This document is made available through the declassification efforts and research of John Greenewald, Jr., creator of:



The Black Vault is the largest online Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) document clearinghouse in the world. The research efforts here are responsible for the declassification of hundreds of thousands of pages released by the U.S. Government & Military.

Discover the Truth at: http://www.theblackvault.com

180-10131-10339

JFK Assassination System Identification Form

Date:

10/19/20

·		
	Agency Information	
AGENCY : RECORD NUMBER :	HSCA 180-10131-10339	
RECORD SERIES :	SECURITY CLASSIFIED TESTIMONY	
AGENCY FILE NUMBER :	014739	
	Document Information	
ORIGINATOR : FROM : TO :	HSCA GOODPASTURE, ANN	
TITLE :		
DATE : PAGES :	04/13/1978 155	
SUBJECTS :	CIA, FILES CIA, METHODOLOGY OSWALD, LEE, POST RUSSIAN PERIOD, TRAVEL, TRIP TO MEXICO GOODPASTURE, ANN, TESTIMONY BEFORE THE COMMITTEE CIA, STAFF	
DOCUMENT TYPE : CLASSIFICATION : RESTRICTIONS : CURRENT STATUS : DATE OF LAST REVIEW : OPENING CRITERIA :	TRANSCRIPT Declassified 1B Redact 02/01/2003	
COMMENTS :	Box 9	

1

v9.1

HEARINGS

€,

æ

ORIGING

Before The

Subcommittee on the Assassination of John F. Kennedy of the

SELECT COMMITTEE ON ASSASSINATIONS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

EXECUTIVE SESSION

Washington, D.C.

Alderson Reporting Company, Inc. Official Reporters Official Reporters

300 Seventh St., S. W. Washington, D. C.

554-2345

50951 Doc1d: 12277224 Page 2

CIONE: amt	1-1
1	EXECUTIVE SESSION
2	
3	THURSDAY, APRIL 13, 1978
4	
5 11 - 2 31 5 2 12 - 2	U.S. House of Representatives,
7 [303]	Subcommittee on the Assassina- tion of John F. Kennedy of the Select Committee on Assassinations,
C. 20024	Washington, D.C.
c	The Subcommittee met, pursuant to notice, at 9:15 a.m.
	in room 1310, Longworth House Office Building, Hon. Richardson
RTFAS REILDTHS, MASHTIGTON,	Prever (Chairman of the Subcommittee) presiding.
en Dura,	Present: Representatives Preyer, Dodd, Fithian and
	Sawyer.
artixs 11	Also present: G. R. Blakey, G. Cornwell, E. Berning,
91 BEF0	D. Hardway, B. Wolf, M. Goldsmith, R. Morrison, R. Genzman,
N S 17	K. Klein and W. Cross.
740 STREFT,	Mr. Preyer. (The Committee will come to order.
LS 17	The Chair recognizes the clerk of the Committee to read
ug 20	us a record of those members who are officially designated
21	to be on the Subcommittee today, pursuant to Committee Rule
22	12.3.
23	The Clerk. Mr. Chairman, you, Mr. Thone, Mr. Sawyer
. 24	and Mr. Dodd are regular members of the Subcommittee. Mr.
25	Fithian will be substituting for Mrs. Burke. 001143

NW 50955 DocId:32277224 Page 3

ij

		1-2
	1	Mr. Preyer. Thank you.
	2	The Chair will entertain a motion to go into Executive
	3	Session at this time.
	4	Mr. Sawyer. I so move, Mr. Chairman.
	2345	Mr. Preyer. There has been a motion that today's
	554- 0	hearing, cone that the hearing be held in Executive Session.
	(202)	This is on the basis that information obtained by the
	2 A N 2 4 	Committee, that the evidence or testimony may tend to degrade
	5 9	or incriminate people.
	01 °.	The Clerk will call the roll on the motion.
•	RTEPS BUTIDING, PASHTHETON, A	The Clerk. Mr. Preyer?
	GVA 12	Mr. Preyer. Aye.
	BUTUL 13 -	The Clerk. Mr. Thone?
		(No response)
	okrev.	The Clerk. Mr. Sawyer?
	16 16	Mr. Sawyer. Aye.
	s 17	The Clerk. Mr. Dodd?
	300 7TH STREET,	(No response)
	S 11.1	The Clerk. Mr. Fithian?
	00 20	Mr. Fithian. Aye.
	21	The Cderk. Three ayes, Mr. Chairman.
	22	Mr. Preyer. The meeting is declared closed and the
	23	room will be cleared of all unauthorized personnel.
	24	Are you ready to call the witness at this time?
	25	Mr. Goldsmith. I have some statements I would like to
•	-	001144
		Mr. Goldsmith. I have some statements I would like

make off the record.

1

2

3

4

8

9

10

! 1

12

13

14

15

lá

17

18

19

20

23

24

25

(202) 554-2345

20024

J.

Ċ

BUTLDTHA, PASHTHGTON.

REPORTERS

S. W.

STREET,

1111

000

(Discussion off the record.)

Mr. Fithian. Would the witness please stand to be sworn?

⁵ Ms. Goodpasture. I have one problem. I cannot hear.
⁶ Mr. Fithian. Can you hear if I come through at this
⁷ level?

Ms. Goodpastrue. Yes. I use a hearing aid. It is not always reliable. I do not want you to waste my time and I do not want to waste too much of yours.

Mr. Fithian. We will need to swear you in, Ms. Goodpasture.

Ms. Goodpasture. Do you want me to stand?

Mr. Fithian. Yes, please stand.

Do you swear that the information you are about to give to this Committee is the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth, so help you God?

Ms. Goodpasture. Yes.

1-3

TESTIMONY OF ANN GOODPASTURE

Mr. Goldsmith. Would you state your name and address for the record?

Ms. Goodpastrue. My name is Ann Goodpasture. My local address is with friends in Maryland, 5600 Bridgeview.

My mailing address, at the moment, is in care of my father in Livingstone, Tennessee.

Mr. Fithian. If I may, now that we have your name on the record and your address, I would like to explain our proceedings here, Miss Goodpasture.

The House Resolution 222, under which this Subcommittee acts, mandates that the Committee conduct a full and complete investigation and study of the circumstances surrounding the assassination and the death of President John F. Kennedy including determining whether the existing laws of the United States concerning the protection of the President and the investigatory jurisdiction and capability of agencies and departments are adequate in their provisions and enforcement; and whether there was full disclosure of evidence and information among agencies and departments of the United States government; and whether any information or evidence not in the possession of an agency or department would have been of assistance in investigating the assassination; and why such information was not provided or collected by that agency or department; and to make recommendations to the House, if the 001146

ALDERSON REPORTING COMPANY, INC.

DocId:32277224 Page 6

554-2345 (202) 20024 n. c. 77H STREET, S.U. REFORTERS BUILDING, PASHINCTON, 000

3

4

5

ó

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

4

·15

lá

17

18

19

20

23

24

25

50/955

Select Committee deems it appropriate, for the amendment of existing legislation or the enactment of new legislation.

I would like, because of the special expertise of this witness, if counsel will withhold for just a moment, say a word or two about the process that we are engaged in.

First, we commend you for your long years of service to a very, very important agency of this government. I personally was a part of that in a very, very small way in the Navy a long time ago, and I commend you for your dedication and your experience and what has come to be your reputation for, as we have talked with other members of the agency, they have referred to you as sort of the touchstone, or the person who was the expert among the experts.

I want to say also that I personally want to commend our Chief Counsel, Professor Blakey, and our assistant counsels for their long and dilligent effort to build a relationship with the agencies which is now beginning to be extremely productive and fruitful in our whole process of trying to get all the information and to lay the matter of the assassination of the President of the United States to rest permanently with the complete story.

I just wanted you to know that we have been working with the CIA and the FBI and they have been very cooperative with us as well as the State Department in the two investigations in which we are now engaged, and I look forward to

001147

ALDERSON REPORTING COMPANY. INC.

DocId:32277224 Pag

554-2345 (202) 20024 Ċ р. 100 7TH STREET, S.U. REPORTERS BUILDING, PASHERGTOR, 1

2

3

4

5

. ó

7

8

9

10

12

13

14

15

lá

17

18

19

20

23

24

25

1 your testimony today as a person of longstanding and long 2 experience with one of the key agencies in the United 3 States government and hope that we can proceed today to fit 4 in some pieces of the mosaic that we are trying to assemble. 554-2345 5 Counsel may proceed. ó Mr. Goldsmith. Miss Goodpasture, have you received a (202) 7 copy of the Committee Rules and the Committee Resolution? 20024 ε Ms. Goodpasture. Yes, you gave me a copy yesterday. ೆ 9 Mr. Goldsmith. What is your present employment status, Ľ. REPORTERS BUILDING, MACHTHGTON, 10 Miss Goodpasture? 11 Ms. Goodpasture. Pardon me? 12 Mr. Goldsmith. What is your present employment status, 13 Miss Goodpasture? 14 Ms. Goodpastrue. I am retired. 15 Mr.Goldsmith. Were you formerly employed with the lá Central Intelligence Agency? JOA 7TH STREET, S.W. 17 Ms. Goodpasture. Yes. 18 Mr. Goldsmith. What was yoursterm of service with the 19 CIA? 20 Ms. Goodpasture. What was my term of service? Mr. Goldsmith. How many years were you with the CIA? Ms. Goodpasture. Over 31. 23 Mr. Goldsmith. At this time, I would like to refer to 24 Would the Clerk please hand that to the witness? Exhibit 94. 25 Miss Goodpasture ---001148

1-6

Ms. Goodpasture. I read this yesterday.

Mr. Goldsmith. -- Exhibit No. 94 is a copy of a letter written by the present Director of Central Intelligence to the Chairman of this Committee. I believe a copy was given to you yesterday, is that correct?

Ms. Goodpasture. Yes.

Mr. Goldsmith. Did you read that letter yesterday? Ms. Goodpasture. Yes.

Mr. Goldsmith. Did you understand that letter?

Ms. Goodpasture. Yes.

Mr. Goldsmith. You indicated that you worked with the Central Intelligence Agency for 31 years. Which branch within the CIA did you work for?

Ms. Goodpasture. For the entire length of time? Mr. Goldsmith. Yes.

Ms. Goodpasture. Well, I worked for a number of different branches, but most of the time I was outside of the United States and it came under what is now called the Director of Operations, or clandestine service. I suppose that would be a more appropriate term.

Mr. Goldsmith. Fine.

When did you retire from the CIA?

Ms. Goodpasture. I think it was in June, 1973.

Mr. Goldsmith. Miss Goodpasture, I am drawing your attention to 1963. Where were you stationed at that time?

001149

ALDERSON REPORTING COMPANY, INC.

NW 50955 DocId:32277224 Page 9

554-2345 (202) 20024 REPORTERS BUILDING, WASHINGTON, D.C. STREET, S.W. 7 T H 300

2

3

4

5

ó

7

8

9

iO

11

12

13

14

15

Ιá.

17

18

17

20

22

23

24

25

Ms. Goodpasture. Where was I stationed at that time? 2 Mr. Goldsmith. Yes. 3 Ms. Goodpasture. Here in Washington. Oh, 1963? 4 Excuse me. 554-2345 5 Mr. Goldsmith. Yes. á Ms. Goodpasture. I thought you said '73. I was (202) 7 stationed in Mexico City. 20024 3 Mr. Goldsmith. In what capacity were you stationed ن 9 in Mexico City? ĉ 7TH STREET, S.W. REFORVERS BUILDING, WASHINGTON, 10 Ms. Goodpasture. I was a staff employee of the CIA 11: 12 Mr. Goldsmith. What were your responsibilities as a 13 staff employee at that time? 14 Ms. Goodpasture. I worked as a case officer on liaison 15 projects with the Mexicans and liaison with other government ló agencies represented in the Embassy. I did routine clerical .17 office functions, originating correspondence, answering 18 correspondence. 1.9 Mr. Goldsmith. What type of liaison work did you do 000 20 with the Mexican government? Ms. Goodpasture. What type of Hadaoo would have end do 22 with CMr. Golsmith. ---liaison work did you do with the 23 Mexican government. 24 Ms. Goodpasture. What type of inside? 25 Mr. Goldsmith. Liaison. You indicated that you did 001150

1 - 8

liaison work with the Mexican government. 2 Ms. Goodpasture. Electronic surveillance. 3 Mr. Goldsmith. Who was the Chief of Station? Ms. Goodpasture. Winston Scott. 554-2345 5 Mr. Goldsmith. Is it appropriate to refer to you as ó having been Winston Scott's righthand man during your term (202) 7 of service in Mexico City? 20024 8 Ms. Goodpasture. I take exception to that. First of р. с. 9 all, I am not a man; and second, I think that his righthand STREET, S.W. REPORTERS BUILDING, VASIENGTON, 10 person was his Deputy who participated in all the decision-11. making processes, which I did not, and acted for Mr. Scott 12 in his absence. 13 A lot of people said that I was Winn's right hand because . 14 at times he asked me to do things for him that he did not ask 15 other people to do. He depended upon me for certain projects 16 that he managed, or he wanted to manage, and I did the legwork 17 for it. 18 I would not say that I was his right hand in the entire ٦TH 19 station. 000 20 Mr. Goldsmith. How many years did you work in Mexico City? 22 Ms. Goodpasture. Eleven. 23 Mr. Goldsmith. Were you working with Mr. Scott that 24 entire time? 25 Ms. Goodpasture. Yes. He was there first. 001151

1-9

Mr. Goldsmith. You indicated that you were not 2 involved in the actual decision-making process. Were you 3 privy to the actual decision-making process? \$ Ms. Goodpasture. It depended upon what it concerned. 554-2345 \$ If it was a project that I worked on, I participated in it, ó but if it were a station activity, I did not. (202) 7 Mr. Goldsmith. Did your work at the station in Mexico 20024 8 City also involve occasionally making contact with CIA agents ن in the field? ċ REFORTERS BUTLDING, VASHINGTON, 10 Ms. Goodpasture. Yes. 11 Mr. Goldsmith. Would you give the Congressional panel 12 an evaluation of Winn Scott's competence as the Chief of 13 Station? 14 Ms. Goodpasture. Well, I think that he was a very 15 conscientious man, he was a hardworking man, he expected 16 everyone else to do their work, he worked long hours. Some 5. W. 2 17 people felt, or expressed the opinion, in my presence, that STREET. 18 he was a hard worker and expected too much from employees, 7111 19 but I do not think that that was really the case. He was **100** 20 a very conscientious man, an intelligent man. He obviously had the intelligence for the post, or he would not have been 22 assigned there. 23

Mr. Goldsmith. Is the Mexico City station one of the largest CIA stations in operation?

Ms. Goodpasture. At the present time, I do not know.

001152

1-10

24

25

At that time, it was one of the largest ones in Latin America, 1 but not in comparison to the other places. I could not 2 comment on the size of the other places. 3 Mr. Goldsmith. What kind of attitude did Mr. Scott 4 554-2345 have towards the importance of files and recordkeeping systems 5 at the Mexico City station? ó (202) Ms. Goodpasture. He was very conscientious. He wanted 7 20024 them maintained accurately and completely. 8 Mr. Goldsmith. How long were the files that he main-් 9 Ċ. HASHINGTON, 10 tained preserved? Ms. Goodpasture. Well, I am not too sure about that. 11 They were there up until the time that I left, but I think 12 REPORTERS BUILDING. that there was a reduction because of space in 1969. The 13 files were cut back, but I do not have firsthand knowledge 14 of that because I did not participate in it. 15 lá Mr. Goldsmith. During the time that you were in Mexico S.W. 17 City with Mr. Scott, to your knowledge was anything ever STREET, 18 thrown out of destroyed from the Mexico City station files? 11.11 19 Ms. Goodpasture. Well, several things were destroyed 000 20 that were not considered of any value as far as the work at 21 that time was concerned. We looked at it more or less like 22 keep cash register receipts for a grocery bill. There was a 23 limitation of space on just how long you could keep certain 24 things. 25 But there were no documents that were a part of the

1-11

001153

official files that could be destroyed without a certificate 1 of destruction being made. That did not apply to our rough 2 notes that we made, but you could not take a file out, go 3 through it and tear it up because you did not like something 4 554-2345 in it. 5 Mr. Goldsmith. It has been said of Mr. Scott that ó (202) during his tenure in Mexico City not even a shred of paper 7 20024 was thrown out or moved from the Mexico City station files. 3 Would you say that is an accurate statement? 9 Ċ Ċ Ms. Goodpasture. Some of the rough paper was thrown WASHTHCTON. 10 out, the rough paper that he considered supporting data for a 11 dispatch that he had written or a cable that he sent, he kept. 12 BUTLDING He insisted that other people do the same thing. 13 Mr. Goldsmith. Fine. 14 REPORTERS At this time, I am going to get into the area of the 15 surveillance operations in Mexico City. 16 S. H. . Miss Goodpasture, what kinds of surveillance operations 17 STREET, did the CIT have in Mexico City in 1963 with respect to the 18 7.TH Soviet and Cuban consulate and embassies? 19 300 20 Ms. Goodpasture. Well, we had electronic surveillance, 21 wiretaps, which covered telephone conversations. 22 Mr. Goldsmith. If I may interrupt you for a moment, could 23 you possibly raise the mike closer to your mouth so that we 24 might hear you better? 25 Ms. Goodpasture. We had electronic surveillance,

1 - 12

n01154

NW 50955 DocId:32277224 Page 14

wiretaps on the telephones in the Soviet Embassy, and we had photographic surveillance.

There was also what they called foot surveillance at times, when people were interested, they could find out where they were staying, where they went from the Soviet Embassy. Mr. Goldsmith. That would be physical surveillance? Ms. Goodpasture. Right.

Mr. Goldsmith. Turning first to the area of photographic surveillance, what was the purpose of the photographic surveillance project with regard to the Soviet and Cuban embassies and consuls?

Ms. Goodpasture. My understanding of that was that it was to be used to identify those people who might be working 13 for the Soviets as espionage agents who were U.S. citizens 14 who went down there driving a car with a U.S. license plate 15 on it, or people we did not know but could identify. lá

The same procedure was also used for trying to identify people other than U.S. citizens, but it was concentrated in the beginning on license plates or numbers, or something that could be identified. 20

Mr. Goldsmith. An attempt would be made to take pictures of both the car, license plates and the occupants of the car, is that correct?

Ms. Goodpasture. Yes.

Mr.Goldsmith. Fine.

001155

2

3

4

5

ó

7

8

9

10

11

12

554-2345

(202)

20024

ರ

č

REPORTERS BUTTERING, MASHERCTON,

5.W.2

TTREET.

300

17

18

19

21

22

23

24

25

Turning now to the photographic surveillance operation with regard to the Soviet Embassy and consulate, I would like to ask you, what role, if any, did you play with regards to this surveillance operation during 1963?

1 - 14

001156

Ms. Goodpasture. In 1963, I was more or less a bystander of that operation because the operation, at times, was conducted by another case officer.

I screened and looked at the photographs because I had been there in the early part of the operation where the photograph bases were first set up, were first used. I had an interest in them, or looked at them to see that they were in focus, that the negatives were all printed, and Mr. Scott asked me to do that because I had been a commercial photographer before I went to work for the government,

Mr. Goldsmith. Are you saying, in 1963, your roll with regard to the photographic surveillance at the Soviet Embassy was to review photographs, essentially to evaluate their quality?

Ms. Goodpasture. Their technical quality.

