arrest and five years after his acquittal, I believe that he would have been vindicated by the civil suit that was brought on his behalf. But because of the quirk in Louisiana inheritance laws at that time, this was considered a personal action and he died without any heirs, any descendants or ascendants. His mother died just months before he

	did.
1	

2	So I would hope that once the public sees that what
3	they're was or what little there was, that then they would allow him
4	to remain at rest. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN TUNHEIM: Thank you, Mrs. Wegmann. If
we could ask you a few questions if you wouldn't mind.

MRS. WEGMANN: Sure.

MR. JOYCE: Mrs. Wegmann, in addition to the records that you've very generously agreed to make available to the Board, would there be other materials that you might guide us to look after to see if there might be supplements to what you've given us?

MRS. WEGMANN: Mr. Joyce, I'm not certain. When my father died in '89, I was left with the contents of his office, which included these records. The contents moved to various places.

1	Clay's records moved to my attic.	I believe that there is one more

file box somewhere in the depths of my attic space that contain

perhaps the records of the civil suit.

I know that Daddy was the lead counsel on the injunction

suit and I believe that in the boxes that I gave Mr. Samoluk yesterday

are the contents of that injunction suit that was held before

Christenberry.

What else may be there may simply be the contents of the civil damage action and perhaps Clay's will file, which, if it is his will file, I believe would be privileged and since there's nobody to ask if we could make it available, I don't believe that we can. I believe that that remains, but for the public matters, public record matters, very confidential. But there's nothing — if I find it, I will make it all available to you.

1 ~

1	I don't believe that either Mr. Diamond or Mr. Panseca or
2	my Uncle Billy have any records because they have referred people to
3	me. But certainly I would believe that Mr. Diamond and Billy
4	Wegmann and Sal Panseca should be contacted to see if they have
5	anything in addition to what we retained in our office.
6	MR. JOYCE: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN TUNHEIM: Dr. Hall?

MR. HALL: District Attorney Connick told us that the thought his predecessor in that office presided over at least some theory that some records were taken that properly belong in the public record. Often the case is that the people who know best what's taken are the people that didn't take them but wish they could find them.

I'm curious whether you would have any suggestions for us

1	about individuals that we might turn our attention who might have
2	private records public records now held privately that relate to the

actions of District Attorney Garrison.

MRS. WEGMANN: I don't but for the copies that were

turned over to us as the -- us -- them. I was a baby -- at the

defense team. When Mr. Gervich left, he made a copy of Garrison's

investigation file up to that point. But it was a Xerox copy and it

only goes through the date of his departure, which was sometime in

June of 1967. Since Garrison's investigation only started in

February, then that's the only copy that we have.

MR. HALL: Well, that's, I think, a particularly important point here because one of the ways at getting at the issue of some of these supposedly missing documents is, in fact, the copies that would have been available to --

1 ~

11

12

13

14

1	MRS. WEGMANN: Well, those are included in the records
2	that I turned over to you Mr. Samoluk, yesterday.

MR. HALL: So it would be interesting to know the veracity of the essential criminal discovery process and the extent to which it really was shared with the defense. But that's very helpful. I appreciate it very much.

MS. NELSON: You mentioned that Clay Shaw died without heirs. Do you know if he had papers? Do you know what happened to his papers or possessions?

MRS. WEGMANN: Clay left his worldly goods, since his mother had died, I believe to Jeff Bidison. But I believe -- I don't know if Mr. Bidison is any longer alive. Edith Stern was a very good friend of Clay's and a staunch supporter, but Ms. Stern is also dead, deceased. I really don't know.

1	I was married in '73 and after that we wrote the briefs,
2	my father and I, to try to sustain the civil action after his death.
3	But what happened to his papers then, I don't know. I became an
4	admiral to the attorney and didn't go on to save the world from evil
5	to save a few votes.
6	MR. HALL: You really went for the world of the arcane
7	then.
8	MRS. WEGMANN: Right.
9	MS. NELSON: But the reason I asked is that very often
10	boxes of records of survive in a curious way as they are moved about
11	and, you know, you just never know what happens.
12	MRS. WEGMANN: Rosemary James I believe also stayed
13	very close to Mr. Shaw until his death, Mrs. Stern, Father Sheridan is
14	now dead. He was a counselor and a supporter for Clay during the

1	trial. I, unfortunately, just don't know.
2	MS. NELSON: That's all right.
3	CHAIRMAN TUNHEIM: Well, thank you very much, Mrs
4	Wegmann. I think the American public will be forever grateful for
5	your donation of these records, to try to set the record straight.
6	MRS. WEGMANN: Thank you.
7	CHAIRMAN TUNHEIM: Thank you. Our next witness
8	this morning is Mr. Stephen Tyler, who is the producer and director
9	of a 1992 documentary entitled, "He Must Have Something: The
10	Real Story of Jim Garrison's Investigation of the Assassination of JFK
11	Thank you, Mr. Tyler, for joining us today.
12	MR. TYLER: Thank you, Mr. Chairman, members of the
13	Board. Welcome to my home town.
14	As you've stated, in 1992 I produced a 90-minute

	television documentary	on Jim	Garrison's	investigation	into :	the
1	9			9		

- assassination of President Kennedy, entitled, "He Must Have 2
- Something." This film was funded by a grant from the Louisiana
- Endowment for the Humanities, the state affiliate of the National
- Endowment for the Humanities.

My goal in producing this program was to present an oral

history of the case. I was interested in the impressions of people who

had had some involvement in the trial or had at least observed it

closely. In keeping with the humanities based theme of this project, I

was particularly interested in how the Shaw case illuminated what it

was and is to be a New Orleanian.

The sometimes carnival atmosphere of the trial, with its
rogues, gallery of witnesses and colorful attorneys for both sides,
typified a view of the city that has since become as cliched as it is

1 ~

regrettably accurate. Furthermore the notion that New Orleans is
really just an overgrown small town were everybody knows one
another, more like two degrees of separation rather than the putative
six, was never more dramatically apparent than in the trial of the
State of Louisiana vs. Clay L. Shaw.

The Shaw case encompassed all the elements which make us natives view the city with such an intense mixture of love and hate, a place whose undeniable charm masks a political legacy and tolerance of corruption — social, political, economic — the likes of which are rarely seen north of, say, Guadalajara.

It was this sense I was trying to convey in "He Must Have Something." It was never meant to be an investigative journalism piece. I was never so much interested in Mr. Shaw's guilt or innocence as much as I was the reasons New Orleanians held an

opinion one way or the other.

1

10

11

12

13

14

1 ~

Still, I began work on that program thoroughly convinced 2 that the jury in the Shaw trial had reached the proper verdict, a 3 belief shared by the vast majority of New Orleanians to this day. I 4 firmly held to this belief throughout production and post-production 5 and well into several screenings of the program, including a featured 6 presentation at the Fourth Annual New Orleans Film and Video 7 Festival and, yes, even after the release of Oliver Stone's motion 8 picture, "JFK." 9

Stone's disputable depiction of Jim Garrison as a

Capra-esque "one man against the system epic hero" outraged me at

the time with its depictions of Clay Shaw as a sinister, menacing fop

taunting the noble Garrison with an air of imperious smugness, a

characterization that contradicted everything even Garrison himself

told me about Shaw. In fact, in my 1990 interview with him,

Garrison spoke admirably of Shaw's dignity in the face of the

catastrophic effects Garrison's investigation had on the defendant.

But for all its faults, the film "JFK" openly led to a new road on my personal assassination journey just as I had reached the end of another. This voyage had begun in 1967 when as a 12-year-old New Orleanian, Shaw's arrest and trial two years later was the first public event I followed on television and in newspapers with any level of sophistication or even understanding.

The fact that Oliver Stone with his access to all the available research on the assassination would feel so strongly about Shaw's guilt planted the first seeds of disillusionment and doubt about everything I thought I knew about this peculiarly New Orleans story.

But the event that did the most to chip away at my assumptions

about Jim Garrison's legacy, was my attendance in October 1992 at
the Second Annual Assassination Symposium on John F. Kennedy in

Dallas.

The assassination research community, a loosely defined

network of citizen researchers dedicated to uncovering the truth

about this hideous crime, is characterized by nothing as much as its

factionalism, and certainly there are factions which accept the verdict

delivered by the Shaw jury in 1969.

But this conference in Dallas in 1992, as soon as I was identified as the producer of "He Must Have Something," I found myself besieged by the alliance which vehemently proclaims Shaw's guilt to this day. I was approached time and time again as "the guy who thinks Clay Shaw is innocent" by people who hadn't even seen my program and I quickly found myself barraged by their claims of

1 ~

9

10

11

12

13

evidence inculpating Shaw.