Mr. Goldsmith. Not for the purpose of obtaining subsequent information from the photographs?

Ms. Goodpasture. No, because, at that time, by 1963 there was a staff there who had been assigned to work on Soviet matters and it was their function to investigate the leads -- we called them leads -- to try to identify the person

2

1

4

5

á

7

3

10

11

12

13.

14

15

lá

17

18

19

20

22

23

24

25

20024 (202) 554-2345

REPORTURS BUTLDING, WASHINGTON, D.C.

с. И. 2

STREET,

111.6

100

at the Soviet embassy they were interested in.

So all the raw material went to them. I usually took a quick look at the photographs when they came in, and if I noticed anything significant about them, I mentioned it to the Soviet case officer, but he gave the formal reporting on all the Soviet information.

Mr. Goldsmith. I understand.

How many cameras were in operation with regard to the photosurveillance of the Soviet Embassy and consulate? Ms. Goodpasture. Three. There were three, generally. There could be any number. if we wanted to put some on the street, but at that time, I think there were only three.

> Mr. Goldsmith. Where were these cameras located? Ms. Goodpasture. Where?

Mr. Goldsmith. Yes.

Ms. Goodpasture. They were located around the building. the embassy building, to cover the area in the yard and in the front gate.

Mr. Goldsmith. So the cameras were situated so that they would photograph the yard area and front entrance gate?

Ms. Goodpasture. Yes.

Mr. Goldsmith. During this period, was your role with regards to this project at any time of a supervisory nature, actually supervising the project?

Ms. Goodpasture. Informally it could have been interpreted

001157

2

3

5

ó

7

8

9

10

!]

12

13

14

15

ló

17

18

19

20

23

24

25

554-2345

(202)

20024

ن

ć

BUTADING, WASHINGTON,

REFORTERS

S.H. 2

STREET,

11.1. 6

100

in that way, but I never had the responsibility for them 2 alone. 7 Mr. Goldsmith. As a practical matter, you were acting 4 in an informal supervising capacity? 554-2345 5 Ms. Goodpasture. It could have been interpreted that way by some of the people, because they would come to me and ó (202) 7 bring me photographs and say, what do you think of this, do 20024 8 you think that this is a Latin, or do you think he has ever ن been to the Soviet Embassy before. c. BUTINING, WASHINGTON, 10 Mr. Goldsmith. In your own opinion do you think you 11. were acting, at least informally, in a supervisory capacity 12 with regards to this project? 13 Ms, Goodpasture. No. 12 Mr. Goldsmith. At this time, I would like for the REPORTERS 15 witness to be given Stack C of the documents that have been lá prepared. S. W. S 17 (Pause) STREET, 18 I would like to draw your attention to page 2 of the 7 T'II 19 documents that appear in Stack C. **000** 20 Ms. Goodpasture. Yes. 21 Mr. Goldsmith. I would like to ask you if you can 22 identify that document. 23 Ms. Goodpasture. This looks like a copy of a cable sent 24 from the Mexico station on the 8th of October. 25 Mr. Goldsmith. To whom would the cable have been sent? 001158

1-16

NW 50955 DocId:32277224 Page 18

	terret	Ms. Goodpasture. It was sent to Washington.
	2	Mr.Goldsmith. CIA Headquarters?
	2	Ms. Goodpasture. CIA Headquarters.
	4	Mr. Goldsmith. I would like to have you examine the
554-2345	5	cable in more detail. I call your attention to the first
•	6	paragraph. What does the term "the envoy" mean?
(202)	7	Ms. Goodpasture. What was that again?
10024	8	Mr. Goldsmith. I am sorry. I am drawing your atten-
D. C. 2	9	tion to the first paragraph.
0 . N	10	Ms. Goodpasture. Yes.
SHTHOT		Mr. Goldsmith. The second word
REFORTERS BUILDING, MAENTHOTON,	12	Ms. Goodpasture. Page two?
	13	Mr. Goldsmith. Page two. There appears a word "the
	14.	envoy." What does that word mean?
	15	Ms. Goodpasture. That was a cryptonym to identify
	١ć	the telephone surveillance. Instead of saying a telephone
s.u	17	tap operation we used crytonyms for all of the things that
TTH STREET.	18	involved assets outside that could be identified.
7TH 5	19	Mr. Goldsmith. I am not drawing your attention to the
300	20	upper lefthand corner of this document. There appears the
	21	word "orig." What does that stand for?
	21	Ms. Goodpasture. The person who wrote the cable.
	23	Mr. Goldsmith. Does that stand for originating officer?
	24	Ms. Goodpasture. Right.
	25	Mr. Goldsmith. You say the originating officer is the
		001159

1-17

NW 50955 DocId:32277224 Page 19

	1-18
1	person who wrote the cable, correct?
2	Ms. Goodpasture. In this case, it is.
3	Mr. Goldsmith. Who was identified in this document as
4	the originating officer.
345	Ms. Goodpasture. The originating officer is Mr. Herbert
554-2345 0. tu	Minell.
(202)	Mr. Goldsmith. Please listen to my questions carefully
20024 (w	and just answer my questions. I think you may be trying to
n. č. 20	anticipate.
	Who is identified in the upper lefthand corner as the
VASHTRICTON,	person who issued the document? What name is given?
12	Does it say
	Ms. Goodpasture. Yes.
	Mr. Goldsmith. Is the term a pseudonym?
SHELL 15	Ms. Goodpasture. Right.
REPO	Mr. Goldsmith. It is a pseudonym for Herbert Minell?
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Ms. Goodpasture. That is right.
STREET,	Mr. Goldsmith. I take it that these documents commonly
7.11 ST	used pseudonymns, is that correct?
L UC 20	Ms. Goodpasture. In almost all cases that was the
21	practice.
22	Mr. Goldsmith. What would be the purpose of using a
23	pseudonym?
24	Ms. Goodpasture. In case the document happened to fall
23	outside classified control, it would be meaningless to the
•	

people who picked it up than if the true name were on it. 1 2 Mr. Goldsmith. I understand. 3 Let us take a look at the lower righthand corner of \$ this same document. It says, releasing officer. What is 554-2345 5 a releasing officer? á Ms. Goodpasture. W. C. Curtis. That was a pseudonym (202) 7 for Winston Scott. 20024 8 Mr. Goldsmith. Understood. ి 9 What is a releasing officer? What are his responsibil-REPORTERS BUILDING, MASHINGTON, D. 10 ities? 11 Ms. Goodpasture. The supervisor, the person who decides 12 that the message should be sent; generally the releasing offi-13. cer is the chief, the senior person there at the time, the 14 person who will assume responsibility for the message after 15 it has been transmitted. lá Mr. Goldsmith. The message would go --300 TTH STREET, S.W. 17 Ms. Goodpasture. For instance, in an Embassy, if a 18 document goes to the communications center signed by someone 17 who is not the senior person in the section, they will not 20 send it; just everybody cannot take a message to the message center and say, send this cable to Washington. 22 So this is to make sure that the Chief of the Section 23 knows that is going on by everybody in his section. 24 Mr. Goldsmith. I would not like to draw your attention 25 to page 5 of Stack C. That pertains to a different cable, but 001161

1-19

simply for the purpose of clarifying terminology, I would like you to look at the lower righthand corner where it says, "Authenticating Officer."

What is an authenticating officer?

Ms. Goodpasture. Well, this cable originated in Washington and in Washington there are more than one chief, so the person who signs off on it -- it may be a Branch Chief. In this case, it was the Division Chief.

He approved sending the cable and then his superior 10 released it.

Mr. Goldsmith. So the authenticating officer would be someone under the releasing officer, is that correct?

Ms. Goodpasture. Well, to be sure about that, you would have to check with the communications people on their practices, but I think that sometimes it could be the same. If the authenticating officer were the Section Chief, then the Section Chief could also release the message. Mr. Goldsmith. Were you ever an authenticating officer? Ms. Goodpasture. No. Well, I have signed off on cables going out from Headquarters at times, I am sure.

Mr. Goldsmith ... Only from Headquarters?

Ms. Goodpasture. The fact that you sign there as an authenticating officer does that mean that that is a title. It means that you were the person who were probably best informed on that subject, I think.

001162

ALDERSON REPORTING COMPANY, INC.

554-2345 (202) 20024 REPORTURE BUILDING, WASHINGTON, 0. C. 13 TTH STREET, S.W. **000**

2

3

5

ó

7

8

9

11

12

14

15

15

17

18

19

20

23

24

25

Mr. Goldsmith. For purposes of clarification, is it the responsibility of the authenticating officer to verify 2 the contents of the cable? 3 Ms. Goodpasture. It might be, I do not know. 4 Mr. Goldsmith. What would the specific purpose of an 554-2345 5 authenticating officer be? ó (202) Ms. Goodpasture. I never went into that terminology 7 20024 with anyone. 8 n. c. Mr.Fithian. Would the counsel permit a question? 9 S.W. REPORTERS BUILDING, WASHINGTON, Mr. Goldsmith. Yes, sir. 10 Mr. Fithian. In our Navy communications sytem, we 11 sometimes distinguished between an authenticating officer as 12 one who not only authorized the sending of the cable, but 12 authenticated or verified or vouched for, the content. 4 Is that similar? 15 Ms. Goodpasture. That would be the literal interpre-.15 tation of the word. Whether ornot it was used in air traffic 17 STREET. all the time or not, I do not know. 18 11.1. 6 My guess would be that that is correct. 19 UU 20 Mr. Fithian. Do you know whether, in CIA traffic, 21 you had to have both an authenticating officer and a releasing 27 officer for a single message? 23 Ms. Goodpasture. I think that there were times when one 24 person could sign both places. 25 Mr. Fithian. Thank you, counsel, 001163

1-21

Mr. Goldsmith. Would the phrase authenticating officer appear only on cable traffic that originated at headquarters? 2 I do not know. You would have to 7 Ms. Goodpasture. compare the field forms and headquarters forms to be sure 4 554-2345 5 of that. Mr. Goldsmith. On those occasions when you acted as á (202) authenticated officer, did you see your purpose as being that 7 20024 of verifying the contents of the cable for accuracy? ລື ే 9 Ms. Goodpasture. T Yes M Habbe and you some the parent & shi ć the Mr. Goldsmithing would like to go now to page 2 of to WASHTIGTON, 10 11 the documents contained in Stack C and I would like you to 12 read the second paragraph. FUTU.DTHG 13 (Pause) 14 Ms. Goodpasture. Yes. REPORTERS 15 Mr. Goldsmith. Was the photograph referred to in this lá paragraph obtained by the CIA's photo surveillance operation S.W. 17 of the Soviet Embassy? STREET, 18 Ms. Goodpasture. Yes. H.L.C 19 Well, this message has a mistake in it which was not 000 20 noted at that time in paragraph 2, and that is the date. 21 Mr. Goldsmith. We will get to that later on to clarify 22 that. 23 Would you tell the Committee what the scope of the 24 coverage of the Soviet Embassy and consulate was? By that, 25 I mean in September and October, 1963, at what times were the

1-22

001164

NW 50955 DocId:32277224 Page 24

Soviet Embassy and consulate under surveillance?

Ms. Goodpasture. I cannot give you the exact times. I can guess, and my guess is that they were open most of the time when the consulate was opened for business hours. But the person who would have that information, the only person who would know, is the case officer who was handling the project at that time.

8 Mr. Goldsmith. Even though you were acting in what you 9 regard as an informal supervisory capacity, you do not 10 recall?

Ms. Goodpasture. No, because a year ago I tried to
find that specific information from the records and I could
not find it, and I do not know. I am sorry. I cannot answer
that.

1

2

3

4

5

ó

7

554-2345

(202)

20024

n. c.

WASHTHGTON,

RUPORTERS BUTTDING,

STREET, S.W.

11.1. (

15

Mr. Goldsmith, Fine.

I am sorry. It has just been drawn to my attention that
 we should clarify ---

Ms. Goodpasture. I did not answer that paragraph 2.
I noticed that. Photograph, yes. That was my fault, not yours.
I wanted to correct that date so you would not get the impression that there was a photograph on the lst of October because
the photograph was made on the 2nd of October, but it was made
by the surveillance from the Soviet Embassy.

24

25

Mr. Goldsmith, Fine.

You indicated that the scope of the coverage, to your

001165

1-23

knowledge, was probably at such time as the Soviet Embassy and consulate was open, in other words, working hours. Is that correct, to the best of your knowledge?

Ms. Goodpasture. I think that it was at working hours. Mr. Goldsmith. What were the working hours of the Soviet Embassy and consulate in September and October, 1963?

Ms. Goodpasture. I do not know.

Mr. Goldsmith. How many years did you work in Mexico City?

Ms. Goodpasture. Eleven.

Mr. Goldsmith. Working in Mexico City for eleven years and you do not know what the working hours were?

Ms. Goodpasture. The Soviet Embassy changed their working hours frequently. Their consulate might be open from 8:00 to 10:00 on Mondays, Wednesdays and Fridays or they might change it from 10:00 to 12:00 on Tuesdays and Thursdays. But for me to say now that in September, 1963, without having a document to prove it, that they were open from 10:00 to 12:00 would be rather foolish because I really do not know what their hours were at that time.

Mr. Goldsmith. Let us refer to a document, then. Will the Clerk hand the witness Stack D?

Drawing your attention to page 3 ---

Ms. Goodpasture. Right.

Mr. Goldsmith. In Stack D, can you identify this

001166

1 - 24

2

3

4

5

ó

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

. 14

15

16

17

13

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

554-2345

20024 (202)

D. C.

REPORTERS BUILDING, WASHTHOTON,

300 7TH STREET, S.W.

document?

1

4

5

ó

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

15

17

18

19

20

22

23

24

25

20024 (202) 554-2345

ۍ

D,

RUPORTERS AUTIDING, WASHINGTON,

5. H. 2

STREET,

11.3. 6

000

Ms. Goodpasture. It looks like a cable that was sent
to Mexico.

1-25

001167

Mr. Goldsmith. Is that cable 9332?

Ms. Goodpasture. Right.

Mr. Goldsmith. I would like to ask you to read the first paragraph of that cable.

Ms. Goodpasture. It has the normal work hours there. Mr. Goldsmith. Does this cable accurately reflect what the normal working hours were of the Soviet Embassy?

Ms. Goodpasture. I do not know. The work hours are listed here, but I do not know who originated this cable.

Mr. Goldsmith. Who was the authenticating officer on this cable?

Ms. Goodpasture. I did, but I could not find this message last year.

Mr. Goldsmith. The answer to the question who the authenticating officer was is you?

Ms. Goodpasture. Right.

Mr. Goldsmith, There on page 4 it indicates that the authenticating officer was

Ms. Goodpasture. That was my pseudo.

Mr. Goldsmith. In light of the fact that you were the authenticating --

Ms. Goodpasture. I do not have a memory of the specific

hours at that time.

2 Mr. Goldsmith. I would like, at this point, to refer 3 your attention to page 1 of the documents contained in Stack 4 D . I would like to ask you to read the first two para-551-2315 5 graphs. ó (Pause) (202) 7 Ms. Goodpasture. The first paragraph? 20024 . 3 Mr. Goldsmith. It is labelled up top, memo to Mr. 7TH STREET, S.W. REFORTERS BUILDING, MASHINGTON, D.C. 9 Popitch at FBI. I think that it is the stack that we just put 10 by your right hand. I would like to ask you to read the 11 first two paragraphs. 12 Ms. Goodpasture. The first paragraph? 13 Mr. Goldsmith. The first two paragraphs. 14 (Pause) 15 Ms. Goodpasture. Yes. ló Mr. Goldsmith. Does the information contained in this 17 document contradict the information that is contained ---18 disregard that question. 19 The question I have now is, according to this document, 000 20 it appears that the photograph surveillance was of a continuous nature during daylight hours. 22 Ms. Goodpasture. No. 23 Mr. Goldsmith. What I am saying, according to this 24 document, the photograppic surveillance of the Soviet Embassy 25 and consulate was of a continuous nature during daylight

NW 50955 DocId:32277224 Page 28

ALDERSON REPORTING COMPANY, INC.

001168

hours.

1.

	:1		
	2	Ms. Goodpasture. We are talking about two different	
	3	things here. The surveillance, someone was available there,	
CTON, D. C. 20024 (202) 554-2345	-	but they did not make pictures. They may have been watching	
	5	the activity from 9:00 o'clock in the morning until 1:00	
	ó	o'clock and may have only made two pictures, one at 11:00	
	7	and one at 12:00.	
	8	As far as photographic surveillance being continuous,	
	9	that would be really inaccurate because it was not a camera	
	to	making a picture of every minute's activity.	
	11.	Mr. Goldsmith. However, there were personnel stationed	
112.44	12	at positions outside the Soviet Embassy compound who had	
our our sea	13	photographic equipment available and who could take pictures	
	14		
E.R.S		at any time during daylight hours, is that correct?	
RUPORTERS	15	Ms. Goodpasture. I do not know whether it would be or	
	16	not. At any time during daylight hours?	
, s.u	17	Mr. Goldsmith. I am drawing your attention to the	
STREET	18	second paragraph of this document, page 1, the very first	
11.L.C	19	sentence of that paragraph. It says the photographic	
UUC	20	coverage of the mentioned installations is of a continuous	
	21	nature during daylight hours. Is that an accurate statement?	
汉	22	Ms. Goodpasture. I think in November of 1973	
	23	Mr. Goldsmith. 63.	
	24	Ms, Goodpasture - after the assassination, the case	
	25	officers ordered their people to be on duty continuously. I	

officers ordered their people to be on duty continuously,

ALDERSON REPORTING COMPANY, INC.

1-27

I

001169

am not sure of that. That probably may have prompted this 2 statement. 3 Mr. Goldsmith. This documented is dated November 27, 1963. 554-2345 5 Ms. Goodpasture. Yes. Mr. Goldsmith. Is that correct? ó (202) 7 Ms. Goodpasture. That is the date that is on the 20024 8 document. ນ: ເ 9 Mr. Goldsmith. This document seems to indicate, does STREEF, S.W. REPARTERS BUILDING, WAERINGTON, 10 it not, that the photographic coverage of the installations ! 1 was of a continuous nature during daylight hours. Is that 12 correct? .13 Ms. Goodpasture. That is what the document says here. 4 Mr. Goldsmith. This was a document that was given to 15 the FBI by the CIA. Is the information contained in this ló document accurate as far as that specific sentence is .17 concerned? 18 Ms. Goodpasture. I assume it is. This memorandum was 7711 19 written in Washington, though, at headquarters. 000 20 Mr. Goldsmith. In your previous answer, you seemed to be suggesting that this document referred to the coverage of the embassies after November 22, 1963. I am referring 23 to the period of September-October 163. 24 Ms. Goodpasture. I think that this memorandum was 25 written to tell them the coverage that had been instituted 001170

1-28

after the assassination.

T

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

18

19

20

23

24

25

554-2345

(202)

20024

ť

ċ

WASH CHGTON,

REPORTERS BUILDING,

s. u. s

STREET,

1 T H

300

Mr. Goldsmith. For purposes of clarification, to the best of your knowledge, what was the scope of the photographic surveillance of the Soviet Embassy in September and October 1963?

Ms. Goodpasture. What is that?

Mr. Goldsmith. For purposes of clarification, to the best of your knowledge, what was the photographic -- what was the scope of the photographic surveillance coverage of the Soviet Embassy and consulate in September and October, 1963?

Ms. Goodpasture. During the hours that that office would have been openato receive visitors from the public. Mr. Goldsmith. Would the clerk please now hand to the

witness the stack labelled A?

Stack A contains actually four sub-stacks, A-1, A-2, A-3 and A-4.

I would like to draw your attention to Stack A-3.

Ms. Goodpasture. Page 3?

Mr. Goldsmith. Stack A-3, one of the stacks contained in Stack A.

Ms. Goodpasture. What page?

Mr. Goldsmith. It is a separate sub-stack within the overall Stack A.

Has your attention now been drawn to Stack A-3?

001171

NW 50955 DocId:32277224 Page 31

Ms. Goodpasture. Yes. 2 Mr. Goldsmith. Can you identify the document that 3 appears on Stack A-3? 4 Ms. Goodpasture. Yes. STREEF, S.W. REPORTIES BUILDING, UNCHTHOTON, D.C. 20024 (202) 554-2345 5 Mr. Goldsmith. What is that document? ó Ms. Goodpasture. This is a memorandum, a rough draft, 7 that I wrote from memory when I came to Washington a year ago 3 to be used as background material to try to get together the 9 information about what was the situation in Mexico at the 10 time of Oswald's visit and the assassination and to name 11 people who were there that we could talk to and locate their 12 records and get as complete a report as we could on what 13 happened there. 14 Mr. Goldsmith. Of all the available personnel from 15 Mexico City, why were you specifically asked to prepare this lá memorandum? 17 Ms. Goodpasture. I dognot think anybody else was will-18 ing to do it. 1111 19 Mr. Goldsmith. Is it possible that you were asked to **00** 20 prepare this memorandum because you had more information 11 concerning this issue? 22 Ms. Goodpasture. No, I was asked to do it because the 23 man who was reviewing it had never been at the Mexico station 24 and it is just as though he was asked to write a report on this 25 committee, that he wanted someone that had been in Mexico.

001172

1-30

Mr. Goldsmith. Before we proceed, I would like to ask you, Miss Goodpasture, to wait until I have finished asking 2 my question, and although you may have answered the question 3 I was asking, I would like to ask it again. 4 Why were you specifically chosen to prepare this 5 memorandum? 6 Msag Goodpasture. I do not know. 7 Mr. Goldsmith. Was anybody else from the Mexico City 8 station who served with you in 1963 asked to prepare similar 9 memoranda? 10 MssaGoodpasture. I was told that all of the people who 11 were there at the time would be interviewed and would be 12 asked to do the same thing that I was asked to do. 13 Mr. Goldsmith. To your knowledge, did they? 14 Ms. Goodpasture. I do not know. I did not see any of 15 the results, but that does not mean that they did not. lá Mr. Goldsmith. Are you familiar with the CIA's Inspec-17 18 tor General Report of 1977? Ms. Goodpasture. The CIA what? 10 Mr. Goldsmith. Inspector General's Report of 1977? 20 Among other things, it dealt with the question of the surveil-2122 lance operation of Cuban and Soviet Embassies in Mexico City 23 in 1963. 24 Ms. Goodpasture. I am sorry. I am going to have to

DocId:32277224 Page 33

554-2345

(202)

20024

ೆ

ċ

UACHTERTON,

BUILDING,

PUPORTERS

5.11.

STREET,

7.TH

300

25

ALDERSON REPORTING COMPANY, INC.

ask you to repeat that because there is a word in there at the

001173

beginning I am not sure I understood. Did you say secretarial T board? 2 Mr. Goldsmith. No, I did not. I will try to speak up 3 and to clarify my questions for you. Why do we not defer that 4 -2345 until later on? 5 554. I would like to address your attention to page 19 of Ś (202) this document. 7 20024 (Pause) 8 What appears on page 19 of this document? What infor-D. C. 9 mation appears on page 19 of this document? BUTLDTEG, PASIDICTON. 10 Ms. Goodpasture. There is a list of names and dates. 11 Mr. Goldsmith. What are the names and dates related 12 to? 13 Ms. Goodpasture. There were related to people who were, 14 REPORTERS or who I thought, could have been in Mexico at that time, but 15 this document was never checked. I did this, I tried to do lá 5.11. this from memory and it was to be checked from the personnel 17 STREET, records for accuracy as well as the other memorandum that you 13. 7.111 19 have. 100 What we have here is my rough draft and there may be 20 some names of people who were not there. 21 22 Mr. Goldsmith. I understand we are only going to focus 13 this time on a few of the names. 24 Drawing your attention to the middle of the page where 25 it says "unilateral photo, Soviets," do you see that?

1 - 32

001174

NW 50955 DocId:32277224 Page 34

Ms. Goodpasture. Yes. 1 Mr. Goldsmith. Is your name mentioned under that 2 category? 3 Ms. Goodpasture. My name was there in the initial • 4 554-2345 5 status. Mr. Goldsmith. I think the question calls for a yes or á (202) no answer. Was your name --7 20024 Ms. Goodpasture. Yes. 8 Mr. Goldsmith. This was in a report that you prepared, J. 9 Ċ. is that correct? PASHTRUTON. 10 Ms. Goodpasture. That is right. 11 Mr. Goldsmith. It says Goodpasture, alternate CO in 12 MULTING, internal routing. What does that mean? 13 Mr. Goodpasture. That means that during the time that 14 REPORTERS Harry Mahoney was there, when the base was first set up, I 15 was his alternate case officer and I did the internal routing 1á 5 с. С for the Soviet photographs. My tenure lasted much longer. I 17 STREET, 18 kept an interest in the Soviets -- I mean in the photographs. 7TH 19 When the other people were away on holidays I could meet with 000 20 their contact and pick up the photographs and route them. Sometime in 1964, I had nothing more to do with photo-21 22 graphs. 23 Mr. Goldsmith. Mr. Mahoney left in April, 1959, is 24 that correct? 25 Ms. Goodpasture. Pardon me? 001175

ALCERSON REPORTING COMPANY, INC.

1-33

Mr. Goldsmith. Mr. Mahoney left, according to this, 2 roughly in April, 1959. 3 Ms. Goodpasture. That is approximate. I am not sure 4 of the date. 554-2345 5 Mr. Goldsmith. Understood. ó However, you continued working in this particular (202) 7 capacity through 1964. Is that correct? 20024 8 Ms. Goodpasture. Only when the other people were away, р. с. 9 I met someone outside. That was the function of the alternate REPORTERS BUILDING, MASHINGTON, 10 CO. . [] Mr. Goldsmith. What was responsibility 12 in regards to this project? 13 Ms. Goodpasture. He was a case officer. 14 Mr. Goldsmith. According to page 19, he served in that 15 capacity from June '63 until October '64, is that correct? 15 Ms. Goodpasture. I think so. S.W.2 17 Mr. Goldsmith. When you say case officer, you mean STREEC, 18 case officer with regard to the Soviet Embassy photosurveil-7.TH 19. lance coverage? 000 .20 Ms. Goodpasture. Yes. 21 Mr. Goldsmith. Is it true that when received the materials from the photosurveillance operation 23 he would turn them over, either to you or to Herbert Minell? 24 Ms. Goodpasture. He sometimes brought the photographs 25 in to me and I gave them to Herb Minell. On other occasions, 001176

1 - 34

NW 50955 DocId:32277224 Page 36

1 he would give it to Herb Minnel directly. 2 responsibility Mr. Goldsmith. Is it true that 3 in this area was simply to do the leg work on the project, that he himself was not really in charge of the project? 5 Ms. Goodpasture. N1, he managed the projects also. He á had complete supervision of the projects, as well as the leg-7 work. 8 The production, the material that was produced as a 9 result of the project, was not his responsibility. That was 10 Mr. Minnel's. 11 Mr. Goldsmith, Who was involved in setting the require-12 ments on policies with regard to the running of the project? 13 Ms. Goodpasture. 14 Mr. Goldsmith. Are you certain that the person respon-15 sible for that was not yourself? ló Ms. Goodpasture. Pardon me? 17 Mr. Goldsmith. Are you certain that you were not 18 responsible for setting those requirements and policies? 19 Ms. Goodpasture. Are you referring to asking people 20 to asking for coverage over a specific investigation? 21 Mr. Goldsmith. My question is directed towards whether you were involved in a supervisory capacity with setting the 23 requirements and policies as to how the photosurveillance 24 operation would be run. 25 Ms. Goodpasture. I talked to him about it, but this

554-2345

(202)

20024

ţ

2

WASHENGTON.

REPORTERS BUILDING.

STREET, S.W.

7 T H

390

001177

1-35

was his sole responsibility at the time. He was the case officer for the project.

Mr. Goldsmith. Is it true that, because of the sensitivity of the photosurveillance operation at the Soviet Embassy that Winn Scott felt that you were the person who should run this operation?

Ms. Goodpasture. I do not think I heard that statement before. He did not want too many people involved in it because of the sensitivity and he asked me to review the photographs to make sure that the outside people did not become sloppy in the photographic work.

Mr. Goldsmith. Nevertheless, did Winn Scott place you in a supervisory capacity with) regard to this project? Ms. Goodpasture. No. I never saw anything in writing that referred to me as supervising the activities of that project.

Mr. Goldsmith. If not in writing, nevertheless, informally did Winn Scott designate you as the person who would be supervising that project?

Ms. Goodpasture. He may have said informally, I want you to make sure that those operations are run properly, or something like that, but I do not think he did. I do not recall him doing that.

Mr. Goldsmith. Is it true that was the most junior officer in the station in 1963?

001178

DocId: 32277224

Page 38

N₩

50955

2

3

4

5

ó

7

· 8

9

10

11

554-2345

(202)

20024

ن

ċ

WATERTRON,

REPORTERS BUFLIDTIG.

S. W.

STRUET,

11.1. (

U U U

20

22

23

Ms. Goodpasture. That he was what? Mr.Goldsmith. The most junior officer. He had less 3 seniority than anybody else in 1963. 4 Ms. Goodpasture. Agewise, he probably was. 554-2345 Mr. Goldsmith. Is it also true that the Soviet operaó tion, in terms of surveillance of the Soviet Embassy, was an (202) 7. extremely sensitive operation? 20024 8 Ms. Goodpasture. It was not any more sensitive than n. c. 9 the other operations which was handling. In fact, STREET, S.W. REPORTERS BUILDING, WASHINGTON, 10 was probably the person most trusted by Winn 11 Scott. 12 Mr. Goldsmith. I do not believe that the answer is 13 responsive to my question, though, 14 Is it true that the Soviet Embassy surveillance opera-15 tion was one of the most sensitive operations that was in ló effect in Mexico City in 1963? 17 Ms. Goodpasture. I doubt it. 18 Mr. Goldsmith. Would you characterize that operation 7 TH 19 as extremely sensitive? **300** 20 Ms. Goodpasture. Pardon me? 21 Mr. Goldsmith. Would you characterize that surveillance operation as extremely sensitive? 23 Ms. Goodpasture. It was -- I would characterize it as 24 sensitive to the extent that the Chief of Station did not want 25 the photographs disseminated and the source identified, 01179

1 - 37

that reason it was considered sensitive. But every project that we had was also considered sensitive that involved a source who would be in jeopardy if they were identified. Mr. Goldsmith. If ______ were to say that his responsibility with regard to that project was simply to do the legwork on it and not to act in a supervisory capacity, would he be telling us the truth?

Ms. Goodpasture. When he first came there -Mr. Goldsmith. I believe that calls for a yes or no
answer.

Ms. Goodpasture. I am trying to figure out why someone would make a statement of that type. He felt, I am sure, as a young officer that he was only doing the legwork, but so was I. Because the person who made the decisions was the Chief of Station and his deputy, and they had the remainder of the people in the station do the legwork, the running around, meeting the agents, but when it came to make decisions they made the big decisions, but I did not make any decisions over work, if that is what you are getting at. Mr. Goldsmith. With regards to this particular surveillance operation, did you make the decisions on it, or did make the decisions on it?

Ms. Goodpasture. He made the decisions on it. As far as management of the project was concerned --

Mr. Goldsmith. Miss Goodpasture, what was the procedure

001180

1-38

1

2

3

4

5

ó

7

11

12

13

14

15

١ć

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

554-2345

(202)

20024

ۍ

ċ

WASHTHCTON,

REPORTERS BUILDING.

S.W.

STREET

1TH

300

1 for getting the photographic film from the photography 2 surveillance site to the station? 3 Ms. Goodpasture. It was picked up at intervals by 4 meetings with the person outside. 554-2345 5 Mr. Goldsmith. How long did it take? Ms. Goodpasture. There, again, my memory is faulty. á (202) 7 I think that it was picked up once or twice or three times a 20024 3 week. ر. 9 Mr. Goldsmith. What was done with it once it was picked Ξ BUTLDING, VASHINGTON, 10 up? 11 Ms. Goodpasture. Pardon me? 12 Mr. Goldsmith. What was done with it once it was picked 13 up? What was done with the photographic tape once it was 14 picked up? S.W. RUPORTURD 15 Ms. Goodpasture. What happened to it once it was picked lá up? 17 Mr. Goldsmith. Right. STREET. 18 Ms. Goodpasture. It was brought into the station and 7.111 19 distributed to the people who took action on that product, 300 28 Mr. Goldsmith. Before it was distributed to the people in the station, was it developed? 22 Ms. Goodpasture. Yes, it was developed. 23 Mr. Goldsmith. It would be picked up, you think, 24 approximately three times a week. It would be taken somewhere 25 for processing and development and they would take it to the 001181

1-39

station and distribute it. Is that correct?

Ms. Goodpasture. Right.

Mr. Goldsmith. How long did this overall process take? Ms. Goodpasture. My guess would be about a week from the time that the film was exposed, but some of the film might only be two days old or three days old.

In the rold of film, it would cover a period of one, two or three days, so that the last day's coverage on the film would be more recent than the first part of the film. Mr. Goldsmith. Would you give the panel your evaluation of the efficiency of the photosurveillance operation?

Ms. Goodpasture. Well, I think that it generally was 13 very good as far as the coverage was concerned. If we asked them to get a photograph of the events that occurred between 15 9:00 and 10:00 on such and such a date, they usually produced 15. the photographs for that period.

17 Mr. Goldsmith. What if they were not specifically asked 18 to focus on a person, as a matter of routine? How thorough 19 was the coverage?

Ms. Goodpasture. They covered the categories that we asked them for on a routine basis, which was to identify any people who appeared to be non-Latin and any Soviets.

Mr. Goldsmith. I understand that was the purpose. Given that purpose, how thorough was the coverage?

Ms. Goodpasture. I think it was accurate.

001182

1

2

3

5

ó

7

3

9

10

11

12

14

554-2345

(202)

20024

ť

c

WASHINGTON,

REPORTERS BUILDING.

S.W.S

STREET,

11.1.6

000

20

21

23

24

25

	1-41
Ţ	Mr. Goldsmith. Was Winn Scott satisfied with the
2	performance of the photo operation at the Soviet Embassy?
3	Ms. Goodpasture. To the best of my knowledge he was.
*	Mr. Goldsmith. I would now like you to refer to page
5465	20 of the document that you have before you in Stack A-3.
54-2345 0, 1,1	Seven lines from the bottom in the middle of the page, it says
(202)	"However."
20024 (202) w	Ms. Goodpasture. Wait a minute.
h. c. 21	(Pause)
, 10	Mr. Goldsmith. Seven lines from the bottom, starting
	with the word "However," I would like for you to read that.
145H	Ms. Goodpasture. The last.
BUTLDTHG, HASHTIGTON,	Mr. Goldsmith. "However, here again, there was." That
• • •	is what I am referring to. Just read that section please.
POETURS 51	(Pause)
æ 16	Is the information contained in that section starting
N. S. 17	with "However" until the end of that page, accurate?
STRELT 8	Ms. Goodpasture. To the best of my knowledge it is,
S ILL 19	but I am not so sure whether or not the cameras used a
ê <u>6</u> 20	separate roll of film for each day after the assassination or
21	before. I think it was after the assassination. That part
22	right here is not clear.
23	The reason I say it was after that period, because if
24	you will look at the log of the film that occurred in October
25	you will note more than one day on thatroll of film. 00118?

Mr. Goldsmith. After the photos were taken to the 2 station, were they reviewed? Were the photographs reviewed? 3 Ms. Goodpasture. After they came into the station? 4 Mr. Goldsmith. Yes. 554-2345 5 Ms. Goodpasture! Yes. ó Mr. Goldsmith. Who reviewed them? (202) 7 Ms. Goodpasture. I glanced at them and then I gave them 20024 8 to Herb Minell and he studied them, and if I was looking for n. c. 9 something at the request of Mr. Scott, if he came down and REFORTERS BUTIDING, MASHITHETON, 10 asked me to go over the photographs for such and such a 11 period, then I studied them more carefully. 12 Mr. Goldsmith. Did you review, did you give all of 13 the photographs from the photosurveillance operation of the 14 Soviet Embassy to Mr. Minell? 15 Ms. Goodpasture. Yes. I routed them and then they ló went to the file where they were kept, but he had access to S. W. 17 all of them. STREET, 18 Mr. Goldsmith. If Mr. Minell were to have said that 7.111 19 he received only those particular photographs from the Embassy 000 20. operation that you regarded to be of significance, would he be telling us the truth? 22 Ms. Goodpasture. I think he would. I think he is 23 referring -- the photographs came in and there was a contact. 24 print on one sheet of paper, also, of those photographs, of 25 those cases, where we asked them to look for people who had a 001184

1 - 42

car license plate or lnew Soviets who had not been there before or people who were not Latins.

They gave us a 3 x 5 photograph with them in addition to the sheet, and those 3 x 5 photographs were always given to Mr. Minell to use or to identify the new Soviets or to start files to try to identify the others. But that was separate from the file copy, so that may have been what he was referring to.

Mr. Goldsmith. He was given photographs of significance. Was he also given all of the photographs of the Embassy?

Ms. Goodpasture. Yes. He was shown all of the photographs that came. He retained, for his use, the 3 x 5 photographs, but all the photographs were routed to him.

Mr. Goldsmith. At this time, Mr. Chairman, I would like to break for any questions that the Committee might have.

Mr. Preyer. I believe we would prefer to complete the testimony before asking questions.

Mr. Goldsmith. I would like to turn now to the photographic surveillance operation of the Cuban Embassy and consulate.

What responsibilities, if any, did you have with regard to that particular operation?

Ms. Goodpasture. At the time, in 1963, none.

25

2

3

\$

5

ó

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

4

15

ló

17.

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

5.54-2345

(202)

20024

പ്

ď

WASHINGTON,

NUTLDING

REPORTERS

S.W.

STREET.