1

14

I suddenly felt like the child confronted with the 2 suggestion that Santa Claus does not exist, that I was being ridiculed 3 for believing that a fat man really could squeeze through a chimney 4 with a bag of toys over his shoulder. I did not sleep well 5 that first night, and as I futilely sought slumber, I tried to reassure 6 myself that this is the pain of growth, that real knowledge comes 7 from having one's accepted notions challenged by others. It was at 8 this point that I decided to learn more not only about Clay Shaw, this 9 Tangipahoa Parish boy, who by all accounts loved my hometown 10 every bit as much as its most ardent native, but about President 11 Kennedy's assassination in general. 12 I regret I never had the opportunity to meet Clay Shaw. 13

I truly do not know if the man was anything other than the

distinguished retired businessman and French Quarter preservationists

most Orleanians remember him to have been.

I do believe, however, to answer the rhetorical question suggested by the title of my film, that Jim Garrison had something.

Many of his theories have since been confirmed by evidence not available to him, in many cases denied him by representatives of Federal and state governments at the time of the Shaw trial. I also have come to believe there is reason to question whether Mr. Shaw might have been less than forthright in some of his trial testimony.

Documents that have since been declassified suggest the defendant was less than truthful in his denial of any involvement with the Central Intelligence Agency. Whether this involvement was necessarily an indication of any sinister intent is a question that can only be conclusively answered by full and complete release of any

1 ~

relevant, still classified documents.

My interest still lies in the peculiarly New Orleans aspects of the Kennedy assassination, although my focus has shifted from the purely humanistic, the why, to the investigative, the who, what, where and how. To that end, I would like to submit to this august body that the following documents, all of which have particularly relevance to the New Orleans aspect of the assassination, be located, identified, declassified and made available to the American public for its perusal via permanent storage in the National Archives:

All the research files compiled by District Attorney Jim

Garrison and his staff, including those still in the possession Orleans

Parish District Attorney's Office; and any and all files Mr. Garrison

had in his possession during his tenure as appeals court judge,

including those which might have been entrusted by Judge Garrison to

1 ~

his appeal court's staff for safekeeping; all classified documents 1 regarding Clay L. Shaw, including, but not limited to, the Domestic 2 Contact Reports made by Mr. Shaw to the Central Intelligence Agency 3 in the '40s and '50s; all files regarding Mr. Shaw's involvement with a 4 CIA project code named QKENCHANT, for which Mr. Shaw had been 5 assigned a covert security approval in 1962; the United States Army 6 Intelligence files and any other classified files on European trade 7 organizations known alternately as Permandex and/or Central 8 Mondolli Commerciality, on whose boards Mr. Shaw served; the 9 Inspector General's report on the Bay of Pigs, completely 10 un-redacted; the Church Committee's file on CIA media assets 11 completely un-redacted; all files pertaining to INCA, the Information 12 Council of the Americas, including, but not limited to those in the 13 Alton Ochsner Collection; all research compiled by Messrs. Wegmann 14

	and	Irving	Diamond	in	preparation	for	the	defense	of Mr.	Shaw,
1		5			' '					

- including any records pertaining to Mr. Shaw's original counsel, Guy
- Johnson -- and obviously Mrs. Wegmann has already referred to this
- earlier today; and, finally, all notes and materials compiled by Messrs.
- L.J. Delsea and Robert Buras during their work for the House Select
- Committee on Assassinations in the late '70s.

For my part, I am offering to the National Archives not

- only a copy of my completed 90-minute film, "He Must Have
- Something," but out takes from the 30-some on camera interviews
- from which the final program was culled, some 25 hours of materials,
- approximately one-third of which I have right here.

13

14

The more I learn about this case, the more I am appalled

by the shameless bias of the mainstream news media against any

account of the assassination other than the one promulgated by the

Warren Commission. Even if one accepts the widely held notion that

mainstream media is inherently liberal and would automatically

question any version of the official story, it seems ironic that

whenever reports are filed by "Newsweek," "Time," "Esquire,"

"Washington Post," they tried out all the Warren Report apologists

who heap scorn upon conspiracy theorists, regardless of their

credibility.

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

The fact is that every mass opinion poll taken over the years on the subject indicates an overwhelming skepticism about the Warren Report. One month before publishing George Lardner's censorious essay on Oliver Stone's "JFK," the "Washington Post" conducted a survey that showed 59 percent of the American public believed in some sort of conspiracy and that only 19 percent agreed

with the Warren Commission's findings.

1 ~

As far as film and television, my field of endeavor, the overwhelming majority of programs produced by the commercial networks and PBS over the years have, for the most part, ultimately embraced the findings of the Warren Commission. Perhaps the traditional media's attitude towards the assassination for the past three decades can best be epitomized by a recent program entitled, "Who Killed JFK: The Final Chapter."

This 1993 program, produced by CBS News and aired by them that November on the occasion of the 30th anniversary of the assassination, was co-written by Dan Rather and staffers from "Newsweek" and the "Washington Post" and hosted by Rather and concluded with the host averring on camera, "Despite all the attacks, the Warren Commission's main conclusions have so far passed the test of time. There is no proof and very little, if any, credible evidence of

1	any conspiracy. The facts, including much hard physical evidence, do
2	indicate one man was the assassin, Lee Harvey Oswald. Any
3	contrary conclusions are speculation based less on fact than
4	imagination, often by people who divine things the ear cannot hear
_	and the eye cannot see."

So with one fell swoop, the heir to Walter Cronkite's throne, the senior spokesman for the network that gave us Edward R. Murrow, on the occasion of that network's definitive investigative conclusion on the 30th anniversary of President Kennedy's death, dismisses 30 years of dogged, relentless research by serious scholars as the ravings of a collective schizophrenic.

Dan Rather's claim is simply, profoundly untrue. We, the people, deserve more, and if those 59 percent of us who believe in some form of a conspiracy and 81 percent of us who just disagree

_

with the Warren Commission's findings in spite of what the nation's leading media want us to believe, don't constitute an underserved constituency, then I don't know what does.

[Applause.]

MR. TYLER: The President of the United States was assassinated over 30 years ago and, notwithstanding the Warren Commission's conviction of Lee Harvey Oswald, we still don't know all of those who were responsible. There can be no greater goal than uncovering the truth. I sincerely believe "that serious inquiry into the assassination mystery illuminates and enlivens something in us all." to quote James DiEugenio, author of a compelling 1992 study of the Garrison investigation, entitled "Destiny Betrayed."

The American public believes the truth has been hidden from them for over three decades. If there is truly nothing to hide,

1	then there is no better reason for any and all classified documents to
2	be herewith declassified. Only then can the people's trust be
3	restored. Only then can the healing begin. Thank you.
4	[Applause.]
5	CHAIRMAN TUNHEIM: Thank you, Mr. Tyler. We may
6	have a few questions for you, if you don't mind.
7	MR. TYLER: Certainly.
8	MS. NELSON: I think the most valuable thing that you
9	probably have are the oral interviews that are the out takes. It's
10	very difficult to find things like that 30 years after the fact, 20 years
11	after the fact. Who were some of the people that you did interview?
12	Just New Orleanians or people who were associates of
13	MR. TYLER: No. I mean people outside of New Orleans
14	would have been people who had some connection with the case, for

1	example, Mark Lane, the author; James Faelin, a journalist who
2	covered the trial for the "Saturday Evening Post," at the time; people
3	like that, former Governor John McKeithen.

MS. NELSON: Were they people who knew Shaw?

Anyone who knew Oswald?

MR. TYLER: Certainly, certainly. I mean again that's the thing about New Orleans, that everybody knows everyone else.

You know New Orleans is always sort of held it a badge of honor that Oswald was born here. They don't like what he did necessarily or allegedly did, but they're proud of the fact that he's from here.

I think unfortunately though the mystery becomes the celebrant theme because you never know -- people's memory is selective. You never know, in today's lexicon, what different people's agendas are. The fact of the matter is just because I have all these

1	out takes, it begs the question that everybody that talked to me was
2	being completely forthright. I would like to think that my faith in
3	humanity is such that they are, but I've grown a little more
	disillusioned over the years.

CHAIRMAN TUNHEIM: Bill.

MR. JOYCE: Mr. Tyler, you said that there were theories that Jim Garrison had about the activity of Clay Shaw and that there was information that could not have been available to him that now was available. I was wondering if any of that information that you referred to may still be in private hands and is not accessible for researchers or the public more generally to be aware of and, if so, if you can direct us to where some of that might be.

MR. TYLER: From what I understand, you're already on the right track. Judge Garrison's files over the years had evidently

1 ~

become spread out in a variety of different areas. Some of them

were even still at Judge Garrison's home. Some were also, as District

Attorney Connick were saying, in the current District Attorney's

Office.

Some were evidently also transferred to the Court of Appeals Office. I would hope that you might talk to some of the people on the Appellate Court staff during Judge Garrison's tenure regarding any of those documents, some of which I'm relatively certain had been entrusted to that staff for their safekeeping and since Judge Garrison's death I don't know what the status of those documents is.

MR. MARWELL: Do you base your claim that some of the records were given to staff for safekeeping on some evidence that you have or is it --

1	MR. TYLER: Yes. I mean what's been told by somebody.
2	I've not been able to corroborate that necessarily, but I would suggest
3	that it bears further investigation. Any of the people who worked in
4	Judge Garrison's office at the time might be able to clear that up one
5	way or the other.

MR. HALL: Are there any key persons, Mr. Tyler -- and 6 I ask you this question in the context as someone who comes to us as 7 an authority on the character and state of the culture of this city. Are there any individuals connected with the Garrison investigation that we ought to inquire about specifically with regards to records? 10

Being an alleged or putative expert on the MR. TYLER: culture of New Orleans is a blessing as well as a curse. We're very parochial here, and I don't necessarily mean that as a pejorative Much of the information that I have acquired in the

8

9

11

12

13

succeeding years since this assassination film that I produced has come from people outside of New Orleans who look at this case, who look at this city, with a more objective eye.

Everyone I've ever spoken to, for example, echoes the same impressions that Mrs. Wegmann did and I have no doubt whatsoever that those are accurate impressions. The question that needs to be answered is, Are there other aspects of Mr. Shaw's political or professional life that may have led Mr. Garrison's on his path, perhaps not as accurately or as directly as it needed to be, but to bear further scruting?

None of that would necessarily have to impugn Mr. Shaw's reputation. But there are questions that remain unanswered about affiliations with government agencies, intelligence agencies and so forth.

		MR. HALL:	A far	amount	of w	hat i	you've	written
-	1					•	,	

elsewhere or presented elsewhere that I've seen suggests that Clay

Shaw's homosexuality figured to some significant degree in the

working out of Garrison's relationship in going after him. Am I

correct in that judgment?

MR. TYLER: Well, I'm very hesitant to make anything resembling a definitive conclusion about that. I mean I have my own opinions about a sort of psychoanalytic culture approach to that, if you will. But for what it's worth, my personal is to illustrate by example is that I believe that notwithstanding his testimony that Mr. Shaw knew David Ferrie and I've always assumed over the years that Mr. Shaw testified under oath that he did not know Mr. Ferrie because of the fear of potential embarrassment that that might bring him, considering the fact that evidently Mr. Ferrie was a rather

1 ~

notorious homosexual in certain circles in New Orleans at the time.

I have since come to suspect that Mr. Shaw's reticent about being forthright about his relationship with Mr. Ferrie also might have had political connections, namely a mutual involvement with the Central Intelligence Agency. Now whether that involvement was purely benign, whether it was benign in the sense that it was motivated by a sense of patriotism, however misguided, I don't know.

But whether that connection had any sort of sinister intent or sinister result, I don't know that either. But I think that there are documents that sort of chart Mr. Shaw's involvement with that agency, which will help us clear up this question once and for all.

As I indicated, for example, this program called QKENCHANT, one of the cryptonyms that the CIA is fond of, there are documents that have thankfully been released which clearly

1	indicate in black and white that Mr. Shaw had a covert security
2	approval number with that program. Now that's smoke. Whether
3	there is fire there as well, we need to conclusively determine. So Mr.
4	Shaw's legacy can be accurately portrayed and considered.
5	CHAIRMAN TUNHEIM: Mr. Tyler, to the extent that
6	David Ferrie, just referred to, was investigated by Mr. Garrison, are
7	there records we should be pursuing relative to David Ferrie in your
8	point of view?
9	MR. TYLER: The short answer is yes, absolutely. I
10	personally believe that David Ferrie is the key to unlocking once and
L1	for all the mystery of the assassination and questions about whether
12	there was a conspiracy of any sort.
L3	Mr. Ferrie has left quite an interesting trial behind him.
1 1	As far as being able to point you in specific directions, I don't know.

	1	would	be	interested	in	knowing	more	about	any	kind	of	work	Mr
1						5			9				

- Ferrie might have been doing in terms of medical research,
- particularly as it might have been endorsed or sanctioned someway by
- the Ochsner Medical Institutions. That's just a personal question that
- I have. I don't mean to suggest, nor making any kind of accusation,
- but I would like to know more about that.

8

9

10

11

12

13

- Mr. Ferrie was an interesting man indeed and any and all documents or records pertaining to Mr. Ferrie —— for example, his autopsy reports are still in the hands of the current New Orleans

 Parish coroner. Some have suggested, Mr. Garrison included, that those reports indicate Mr. Ferrie's demise as being something that might have had a sinister connection.
- MS. NELSON: If I could just ask briefly, we've concentrated on the record trial of all the people involved in the

own, New flyers on
flyers on
l you about
ople who
, and
comment
comment nd the time

1	anti-Castro activity. Personally, anecdotally, I have had people tell
2	me that there are many occasions that they would be at social
3	functions where anti-Castro Cuban exiles would be vociferously
4	complaining about that S.O.B. Castro. What can we do to get rid of
5	him? Why isn't Kennedy doing more? And Clay Shaw was at these
6	parties at time to time.

Again, you take a case like that, maybe it's just completely innocent. We don't know. We don't know.

MS. NELSON: There is no indication --

MR. TYLER: I was attempting to answer your question about the atmosphere at the time. What you have to remember about the atmosphere at the time was that there was fervent anti-Castro sentiment in this city and Oswald's trial in and out of that has been documented —

1	MS. NELSON: Oh, yes.
2	MR. TYLER: With varying degrees of accuracy and I
3	think conclusiveness.
4	MS. NELSON: What about the attitude toward President
5	Kennedy?
6	MR. TYLER: Well, I mean think New Orleans being one
7	of the most Catholic cities in the world, certainly they felt a
8	particular affinity for President Kennedy for that reason alone.
9	Beyond that, I think those people who might have had a political
10	agenda or leaning of any kind, whether it's anti-Castro Cuban activity
11	or whatever, you know their feelings about Kennedy would flow in
12	that direction.
13	MS. NELSON: But, in fact, you don't know of any other
14	sources of records or documents that have not been revealed about

1 ~

Oswald and the anti-Castro groups?

1

11

12

13

14

MR. TYLER: As I mentioned in my earlier statement, 2 there very well might be some information in the files of the 3 organization INCA, Information Council of the Americas. Also, I 4 think the personal files that were in the office of the late Guy 5 Banister, which evidently have never been accounted for, very well 6 might have information regarding what you're talking about. 7 my knowledge, those files have never been located nor has their 8 location, wherever it is, been confirmed. I presume they might have 9 been destroyed, but we don't know. 10

CHAIRMAN TUNHEIM: Mr. Tyler, one of the issues facing the Board as we go through this process is what to devote primary amount of our resources to. Given the fact that the assassination of President Kennedy occurred during an age when television had come

1	into American living rooms, there is a great amount of material, we
2	believe, that is in the possession of television networks and local
3	television stations, the kind of out takes of the sort that you are
4	donating to our collection, the published collection today.
5	How great a priority would you advise us to set on seeking
6	out takes, copies of videotapes, that might be held by the media?
7	MR. TYLER: I frankly would be a little dubious about any
8	probative value that that might have. I think that certainly the
9	State Archives in Baton Rouge already have much footage from WWL,
10	the CBS affiliate from the time. The New Orleans Public
11	Library Mr. Everard could talk more conclusively about
12	that has a little bit of footage from the ABC affiliate.
13	I think most of what you're going to find there is the sort
14	of images that we've seen, you know, many, many times that are sort

1	of like rocks at the bottom of a stream where the waters float over
2	them for so long that all the rough edges are gone.

I would recommend that more of your efforts be devoted

towards trying to find those actual files and documents that I

enumerated earlier.

CHAIRMAN TUNHEIM: Thank you very much, Mr. Tyler.

I know we appreciate your donation and the public will, your

sharing of your work. Thank you very much.

MR. TYLER: My pleasure.

CHAIRMAN TUNHEIM: Our next witness this morning is

Dr. Michael L. Kurtz, Professor of History at Southeastern Louisiana

and author of a 1982 book on the assassination of President Kennedy

that's entitled, "Crime of the Century." Dr. Kurtz, welcome and

thank you for joining us.

1	DR. KURTZ: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. By way of
2	introduction, let me give the Board a very brief background on my
3	qualifications for testifying before the Board today.
4	I'm one of the very academicians who researched and
5	written about the assassination of President Kennedy. In addition to
6	my book, "Crime of the Century," published by the University of
7	Tennessee Press, I have published two scholarly articles on the
8	assassination in the journals "The Historian" and "Louisiana History."
9	I presented papers on the assassination at meetings of such
10	professional organizations as Phi Alpha Theta, the Southern Historical
11	Association and the Louisiana Historical Association.
12	Now I've given lectures and appeared on panels at places
13	as diverse as Tulane University, Georgia Southern University, Harris
11	County Community College in Texas, and so forth.

1	My purpose in appearing before the Board today is to
2	provide you with suggestions about the acquisition of records as

First, one passage in a recent book about President

Kennedy's foreign policy relationships with Soviet Premier Nikita

Khrushchev, "The Crisis Years," by Michael Beschloss, struck me as

very odd. I'd like to quote the passage from page 682 of that book.

defined by the Act itself. So I will turn to that topic immediately.