7 T H

000

Mr. Goldsmith. When did you have responsibilities with regard to that operation? 001186

1 Ms. Goodpasture. When they were first working on the 2 Cuban Embassy, they asked me if I would help them with the 3 photographs. I do not remember the exact time now. Mr. Goldsmith. How many cameras were in operation 554-2345 5 surveilling the Cuban Embassy and consulate? ó Ms. Goodpasture. I do not know. I am not sure. I (202) 7 tried to speculate on that, but I am just not sure. 20024 3 Mr. Goldsmith. If you had to estimate, what answer చ 9 would you give? ć REPORTERS BUILDING, WAINTRON, 10 Ms. Goodpasture. I thought that there were two, but 11 I did not know if they were at the consulate entrance or the 12 main gate. 13 Mr. Goldsmith. In September and October, 1963, at what 14 times were the Cuban Embassy and consulate under photo-sur-15 veillance? 15 Ms. Goodpasture. What is that? S.W.2 17 Mr. Goldsmith. In September and October of 1963, at TTH STREET. 18 what times was the Cuban Embassy and consulate under photo-19 surveillance? 000 20 Ms. Goodpasture. I do not know. I think the cameras 21 were malfunctioning during that period, but I am not sure right now the exact dates, but it should be in the record. 23 Mr. Goldsmith. As a matter of routine, during that 24 period, what times would there have been coverage? 25 Ms. Goodpasture. My guess would be that it would be the 001186

1 - 44

NW 50955 DocId:32277224 Page 46

1 same as the Soviets when the offices were opened, but it 2 would be up to their case officer as to what times he ordered 3 them to work, and the case officer, at that time, was Bob 4 Shaw. 554-2345 5 Mr. Goldsmith. In the case of the Cuban Embassy and consulate, what was the procedure for getting the photographic ó (202) 7 film from the surveillance site to the station? 20024 8 Ms. Goodpasture. I think that it was similar to that ۍ 9 used for the Soviets, but I did not know the people who brought WASHINGTON, 10 it in. I did not bring it in. !] Mr. Goldsmith. By whom were the photographs reviewed? Ms. Goodpasture. I think Bob Shaw, 12 STREET, S.W. REPORTERS BUILDING. 13 Mr. Goldsmith. Did you review any of those photographs? 14 Ms. Goodpasture. Not unless they brought one to me to 15 just look at it and compare with somebody who may have gone lá to the Soviet Embassy, which was the case of this controversial 17 photograph that we have. 18 Mr. Goldsmith. Were you acting in an informal super-7711 19 visory capacity with regard to the surveillance operation? 000 20 Ms. Goldsmith. Mr. Shaw was in charge of this operation? 21 Ms, Goodpasture. I think Bob Shaw was in charge of that operation. 23 Mr. Goldsmith. How many years had Mr. Shaw been down at 24 the Mexico City station? 25 Ms. Goodpasture. I am not sure. It should be available

1 - 45

001187

NW 50955 DocId:32277224 Page 47

from headquarters. \$63 to \$65, I think. It is on page 18. 1 here-- according to 2 Goldsmith. Now Mr. 3 page 18 of this document, Mr. Shaw was Chief of Cuban 4 operations. 5 Ms. Goodpasture. I think Dave Phillips, I believe. ó Mr. Goldsmith. You say that Mr. Phillips was the 7 Chief during this period. According to your document on page 8 18, you also indicate that Mr. Shaw was the Chief of Cuban 9 Operations. 10 Ms. Goodpasture. Because Mr. Phillips came down there, 11 Dave Phillips was the covert) action officer and then he was 12 placed in charge of Cuban operations, but Bob Shaw had REFORTERS BUILDING. 13 actually been there before, handling the Cuban operations, 14 and the other memorandum that you referred to was written when 15 I was trying -- and I said it had several errors in it, ló S. U. 2 but I remember that Bob Shaw was there working on Cuban 17 target. I did not know on what date when I wrote this when TTH STREET, 18 Dave Phillips was named as Chief. 19 Mr. Goldsmith. When Phillips came down, did he replace 000 20 Bob Shaw as Chief of Cuban Operations? 21 Ms. Goodpasture. No, he was there before in another 22 capacity, then he was named to replace him. 23

Mr. Goldsmith. Turning to page 19, according to page 19, under the section "Unilateral Photo Cubans," it says that during this period, Robert Zambernardi was in charge of the

001188

ALDERSON REPORTING COMPANY, INC.

DocId:32277224 Page 48

24

25

554-2345

(202)

20024

ರ

ċ

WASHTEGYON,

unilateral photo operations.

Ms. Goodpasture. These were two photographers, but 2 they were not case officers. They processed the film. 3 Mr. Goldsmith. I see. 4 Ms. Godpasture. They had no operational responsibility. 5 Mr. Goldsmith. Are you able to evaluate the efficiency á of the Cuban photosurveillance operation? 7 Ms. Goodpasture. My guess would be that it was just as 8 efficient when it was operating as the Soviet one. 9 Mr. Goldsmith. With regard to both the photos that were 10 obtained from the Cuban and the Soviet Embassy and consulates, 11 what happened to them after they were taken back to the 12 station and reviewed? Were they stored? 13 Ms. Goodpasture. They were stored in the main file, 14 chronological files. 15 Mr. Goldsmith. During the period when Mr. Scott was lά there, were any of these photographs disposed of? 17 Ms. Goodpasture. Yes. Some of the earlier photographs, 18 before we began to set up formal files in the late 1950's were 19 not used. 20 During the period that we are talking about to the best 21 of my knowledge, in 1963, I do not believe any of the photo-22 23 graphs were destroyed as long as Mr. Scott was there. 24 Mr. Goldsmith. How long were these photographs retained? 25 Ms. Goodpasture. As far as I know, they were still there

091180

1-47

DocId:32277224 50955

554-2345

(202)

20024

ರೆ c

WASHTHGTON,

GULLDING,

REPOATCRS

S.W.

STREEF.

7.TH

000

when I left in 1968.

1

2

3

4

5

á

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

iá

17

20

21

554-2345

(202)

20024

්

Ē

BUTLDING, PASHTICTON,

REPORTERS

S. W. 2

STREET,

7711

000

Mr. Goldsmith. Are they there now, to your knowledge? Ms. Goodpasture. Not all the photographs. A year ago I could not locate all of them.

Mr. Goldsmith. For purposes of clarification, we are now talking specifically about photographs taken in September and October of 1963. Now, you just said that, a year ago, you could not locate them. Did you go to Mexico City to attempt to locate them?

Ms. Goodpasture. No.

Mr. Goldsmith. Where did you go to to attempt to locate the photographs?

Ms. Goodpasture. I was here in Washington and I looked through the files, but we did send a cable to Mexico City, In fact, we sent two cables to them trying to locate the photographs.

Mr. Goldsmith. What was the response?

Ms. Goodpasture. They could not locate one page of the photographs.

Mr. Goldsmith. I do not understand the answer. They were unable to locate one page?

Ms. Goodpasture. One page. One page of photographs they could not locate.

Mr. Goldsmith. Does that mean that all of the other photographs they were able to locate?

0.01103

Ms. Goodpasture. Does that mean what? All of the other photographs? Yes. We located all of the other files except for one.

Mr. Goldsmith. Do you remember what time period the
page of photographs that you could not locate referred to?
Ms. Goodpasture. Right offhand I do not remember, but
I wrote a memorandum for it, which should be in this group of
materials, a cover letter a year ago.

9 Mr. Goldsmith. Specifically with regard to the photo-10 graphs that were taken in September and October, 1963, did 11 the information that you obtained from the Mexico City station 12 last year indicate that all but one page of those photographs 13 had been recovered and were available?

Ms. Goodpasture. Yes, to the best of my knowledge, Mr. Goldsmith. When you say "a page of photographs," what are you referring to?

Ms. Goodpasture. In a file which you showed me you
have a copy, it is a contact print of 35 mm. film on one
page,

20 Mr. Goldsmith. I think I know what you are referring 21 to.

Ms. Goodpasture. Right.

Mr. Goldsmith. By "a page," you mean a page that would
 contain one or more contact prints on it.

Ms, Goodpasture. It would contain contact prints from

001191

25

22

-2345

554-

(202)

20024

D. C.

REPORTERS MULIDING, PACHTNOTON,

S. W. S

STREET.

7 T'H

one roll of 350mm. film. The film we had, but it was that contact print that was in the original files that we could not locate.

Mr. Goldsmith. You still had the film?

Ms. Goodpasture. The negatives were available.

Mr. Goldsmith. In that case, what is available from the Mexico City station are all of the photographs, all of the photographic negatives or contact prints from the pictures that were taken at the Soviet and Cuban Embassies and consulates in September and October in 1963, is that correct?

Ms. Goodpasture. That is right.

Mr. Goldsmith. Were these materials sent to headquarters last year?

Ms. Goodpasture. No, I do not think the material we could not locate was ever sent to headquarters. I think it was destroyed in the field.

But the other film, all of the negatives concerned in this photograph had been sent to headquarters before I began to look for it last year.

It came up in about 1968 or '69 when certain files were sent to headquarters,

Mr. Goldsmith. For purposes of clarification, is it true that, available at headquarters or the Mexico City station are either the contact prints or the negatives of all of the pictures that were taken at the Cuban and Soviet Embassy and 001192

ALDERSON REPORTING COMPANY, INC.

554-2345 (202) 20024 ن ċ WOTOWINEAN, BUILDING, REPORTERS S.W. STREET, 7.111 100 4

5

6

7

3

9

10

11

14

.15

lá

17

18

19

20

22

23

24

consulate?

Ms. Goodpasture.

Yes.

1

2

554-2345

(202)

20024

ن

<u>c</u>

REFORTERS BUILDING, WASHINGTON,

STREET, S.W.

7.TH

000

Mr. Goldsmith. Is it also true that available in regard 3 to these materials are the photoproduction lines that are 4 5 maintained? Ms. Goodpasture. With the one exception that I á mentioned, the others are all available. 7 Mr. Goldsmith. Are you saying that there was not a 8 production log with regard to the contact prints? 9 10 Ms. Goodpasture. Right. Mr. Goldsmith. The others were all available from the 11 Cuban and Soviet Embassy and consulates, is that right? 12 Ms. Goodpasture. Correct. 13. Mr. Goldsmith. I would like now to turn to the area of 14 the electronic surveillance operation of the Cuban and 15 Soviet Embassies and consulates. lá How many wiretap operations were in effect with respect 17 18 to these embassies and consulates in September and October of 19. 1963? 20 Ms., Goodpasture. Do you mean how many lines were 21 covered, or how many projects did we have? When you say

operations, you are referring to unilateral, not a lateral? Mr.Goldsmith. Let's first talk about the projects. How many projects were there?

Ms. Goodpasture. We had one that we ran independently

ALDERSON REPORTING COMPANY, INC.

NW 50955 DocId:32277224 Page 53

23

24

and one that was a liaison project. 2 Mr. Goldsmith. The one that was run independently was 3 referred to as the unilateral project? 4 Ms. Goodpasture. Right. 554-2345 5 Mr. Goldsmith. With regard to the one that was run independently, how many taps did you have in operation ó (202) 7 with regard to phones at the Soviet Embassy and consulate? 20024 3 Ms. Goodpasture. On the unilateral one? AUTLETING, PASHIRGTON, D.C. 9 Mr. Goldsmith. Yes. 10 Ms. Goodpasture. I would have to guess without checking 11 the files. 12 Mr. Goldsmith. I am just asking for an estimate. 13 Ms. Goodpasture. I think -- I do not think that there 14 was any coverage of the Soviets on the unilateral in September REPORTERS 15 1963. I think all of that was on the liaison. On the Cubans, lá I think there were one or two lines. S. W. S 17 Mr. Goldsmith. For purposes of clarification, again TTH STREET, 18 we are now talking about the period September-October, 1963, 9 Turning to the liaison project, how many taps were in effect 300 20 at the Soviet Embassy? 21 Ms. Goodpasture. I believe there were five. Mr. Goldsmith. Did you follow whose phones? 23 Ms. Goodpasture. Do I recall 24 Mr. Goldsmith, On whose phones, 25 Ms. Goodpasture. Phones? 001194

1-52

Mr. Goldsmith. Which phones were selected for wire-2 tapping purposes? 3 Ms. Goodpasture. There was the Chancellory phone, the 4 Cultural Office. I would have to check the transcripts to be 554-2345 5 sure. ó The military office was also covered. (202) 7 Mr. Goldsmith. By whom was the liaison project 20024 8 coordinated? р. с. **Ç** I will try to speak up and speak more clearly. In any REPORTERS BUTLDING, MASHINCTON, t O event, before I get to the question that I just asked you, 11 how many phones were tapped at the Cuban Embassy and consulate? 12 Ms. Goodpasture. The Cuban Embassy, four or five. 13 Mr. Goldsmith. Do you recall which phones? To the best 14 of your memory, which phones were taped in the Cuban ---15 Ms. Goodpasture. Four or five. lá Mr. Goldsmith. Do you recall which ones? STREET, S.W. 17 Ms. Goodpasture. Which ones? Not by memory, but I 18 listed them all a year ago when I reviewed the transcripts, 11.L 19 so there is a list of all the films that were covered at that 300 20 time in the records. Mr. Goldsmith. Did the Mexicans have any unilateral coverage with regard to the Soviet and Cuban embassies? 23 Ms. Goodpasture. The project was joint. They had the 24 same coverage that we had. 25 Mr. Goldsmith. To your knowledge, is it possible that 001195

1 - 53

they, themselves, had a unilateral project? It is possible, but if they had coverage -- which I am sure they probably did have -- but they shared with us the coverage from the joint project.

Mr. Goldsmith. What were your responsibilities with regard to the wiretapping operations?

7 Ms. Goodpasture. Legwork and maangement. 8 Mr. Goldsmith. By management, what do you mean? Ms. Goodpasture. I recorded all the correspondence, 10 getting the money, paying the people who did the work, making 11. sure that the machinery was functioning, picking up all of 12 the transcripts and supervising most of the people involved 13 with it, except for the Mexicans.

Mr. Goldsmith. In addition to electronic surveillance of a wiretapping nature, were there any "bugs" planted in the lá Cuban or Soviet Embassy and consulate?

Ms. Goodpasture. I think there were, but I do not have firsthand knowledge of them. I think that the best person to give you the best information on that would be the Cuban case officers. We never put anything in the Soviet Embassy.

Mr. Goldsmith. If you did, would there have been a transcript made of the conversations that were overheard? Ms. Goodpasture. Yes.

Mr. Goldsmith. Would those transcripts still be on record at the agency today?

1-54

2

3

\$

ó.

-2345

554

(202)

20024

D. C.

WASHINGTON,

BUTLDING.

REFORTERS

S.W.

STREET,

11.1. (

100

14

15

17

18

19

. 20

21

23

24

25

Ms. Goodpasture. They should be.

Mr. Goldsmith. You indicated earlier, I believe, that there was a list available of the Cuban phones that were covered by the electronic surveillance operation, is that correct?

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

4

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

33

24

25

554-2345

(202)

20024

ರ

ċ

WASHINGTON,

BUTLDTNG.

REPORTERS

S.W.

STREET,

TH

000

1

2

3

4

5

Ms. Goodpasture. That there was ---

Mr. Goldsmith. You indicated earlier that you either saw or prepared a list of the phones that were covered by the surveillance project at the Cuban Embassy and consulate?

Ms. Goodpasture. Yes.

Mr. Goldsmith. Do you know where that list is today? Ms. Goodpasture. It should be in your records.

Mr. Goldsmith. Why do you say it should be?

Ms. Goodpasture. I was told that you had a copy of all the transcripts and, with those transcripts, there was a list of the lines that were covered, both Cuban and Soviet. Mr. Goldsmith. In addition to the electronic surveillance both of a wiretap and of a bugging nature, did the Mexico City station have any penetration agents at the Cuban Embassy?

Ms. Goodpasture. I am not sure about the Cuban Embassy. Mr.Goldsmith. Did the Mexico City station have any penetration agents at the Soviet Embassy and consulate? Ms. Goodpasture. No.

Mr. Goldsmith. Are you certain about that answer?

001197

50955 DocId:32277224 Page 57

NW

Ms. Goodpasture. Pardon me?

2

3

1

5

á

7

- 8

ç

10

11

12

13

14

15

lá

17

18

19

20

22

23

24

25

DocId:32277224

Page 58

50955

554-2345

(202)

20024

n. c.

STREET, S.W. REPORTERS BUILDING, MASHINGTON,

11.1, L

0 U

Mr. Goldsmith. Are you certain that there were no CIA penetration agents?

Ms. Goodpasture. To the best of my knowledge, there were none at the Soviet Embassy. If there were, I did not know about them.

Mr. Goldsmith. Your answer is the same for the Cuban Embassy?

Ms. Goodpasture. The Cuban Embassy, I do not know, because the Cuban Embassy had locals employed and there were assets in touch with some of those, locals by the case officer for the Cuban operations who would be the only person who would know about that. It is not in the records.

Mr. Goldsmith. Fine.

Turning to the scope of coverage, at what times were these lines under electronic surveillance.

Ms. Goodpasture. I think that the equipment was activated when a telephone conversation took place on the liaison lines.

Mr. Goldsmith. It would be automatically activated? Ms. Goodpasture. Yes.

Mr. Goldsmith. Therefore it would not be limited just to working hours?

> Ms. Goodpasture. Yes, or whenever a call occurrec. Mr. Goldsmith. You indicated earlier when you attempted

> > 091198

1-56

to locate the photographic materials in Mexico City there was one contact page missing, is that correct? 2 Ms. Goodpasture. There is a log missing and a page 3 of contact prints ---4 Mr. Goldsmith. -- is missing. 554-2345 5 Do you recall what period in September, October of á (202) '63 that page pertained to? 7 (Pause) 20024 8 Are you able to find what you are looking for? ר: ה. 9 Ms. Goodpasture. There is a memo which I wrote on it. WASHINGTON, 10 You should have a copy of it, and I am not sure what date it 11 I thought it was in the papers that you showed me is. 12 BUTLDING, yesterday, 13 Mr. Goldsmith. Let me see if I can help you. 14 REPORTERS If you turn to the materials in Stack A, I think Stack 15 A-2, is this what you are referring to? Because that is ŀá S.W. the only other document pertaining to this area that I showed 17 STREET, you yesterday. 18 Ms. Goodpasture. A-2. 11.1.7 19 Mr. Goldsmith. I am referring to Stack A-2. Is this 00 20 the document that you referred to which would indicate which 21 contact page was missing? 22 Ms. Goodpasture. There is another one in which I 23 mentioned that the folders had been reused. No, it is in this 24 one. 25 001199

1 - 57

NW 50955 DocId:32277224 Page 59

۰ ۱۰	Mr. Goldsmith. Please take the time to look through
2	this document and see if you can find which contact print
3	for what period is missing.
ļ	Ms. Goodpasture. Right.
-2345 Lu	(Pause)
551-2	Mr. Goldsmith. If you are unable to find that at this
202)	time, perhaps we could pursue it later. It would be best
20024 (202) w 2	to continue with the inquiry.
	Ms. Goodpasture. Here it is, on page 4.
10	Mr. Goldsmith. Where specifically on page 4?
	Ms. Goodpasture. The files contained the photographs
AUTIDING, MASAPHOTON, D. C.	for the period 2 May '67 to 30 of June '67 were in
DING.	a folder that had been used, that was marked to hold files
	from the period 23 July 63 until 30 November 63.
REPORTERS	Mr. Goldsmith. Let us see if we can get this straight.
	First of all, even though the contact prints would not
s 17	be available, the original negatives still would be. That
7TH STREET,	is correct, is it not?
S HLL 19	Ms. Goodpasture. The log for this one base, this one
UUE 20	photographic source, was not available.
?!	Mr, Goldsmith. The original negatives would still be
22	available?
. 23	Ms. Goodpasture. The negatives are available and the
24	original photographs are available because they were sent to
, 25	Washington, But this file was destroyed, I suppose accidentally.
	001200

1-58

Mr. Goldsmith. All that is missing is the log and the contact print? 2 Ms. Goodpasture. That is right, which would have been 3 in that file. 4 554-2345 Mr. Goldsmith. Are you saying, then, that the log 5 that was kept of the photographs taken during this period, ó (202) the entire log from July223rd '63 to November 30 '63 is no 7 20024 longer available? 8 Ms. Goodpasture. Could not be locatedaa year ago. ن 3 ċ We were trying to locate it. WASHINGTON, 10 Mr. Goldsmith. Did this pertain to the Cuban or Soviets? !! Ms. Goodpasture. Soviets. 12 BUTLDING. Now, another source that made photographs at the same 13 time, their logs are available for the same period. 14 REPORTERS Mr. Goldsmith. I am not sure I am following. What 15 are you getting at? lá 5. М. 17 Ms. Goodpasture. That there were three bases, there STREET 13 were two of those bases that photographed this unidentified ነግዝ 19 man. 300 2C Mr. Goldsmith. Yes. Ms. Goodpasture. Well, the logs are not available from 22 one of those bases but from the other, it is, that you have. 23 Mr. Goldsmith. When we say the production logs and 24 the contact prints for this period are missing, that refers 25 to only one of the three photo sites that were in operation 001201

1-59

NW 50955 DocId:32277224 Page 61

1 at that time. Ms. Goodpasture. That is right. 2 The third photo site never photographed that man because 3 he did not appear in the area. 4 554-2345 Mr. Goldsmith. We do not know whether they did or did 5 not, since we do not have the production log. ó 20024 (202) Ms. Goodpasture. We do not have the photographs from 7 that third source again. 8 ن Mr. Goldsmith. Getting back to he wiretap operation 9 ć WASHTHGTON. how long did it take for the information that was obtained by 10 the surveillance operation to reach you at the Mexico City 11 station? 12 BUTLDING, Ms. Goodpasture. I generally picked it up early in the 13 morning after the day on which conversation was made. 14 REPORTERS Mr. Goldsmith. What would you pick up at that time? 15 Ms. Goodpasture. I picked up copies of the transcripts. Ιá STREET, S.W. Mr. Goldsmith, So, just take one day, To have the 17 18 tape transcribed --H.J. L 19 Ms. Goodpasture. In most cases, because the transcribers 100 with the Spanish texts worked at the same place where the 20 21 recording machinery was. 22 Mr. Goldsmith. When you say you picked it up, from whom 23 did you pick up the transcripts? 24 Ms. Goodpasture. From an outside agent.