"Richard Helms, who at the time was Deputy Director of Plans for the CIA" — this is in early 1964 I might add, the context — "found Johnson distracted well in 1964 by his worry that Kennedy had been assassinated by conspiracy. As Helms recalled, the Agency was" — in here Beschloss is quoting Richard Helms — ""very helpful to Johnson on this" and meet the new president's request for an independent CIA study. Motion picture of the Dallas motorcade

and autopsy photographs were sent to the agency."

In his footnotes, or I should say end notes, Mr. Beschloss

cites a personal interview with Richard Helms as the source of this

statement. I urge the Board to pursue this matter, if, in fact, the

CIA did conduct its own investigation of the assassination

simultaneously with that of the Warren Commission, all records

pertaining to that investigation should, of course, be included in the

JFK Records Collection in the National Archives.

As far as I know, nothing pertaining to that special CIA investigation that Helms mentioned to Beschloss has ever been made public. Certainly nothing in the existing assassination documentation refers to the CIA's having received access to autopsy photographs. I recommend that the Board exercise its legal authority under the Act and, if necessary, subpoena Mr. Helms and interview Mr. Beschloss

about this subject and require the CIA to release unedited any and all of its records concerning this 1964 investigation.

Secondly, I urge the Board to conduct a survey of the documentary record if, indeed, any exists to ascertain precisely when and how the Kennedy family came into legal possession of the autopsy photographs and X-rays and other related materials. I myself have received run-arounds and evasions from the National Archives, the Secret Service and the Kennedy Library about this matter. The family's legal control over these materials has been upheld in Federal court, but at no time has any documentation ever been produced to determine the origins of the family's legal control.

I know of no case anywhere in the United States where the family of a deceased has legal control over the autopsy records of that individual. For example, the Kennedy family does not have legal

1	control over the autopsy records of Senator Robert Kennedy. The
2	State of California quite properly has control over those records.
3	How did the Kennedy family come to have legal possession of those
4	records is a fundamental question for which some trial of evidence
5	under the broad definition of record should exist
6	I urge the Board to, in addition to interviewing Burke
7	Marshall, who, of course, is the Kennedy family's legal representative
8	on this particular matter, the deed to the National Archives in 1966,
9	and conduct a systematic review of all records of the Secret Service,
10	especially that agency's Protective Research Division, which assumed
11	original custody of the materials the night of the autopsy.
12	Additionally, the Board should review all records of the
13	Bethesda Naval Hospital about this matter.
14	Furthermore, along the same general lines, I urge the

1	Board to conduct an intensive investigation into records dealing with
2	the certain actions taken by the Secret Service in 1963. I have
3	communicated with the Secret Service, the Kennedy Library, National
4	Archives about this matter and all of them say no such
5	documentation or records exist. Surely some records exist.

Why did the Secret Service remove President Kennedy's body from Dallas and transport it to Washington? What Federal statute gives the Secret Service jurisdiction over a presidential corpse?

As far as I know, there is none in existence. Why were three Secret Service agents present at the autopsy at Bethesda Naval Hospital? I don't know. No documentation has ever been produced to document that.

Why did Roy Kellerman take possession of the autopsy photographs, the unprocessed negatives and the X-rays at the time of

1	the autopsy? What legal authority did Kellerman have to possess
2	these materials and then turn them over to Robert I. Bouck, the head
3	of the Protective Research Service of the Secret Service, when at that
4	time Lee Harvey Oswald was still alive and these autopsy records were
5	properly legal documents that should have been under the jurisdiction
6	of Dallas District Attorney Henry Wade, not the Secret Service of the
7	United States?

Why did Secret Service Agent James Fox make a private set of autopsy photographs for himself? What legal possession did he have over those autopsy photographs and what legal authority did Fox have to sell these so-called couched set of photographs to David Lifton in 1988 and authorize Lifton to reproduce them?

Why did Robert Bouck turn over the autopsy materials to Robert Kennedy in 1965, that is through the Evelyn Lincoln and

1 ~

But what legal authority did Robert Bouck have? What legal

Angela Novello chain that the House Committee tried to track down?

authority did Robert Kennedy have over Robert Bouck? As far as 1

know, there was none. Robert Bouck answered to the head of the

Secret Service, who answered to the President of the United States,

not to a senator from Massachusetts.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

These are records that I think the Board should certainly try to investigate and not simply accept the word of an agency of the United States that no such records exist. Surely some trial of evidence exists about these questions.

As Steve Tyler was talking -- and, by golly, I wish I had gone before him instead of after him -- he did such a good job of his presentation. I disagree in the sense that I think that the Board should attempt to obtain all out takes of all television documentaries

1	produced about the I	Kennedy	assassination	from	the	time	it	occurre	d
2	until the present.								

For example, in 1967 CBS did a four-hour long special

hosted by Walter Cronkite. We know for a fact that hundreds of

hours of film was made, four hours aired minus the commercials. I'd

like to see the Board obtain these original records, the out takes of

these documentaries, because I think that they could contain very

valuable information.

For example, CBS conducted a firing test of the man with a car cannon and showed only a brief flash on the screen. The complete out takes could certainly provide some additional information about that ballistics evidence.

Numerous other pieces of evidence should also be obtained from these,

the out takes of these documentaries.

1 –

9

10

11

Now in another matter, although conspiracy theories 1 about the Kennedy assassination abound, many of them ludicrous and 2 ridiculous, one that remains a plausible one, supported by a 3 substantial amount of evidence, is the so-called Cuban connection to 4 the assassination. Lyndon Jonhson's often-quoted statement to two 5 sources, Howard K. Smith and Joseph Califano, that "Kennedy was 6 trying to get Castro but Castro got him first." The possibility of 7 Cuban government complicity in the assassination certainly cannot be 8 ruled out. 9

I implore the Board to demand the immediate release of all records of the CIA, FBI, Defense Department, National Security

Agency, State Department and any other agency of the United States

Government under its jurisdiction under the Act pertaining to

U.S.-Cuban relations during the period 1959 to 1963, especially any

10

11

12

13

and all records concerning the assassination plots against the life of 1 Fidel Castro. 2

In addition, I would like -- although Mr. Tilley mentioned that the Lyndon Johnson Library has been very cooperative in this matter, it's quite clear from what he did not say that the John F. Kennedy Library has not been cooperative at all, that the Board should request, even though the Act does not give the Board this authority since this falls under the private deed exemption to the Act -- I believe I'm correct in saying that -- that the Board should at least publicly implore the John F. Kennedy Library to allow its staff 10 members to listen to all White House tapes made during the Kennedy Administration and especially conversations between John Kennedy 12 and Robert Kennedy and any other individuals concerned with 13 U.S.-Cuban relations during that period. 14

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

The same, by the way, could be true -- I'm not aware of

the existence of such -- of any tapes from the Eisenhower presidency

since these activities, of course, originated in 1960 under

Eisenhower's Administration.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

One record potential record comes from a rather surprising source, H.R. Haldeman. In his memoirs, "The Ends of Power," Haldeman actually refers to the Kennedy assassination as the underlying topic of the infamous smoking gun Watergate tape of June 23, 1972, in which Haldeman and Nixon discuss the payment of money to certain Cuban associates of E. Howard Hunt, which was the primary subject of that conversation, although not the specific reason that Nixon got himself into very deep trouble and resigned a few days later, money that originally came from some of Nixon's campaign contributors.

	l recommend	that the	Board	research	Mr.	Halder	man's
1							

papers, as well as those of the Nixon White House tapes to determine
the source of Haldeman's rather surprising reference to the Kennedy
assassination within the context of that smoking gun conversation.

As Mr. Tyler briefly mentioned the name of Guy Banister, certainly Guy Banister remains an enigmatic figure in this case for the relationship, if any, between Oswald and Banister during the spring and summer of 1963. As I have in my book and I'll repeat it here today, I myself saw Banister and Oswald together in New Orleans in the summer of 1963.

On the first occasion, Banister was debating President

Kennedy's civil rights policy with a group of college students, including

myself. Oswald was in the company of Banister. At the

time -- this is the late spring of 1963 -- I was a senior at what at

1 ~

	_	that time	was the	Louisiana	State	University	in New	Orleans,
--	---	-----------	---------	-----------	-------	------------	--------	----------

although today it's called the University of New Orleans.

Banister was not discussing anti-communist, for which he is most widely known, but rather racial integration, and Banister was certainly a rabid segregationist to say the least, vehemently critical of President Kennedy's civil rights policies.

Now the possible racist connections of Lee Harvey Oswald to Guy Banister lead to another recommendation of the Board to peruse the FBI files on such topics as Leander H.J. Perez, Sr., the Citizens Council of Greater New Orleans and a title that, of course, only the FBI under J. Edgar Hoover could have developed,

"Communist Infiltration of the NAACP." There is an actual FBI file with that title. References to Guy Banister may be found also in various papers from the DeLesseps Chep Morrison Collection from

Tulane (Jniversity	and	from	the	New	Orleans	Public	Library.
----------	-------------------	-----	------	-----	-----	---------	--------	----------

Speaking of Tulane University, I'd like also the Board to investigate whether any of the papers of Leon Hubert, who was a law professor at Tulane School of Law, are at the Tulane Library because Mr. Hubert was junior counsel for the Warren Commission and that is a possible source of material. Congresswoman Boggs' testimony earlier made me think of that.

My time has expired. With no time limit, I could easily provide the Board with innumerable other potential sources of information and concerning the availability of records pertaining to the assassination.

In conclusion, I would like to state for the record that the more than three decade long history of obfuscation and suppression of records about the assassination of President Kennedy needs to be

1 ~

1	ended as expeditiously as possible. In that light, I urge this Board to
2	exercise its authority under the Act, to release all records pertaining
3	to the assassination without exception, and to instruct the National
4	Archives to make them available for immediate public inspection.
5	Thank you.

Appreciate your testimony today and your advice to us. Certainly additional advice that you have that you weren't able to pass along today, we'd certainly appreciate it in writing because we will follow up on your suggestions.

CHAIRMAN TUNHEIM: Thank you, Dr. Kurtz.

DR. KURTZ: Yes, for example, Mr. Samoluk of your staff has contacted me about reproducing the preliminary hearing transcripts of the Clay Shaw trial, which we have at our library at Southeastern Louisiana University. We're trying to figure out the

2	They don't lend themselves to Xeroxing, probably an
3	optical scanner, but be assured that we will provide the Board with
4	copies of all of those transcripts of those Clay Shaw preliminary
5	hearings and a few other pieces of materials that our library has and
6	I, myself, have in personal possession. We'll certainly share copies
7	with the Board.
8	CHAIRMAN TUNHEIM: Excellent. Would you mind if
9	we ask you a few questions, the members of the Board?
10	DR. KURTZ: Not at all.
11	MR. HALL: Mr. Kurtz, Dr. Kurtz, Professor Kurtz
12	DR. KURTZ: Doesn't matter. Same person.
13	MR. HALL: You concentrated a good deal on Cuba and
4.4	potential connection of Cuba to the assassination of the President. I

1	wonder have you thought through or addressed the question in the
2	relationship of Mexico to Cuba and where Mexico stood in the light of
3	the New Orleans and Louisiana economy and political community in
4	1963?

DR. KURTZ: You're talking about the Mexican

Government now in your question. Not much to be quite honest

with you. At the time, I don't recall that Mexico itself had any

major relationship with the United States other than what was

common knowledge. I did not think of Mexico as a source of any

kind of perhaps intelligence activities, although Mexico City, of course,

was a beehive of different kinds of intelligence activities and Oswald's

famous trip there in September of 1963 has generated a lot of

controversy.

But I don't really see a great deal of connection there,

1	directly or indirectly, except that Mexico was a conduit to which the
2	U.S. could communicate with the Cuban government of course.
3	CHAIRMAN TUNHEIM: Any questions?

MS. NELSON: Professor Kurtz, are you aware of the

recent release from the FBI of records -- an interview with

someone -- or a memo I believe it is -- of someone who saw Castro

reenact the assassination, the assumption behind that being that he

didn't have anything to do with it? Are you aware of that?

DR. KURTZ: Yes. Yes, I am. I'm also aware of Castro's denials of having participated in the assassination of President

Kennedy and also of the fact that a particularly strong majority of the community of Kennedy assassination scholars who agree with me that there was a conspiracy in the assassination; do not agree that Castro was the mastermind behind it.

1 ~

1	Nevertheless, I do not take Castro's denials with a grain of
2	salt I mean I take them a grain of salt and I certainly do not
3	take Castro's experiments with the rifle to see whether or not one
4	man could fire the shots any more than I do CBS experiments with a
5	rifle
6	MS. NELSON: So, basically, you think there are more
7	references out there?

DR. KURTZ: Oh, yes. I think there's a great deal more that we can learn that even members of the Church Committee did not have access to concerning all of this business. I think there's a great deal more.

I mentioned, for example, the National Security Agency as a potential source of information. I don't know that there are records but, nevertheless, I think it's an avenue of investigation the

Board should pursue.

1

2	CHAIRMAN TUNHEIM: Dr. Kurtz, are you aware of any
3	records that the government of Cuba or in private hands on the
4	island of Cuba that might be relevant to all of this that we should be
5	seeking? Are you aware of anything there?
6	DR. KURTZ: I wouldn't be surprised that there are. I
7	am not aware of any at all, Mr. Tunheim. Frankly, I would think
8	that the Board would waste its time communicating with Premier
9	Castro about this matter. He's not going to cooperate any more fully
10	than he did I think with the House Select Committee on
11	Assassinations.
12	CHAIRMAN TUNHEIM: Could you just follow up on a

CHAIRMAN TUNHEIM: Could you just follow up on a point that you made in your testimony, Dr. Kurtz, about the Kennedy family's control of the autopsy materials. I was curious about why

1 ~

14

- that is significant to you, I mean as part of this entire picture.
- Maybe you can explain that a little more to me.

11

12

13

14

It's significant, Mr. Tunheim, because the DR. KURTZ: 3 Kennedy family has persistently refused to make these records 4 available to serious, honest researchers and scholars. Not only 5 historians and academicians, but also many people highly qualified in 6 the areas of forensic pathology, such as Dr. John Nichols and Dr. 7 Milton Helpern, were specifically turned down by the Kennedy family 8 in their request to inspect the autopsy materials under the deed of 9 gift in the National Archives.

I realize, of course, that today we have —— the copies have been so widely reproduced and so forth, but as you yourself have heard in testimony in the previous meeting from Dr. Aguilar, I believe, there are so many questions that still remain about the whole broad

1	subject of the medical evidence in this case. I think that this is
2	something that needs to be pursued as thoroughly as possible and any
3	and all records pertaining to this needs to be made public.
4	CHAIRMAN TUNHEIM: Thank you, Dr. Kurtz. I just
5	want to add that I found the introduction that you wrote to your
6	book, "Crime of the Century," to be particularly good at putting
7	together a lot of the different theories that are out there. I found
8	that very useful and I just wanted you to know that.
9	DR. KURTZ: Thank you.
10	CHAIRMAN TUNHEIM: Thank you for your testimony
11	today and your advice to us.
12	Our next witness this morning is Wayne Everard. Mr.
13	Everard is the archivist for the City of New Orleans Archives. He
1.1	oversees the records at the New Orleans Public Library that are from

1	the investigation into the assassination of President Kennedy

conducted by the District Attorney's Office. Welcome, Mr. Everard.

MR. EVERARD: The city archives, I should say first of all, is the official archives for the City of New Orleans. It happens to be administered by the New Orleans Public Library. But we are a separately ordained creature.

We've been in the JFK assassination business I guess for about 20 years now. I should say right off that our records have always been open to the public with a few procedural limitations, and have been used by a number of researchers over the years.

In 1974, we received a series of New Orleans Police

Department arrest books and included in that was the volume that

contained the record of Oswald's arrest on August 9, 1963 in New

Orleans. Later NOPD accessions have included a offense reports, such

1	as the one for Jack Martin's complaint on November 22, '63 against
2	Guy Banister and also the report of Ferrie's initialDavid Ferrie's
3	initial arrest on November 25, 1963.

Also in the Police Department records is a series of police crime scene photographs, including images made of Ferrie's apartment following his death in 1967.

We have also records from the New Orleans Parish

Coroner's Office, including several documents involving the Ferrie

autopsy report, although the original autopsy file, which included

views of Ferrie's body before and after the autopsy and additional

photographs of the apartment, were requested to be returned back to

the Coroner's Office in 1988, and that file is still over there.

Even the library's records itself include a file on the assassination, beginning with the following day after the assassination

1 ~

1	where FBI agents went to the library to ask about Oswald's reading
2	proclivity, since it turned out that he was a patron of the library, of
	our Napoleon Branch.

Since then this book has turned up. They were cleaning out the branch last year I guess to turn it into a children's library.

This is actually a copy of Taylor Caldwell's, "The Arm in the Darkness," and it has a little card in the back that has written in, "Due on September 9, 1963, Checked out by Lee Harvey Oswald."

Now whether this is real or somebody just added that little note, who knows. But it's one of our few Oswald artifacts that we have in the collection.

But our biggest and most significant assassination related record series actually didn't come to the library until 1990, and you've already heard a little bit about it this morning. I'll give you sort of a blow-by-blow description of how we got them and also some description of what is in this collection.

Early in 1990, the city librarian received a call from the

File Room supervisor for the District Attorney's Office. They were

interested in purging their case files from the 1950s and 1960s.

Apparently, the Office was looking for ways to save money. They

were storing these records in a private records warehouse and I'm

sure the charges were pretty fierce.

The File Room supervisor realized that these were historically significant records and didn't just want to destroy them and he probably had been talking with the Clerk of Criminal Court who had, just a year before that, deposited some of their older records with the Archives. So he was talking to us to see if we were interested in accepting the district attorney's records as well.