Mr. Goldsmith. Let us get into this procedure in a bit

1-60

25

more detail.

1

2

3

ó

3

554-2345

(202)

20024

<u>ن</u>

Ċ.

UASHTINGTON,

BUTLDING.

REPORTERS

STREET, S. W.

11.L.

000

21

There would be a tape recording made, is that correct? Ms. Goodpasture. A what?

Mr. Goldsmith. A tape recording of the conversation would be made, is that correct?

Ms. Goodpasture. Yes.

Mr. Goldsmith. How would that tape recording actually get to the transcribers, or translaters?

Ms. Goodpasture. The transcribers, or the transcriptions that I picked up, the transcribers were working at the same place with the Spanish text. If the language were other than Spanish, it would have to be taken to another location to be transcribed. The material that was picked up the day after the date on which it occurred was in the Spanish language, normally.

Mr. Goldsmith. If the conversation was in Russian, would it be taken to Mr. Boris Tarasoff for translation? Ms. Goodpasture. That is right.

Mr. Goldsmith. Routinely, how long did it take Mr. Tarasoff to make the translation?

Ms. Goodpasture. It depended on his backlog, but generally it was a delay of a day or two.

Mr. Goldsmith. In cases of Russian translations, would it be fair to say that generally you would receive a transcript within three or four days of the time that the conversations

W 50955 DocId:32277224 Page 63

ALDERSON REPORTING COMPANY, INC.

1 were made? 2 Ms. Goodpasture. Yes. 3 Mr. Goldsmith. Do you know who took the tapes to Mr. Tarasoff for transcription? 551-2345 5 Ms. Goodpasture. Yes, the same man who brought them ó to me, the outside agent of the liaison project. (202) 7 Mr. Goldsmith. Who was that individual. 20024 3 Ms. Goodpasture. His name was Flick. Ċ 9 Mr. Goldsmith. Was there anybody else besides Mr. Ċ 300 7TH STRUET, S.U. REFORTERS BUILDING, WASHINGTON, 10 Flick that was responsible? 11 Ms. Goodpasture, Herbert Minell. 12 Mr. Goldsmith. I believe I asked you yesterday to 13 describe Mr. Flick and you described him as looking like me. 14 Are you able to give a more definite description today of 15 Mr. Flick? lá Ms. Goodpasture. Well, he looks like an All-American 17 boy. 18-Mr. Goldsmith. Thank you. 19 This Mr. Flick was approximately 5 feet six inches tall? 20 Ms. Goodpasture. He seemed taller than that to me. Mr. Goldsmith. How old was he in 1963? Ms. Goodpasture. He must have been about 45 and if I 23 missed it by a few years, he probably would not like it. 24 Mr. Goldsmith. Once the transcripts were brought to 25 you, would you review all of them? 001204

1-62

NW 50955 DocId:32277224 Page 64

1 Ms. Goodpasture. Not always because they were routed 2 to the people who took the action on them, and in the case 3 of some of those transcripts, there was a stack about an 4 inch or so thick, and if you took the time to read them, then 554-2345 5 the people who had to take the action on them did not get to 6 see them, so I generally took them and passed them out. (202) 7 Mr. Goldsmith. Would you review most of them? 20024 3 Ms. Goodpasture. I had more than one copy so I could Ĵ 9 look at them, if there was something of significance, ċ WASHINGTON, 10 Mr. Goldsmith. I am not sure that I am following you. 11 As a matter of routine you would review it, or would you 12 pass it along? BUILDING, 13 I would pass it along, first. I kept Ms. Goodpasture. 14 one copy myself for files and I had access to that copy. REPORTERS 15 Mr. Goldsmith. In the case of transcripts from the ló Soviet compound, they would go to Mr. Minell, is that correct? S.W. 17 Ms. Goodpasture. That is right. 7TH STREEF, 18 Mr. Goldsmith. From the Cuban compound, it would go 19 to whom? 300 20 Ms. Goodpasture. It went to Bob Shaw. 31 Mr. Goldsmith. Or Dave Phillips? 22 Ms. Goodpasture. Or Dave Phillips. 23 Mr. Goldsmith. How long were the tapes maintained? 24 Ms. Goodpasture. About two weeks. 25 Mr. Goldsmith. What happened to the tapes after the two-001205

1-63

week period?

Ms. Goodpasture. They were erased and reused.

Mr. Goldsmith. They would be erased for the purpose of reuising, did you say?

554-2345

(202)

20024

D. C.

REPORTERS BUILDING, WASHINGTON,

TTH STREET, S.W.

000

2

3

4

5

ó

7

3

9

10

12

15

ló

17

18

19

20

23

25

Ms. Goodpasture. Yes.

Mr. Prever. Let me interrupt counsel. That is our second bell. We have to go to the Ploor to answer the quorum call. Miss Goodpasture has been at it quite awhile now, so I suggest that we take about a ten-minute recess at this time.

11

We will recess for about ten minutes.

(A brief recess was taken.)

13 Mr. Preyer. The Committee will resume when counsel is 14 ready to resume his questions.

Mr. Goldsmith. Miss Goodpasture, getting back to the area of the wiretap operations of the Cuban and Soviet embassies, would you indicate to the Committee in what manner the photosurveillance and the wiretap operations were tied into each other?

Ms. Goodpasture. On a wiretap operation, if we got information that someone was going to one of those places far in advance, we would notify the people taking the photographs to try to get pictures of them.

24 That was one way we were tied in. Another way we were tied in was if we saw where a person had been there at such 001208

and such a time, we would check back to see if there was a photograph made during that period and if there was any way that that person could be identified.

Similarly, if there was a tape-recording Mr. Goldsmith. made of a conversation and from the conversation it became apparent that the person at issue was either at one of the embassies or was going to go to one of the embassies, it would be possible, would it not, to go to the photo-production logs and determine who that person was?

Ms. Goodpasture. We could determine if there was a 10 photograph there and if the photograph appeared to be the same 11 person that came upcon the wiretap. 12

Now, there might be someone speaking English and the only 13 14 photograph that we had was someone that was obviously a Latin whom we knew who did not speak English. We could rule him out 15 ίś as being the person who made the call.

17 Mr. Goldsmith. In what manner would this procedure take 18 place if you knew that someone was either going to the Embassy 19 or that he was there at a particular time? How would you go 20 about checking to see if you had a photograph of that person?

Ms. Goodpasture. If we knew afterwards that he had been 22 there?

Mr. Goldsmith. Yes.

Ms. Goodpasture. We would take the coverage for that day, look at it, and see if he was there. 001207

100 50955 DocTd: 32277224 Page 67

21

23

24

25

1

2

3

ź

5

· 6

7

3

9

5511-2345

(202)

20024

÷

Ċ

REPORTERS BUTLDING, PACHINGTON.

TTH STREET, S.W.

Mr. Goldsmith. You would not confine yourself just to a specific time that day? You would examine the entire day's coverage?

Ms. Goodpasture. Yes. The log was prepared in such a way that they listed the time and the description of the person who went there.

Mr. Goldsmith. I believe earlier you testified as a matter of routine, the photo-surveillance operations would take pictures of foreigners -- in other words, a non-Mexican 10 and of Soviet or Cuban personnel, is that true?

11 Ms. Goodpasture. Soviet, yes. Well, I do not know 12 about the Cubans, but I can say that for sure for the Soviets. 13 Mr. Goldsmith. They would take the photograph of some-14 one who did not fit that category if they were given notice 15 in advance that someone happened to be of interest, is that ló correct?

Ms. Goodpasture. Right.

(Pause)

Mr. Preyer. We have another vote on the House Floor. I suggest that we take another moment while I go over and vote. We will recess for about five minutes.

(A brief recess was taken.)

Mr. Preyer. The Committee will resume.

You may resume questioning.

Mr. Goldsmith. Thank you.

001208

1.

2

3

1

5

ó

7

8

9

554-2345

(202)

20024

р. с.

REPORTERS BUILDING, VASHURTON,

S. W.

STREEF.

ንፕዘ

300

17

13

19

20

23

24

25

Miss Goodpasture, who would give notice to the photosurveillance units to take a picture of a particular individual. 2 3 Ms. Goodpasture. Would you repeat that, please? Mr. Goldsmith. Certainly. 554-2345 5 Let us assume that it is decided that a particular ó individual is important and should be photographed. (202) 7 Ms. Goodpasture. Yes. a 20024 3 Mr. Goldsmithe Who would give notice to the photo-. ت surveillance units of that fact? ċ BUILDING, WASHTHOTOM, 10 Ms. Goodpasture. The case officer handling the project. 11 That would have been 12 Mr. Goldsmith. Assuming, for example, that one of the 13 people monitoring the wiretap operation picked up a conversa-14 tion that the person regarded to be significant and in that REPORTURS 15 conversation it became apparent that something was of interest. 15 Would it be standard procedure for that person to call 5. W. 17 directly to the photo-surveillance sites? 7TH STREET. 18 Ms. Goodpasture. No, because that person did not know 19 any of the people ocnducting the photo-surveillance. Now I 000 20 think in the case of the unilateral operation which was also handled unilaterally, wiretap operation, it was also handled by I am not sure if they would contact 23 the photo people or not, but my people could not contact the 24 photo people. They would have to come in to me and I would 25 write a note, give it to Tom, and Tom went out and notified 001209

1 - 67

NW 50955 DocId:32277224 Page 69

the photo people.

2

3

554-2345

(202)

20024

n. c.

WASHTIGTON,

SWILDING,

REPORTERS

5. H. S

STREET,

HTTC. 001

11

12

13

14

15

lá

17

13

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

₩₩

Mr. Goldsmith. How long would it take for notice to be given?

⁴ Ms. Goodpasture. Well, the length of time that it would
⁵ take to call somebody and locate them and talk to them,
⁶ normally we did not give them that information on the telephone.
⁷ It could be one day, two days, or three days.

⁸ Mr. Goldsmith. Would it be possible for the individual ⁹ monitoring the telephone conversation to call his case officer ¹⁰ directly, or to call you directly?

Ms. Goodpasture. No.

Mr. Goldsmith. To call the case officer directly and then for the case officer to immediately contact the photosurveillance operations and tell them to take a picture of a particular individual?

Ms. Goodpasture. In the case of s operation, it would, but in the case of the ones I handled, it would not. They had to go through the person whom I met outside, then he got in touch with me and I gave the notice to Tom and Tom took it to his people.

Mr. Goldsmith. You are drawing a distinction now between the operations handled and those which you handled. What is the distinction you are making? Which operations did he handle?

Ms. Goodpasture. He handled the unilateral telephone

001210

operations.

		operations.	
	2	Mr. Goldsmith. You handled?	
	3	Ms. Goodpasture. The liaison and the agents in the	-
	4	unilateral operation and the agents in the liaison operation	
551-2345	5	did not know each other, to the best of our knowledge, were	
	ó	not aware of each other's operations.	
(202)	7	Mr. Goldsmith. We may have covered this before, however	
20024	8	I would like to clarify this point in terms of the photo	
	9	production logs from the surveillance operations from the	
REPORTERS BUTLDING, MASHINGTON, D. C.	to	Cuban Embassy and consulate and Soviet Embassy and consulate.	
DITTIC	11	Those materials were all available the last time you knew	
ц, 12. С.	12	with the exception of that particular contact page, and the	
NTALIT	13	photoproduction page pertaining to that contact page, is	
111 54.	1	that correct?	
POATE	15	Ms. Goodpasture. You mean available now?	
s, u. RF	١á	Mr. Goldsmith. Right.	
	17	Ms. Goodpasture. They are available, yes. That is	
TTH STREET,	18	correct.	
	19	Mr. Goldsmith. On the transcripts that were made of	
UUE	20	the telephone conversations, would the transcript have an	-
		indication of the telephone line that was being tapped?	
K	21	Ms. Goodpasture. Yes.	
	23	Mr. Goldsmith. And the location of the telephone? In	
-	24	other words, the Soviet Embassy, Cuban Embassy?	
	25	Ms. Goodpasture. There would be a cryptonym which would	

001211

1-69

NW 50955 DocId:32277224 Page 71

identify it.

1

2

3

4

8

11

12

13

14

15

lá

17

18

19

20

22

23

24

25

554-2345

(202)

20024

Ċ

WASHINGTON,

REPORTERS BUILDING.

S. W.

TTH STREET,

000

Mr. Goldsmith. It would also give the time of the telephone call, is that correct?

> Ms. Goodpasture. Yes,

5 Mr. Goldsmith. I would like now to get into the area of the relationship between the Agency's surveillance opera-5 7 tions and Lee Harvey Oswald.

In that regard, I would like to draw your attention to 9 I believe it is going to be handed to you right. Stack B. 10 now, Miss Goodpasture.

(Pause)

I would like you to refer to page 1 in Stack B. Ms. Goodpasture. Yes.

Mr. Goldsmith. Can you identify this document?

Ms. Goodpasture. This looks like a copy of the transcript, a xerox copy of the transcript.

Mr. Goldsmith. Would you please read the content of this first page, the person that is marked off starting by line 151 or starting with the line designated in the left 151.

Ms. Goodpasture. Are you referring to the last lines? Mr. Goldsmith. No. I am refering to the middle of the page, in the lefthand column, where it says number 151. Ms. Goodpasture. 151. That is the space on the tape where this conversation took place. If that tape were put on

. 1 . the same machine that it was recorded on and started at 0, 2 this would come up on 151. It would not apply on any other 3 machine or starting at any other number. Mr. Goldsmith. Would you read the conversation that 5.54-2345 5 follows immediately next to 151. ó (Pause) 20024 (202) 7 What does the designation "MO" signify? 8 Ms. Goodpasture. Man outside. D, C. Mr. Goldsmith. Does that mean a man outside making a BUILDING, WASHINGTON, 10 phonecall inside? 11 Ms. Goodpasture. That means that this telephone call, 12 that it was a man from outside of where the telephone was 13 who called in. 14 Mr. Goldsmith. Fine. **7TH STREET, S.W. REPORTERS** 15 Is it possible to tell from page 1, at approximately what lá time this call came in? I will direct your attention to the 17 top of the page, of page 1. 18 Ms. Goodpasture. I know. I am looking for -- it was 19 sometime after 9:55. 300 20 Mr. Goldsmith. The upper righthand portion of this page indicates that the call came in on October 1, 1963, is that correct? 23 Ms. Goodpasture. That is right. 24 Mr. Goldsmith. At the bottom of the page there is some 25 writing in script, cursive. I draw your attention to that at 001212

1-71

NW 50955 DocId:32277224 Page 73

this point.

2

3

1

5

ó

7

S.

9

10

11

12

13

14

-15

15

18

21

24

25

554-2345

(202)

20024

ن

G

REPORTERS BUILDING, MASHINGTON,

TTH STREET, S.W.

000

Ms. Goodpasture. It is a number for the Russian Embassy. Mr. Goldsmith. Which would be the number for the Russian Embassy, 15-16-55?

Ms. Goodpasture, Yes.

Mr.Goldsmith. What do the other numbers signify? Ms. Goodpasture. The other numbers refer to numbers that were used on the machine doing the recording.

Mr. Goldsmith. The number 0921-1320. What does that --Ms. Goodpasture. The hours that were covered on that reel.

Mr. Goldsmith. Miss Goodpasture, when did the information contained in this transcript first come to your attention?

Ms. Goodpasture. Probably the day after it was recorded. Mr. Goldsmith. Was that the first time that Lee Harvey 17. Oswald came to your attention?

Ms. Goodpasture. Yes.

19 Mr. Goldsmith. What action, if any, did you take upon 20 receiving this information?

Ms. Goodpasture. What action?

22 Mr. Goldsmith. Right. How did you respond to receiving 23 this if normation?

Ms. Goodpasture. Well, the tape, the reel, was taken to the Russian transcriber. Now I am not sure whether that man who brought me the conversation took the reel to the transcriber, or whether Herb Minell did, but normally, one or the other would carry the reel out to the Russian transcriber.

Mr. Goldsmith. So, when you say that this information 5 first came to your attention a day or two after the conversaó tion was made --7

> Ms. Goodpasture. Right.

Mr. Goldsmith. Are you saying that you received the 9 conversation in its original form in Russian? 10

Ms. Goodpasture. No. all received a transcript.

Mr. Goldsmith. Did the transcript ---

Ms. Goodpasture. Which said there was a call made. It was a Spanish transcript and in Spanish, it said there was a call being made by a man who was trying to speak Russian and gave the name Oswald, I believe. I do not happen to have a copy, but you should have a copy of it. 17

Mr. Goldsmith. This transcript was taken to Mr. Tara-18 soff? 19

> Right. Ms. Goodpasture.

Mr. Goldsmith. When was the first time you actually saw the Russian translation of the conversation?

Ms. Goodpasture. Pardon me?

Mr. Goldsmith. When was the first time that you actually saw the conversation after it had been translated from Russian

0(1215

50955 DocId:32277224 NW Page 75

2

3

1

3

11

12

13

14

15

lá

20

21.

22

23

24

25

554-2345

(.202)

20024

ರ

ċ

WASHINGTON,

GUDTING.

601

RUPORTERS

5. W.

TTH STREET,

100

into English?

2

3

4

5

ó

7

554-2345

(202)

20024

ರ

Ċ.

BUTLDING, VALUTOCTON,

REPORTERS

S.W.

STREET,

300 7TH

14

15

lá

17

18

19

20

22

23

24

25

Ms. Goodpasture. Probably when I got a file copy back from Herb Minnel, within a few days after this, but I do not know the exact date right off.

Mr. Goldsmith. What was your response at that point, if any?

Ms. Goodpasture. A cable was sent to Headquarters 8 by Mrs: Minell.

9 Mr. Goldsmith. Did you direct Mrs. Minell to send a 10 cable to headquarters?

11 Ms. Goodpasture. No, I did not, because Mr. Minell was 12 my superior. He would have been directed by the Chief -- I 13 say he was my superior. He outranked me in grade.

Mr. Goldsmith. Who sent the cable, Mr. or Mrs. Minell? Ms. Goodpasture. His wife, but he had his own section and he had a separate section from the one I was in. We did not direct each other. We might have suggested it, but he took his orders from the Chief of Station.

Mr. Goldsmith. Did you suggest to Mrs. Minefl--Ms. Goodpasture. I do not remember whether I did or not. I may have.

Mr. Goldsmith. Did you, or anyone else, check the transcripts that were obtained from the Soviet Embassy from September 28th?

> Ms. Goodpasture. I do not think I checked them at that 001216

time.

1

		time.
	2	Mr. Goldsmith. The significance of the question is as
	3	follows. Drawing your attention to the third line after the
	4	number 151, it says: "Hello. This is Lee Oswald speaking.
554-2345	5	I was at your place last Saturday."
	6	Well, October 1, 1963 was a Tuesday, the 28th was a
(202)	7	Saturday. I am wondering whether anyone went back to check
20024	8	onthe transcripts of telephone conversations on that day or
n. c.	9	the photo-production materials for that day?
LON	10	Ms. Goodpasture. The only indication of the name was
WASHTHGTON.	11	from the telephone transcripts.
	12	Mr. Goldsmith. Yes.
BUTLD MG.	13	Ms. Goodpasture. On that day. That was when we first
PS BU	14	found out that he was there.
REFORTERS	15	Mr. Goldsmith. On October 1st, you found out that
и. Ř Р	15	Oswald had been at the Russian Embassy the preceding Saturday.
ŝ	17	Was any effort made to check your materials from the surveil-
STREET	18	lance operation, the photographic surveillance operation, or
1 7 TH	19	from the telephonic surveillance operation to get additional
UUE	20	information on this?
	-21	Ms. Goodpasture. I do not know whether I checked it
1. C	22	immediately or not at this stage. I do know that they were
	23	checked thoroughly after the assassination. In fact, I
	24	think they were checked thoroughly after the information came
	25	back from Washington identifying a Lee Oswald. The files were

1-75

ALDERSON REPORTING COMPANY, INC.

031217

checked at that time to find out if we had any record of a person named Lee or a person named Oswald.

1-76

Let's stay to this time period Mr. Goldsmith. Fine. for a moment, however.

Would it have not been standard operating procedure if the transcript refers to a person who appeared at the Embassy a few days earlier, would it not have been standard operating procedure for either you or someone else in the Mexico City station to check your records from that earlier day, September 28th, to see if, in fact, you had a photograph of this person 11 or another tape recording of his voice?

Ms. Goodpasture. I think that it would have been standard practice to have done a file check on him.

Mr. Goldsmith. Is the answer to my question yes? Ms. Goodpasture. To check the record files to see if we have a record of that name previously, but to check back on the transcripts, I did not process the transcripts from the Soviet Embassy as such. Whether Mr. Minell or Mrs. Minell went back and reviewed those again at that time, I do not know.

Mr. Goldsmith. The text of this conversation includes, in brackets, what apparently is an insertion by the translator. He says the same person who phoned a day or so ago and spoke in broken Russian.

> In light of that statement by the translator, in light 001218

DocId:32277224 Page 78 50955

1

2

3

4

5

ć

7

3

9

10

12

13

14

15

13

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

554-2345

(202)

20024

ڻ

c

REPORTERS BUTIDING, PASHINGTON,

TTH STREET, S.W.

000

of that statement by the person who identifies himself as Oswald that he, Oswald, had been there Saturday and the trans-2 lator was saying that this person had phoned a day or so 3 ago, would it not have been standard operating procedure to 4 554-2345 have checked? 5 Ms. Goodpasture, Yes, I think it would have. á (202) Mr. Goldsmith. The photo materials and the wiretap 7 20024 3 materials? ن Ms. Goodpasture, Right, Also, it would have been done ġ. REPORTERS BUILDING, WASHINGTON. 10 automatically in the base by the Mexicans. Mr. Goldsmith. Fine. 11 At that time, was any photograph found of Lee Harvey 12 .13 Oswald? 14 Ms. Goodpasture. The only photograph that was located no, not of Oswald. We had no photograph of him. 15 lá Mr. Goldsmith. At that time, were you able to locate 7TH STREET, S.W. 17 the earlier tape recording containing a conversation involving 18 this same individual? 19 Ms. Goodpasture. I did not, but I do not know if the 20 Minell's put those taperecordings or not at that time. I assume that they probably did. I know that they were put 22 together in November. 23 Mr. Goldsmith. I would now like you to refer to pages 24 2 and 3 in Stack B, and I ask you if you can identify what 25 these materials are. 001219

1-77

Ms. Goodpasture. Page 2? Mr. Goldsmith. Page 2 and 3 of the materials contained 2 3 in Stack B, 2 and 3, the next two pages. Ms. Goodpasture. Yes. 4 554-2345 Mr. Goldsmith. Can you identify these materials? 5 Ms. Goodpasture, Yes. They also appear to be copies 5 (202) 7 of portions of the transcripts. 20024 8 Mr. Goldsmith. On what date was this conversation D. C. 9 transcribed? I withdraw that question. Let me rephrase it, REFORTERS BUILDING, WASHINGTON, 10 please. !] On what date, according to this document, did this 12 conversation take place? 13 Ms. Goodpasture. 28 September 1963. 14 Mr. Goldsmith. What was the telephone that was the 15 source of this conversation? lá Ms. Goodpasture. The Soviet Embassy. The conversation S.W. 17 was recorded on the Soviet Embassy telephone. It came in TTH STREET, 18 from a woman from the consulate, the Cuban consulate. 19 Mr. Goldsmith. Drawing your attention to the number 208 UU 20. in the middle of the page, on the line adjacent to that number, it says, please see that the Spanish transcription, 22 it says "WO." Does that stand for woman outside? 23 Ms. Goodpasture. That was written by Tarasoff. 24 Mr. Goldsmith, Right, That would indicate woman out-25 side? Telephone call from a woman outside? 001220

1 - 78

Ms. Goodpasture. Yes. When he prepared the transcripts, he prepared them on a separate sheet of paper and he put them 2 in there so that the person would tie it in with the Spanish 3 transcript, and what they have done here is they cut off the top of the page, the transcript that Tarasoff did, and 554-2345 5 pasted it to the Spanish transcripts. 6 (202) Mr. Goldsmith. Have you seen this particular document, 7 or a copy of it, before? 20024 8 Ms. Goodpasture. Yes, my name is on it. ೆ \$ ċ Mr. Goldsmith. Yoursname appears in the upper right-SUFLDING, MASHINGTON, -10 hand portion? 11 Ms. Goodpasture. Yes. 12 Mr. Goldsmith. When was the first time that you saw 13 either this particular document, or a copy of it? 14 REPORTERS Ms. Goodpasture. Within a couple of days after it 15 was transcribed. ló S. W. Mr. Goldsmith. What action, if any, did you take in 17 7TH STREET, response to seeing this particular document? 18 Ms. Goodpasture. I do not think I took any. I think 19 100 Minell was the one who would have taken the action on this 20 Mr. Goldsmith. At the time that you received the 21 transcript containing the conversation of October 1st, do 22 you recall this earlier transcription of the conversation? 23 Ms. Goodpasture. No, I do not think I did, because I 24 did not read all of these transcripts. When I brought them 25 001221

1-79

in, I passed them out, and the one on the 28th of September would have gone automatically to Mr. Minell. The one on l October, unless it was very unusual or my attention would have been called to it, I would not have been doing an analysis of them.

Mr. Goldsmith. Do you know whether the Minells linked the two conversations together?

Ms. Goodpasture. I do not know.

9 Mr. Goldsmith. Did you or the Minell's check the 10 photo production materials after seeing this particular 11 conversation?

Ms. Goodpasture. After seeing the name Lee Oswald, I think that the photo production materials for that day had not been received, as I recall, and a note was given to to go out and pick up the film for this period and bring it in. The transcripts were usually received before the photographs were.

Mr. Goldsmith. At such timethat thephotographs were received, did you or anyone else go to check the photoproduction materials to see if you could identify the individual?

Ms. Goodpasture. Yes.

Mr. Goldsmith. Do you recall when that happened? Ms. Goodpasture. Yes. As I remember, we were waiting for that material to come in, and when it came in, we looked at it together and decided that there was one man who was not 001222

554-2345

(202)

20024

പ

ċ

BUILDING, WASHINGTON,

RUPORTURS

STREET, S.W.

11.1.7

000

18

19

20 -

21

23

24

25

6

7

3

	9 1	a Latin.
	2	Mr. Goldsmith. This conversation came in at approxi-
	3	mately 11:51 a.m., is that correct, on September 28th?
BULLDING, PACHINCTON, D.C. 20024 (202) 554-2345	4	Ms. Goodpasture. This conversation was recorded at
	5	1151 hours on the 28th. The 28th was on a Saturday. We
	ó	would have gotten it probably on Monday, following the 28th.
	7	Then it would have gone out to Mr. Tarasoff who would
	8	have made a trnaslation from the Russian.
	9	Mr. Goldsmith. You said that you waited to receive the
	10	photographs and, upon receiving the photographs of production,
	11	you checked these materials, is that correct?
	12	Ms. Goodpasture. That is right.
	!3	Mr. Goldsmith. Upon checking the photograph of produc-
	14.	tion from September 28th, did you find a photograph of Lee
RDFORTERS	15	Harvey Oswald?
W. RE	ló	Ms. Goodpasture. No.
, L, S,	17	Mr. Goldsmith. Did you find a photograph of some other
TTH STREET	18	North American appearing male?
	là	Ms. Goodpasture. We found a photograph of a non-Latin,
300	20	a man who appeared to be a non-Latin,
	21	Mr. Goldsmith. That photograph was taken on September
K	22	28, 1963. In other words, these are the production materials
	23	you were checking?
	24	Ms. Goodpasture. There were three sets, He appeared
	25	on the 28th, I believe, and on the 2nd 1 am not sure it $0(1223)$
-		

is in the logs, the date that the man appeared there. 2 Mr. Goldsmith. Now, Saturday, September 28th, drawing 3 your attention to that day, would that have been a day when 4 the Embassy, either the Cuban Embassy or Russian Embassy, 5 would have been open? á Ms. Goodpasture. Not to the public, I do not think, 7 but somebody could have gone there and rung the doorbell and 8 may have been received by the guard on duty. ť 9 Mr. Goldsmith. Saturday was not really a working day, 10 is that correct? 11 Ms. Goodpasture. No. 12 Mr. Goldsmith. I am a bit confused, now. Earlier in REPORTERS BUILDING 13 your testimony I recall your indicating that the photo-surveil-14 lance operation was coordinated with the working hours of the 15 embassies. Here we have a situation where the embassies were 15 not working. TTH STREET, S.W. 17 Ms. Goodpasture. I think the photograph was the 27th, 18 not the 28th. I made a mistake. 19 Mr. Goldsmith. If I may finish, here we have a situation 300 20 where the photograph was taken on the 28th. This was a Saturday. If the photograph were taken on the 28th then, in 22 fact, the photo-surveillance operation was in effect on a 23 Saturday, which was a non-working day for the Cuban and 24 Soviet embassies, is that correct? 25 Ms. Goodpasture. If the photograph was made on the 28th, 001224

1 - 82

-2345

(202). 554

20024

Ċ.

WASHTMCTON.

it was not a working day, a Saturday. But the photo base houses were places where people lived and if they saw something that they thought was unusual, they could make a picture anytime they wanted to and send it in. But they were normally required to be on duty during the times when the Embassy had working hours.

Does that explain it?

8 Mr. Goldsmith. That may explain it in part. I think 9 we should pursue this just a bit.

The photograph that you found of a person going to one of these embassies was taken on September 28th, is that correct?

Ms. Goodpasture. I would have to look at them to see. Right now I am a little confused about that myself, because this man appeared there several times, but we have copies of those and the dates on them. I do not know if they are here or not, but I went through the files that we had to put those dates on.

Mr. Goldsmith. I am afraid that none of the materials that you and I reviewed yesterday pertained to September 28th or even September 27th, so I am unable to show you any production materials for those two days.

Ms. Goodpasture. It was not the 28th. They are not here. I guess it was on the 2nd of October that he appeared the first time.

001225

1-83

2

3

4

5

ó

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

551-2315

(202)

20024

්

ċ

WASHTHCTON.

REPORTERS BUILDING

S. U. S

7THI STREET

000

		1.	Mr. Goldsmith. You are saying now that you are not	
		2 .	sure whether you found the photograph of September 28th?	
	* .	3	Ms. Goodpasture. I do not have them here, but I did	
		+	get all the photographs of that man, together with the dates	•
	2345	5	on them, which I think you have. When I was looking here on	•
. •	554-	ó	this 28th transcript, I said 28th and what I was thinking	
	(202)	7	was that when we checked the photographs for the days around	
	20024	8	the time of the call, we found the photograph, but I do not	
		9	know what day it was now, right off the top of my head.	
	U .NO	10	Mr. Goldsmith. Did you find a photograph of just one	
	1.04.318	- 11	person who appeared to be North American in appearance?	
	, PAS	12	Ms. Goodpasture. That is right.	
	ental	13	Mr. Goldsmith. How many days' photographic surveillance	
	108 S	14	coverage did you review?	
	REPORTERS BUILDING, WASHINGTON, D. C.	15	Ms. Goodpasture. How many days?	
		ló	Mr. Goldsmith, Right.	
	r, s.u	17	Ms. Goodpasture. They were the same days that were	
	STREE"	18-	mentioned in the transcripts.	
	JON TTH STREET.	17	Mr. Goldsmith. Four or five days? Would that be a	
	υuε	20 -	fair estimate?	
Ŕ		21	Ms. Goodpasture. Right.	
	R	22	Mr. Goldsmith. I notice Mr. Shaw's name on this, on	•
		23	the top of the page, page 2. Why would his name be up there?	
		24	Ms. Goodpasture. His name would be on here because the	
		25	telephone call came from a woman from the Cuban Embassy, but	
	-		0.0.1226	

1-84

NW 50955 DocId:32277224 Page 86

he was responsible for the Cuban Embassy coverage.

The first line of that says woman from the consulate, Cuban Embassy.

Mr. Goldsmith. Why did this particular document go to Shaw rather than to Dave Phillips?

Ms. Goodpasture. Because Shaw had handled most of the routine work and I think he was the one who read all of the transcripts. But to be perfectly exact about it, I am not too sure. I suppose Dave Phillips did not have time to read the transcripts and Shaw was the one who reviewed them,

Mr. Goldsmith. Is it possible in fact that the photograph that you found was the photograph that was taken on -12 September 28th, 1963? 13

Ms, Goodpasture. To answer your question we would have to check the log because the log has the date of the photographs and a copy of the photograph attached to it.

Mr. Goldsmith. In any event, with regard to the conversation of October 1st and the conversation of October 28th, these came to your attention right around that time period as opposed to some time after the assassination, is that correct?

Ms. Goodpasture. The conversations that had the name in it, Lee Oswald, came to my attention right after the conversation occurred.

24 Mr. Goldsmith, The conversation of the 28th, when did 25 that first come to your attention?

001227

NW 50955 DocId:32277224 Page 87

Ŀ

2

3

4

5

ó

7

5

9.

10

11

14

15

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

554-2345

(202)

20024

்

ć

WASHTHGTON.

BUILDING

REFORTERS

S. W. S

TTH STREET,

UUU

Ms. Goodpasture. I do not recall seeing it until after the assassination.

	~	
	3	Mr. Goldsmith. However, was your testimony earlier to
	4	the effect that upon receiving the conversation reflected on
3 H E ;	5	page 2, the September 28th, 1963 conversation, and after it
594-2345	ó	was made, to locate a picture of the person who was going to
(202)	7	the Russian Embassy, you did indicate that, did you not?
20024 (8	Ms. Goodpasture. Well, this conversation may have
c. 21	9	been tied into the Oswald conversation by the Russian trans-
м. п.	10	criber because he did both of them about the same time.
WASHTNCTON,	11	There was only one day's difference. It is logical
ISVN .	12	to assume that he would have recognized the voice, and we
BUTLDTNG	13	probably had both transcripts at the same time looking for a
	14	man when we were examining the photographs.
REPORTERS	15	Mr. Goldsmith. This, in all likelihood, would have
. RUPG	15	been brought to your attention.
, S.U	17	Ms. Goodpasture. When?
TREET	15	Mr. Goldsmith. Shortly after September 28th?
5 M.L UUE	19	Ms. Goodpasture. Right. If it was with the Lee Oswald
100	20	one.
	31	Mr. Goldsmith. Do you recall personally screening the
禊	22	photo production materials to try to locate a photograph of
	23	Oswald, or the person who identified himself as Oswald?
	24	Ms. Goodpasture. Yes. I think almost everyone in the
	25	station looked at those photographs after the assassination,
-		001228
Doold	1.394	ALDERSON REPORTING COMPANY, INC.

NW 50955 DocId:32277224 Page 88

-1

2

1-86

but Mr. Minell and I looked at them after, his wife and I 1 examined them after the Oswald name first appeared. 2 Mr. Goldsmith. In fact, this conversation did come 3 to your attention well before the assassination? 1 Ms. Goodpasture, Yes. 554-2345 5 Mr. Goldsmith. Was there anything, in your opinion, ó (202) unusual about Oswald's being at the Cuban Embassy on a . 7 Saturday? 20024 8 Ms. Goodpasture. I do not think that the Cuban Embassy 9 ರೆ ċ connection was tied in until afterwards. I do not know. GUILDING, UASIGHCTON, 10 There were two calls on the 27th to the Cuban Embassy. -11 Mr. Goldsmith. I will rephrases the question. It is 12 apparently that, on September 28, 1963, Oswald, according to .13. this conversation, was at the Cuban Embassy. That is where 14 REFORTERS the conversation was made from, so at that day he was at the 15 Cuban Embassy. You indicated that that would not have been lá S. W. a working day for the Cuban Embassy, is that correct? 17 TTH STREET, Ms. Goodpasture. The photo-surveillance, you mean? 18 Mr. Goldsmith, Saturday would not have been a working 19 0 <u>0</u> 0 day for the Cuban Embassy. 20 Ms. Goodpasture. That is right. 21 Mr. Goldsmith. Was there anything unusual about 22 Oswald's being at the Cuban Embassy on a day that was not a 23 working day? 24 25 Ms. Goodpasture. No, because foreigners were going to 001229

1-87

	2 2	1-88
		both places and ringing the door bell and the gate-keeper
	2	frequently let them in on days other than working days.
	3	Mr. Goldsmith. If it is true that foreigners were
	4	
		frequently visiting the embassies on days other than working
554-2345		days, then why was the photo-surveillance operation confined
	ó	to working days?
(202)	7	Ms. Goodpasture. Because the people could not work
20024	8	around the clock. They had to have some time off. One of
D. C.	9 -	the photographers, as I recall, was apart-time student, or
I NO.	10 -	something, but it was not possible to have that thing going
ника	11	all the time.
I, WAS	12	I think later maybe it set up a mechanical type surveil-
LLTNG	13	lance that ran continuously.
ORTERS BUTLETNG, VACUTHCTON,	Ιċ	Mr. Goldsmith. However, there were three different
ORTER	15	camera sites?
1. REI-	١ś	Ms. Goodpasture. They did not all hit the same place.
5.11.	17	Mr. Goldsmith. Is it not possible, despite staffing
300 7TH STREET.	18	problems, that on Saturday and Sunday at least one of the
7.111	1ġ	photo sites could have been maintained?
300	20	Ms. Goodpasture. It could have been possible if we had
	31	been working, if our photographers had been American citizens
N.	22-	and staff agents, but we were working with Mexicans and not
	23	many of them worked on Sundays, normally. That was their day
	241	off.
	25	Mr. Goldsmith, How about Saturdays? 001230
-		

1-88

E.