1	
2	found out that there were a few boxes, three boxes I think actually,
3	marked JFK Assassination, and any doubts about whether we wanted

I went and made an on site inspection of the records and

to accept the entire donation were dispelled by the opportunity to collect some of these things.

On February 13, 1990, we did transfer the district attorney's records from their warehouse to the Central Library.

After some preliminary arrangement and description, I drafted a donation agreement which the Executive Assistant District Attorney signed on March 1, 1990, turning files over to the City Archives collection.

There were only these few boxes with JFK markings. We actually hoped that as we were transferring the records other things would turn up, but nothing additional did turn up.

1 ~

1	I should stop at this point and say that these were files
2	that, as far I can tell, totally different from the files that the District
3	Attorney's Office still has, which are locked up in a separate room
4	over there and these things were in boxes off in a warehouse ten miles
5	away from the District Attorney's Office. So there were probably
6	some differences in the records, and I'll talk about that a little bit
7	more.

After we got these records and I looked at them more carefully, it turned out, indeed, they did include original materials from the Garrison investigation and for several reasons we decided very early on that we would microfilm the collection before we did anything in the way of making them available to the public.

I arranged the records and did some archival description on them and I'll read you briefly, a little bit more in detail, a

1 ~

description of the records. Again, the original records were in three boxes, probably somewhere in the neighborhood of two cubic feet because of the way they were stored. They include materials apparently collected by Garrison and his staff during the investigation, also included a photocopy of portions of the court record in the case of Louisiana vs. Clay Shaw and other cases related to the investigation, correspondence files and one file of miscellaneous material.

The correspondence sub-series includes general correspondence of the District Attorney's Office during the period. The period is 1966 to 1973, as well as letters dealing specifically with the assassination investigation. Many of the letters that are relevant to the assassination are in the nature of fan mail, people writing letters encouraging Garrison to continue the investigation,

asking him to come speak to their groups and that sort of thing.

1 –

But there are also letters to and from reporters and other representatives of the news media and letters from people from around the country are offering their own assassination theories and commenting on Garrison's. Those are two of the major groups in the correspondence category.

There were a few letters dealing with complaints lodged with the State Bar Association against Garrison by attorneys who are representing witnesses in the Shaw case. There's one letter from Garrison to Marina Oswald Porter, telegram from Lee Oswald's mother, letter from Garrison to Irving Diamond, who was Shaw's criminal attorney, concerning details of the case. So there's some interesting substantive material in there.

Also there's a memo from Garrison to one of his assistants

concerning the David Ferrie autopsy, which you have talked about and
heard a little bit about earlier from Mr. Tyler. I'll just read a very
brief portion of that. Garrison to his assistant, dated December 11,

1967.

"I think we should make a thorough investigation of the possibility that Ferrie committed suicide by means of Proloid. This is particularly justified by an earlier statement of his -- I believe it was made to Perry Russo -- to the effect that he knew how to commit suicide and leave no traces.

"I would appreciate it if you" -- referring to his assistant -- "would handle this operation. Dr. Begnetto has promised to provide us with a statement saying that Ferrie had high blood pressure and should not be using Proloid. I believe that Lou Ivan" -- another of his assistants -- "has had some initial"

	. investigation done	in this area.	I think we si	hould prepare,	if possible,
1	1			, ,	,

- a complete case for the Proloid possibility, supported by statements
- from pathologists and other qualified doctors. I am sure that if we
- are able to develop this factually, Dr. Chetta will reconsider the initial
- conclusion that death was due to natural causes."

Garrison goes into this a little bit in his book, "On the

- Trial of the Assassin." Apparently no blood samples were saved so
- that didn't proceed beyond this memo or beyond the investigation
- that this memo led to.

Among the letters -- and again I'll just mention two of

- them of giving us some sort of a flavor of what is in this collection.
- It's a letter of August 16, 1967, from Melvin Belli to Jim Garrison.
- Belli was a former attorney who represented Jack Ruby after he was
- tried for murder of Oswald.

"Dear Jim, I see the bastards are still after us, but if they weren't, then we wouldn't know who are friends were." He goes on to say, "How are things going with you? I hope sometimes to get down your way and say hello, and whenever you get out this way, publicly or privately, be sure and let me know beforehand. If you're just John Jones, you shall remain such and I'll stash you away in the damnedest penthouse you've ever seen this side of the Cape of Good Норе."

The second letter is dated August 27, 1967. It's a copy of Garrison's letter to Lord Bertram Russell, who Garrison acknowledges in his book had been an early supporter of his investigation, one paragraph, Garrison identifies in the beginning of the letter a coalition of anti-Castro Latins and the Minute Men organization as the President's killers and then Garrison goes on to

say:

1

"Above the operative level, insulated and removed to the 2 point of being very nearly invisible, appeared to have been individuals 3 whose political orientation can only be described as Neo-Nazi. We 4 regard the defendant, Clay Shaw, as being a member of this group. 5 These individuals appear to have rather unusual international 6 connections and it is not unlikely that they might have had earlier 7 relations with the Gayland Intelligence apparatus instituted in 8 Germany. 9

"Elements of the Gayland apparatus appear to have been digested by our own CIA during the course of the Cold War apparently because of their possible value in fighting communism.

Even as I have described this neo-Nazi aspect, I am sure that it sounds somewhat fanciful. Because of the unbelievability of this part

1 ~

10

11

12

13

1	of the picture, I	have found	l it necessary to	o refrain from	mentioning I	it.
---	-------------------	------------	-------------------	----------------	--------------	-----

It is bad enough that the press describes the more obvious parts of the conspiracy as unbelievable without my supplying them with new fuel.

4

11

12

13

- "Nevertheless, the essentially Fascist origin of the assassination is inescapable, more about which I will be happy to tell you when I have a little more time.
- Again, this is three excerpts from probably 1,000 or so

 pages in the collection. They give some flavor for what is included in

 there.
 - We did, after I completed this inventory, precede with our plans to microfilm the collection. We've produced 360 millimeter rolls of film and I gave Tom Samoluk a set of those films this morning, so you will have those for the collection.

again until we had finished filming them for security reasons. We

We didn't really announce availability of these records

didn't really seek any publicity for the records. We made

announcements to the local state and regional archival newsletters,

and until "Times-Picayune" article last week about this hearing, I

don't think that the local press had ever carried any stories about our

collection of Garrison materials.

But they have been used. We've had several researchers request them in house and the records, the microfilms, are out in public accessible areas. We really don't have any statistics on how many people have used them. We did, when we did the film, is make two sets of films so that one would always be available for interlibrary loan, and we have had several interlibrary loan requests since they've been available.

1 ~

14

8

9

10

11

12

We did enter a catalog record for the material into the

OCLC database and we also just this year added a copy of the

inventory to our worldwide web site on the Internet. So we are

trying to let the world know that we have these and we're willing to

let everybody who wants to, use them.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

At the 1993 Annual Meeting of the Society of American Archivists, which was here in New Orleans, I participated in a session on the assassination records. I discussed our holdings and how they were used, pretty much as I've done here this morning.

But two of the other presenters on the panel were from the National Archives and their description of the whole Assassination Records Collection Act and how they were implementing it and everything was very interesting to me and sort of inspired me after the session was over to go back and write letters to the New Orleans

1	Police Department and to District Attorney Connick asking them to
2	once again look and see if it were additional records and to consider
3	making them available in the spirit of the Federal legislation.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

The Police Department responded that they had no additional materials. I have no idea what they looked at in order to come to that conclusion. But that was their answer. The district attorney, however, did assign one of his chief assistants to work with me on the matter. On October 14, 1993 I met with him at the District Attorney's Office, where he did show me the collection, which again was in a separate room, locked room away from all the rest of It seemed to me at the time that it was more than one the records. file cabinet, but I didn't really have a lot of time to look at the records and just very brief impressions is all that I came away with.

We discussed the possibility of those records being added

1	to the donation that we had already received from the District
^	Attorney's Office, but nothing further came from that discussion.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

Last month I got a letter from the District Attorney advising me that they were planning to donate additional materials to the library. Immediately thereafter I learned of this Board's interest in New Orleans records and have since learned that the District Attorney will now be turning the records over to the National Archives, rather than to us, although in discussion with Tom Samoluk this morning, it sounds like we can work out some kind of a deal where we can get copies of those records to be kept with our records at the Public Library. We would very much like to have local accessibility to those records continue.

I look forward to working with you all and the National

Archives in the future on this never ending story.

1	CHAIRMAN TUNHEIM: Thank you, Mr. Everard. Are
2	there questions, members of the Board?
3	MR. JOYCE: Mr. Everard, one of the ways that
4	repositories are able to supplement their holdings is when their
5	librarians and archivists encounter researchers who come to use the
6	collection.
7	I'm wondering in the case of your collection, if you've
8	encountered any researchers who have been able to provide you with
9	additional information about the records already in your custody and
10	the possibility that there may be other records out there somewhere
11	that might be relevant? Do you have information like that or any
12	guidance that might be of use to us?
13	MR. EVERARD: No, I really don't. We have had people
14	use the records, but they have very much tended to close mouthed

1	about what they were finding and what value they found in the
2	records and really haven't gotten into those kinds of discussions and
3	possibly because we have microfilmed them and we don't have the
4	usual kinds of contacts between researcher and archivist that would
5	be necessary in the case of original records. We don't get the full
6	sense of how and who are using the records.