÷.,

Ms. Goodpasture. I do not know. You would have to - 1 talk to the case officer because it was determined that it 2 just was not feasible to use them on Saturdays and Sundays. 3 Mr. Goldsmith. Even though there were three different 4 554-2345 surveillance sites? 5 Ms. Goodpasture. There were three different photo ó (202) surveillances, but they all did not cover the same spot. 7 20024 Mr. Goldsmith. Even though there were three different õ surveillance operations of each Embassy on non-working days, 9 ් _ all three were shut down, is that correct? BUILDING, WASHINGTON. 10 Ms. Goodpasture. That is right, to the best of my 11 knowledge. 12 Mr. Goldsmith. I would like now to refer you to pages. 13 4 through 6 of the documents contained in Stack B. Most of 14 REPORTENS them are in Spanish, I believe. There is a summary transla-15 tion on page 6. lá S. H. . The pages are identified in the lower righthand corner 17 7TH STREET. of the page. Can you identify these documents? 18 19 Ms. Goodpasture. Yes. They appear to be copies of 001 the transcripts, of portions of transcripts. 20 Mr. Goldsmith. What was the date of the conversation 21 22 that was reflected in this transcript? 23 Ms. Goodpasture. The one on page 4 is dated 21 September 1963. 24 25 Mr. Goldsmith. At what time did this conversation take 001231

1-89

NW 50955 DocId:32277224 Page 91

	1	
	t	place? directing your attention to page number 6.
• .	2	Ms. Goodpasture. About five minutes after 4:00.
	3	Mr. Goldsmith. What was the source of this conversa-
	4	tion?
51	5	Ms. Goodpasture. The source was the liaison. The
554-234S	á	source?
(202) \$,0 , 7 \	Mr. Goldsmith. Which telephone was being tapped?
	8	Ms. Goodpasture. The Soviet Embassy telephone.
20024	9	Mr. Goldsmith. This was an incoming call, is that
• b •		correct?
WASHTNGTON,	010	Ms. Goodpasture. It was an incoming call from the
1112.4	!!	Cuban consulate.
	12	
EUTLDTNG,	13	Mr. Goldsmith. On page 6, to the right of the numbers
	14	in parentheses, 1605, it says, IN. What does that stand for?
RFORTERS	15	Ms. Goodpasture. 1605 is the hour the call occurred.
S. W. RI	lá	Mr. Goldsmith. Right. IN, What does that stand for?
-	17	Ms. Goodpasture. In.
7TH STREET	18	Mr. Goldsmith. OV, what does that stand for?
	19	Ms. Goodpasture. That would be the voice outside.
300	20	Mr. Goldsmith. Have you ever seen this document, or a
	21	copy of it, before?
R	22	Ms. Goodpasture. Seen what?
	23	Mr. Goldsmith. Have you ever seen this document, or a
	24	copy of it, before?
. •	25	Ms. Goodpasture. I have seen what I think was a copy
-		001232
		ALDERSON REPORTING COMPANY, INC.

·

1-90

NW 50955 DocId:32277224 Page 92

.....

of this document before, but this translation is a summary, not a translation, and when we reviewed this a year ago we 2 determined that it was not correct and a new transcription 3 was made. 4 Mr. Goldsmith. Is it true that you determined that it 554-2345 5 was correct in substance, however it was not complete? ő (202) Ms. Goodpasture. No. It gave the wrong impression 7 20024 in substance. 8 Mr. Goldsmith. At this time, I would like to draw your 9 D. C. attention to document B-1, which is attached to Stack B, REPORTERS BUILDING, MASHINGTON, 10 just two pages. 11 Ms. Goodpasture. Yes. 12 Mr. Goldsmith. There are two pages in document B-1, 13 is that correct? 14 Ms. Goodpasture. Right. 15 Mr. Goldsmith. Does one of them contain a conversation lá STREE'C, S.W. from September 27, 1963 at 1605 hours? 17 Ms. Goodpasture. Yes. - 18 -7.116 Mr. Goldsmith. Is that accurate? 19 100 Ms. Goodpasture. To the best of my knowledge, it is. 20 21 Mr. Goldsmith. An accurate summary of that conversa-22 tion? 23 Ms. Goodpasture. Yes. 24 Mr. Goldsmith. You have indicated that you have seen 25 this document before. I am not referring to B-1. I am going 001233

1-91

NW 50955 DocId:32277224 Page 93

back to page 4 of document B. 2 Ms. Goodpasture. Page 4? 3 Mr. Goldsmith. There is a routing indication that indicates that you have seen this document before, is that 554-2345 5 correct? ó Ms. Goodpasture. Yes. (202) 7 Mr. Goldsmith. When was the first time that you saw 20024 3 this document? J. 9 Ms. Goodpasture. Probably within a day after the D. BUTLETNG, UASUTAGTON, 10 conversation occurred. Well, it would not have been the day .11 after. This was on the 27th. It would have been picked up 12 on the following Monday. 13 Mr. Goldsmith. What action, if any, was taken in 14 response? REFORTERS 15 Ms. Goodpasture. These were routed to the Minells. . 1ć Mr. Goldsmith. When was the first time that this 5. W. 17 conversation was linked to Lee Harvey Oswald? STREET. 18 Ms. Goodpasture. I do not know. 771 .19 Mr. Goldsmith. Let us turn to pages 7 and 8 of document 0 U U 20 Β. Can you identify this document? Ms. Goodpasture. Yes. 22 Mr. Goldsmith. What is that? 23 Ms. Goodpasture. It appears to be a copy of a part of 24 a transcript. 25 Mr. Goldsmith. Does it reflect a telephone conversation 001234

1-92

that came in on September 27, 1963, at 4:26? Ms. Goodpasture. This is a telephone conversation on 2 the Soviet Embassy line, different from the different telephone 3 number and it is out to the Cuban Embassy from a man inside 4 554-2345 the Soviet Embassy making the call to Sylvia Duranda at the 5 Cuban Embassy. ć (202) Mr. Goldsmith. Have you ever seen this document before? 7 20024 Ms. Goodpasture. I have seen the original, yes. 3 Mr. Goldsmith. When did you see that for the first <u>ئ</u> 9 ď time? REPORTERS BUILDING, WASHINGTON, 10 Ms. Goodpasture. Probably with a couple of days after 11 it occurred. 12 Mr. Goldsmith. When was this document linked to Lee 13 Harvey Oswald? 14 Ms. Goodpasture. I do not know. I think after the 15 assassination. ló S. W. 17 Mr. Goldsmith. How long were the CIA and Mexico City STREET, 18 station's tapes concerning Oswald's conversations with Cuban 7711 and Soviet officials maintained? 19 U Û Û 20 Ms. Goodpasture. I think they were probably destroyed 21 at the same time that other tapes for that period were 22 destroyed. The translations concerning the part where he 23 attempted to speak in Russian, the tapes which were taken to 24 Tarasoff may have been retained a longer time or a shorter 25 time. I do not know. 001235

1-93

Mr. Goldsmith. Why would they have been retained a longer time? 2 Ms. Goodpasture. Well, occasionally he kept voice 3 samples, but I do not know if he kept one of this call, or 4 554-2345 5 not. Mr. Goldsmith. At the time of the assassination, did á (202) the Mexico City station have on hand the tapes of the Oswald 7 20024 3 conversations? Ms. Goodpasture. Not to my knowledge. ೆ 9 ċ Mr. Goldsmith. To your knowledge, was a voice comparison 10 WASHINGTON, ever made between the tapes to determine whether the same 11 person was speaking in each one? .12 BUTLDING. Ms. Goodpasture. I do not know. I did not make one. :13 I do not know whether someone else made one or not. There is 14 REFORTERS a transcript, a cable here, in which the transcriber of the 15: 1á Soviet tape says that it is the same voice, which would lead 5.14.2 17 one to believe that he made a voice comparison, but it just STREET, 13 may have been that he, from his memory, came to that conclu-7 T'H 19 sion. 000 20 Mr. Goldsmith. I would like to refer you now to Stack 21 C and, in Stack C, if you would kindly refer to page 57, and 22 please. 23 Ms. Goodpasture. Page? 24 Mr. Goldsmith. Page 57. 001236 25 Ms. Goodpasture, Yes.

1 - 94

<u>,</u> †	Mr. Goldsmith. On the lower righthand corner of the
2	newspaper article that is contained there, marked off with a
3	dark line is a paragraph. Kindly read that paragraph,
4	starting with the words, "The investigators."
5	(Pause)
554-2345 0, (J	Ms. Goodpasture. This would suggest
(202)	Mr. Goldsmith. One moment.
20024 (Ms. Goodpasture Tarasoff compared the voices on
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	a tape of October.
	Mr. Goldsmith. Whose handwriting appears?
WASHTHGTON , D	Ms. Goodpasture. That is mine.
	Mr. Goldsmith. That is your handwriting?
BUILDING,	Ms. Goodpasture. That is mine.
	Mr. Goldsmith. That indicates that the caller could
REPORTERS	you please read that to us. Read what you wrote that day.
	Ms. Goodpasture. The caller from the Cuban Embassy was
S.W.	unidentified until headquarters sent traces on Oswald. Now,
300 7TH STREET,	that would have been in answer to the cable that was dated
S III S	8th October. I believe their cable was 18 October, and
000	voices compared by was the pseudonym
2	used by Tarasoff.
一段 2	Mr. Goldsmith. In fact, that indicates
2	Ms. Goodpasture. He compared the Cuban Embassy voices
2	with the others, with Oswald's call, in which he used his
2	name. 001237

1-95

i

NW 50955 DocId:32277224 Page 97

Mr. Goldsmith. When would that have happened? 1 Ms. Goodpasture. I said 18 October because I thought 2 10 October. that was the date of the cable. 3 Mr. Goldsmith. What happened to that tape containing 4 554-2345 Oswald's voice? 5 Ms. Goodpasture. What happened? ó (202) Mr. Goldsmith. What happened to that tape, yes. . 7 120024 Ms. Goodpasture. I do not know. - 8 Mr. Goldsmith. Do those tapes exist today? 9 ť ċ Ms. Goodpasture. What? WASHINGTON, 1Ò Mr. Goldsmith. Do those tapes exist today? 11 Ms. Goodpasture. If they do, I do not know where they 12 NUTLETIG. 13 are. Mr. Goldsmith. Are you aware of the fact that, after 14 RUPORTERS the assassination, it has been alleged that some tapes were 15 given to the FBI to listen to and that it was said that these lá s. u. . tapes contained Oswald's voice on them? 17 STREEF, Ms. Goodpasture. Someone asked me about that, but I do 19 HTT not think that I had those tapes. I do not remember if I did, 19 300 and I was not aware that we gave any to the FBI. I do not 20 know whether Mr. Minell got tapes from Mr. Tarasoff and passed 21 them to the FBI, or if the Chief of Station or Deputy passed 22 23 anything to the FBI. 24 I just do not know. 25 Mr. Goldsmith. At any time, did anyone in the Mexico

001238

1-96

NW 50955 DocId:32277224 Page 98

City statica the

1 City station find any photographs showing Lee Harvey Oswald? 2 Ms. Goodpasture. Notato my knowledge. 3 Mr. Goldsmith. How many times did Oswald visit the \$ 554-2345 5 Cuban and Soviet embassies and consulates? Ms. Goodpasture. We did an analysis of the conversaá (202) 7 tions which indicated, I think, five times. 20024 Mr. Goldsmith. He visited the embassy and consulate 3 р. С. 9 approximately five times? And even though he visited these RCPORTERS BUILDING, VASHINGTON, places five times, the station's photosurveillance operations 10 never took a picture of him, is that correct? 11 Ms. Goodpasture. That is right. 12 Mr. Goldsmith. Is there any reason that you can give 13 as to why the photosurveillance operation, having had five 14 shots at Mr. Oswald, nevertheless ---15 Ms. Goodpasture. I could speculate, but I just assume lá S. U. 17 that he went there later in the day than they were still 7 TH STREET, 18 wroking. 19 Mr. Goldsmith. In effect, they would have had an **000** 20 opportunity to get a picture of Mr. Oswald, or the person who said he was Mr. Oswald, when he went into the embassy and 22when he went out of there, so really, there were ten oppor-23 tunities to take pictures of Mr. Oswald and yet there was no 24 picture taken of him. 25 Ms. Goodpasture. That is right. 001239

1-97

Mr. Goldsmith. Earlier, you indicated that Winston Scott was a conscientious administrator, is that correct? Ms. Goodpasture. That is right.

Mr. Goldsmith. After the assassination, was he satisfied with the performance of the photo-surveillance operation in regard to this particular matter?

Ms. Goodpasture. Well, we still did not have the exact times that Oswald went to these places, the inferences that he used and we could not prove that one of our people was sitting there, making photographs, and missed him,

Human error if the photograph station was working that he was missed.

Mr. Goldsmith. Without intending to sound argumenta--13 tive in any way, I would raise the question that needs to be 14 raised, which is how efficient is the photosurveillance 15. operation that has ten opportunities to take a picture of lá someone and apparently fails in each instance? 17 Ms. Goodpasture. I think the people that were handling 18 the photobase at that time sat down and tried to figure out 19 why it happened. As I recall, they did not think it was 20

terribly unusual.

Page 100

554-2345

(202)

20024

n. c.

WASHTHGTON.

DUTLDING,

REPORTERS

S.W.

STRUET

μī.χ

UU

21.

22

- 23

24

25

DocId:32277224

NW

50955

7

. 8

9

10

11

12

I think the Cubans said their machinery was not functioning, and I think for the Soviets it was atta time when they were not working, but I am not sure.

Mr. Goldsmith. Did you, or anyone else, find a picture

001240

1-98

of someone else who was at the embassies at the time when Oswald was thought to have gone there? 2 2 3 Ms. Goodpasture. Pardon me? Mr. Goldsmith. Did you or anybod y else find a picture 4 554-2345 of somebody who went to the Cuban or Soviet embassies at a 5 time when Oswald was thought to have gone there? ó (202) 7 Ms. Goodpasture. No. We found a photograph of a man 20024 but later it was determined that he went there after Oswald 8 D. C. had left. 9 S.W. REPORTERS BUILDING, MASHDERFON, Ms. Goldsmith. When you found this particular photo-10 graph, did you think that it pertained to Oswald? 11 Ms. Goodpasture. We did not know, because at the time 12 that photograph was found, as I recall, we did not know 13 that Oswald had already left there. It was not until after [4 the assassination that we had the dates of Oswald's 15 ló departure from Mexico. 17 Up until the assassination time and after the assassina-STREET. 8 tion, it still could have been pertinent that this man who 1111 19 was unidentified could have been Oswald if he had been still 20 there the 15th. 21 Mr. Goldsmith. But the agency or the Mexico City sta-22 tion never made a connection between this man and Oswald? 23 Ms. Goodpasture. No. 24 Mr. Goldsmith. I have just handed you a document that 25 shows an individual. The background, the document contains a

NW 50955 DocId:32277224 Page 101

ALDERSON REPORTING COMPANY, INC.

001241

1-99

copy of the photograph that was taken of an individual. Į The background to the document has been cropped out, is that 2 3 correct? Ms. Goodpasture. Yes. 554-2345 Mr. Goldsmith. Is this the man whose picture was taken 5 leaving the Cuban or Soviet embassy? ó (202) 7 Ms. Goodpasture. Yes. 20024 Mr. Goldsmith. I would like now to refer you to Stack 8 ೆ 9 C, page 2. We have reviewed this particular document before. ċ Do you have it before you? WASHTHCTON. 10. Ms. Goodpasture. Yes. 11 Mr. Goldsmith. We reviewed this document earlier today, 12 NUTLDTNG, have we not? 13 Ms. Goodpasture. Right. 14 REPORTEKS 15 Mr. Goldsmith. Drawing your attention to the first : paragraph, it indicates, does it not, on 1 October 63 and 1á S.W.2 17 American male speaking broken Russian made contact with the STREE'C, 18 Soviet embassy. Is that correct? 7 T H 19 Ms.Goodpasture. That is right. 300 20 Mr. Goldsmith. In the second paragraph, it refers to a 21 photograph, does it not? 22 Ms. Goodpasture. Yes. 23 Mr. Goldsmith. Does the second paragraph refer to the 24 photograph I have just given you? 25 001242 Ms, Goodpasture, Right,

Mr. Goldsmith. Does it refer to the photograph I have 2 just given you a copy of? 3 Ms. Goodpasture. Yes. It refers to a photograph of 4 this man. I am not sure that these photographs were made. 5 Mr. Goldsmith. In fact, nine or ten photographs may have been taken of this particular man? We do not know that ó 7 this was the particular one taken on that day, is that correct? 8 Ms. Goodpasture. That is right. 9 Mr. Goldsmith. Why was the reference made in this 10. cable to a photograph taken on October 1, 1963? .11 Ms. Goodpasture. It should have been October 2. That October 1st was the wrong date. I showed you the log yesterday 12 -to show you how that error occurred. 13 14 Mr. Goldsmith. At this point, let us look at the log. 15 In order to do that, you will have to look at Stack A. It ló I believe it is marked Stack A-4. Do you have that is A-4. 17 before you? Do you have the log before you? 18 Ms. Goodpasture. Yes. 19 Mr. Goldsmith. Looking at the log, can you now 20 explain to the Committee why the cable referred to a photo-

1-101

21 22 22

7 T H

000

554-2345

(202)

20024

n. C.

STREET, S.W. REPORTERS BUILDING, MASHIMOTON,

Ms. Goodpasture. If you look at the log here you see at the top -- it was just an oversight on the part of the person who was writing that cable. It looks as though the 001243

graph taken on October 1st when actually it was taken on

NW 50955 DocId:32277224 Page 103

23

24

25

another day?