But, no, I haven't really had those kind of discussions with researchers. People have asked questions about the existence of other records, and I've tried to answer those to the best of my ability, but no leads from outside like that.

MR. JOYCE: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN TUNHEIM: Go ahead, Dr. Hall.

MR. HALL: If I may, I'd like to say a word of praise on behalf of the New Orleans City Archives and New Orleans Public

. .

1	Library. I had the pleasure of doing research in your library and in
2	the archives and it's really substantive materials, one of the best
3	facilities in the entire south.
4	MR. EVERARD: Thank you.
5	MR. HALL: But having said that now, I'm actually trying
6	to figure out how the New Orleans City Archives works. I'm
7	particularly interested in the way in which the materials come to you
8	in 1990. This is a call initiated apparently out of the Room
9	Supervisor of New Orleans District Attorney's Office concerning their
10	interest in purging their files.
11	Now how do you do business here in New Orleans?
12	[Laughter.]
13	MR. HALL: How do you go about
14	MR. EVERARD: Good question.

1	MR. HALL: Is there a process for systematic review, a
2	kind of diligent oversight of records that are
3	MR. EVERARD: We, again, we
4	MR. HALL: To be brought to the Archives?
5	MR. EVERARD: We are the municipal archives. Our
6	mandate is to collect records of the City of New Orleans and we have
7	a maybe it's not all that strange, although it seems strange to me,
8	a governmental arrangement here where although the City of New
9	Orleans and Parish of Orleans are coterminous, there are offices at
10	the parish level which are not part of the municipal government.
11	Therefore, we have no mandate or legal authority to collect records
12	from the District Attorney's Office, records from courts and records
13	from the coroner, which are all parish, or by extension, state agencies.
14	There has been I'd say over the years a failure on the part

	of those	parish/state	aaencies	to	provide	their	own	archival
1		<i>p</i> , 201100	1.50		<i>p</i>			

mechanisms. Also efforts by the state archives to collect those have met with resistance on the local level.

MR. HALL: I think this was a particularly important and worthy note because we could, I think, readily become confused here about the process of finding records in Louisiana.

MR. EVERARD: Right. A lot of the things that happened early on were before my time, but I can give you a little bit of knowledge about how some of these things work. For example, the civil court records in New Orleans were in the custody of the Civil District Court, which is one of these parish/state agencies. In the early '70s, my understanding is that they were just going to throw away all of their old records because they didn't have any way to take care of them any more.

_

Members of the local history community found out about this and approached the head of the archives, Collum Hammer, my boss, about a possibility of taking these records, and he did that. He agreed and signed a deposit agreement with the judges and we have all the civil court records for involvements in our collection, although they are not technically part of the City Archives collection.

Similar kinds of arrangements were made with the coroner over the years and we have large expanses of files at the Coroner's Office.

In the late '80s, we made a similar arrangement with Criminal Court to take their early records, from 1831 to 1931, and immediately thereafter — and this was what lead me to think that maybe the reason the District Attorney's people approached us is because they had been discussing with the Clerk of Court, who is right

across the street from them, about how to take care of records they

no longer felt a need to maintain themselves. Out of that discussion,

came the approach to the city librarian and ultimately the records

coming to us.

The records that we did take on donation at the time, probably something in the neighborhood of 165 cubic feet, case files from approximately 1955 to 1960, already the capital cases and other first class cases have been removed. So these are the less important cases. But included in that were these three boxes that were marked JFK.

They were not trying to keep these from us. The file clerk alerted me to the fact that these records were included and we probably would have taken them anyway, but this certainly made an easier decision for us to go ahead and do this.

1 ~

1		MR. HALL:	Can I just one other question to go along
2	with this.	As a matter	of course in Louisiana, where are grand jury
Z	materials a	archived?	

MR. EVERARD: My understanding is with the District

Attorney's Office. I have no direct knowledge of that.

MR. HALL: Well, let me then, if I could, spin the question around the other way. Do you have any grand jury materials in the City Archives?

MR. EVERARD: There are some very old 19th century records that came to us with the Criminal Court accession that we made in 1989 and there are things like maybe witness books and such. I don't think there are any actual testimony case files or anything like that. We do have reports that the grand jury made of their inspections of the criminal justice system. But those were

public reports which I'm sure were widely distributed.

1 ~

There are — and this maybe will give you a little bit more indication of some of the confused state of records over at the courthouse. In one of our accessions of records from the Coroner's Office, there were maybe five or six boxes of records from the District Attorney's Office that came in, probably because they were sharing temporary storage space over in the courthouse. There were maybe two or three grand jury reports included in that file, which I will not release because it is my understanding that grand jury testimony is confidential and not public record.

MR. HALL: It is an interesting situation though when a District Attorney comes and testifies and says that at least when he came into office the records that would be especially prudent to us were in a state of disarray and some confusion, that there may have,

1	in fact, been public materials that were put into private hands.	l
2	think he used the word "thievery" to describe that activity.	

And then to realize as well that the legal authority by which those records are maintained in Louisiana seems to be at least confused as to where they are ultimately to be located, and that we could, in fact, be in the position where a fair amount of materials, some of which turned out to be prudent to understanding the assassination, were potentially going to be destroyed saved for the good judgment of some of the staff in your office.

MR. EVERARD: I think you might want to, if you haven't already, talk to the State Archives, just talk to them about these matters of jurisdiction and also about the possibility that they may have some records that would be --

MR. HALL: Well, that's clearly the direction that I'm

1	headed in. I think that's something worth being explored because the
2	criminal records or court records, as I understand it, in Louisiana are
3	in an anomalous archival position.
4	MR. EVERARD: You'll also recall and I don't have an
5	exact cite here but somewhere in Garrison's book he refers to the
6	fact that when he went back to do research in his records he
7	discovered that they had been stolen.
8	CHAIRMAN TUNHEIM: Thank you very much.
9	MS. NELSON: We might defend Louisiana a little bit by
10	saying that's true of other states, too.
11	MR. EVERARD: Thank you.
12	CHAIRMAN TUNHEIM: Any other questions for Mr.
13	Everard?
14	[No audible response.]

1	CHAIRMAN TUNHEIM: Thank you, Mr. Everard. W	'e
2	appreciate your testimony today and look forward to working wit	th
3	you. Thank you.	

We have one additional witness today before we complete this morning's public hearing. Mr. Eltan William Killam, who is a relative of a deceased individual whose name has come up in connection with the assassination, and Mr. Killam would like to present to us some of the research that he's done on that person.

Good morning.

MR. KILLAM: Yes. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I appreciate the opportunity to address this Board.

Just to give you a little background on myself, I've been a criminal defense lawyer for 21 years specially in homicide cases. So I am a little bit familiar with the investigation of criminal cases and the

1	rules of evidence and what hearsay may be and I know some of the
2	things I may tell you this morning would not necessarily be admissible

in court as hearsay, but it's still research.

Back when Henry Thomas Killam died in Pensacola, 4 Florida, I was just 15-years-old. Prior to his death, my household 5 had received a number of calls after the Kennedy assassination seeking 6 Henry Thomas Killam. I'm a distant cousin of Mr. Killam. He was 7 one of the first suspicious deaths in the wake of the Kennedy 8 assassination. At the time of Hank Killam's death, he had lived 9 previously in Dallas and was married to a long time employee of Jack 10 Ruby, Wanda Joyce Davis Killam. 11

Hank was a large, imposing individual, approximately 6'

4", 250 pounds. I have information that he worked as either a

bouncer, a bar tender or a hanger out of sorts at Ruby's Carousel

1 ~

1	Club.	Other	researchers	and	family	friends	have	uncovered	the
	followii	na ahoi	ut Killam's r	elati	onshin :	to the J	FK as	ssassination	

Immediately after the assassination, Killam was questioned by the FBI in Dallas. Prior to living in Dallas, Killam was on probation in Pensacola, where he acted as an informant for the Sheriff's Department and the County's Solicitor's Office. But Dallas FBI requested that the County Solicitor's Office in Pensacola pick up Killam after the assassination of John F. Kennedy.

While in Dallas, Killam painted houses with a John Carter, who was a Beckly Street occupant and possibly helped Oswald find a place to stay at the request of Ruby. It's been reported that Killam also resided at the Beckly Street address and also shared a bathroom with Oswald.

Within approximately one week after the assassination,

1	Killam returned to Pensacola a very frightened man and spoke to
0	various people about the circumstances surrounding the assassination.

- He claimed he had special knowledge and carried around a large wallet filled with newspaper articles pertaining to the assassination.
- Killam had stated that he had been in meetings in New Orleans and in Dallas where the assassination had been discussed.

Killam was also a frequent visitor to New Orleans and liked to go to the Show Bar and, as the committee may know, that's where Jada was employed, and I understand that she rode around in a red Chevrolet Impala convertible that was parked in the garage of Jack Ruby.

Killam was picked up for violation of probation in

Pensacola in 1963, in December of '63. He was in jail for

approximately two weeks, but was checked out of jail daily and

1 ~

allowed to spend his days in a local bar. Killam told the owner of
the bar that he had special knowledge of the assassination and that he

had been involved in the transportation of a woman associated with

Ruby.

While in Pensacola, the FBI interviewed and polygraphed him and they generated memorandums about these interviews, and I've had FBI agents in Pensacola tell me they generated memorandums, which I have not been able to obtain from the National Archives.

Killam left Pensacola for Tampa in order to escape the harassment of the FBI. He was interviewed in Tampa, which produced a statement that's in the Warren Commission Report, which does not coincide with what he told anybody in Pensacola. I have tried to talk to the FBI agents in Tampa that interviewed him down

there. They have refused to talk about their interviews with Mr.

Killam.

Rillam was forced to come back to his mother's house in

Pensacola. He stated to the County Solicitor's Investigator in

Pensacola, who I've personally interviewed two weeks prior to his

death, that there were little dark people following him around, who

he described as either Mexicans or Cubans, and that they were out to

kill him.

There was also a man dressed as a priest who was following him around Pensacola at that period of time, and I know that Frank Sturgis was known to carry around a priest outfit. Of course, David Ferrie paraded around as a priest and also his former roommate, Raymond Broshears. I feel like there's a good possibility that one of the three of them was in Pensacola during that period of

time shadowing Mr. Killam.

On the day this priest was sighted, this was independently verified by the minister for Mr. Killam who was present at his house and witnessed the person across the street watching the house. I talked to Mr. Killam's probation officer who personally took two FBI agents over to Mr. Killam's house because he had talked to Mr. Killam and had been advised of the information regarding special knowledge concerning the assassination. He told the probation officer that the only person that he would reveal the full story of what he knew about the assassination to would be Lyndon Johnson.

After the interview with the FBI, they advised the probation officer that Mr. Killam needed psychiatric help. That psychiatric help was never forthcoming because the next morning Killam was dead. His death occurred on March 17, 1964 in the

1	early morning as a result of a single slash that was three inches deep
2	into his jugular vein. Officially the death was ruled as a suicide or an
3	accident, that he had apparently jumped or fell through a plate glass
4	window. There were no other cuts on his body.
	In 1967, his brother Earl tried to have his body exhumed.

This was in the wake of the Garrison investigation. That was

denied by local authorities citing no association between the

assassination and the death of Mr. Killam.

I've independently received CIA documents and other material not provided to the JFK archives pertaining to Killam. I feel that other FBI documents do exist and this is because of the number of encounters that I have verified that Mr. Killam did have with the FBI.

I realize that this one little individual is maybe not

1 ~

important in the grand scheme of things, but it does present to the	this
---	------

- Board the problems that one person has in trying to obtain
- information from the Archives, which they may be denied this
- information by the FBI and for that reason I'm not able to put
- together a total view of what happened.

I've listened to the other witnesses testify. I can tell you about some of the things that I'm concerned about on a broader scale that might available to this committee to request. I understand that the CBS footage of what happened in Dallas on 11/22 has never been released by the network, that it may show a Studebaker that Oswald allegedly escaped the book depository in.

I was watching a show not too long ago where they were discussing Haldeman's diary. In Haldeman's diary, there was a section classified that dealt with a conversation that Richard Nixon

had with LBJ regarding getting the Democrats off his back over

Watergate that was classified as being something to do with national

security. I feel like it had something to do with this 18-minute gap

that's been discussed.

4

9

10

You've talked about stuff missing from Mr. Garrison's file.

I believe there's evidence that there were a number of people in the

Garrison investigation, investigators that were CIA "moles" that carted

off large amounts of information. I know of one individual, a William

Boxley, who went back to Texas. I know that his widow gave the

information that Mr. Boxley left behind to a researcher over there, a

J. Gary Shaw, who has all of Mr. Boxley's information.

I think that Mr. Boxley's part in Garrison's investigation

dealt with the players in the Carousel Lounge and I think that's where

you're going to find a major void in what actually occurred in Dallas.

1 ~

1	The other thing that I can suggest to you is that there is
2	no statute of limitations on the crime of first degree murder. The
3	State of Texas still has jurisdiction. They've just recently buried
4	Governor John Connally with bullet fragments. You might consider
5	digging him up and doing some ballistic work on those fragments.
6	I find it hard to believe that Fidel Castro could orchestrate
7	events at Bethesda Naval Hospital and the book depository in Dallas
8	and disagree with Professor Kurtz on that. I think that Mr. Castro
9	would be valuable, especially right now since he has Robert Vesco and
10	Donald Nixon in custody and certainly they have a lot of information
11	concerning that 18-minute gap.
12	I appreciate the time that the committee has given me to
13	address you.

CHAIRMAN TUNHEIM: Thank you, Mr. Killam. Any

questions?

published interview by the FBI with your relative in Tampa did not

coincide -- I think was the language you used -- with a lot of

information in Pensacola that he had given the FBI. I'm wondering,

first, how do you know that and, second, whether there might be

documentation that supports that, that could be made available to

the Board or that you can direct us to?

MR. KILLAM: I have interviewed three of the four wives allegedly married to Mr. Killam. Upon his return from Dallas, he told one of them specifically that he knew Lee Harvey Oswald and also know Jack Ruby, that he has special knowledge concerning the assassination. I've also interviewed a niece of Mr. Killam's, who talked to him several days before his death. She related to me that

he told her that he knew Lee Harvey Oswald and also knew Jack

Ruby. I've also interviewed several other people who verified the

same information.

There's also some information that's published by Penn Jones. Penn Jones' research would be very valuable in this regard. He published an article where he described the fact that Mr. Killam lived in the rooming house with Oswald on Beckly Avenue and was seen in the company of Oswald before the assassination.

Certainly, this doesn't coincide with Commission Exhibit 1451, which states that Killam had no knowledge of Lee Harvey Oswald and just had a very brief encounter with Mr. Ruby at the club over the fact that his wife was a cigarette girl there and she knew nothing about him other than that he had some dogs and she had fed him some pizza and they had gotten mad about that incident and

1	that was the extent of the Commission exhibits interview with Mr.
2	Killam and, of course, the FBI agents who interviewed him are still
3	alive and well in Tampa and they won't talk about it. Of course,
4	they're under some oath, I understand, not to discuss things that
5	went on.
6	CHAIRMAN TUNHEIM: Thank you very much, Mr.
7	Killam. We appreciate your testimony this morning or this
8	afternoon, I guess.
9	This brings us to the close of our public hearing today in
10	New Orleans. I should mention I neglected to earlier that our
11	colleague Dr. Henry Grass was unable to be with us today, could not
12	join us.
13	The testimony that we've received this morning I think
1.1	has been very helpful and very interesting, giving us some excellent

leads, and more importantly, giving us some significant groups of
records for inclusion in the JFK Collection at the National Archives,
which, after all it the ultimate goal of our Board.

I want to also emphasize something that I think is important and I try to emphasize to people and that is the independence of this Board. We're five private citizens who are not full-time employees of the Federal Government. We are an independent agency. We are not beholden to any other agency of the Federal Government so that we can make our decisions about these records in a totally independent fashion.

I think it's important always to emphasize that Congress was very expressedly concerned about making sure this Board was viewed as independent so it could make its own decisions without influence from other branches of the Federal Government.

made to the collection by the individuals who have testified here this
morning and other individuals in the New Orleans area and certainly
any information that comes up subsequent to this hearing that would
be important for the Board to know about, we encourage you to

We appreciate very much the donations that have been

Our address is on materials that is at the back desk

there. We do have an office in Washington and we would appreciate any help the public can give us.

We are going to adjourn this public hearing portion of our meeting. We're going to resume our meeting with simply a meeting of the Board at 2:00 p.m., either in this room or the room right behind the partition. This is a meeting that's been noticed in the Federal Register. On our agenda for the meeting, if you care to attend, is some housekeeping matters about scheduling our next

1 ~

contact us.

1	meeting of the Board, a report on some regulations that we are
2	currently in the process of publishing, dealing with the Sunshine Act,
3	the Freedom of Information Act, and the Privacy Act, and then a
4	discussion and a vote on the types of procedures the Board is going to
5	follow for review of Federal Government records that have been
6	postponed by the agencies that possess them.
7	So that will be on our agenda beginning at 2:00 and

anyone is welcome to attend that part of our meeting today. If
there's no other business to come before the Board, at this time I will
adjourn the meeting and we'll be back at 2:00 p.m.

[Whereupon, at 12:40 p.m., the public hearing was concluded.]

1 ~