. 1 date is 1 October, but if you read it very closely you see 2 there are only two frames that were shot on 1 October and 3 2 October, it starts up with frame number 3, et cetera, et cetera, and there the shots occur. That is the only explana-4 5 tion I can give. <u>á</u>. Mr. Goldsmith. Is your explanation that whomever 7 referred to the log simply looked at the date at the top of 8. the page, the date being October 1st, and did not see any 9 reference to the date October 2nd? 10 Ms. Goodpasture. Right. 11 Mr. Goldsmith. Getting back to the cable, what is the 12 date of the cable? 13 Ms. Goodpasture. 8 October. 14 Mr. Goldsmith. If the photograph was taken on October 15 2nd, what was the reason for the delay in transmitting this lá information to headquarters? 17 Ms. Goodpasture. It took about a week for processing, 18 generally. Two or three days. Someone had to go out and 19 get it and bring it in. 20 Mr. Goldsmith. You indicated earlier in your testimony that the photograph you found was never linked to Oswald, yet paragraph 2 of this cable is tied in to paragraph 1 which 23 specifically refers to an American male who said his name 24 was Oswald.

Does that not indicate that, in fact, the link was made?

001244

1-102

NW 50955 DocId:32277224 Page 104

25

554-2345

(202)

20024

ಲೆ

ċ

REPORTERS BUILDING, WASHINGTON,

S. W.

STREEF.

7 T H

000

Ms. Goodpasture. As I said, the link was made earlier. It did not relate to Oswald. That was hindsight. At the time 2 this cable was written, the person who wrote it thought it 3 could possibly have related to Oswald. 4 Mr. Goldsmith. I believe that my question earlier was 554-2345 5 clear. If you have a problem understanding my questions, á (202) please tell me. 7 20024 Are there any questions at this time? 8 Mr. Preyer. No. n. c. 9 Mr. Goldsmith. When did the inaccurate description of REFORTERS BUILDING, MASHINGTON, 10 Oswald contained in the first paragraph first come to your !] attention? 12 Ms. Goodpasture Page 3? 13 Mr. Goldsmith. Let us take a look at page 3. Can you 14 identify the cable that appears on page 3? 15 Ms. Goodpasture. The description in paragraph 1 of lá S.W. Oswald is different than the description in paragraph 2. 17 STREET, Mr. Goldsmith. What is the cable that is found on page 18 7TH 3? 19 300 Ms. Goodpasture. That is a cable from Washington 20 headquarters sent to Mexico in reply to the Mexico cable to 21 headquarters. 22 This is giving headquarters tracing on Oswald. 23 24 Mr. Goldsmithree When was this cable sent? 25 Ms. Goodpasture. When was it sent? 001245

1-103

NW 50955 DocId:32277224 Page 105

Mr. Goldsmith. Yes.

Ms. Goodpasture. The day that is on there is 10 October 1963.

Mr. Goldsmith. Is it your testimony, then, that based upon the description of Oswald in paragraph 1 of that cable it was realized that the photograph that had been taken on October 2nd in fact did not show Oswald?

Ms. Goodpasture. It was realized that it was not Oswald.

Mr. Goldsmith. I would like now to refer to page 5. Mr. Dodd. Counsel, just one point. What was your response to that last question? Mr. Goldsmith. I believe Congressman Dodd has a question.

Mr. Dodd. What was your response to that last question? Ms. Goodpasture. The last question, that the identification of Oswald that they had a file trace on in the Washington cable was different from the one that the description of the man who was in the photograph.

Mr. Dodd. You reached that conclusion on what day; with the 10 October '63 cable, what day would you have received that on that day, 10 October?

Ms. Goodpasture. We would have received it on about the 10th or 11th of October, within a day from the time it was sent here. And I said we reached that conclusion. There

001246

1-104

NW 50955 DocId:32277224 Page 106

2

3

4

5

ó

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

ló

17

18

19

20

21

22

554-2345

20024 (202)

REPORTERS BUILDING, WASHINGTON, D.C.

S.W.

STREET.

H.L. (

was controversy there over whether this man could have been Oswald.

• • •		
	3	Mr. Dodd. Counsel, why do you not proceed?
	+	Mr. Goldsmith. Would you describe the controversy that
554-2345	5	you are referring to, Miss Goodpasture, over whether this
	ó	man was Oswald?
(202)	7	Ms. Goodpasture. I felt that it was not Oswald.
20024	8	Mr. Goldsmith. When did you feel this? At the time
	. 9	that the cable was sent?
d .NO	10	Ms. Goodpasture. As soon as we got the description,
MASHINGTON .	11	because I did not think this man, this photograph, could
	12	possibly be the same man that was described here.
BUTLDING.	13	Mr. Goldsmith. What actions were taken at that point
	14	to clarify the situation?
REPORTERS	15	Ms. Goodpasture. I had an argument, I think, with the
	lá	Deputy Chief of Station, Mr. Scott, over it. He said, ch,
, S.V.	17	that may be incorrect and so forth. But there was quibbling
STREET.	18	over it.
7711	19 -	Mr. Goldsmith. Going back to the cable that appears
000	20 [on page 2, I am still not sure that I understand why the
	11	photo was sent to headquarters. What indication did you
R	22	have that Oswald had been to the Cuban or Russian Embassy on
	23	October 1st of 2nd of 1963?
	24	The transcripts that we reviewed earlier gave no indica-

tion that he was going to be there on that day.

001247

NW 50955 DocId:32277224 Page 107

25

1

2

	-	1-106	
	1	Ms. Goodpasture. What was that again now?	
	2	Mr. Goldsmith. The transcript that we reviewed earlier	-
	3	Ms. Goodpasture. Right.	
	4	Mr. Goldsmith. Gave no indication that Oswald was	
-2345	5	going to be at the Russian or Cuban Embassy on October 1st	
155	ó	or 2nd.	
(202)	7	Ms. Goodpasture. That is right. But once this mistake	
, 20024	8 .	was made and this cable was sent, it was compounded, because	
j. j	9	the cable was used as reference material, rather than going	
0 . D	10	back to the log. We did not get around to correcting that	
ITHOT		date.	
REPORTERS BUILDING, MASHINGTON,	12	Mr. Goldsmith. I understand that but, however, at the	
ĹĎŦŇĠ	13	time this cable was sent, it refers to an American male	
to BUL	14	entering the Soviet Embassy on October 1st and now we find	
-ORTER	15	that it really was October 2nd. And apparently you checked	
	-16	the production logs for October 1st and 2nd.	
r, s.u.	17.	My question is, since the transcripts gave no indication	
1741 STREET,	18	that Oswald had been at the Embassy on October 1st or 2nd	
	19	why was this picture connected to Oswald? You have no inde-	
000	20	pendent information that Oswald had been to the Embassy on	
	- 21	October 1st or 2nd?	
	22	Ms. Goodpasture. That is true, but we could not tell	
	23	from this conversation on the 1st that he did not go therea.	
	· 24	We also could not tell from our transcripts that he had left	
	25	the area and that there was a possibility that he had gone	

001248

1-106

NW 50955 DocId:32277224 Page 108

there without calling.

2

3

5

ó

7

8

9

10

11

12

.12

14

15

18

19

20-

21

22

23

24

25

554-2345

(202)

20.024

ರೆ

ċ

WASHINGTON,

S:W. REPORTERS BUILDING.

7TH STREET,

300.

Mr. Goldsmith. You checked the photosurveillance materials for approximately a four or five day period, is that correct?

Ms. Goodpasture. Yes, I think it is.

Mr. Goldsmith. During that four or five day period Oswald was the only -- not Oswald. This man was the only non-Latin appearing man whose photograph you found, is that correct?

Ms. Goodpasture. I think that it was the only non-Latin appearing person's photograph that we found that we could not identify as somebody else. A lot of the people who went to the Soviet Embassy all the time, we came to know who they were. After they had been identified, they made frequent visits there.

Mr. Goldsmith. I would like to refer your attention
now to page 5.

Mr. Preyer. Counsel, may I speak to you for a moment? (Discussion off the record.)

Mr. Dodd. Miss Goodpasture, I am checking on the time remaining for questioning. Counsel indicates that he would require something less than another hour. Is that right?

Mr. Goldsmith. Yes.

Ms. Goodpasture. Can you stand it that much bonger? Let us get it over with.

Mr. Preyer. Would you prefer ahead and get it over 1 2 with? 3 Ms, Goodpasture. I would rather get it over with, if 4 you can stand it. 554-2345 5 Mr. Preyer. All right. I think we might proceed at this time. I will have to ó (202) 7 excuse myself. 20024 . 8 Mr. Goldsmither For purposes of clarification, the р. с. 9 reason that this particular photograph was selected was S.W. REPORTERS BUILDING, WASHINGTON, 10 because during the four or five day period in which the 11 photo production bts were checked, this individual was the 12 only non-Latin appearing male whom your personnel in the 13 Mexico City station were unable to identify, is that correct? 14 Ms. Goodpasture. That is right. 15 Mr. Goldsmith. Drawing your attention to page 5 -lá before we get into page 5, was Oswald's, or the person whom 17 you thought was Oswald, was his appearance at the Embassy STREE'C, 18 considered to be unusual or of any significant interest? 7 T H 19 Ms. Goodpasture. Would you ask that question again? 000 20. I am not sure I understood. 21 Mr. Goldsmith. Was the fact that Oswald or the person whom you identified as Oswald appeared at the embassies when 23 he did, was that considered unusual? 24 Ms. Goodpasture. No. Frequently there were callers, 25 people who were American citizens, long distance calls, local 001250

1-108

calls, and so many of them were crackpots, in a sense, that 1 it was just another one, another name that we might or might 2 not identify. But when we found that there was no record in 7 our file traces it did not mean anything to us excepted name 1 554-2345 at that time. 5 Mr. Goldsmith. Drawing your attention now to page 5 á (202) of the cable that was sent to the Mexico City station from the 7 20024 CIA headquarters, this is page 5 of stack C ---3 Ms. Goodpasture. Yes. ۍ 9 Ċ Mr. Goldsmith. Who was the releasing officer for this WASHINGTON. 10 cable? 11 Ms. Goodpasture. Who wrote it? 12 **EUTLDTNG**, Mr. Goldsmith. Who was the releasing officer? 13 14 Ms. Goodpasture. The releasing officer? REFORTERS Mr. Goldsmith. Yes. 15 Ms. Goodpasture. The Acting Director for Plans. His 16 S. W. S name was Tom Karamessinas. 17 STREET 18 Mr. Goldsmith. At that time, Mr. Karamesinas was the 11.1, (19 Director for Plans? **100** Ms. Goodpasture. Yes. I think Assistant Director, 20 excuse me. 22 Mr. Goldsmith. Under what circumstances would somebody 23 like Karamessinas serve as a releasing officer? 24 Ms. Goodpasture. I do not know. It may have been the 25 hour of the day that it was sent. He may have been the only 001251

1-109

person still around at that time. 1 Mr. Goldsmith. Mr. Karamessinas? 2 I was in Mexico so I would not really 3 Ms. Goodpasture. 1 know why he did it at headquarters. (202) 554-2345 Mr. Goldsmith. I understand. 5 Ms. Goodpasture. Yes. ó Mr. Goldsmith. Mr. Karamessinas' position was a 7 20024 relatively high one in the CIA hierarchy, is that correct? 3 Ms. Goodpasture. Yes. ې 9 ¢. Mr. Goldsmith. This was a matter that was not considered UASHTHGTON, 10 to be of particular significance, is that correct? 11 Ms. Goodpasture. It was not significant to us at the 12 BUILDING. time that -- before we got the name trace. It may have been 13 considered significant here in Washington because it was 14 REPORTERS possibly because he was a former defector. 15 lá Mr. Goldsmith. I see. The Washington authorities may S. W. 17 have regarded this as significant and upon receipt of this TTH STREET, 18 cable in Mexico City station, I believe you indicated before that Mr. Tarasoff apparently made a voice comparison of the 19 300 taped conversations. Is that correct? 20 21 Ms. Goodpasture. Yes. Mr. Goldsmith. If headquarters considered this matter 23 to be significant, would they have been apprised of the 24 results of this voice comparison?

Ms. Goodpasture. I do not know whether they would or

001252

1-110

not.

1

554-2345

(202)

20024

J

ġ

WASHTRACTON

BHTALTUR

REPORTERS

5.11.

STREET,

7TH

300

ME. Goldsmith. As a matter of routine procedure? 2 3 Ms. Goodpasture. The voice comparison only indicated that he called the Soviet embassy and the Cuban embassy. We 4 5 had his name, a name, Oswald, in the traffic to the Soviet embassy to start off with, and the only thing the voice ó 7 comparison did, it tied in that name, but we had no way of 8 identifying our caller exactly with the subject of this 9 trace. Mr. Goldsmith. Are you saying, then, that the fact 10 that Oswald, the fact that you were able to, by voice compari-11 12 son, establish that he had been to the Cuban Embassy and the 13. Soviet Embassy was not significant? 14 Ms. Goodpasture. That is what we said in the note. 15 In the transcripts, he called the Soviet embassy from the lá Cuban embassy, a man, and that voice by the Russian trans-17 criber was identified as the same voice as the person who 18 said his name was Lee Oswald. 19 Mr. Goldsmith. I understand that. But headquarters 20 was never apprised of that voice comparison? 11 Ms. Goodpasture. I think they were in a cable. 22 Mr. Goldsmith. Prior to the assassination? 23 Ms. Goodpasture. No, I do not think they were prior 24 to the assassination. 25 Mr. Goldsmith. Apparently the fact that the Lee Harvey

1-111

001253

Oswald was considered significantly significant for Mr. Karamessinas to be the releasing officer of the cable to the Mexican station and a voice comparison is made for the purpose of determining essentially whether Oswald had been to both the Cuban and the Soviet Embassy. According to your testimony, the results of that voice comparison were that in fact it was the same person.

8 Are you saying that the fact that Oswald had been to both the Cuban and the Russian embassy was not considered 10 sufficiently important to notify headquarters prior to the assassination?

12 Ms. Goodpasture. No, because at that stage, we had no 13 way of proving that the man who made that call in Mexico was 14 the same man that this trace was about.

.15 Mr. Goldsmith. The cable from headquarters gives back-1á ground information on Mr. Oswald, does it not?

Ms. Goodpasture, Right.

We assumed that it probably was.

Mr. Goldsmith. Right.

After receiving the cable, a voice comparison is made. It is determined that the same person was talking on each tape and there is no follow-up to headquarters, even though headquarters clearly considered this to be significant?

Ms. Goodpasture. The follow-up was made by disseminating this information from the traces locally and trying to

1

2

3

4

5

á

7

9

11

20024 (202) 554-2345

REPORTERS BUILDING, WASHINGTON, D.C.

S. W.

7TH STREEF,

300

17

18

19

. 20

23

24

25

identify Oswald, trying to locate the man. That is the way the follow up was made. We thought that he may still be in Mexico.

Mr. Goldsmith. The point is, however, that upon the making of a voice comparison, if, in fact, that was done, that information was not communicated to anyone.

Ms. Goodpasture. I do not know if it was or not. 3 You would have to check the file completely, the cable 9 traffic, to see if it was. To the best of my knowledge, it 10 was not until after the assassination.

> Mr. Goldsmith. If it were not, would that be unusual? Ms. Goodpasture. No.

Mr: Goldsmith. Despite the fact that this was considered something of significance by headquarters?

Ms. Goodpasture. Headquarters -- no, because they could not have done anything with the voice comparison.

Mr. Goldsmith. Perhaps they could not have done anything with the voice comparison, but they may have considered it to be even more serious had they been informed that not only had Oswald been to the Soviet Embassy but he also had been to the Cuban Embassy.

Ms. Goodpasture. I think they knew that, because the call from the Soviet Embassy came from the Cuban Embassy. Mr. Goldsmith. Your cable of October 3th, does that

Pardon me?

indicate that the call came from the Cuban Embassy?

Ms. Goodpasture.

001255

DocId:32277224 Page 115

T

2

3

5

ó

7

11

12

13

14

15

lá

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

554-2345

(202)

20024

D. C.

S.W. REPORTERS BUILDING, WASHINGTON,

TTH STREET,

300

1 Mr. Goldsmith. Your cable of October 8th, I am referring now to page 2. Does that give any indication that 2 3 the call came from the Cuban Embassy? 4 Ms. Goodpasture. The first call was not made -- no, 554-2345 5 it does not. Mr. Goldsmith. In fact, headquarters did not know 6 (202) 7 that he had been to the Cuban Embassy. 20024 ŝ Ms. Goodpasture. Pardon me? с; Mr. Goldsmith. In fact, headquarters did not know that 9 . C REPORTERS BUILDING, MASHINGTON, he had also been to the Cuban Embassy. 10 Ms. Goodpasture. At that point, no. 11 Mr. Goldsmith. At least, according to your recollec-12 13 tion, it was not until after the assassination that head-14 guarters was informed of that fact. 15 Ms. Goodpasture. That is probably right. 1á Mr. Goldsmith. Have you ever spoken to Mr. Karasmes-TTH STREET, S.W. 17. sinas about this matter? 13 Ms. Goodpasture. Pardon me? ļĢ Mr. Goldsmith. Have you ever spoken to Mr. Karamessinas 000 20 about this matter? 21 Ms. Goodpasture. No, and I do not think that in 22 Mexico that we would have known that Tom Karamessinas signed 23 off on this cable, because the cable that came down there did 24 not have the names of the people on them. 25 This is a headquarters file copy that you are working 001256

1-114

with here and it is next to a copy from the Mexico station file.

3 Mr. Goldsmith. There are other indications on the cable as well that headquarters regarded this incident to be 4 significant, is that not true? The cable also contains other 5 indications that indicated that headquarters regarded this ć matter to be significant, is that not true? 7 For example, in paragraph 4 -- I am referring now to 8 page 5 of Stack C, paragraph 4 -- it says, Station should 9 pass info RIF(1) and it gives cryptonyms of various govern-10 mental agencies. Certainly that is an indication that 11 headquarters regarded this as significant. 12 Ms. Goodpasture. That information was taken immediately 13 and all efforts were made to locate the man in Mexico, think-14 15 ing that he was still there, but this cable did not tell us ló that he had come back to the states.

Mr. Goldsmith. I understand. The only point I am 18 making here, this is a spearate indication that headquarters 19. regarded this to be a matter of significance, the fact that they told you to pass along information to other people.

Ms. Goodpasture. That is right.

Mr. Dodd. Counsel?

(Discussion off the record.)

Mr. Dodd. We are going to take a five or so minute recess. There is a recorded vote on the Floor. I will give

DocId: 32277224

2

554-2345

(202)

20024

ۍ

÷

WASHINGTON,

BUTLDING.

REPORTERS

S.W.

STREET,

7 T'II

100

17

20

21

11

23

24

25

50955

NW

ALGERSON REPORTING COMPANY, INC.

you aminute to get a breather and I will be right back to continue with the questioning.

The Committee will stand in recess for five minutes. (A brief recess was taken.)

Mr. Dodd. At the time that we took the recess, and I responded to a recorded vote, Counsel was proceeding with questions. I would ask counsel to continue with those questions.

Mr. Goldsmith. Thank you.

One question that was out of the line of my inquiry that I was making prior to the recess, at the time that the Oswald reco-ding was obtained, did you or anyone else go to Boris Tarasoff and indicate to him that this was a matter of special importance and needed to be transcribed immediately?

Ms. Goodpasture. The first tape with his voice on it, I cannot remember whether or not I took that to Herb Minell or whether the outside agent took it to Tarasoff, but it was taken to Tarasoff at the same time that it came in from the base.

Mr. Goldsmith. Was Tarasoff given special instructions that this particular recording was of unusual importance? Ms. Goodpasture. I am quite sure that he was asked to transcribe it immediately.

Mr. Goldsmith. Why would he have been asked to transcribe

001258

1-116

1

2

3

4

5

ó

7

3

9

10

11

12

13

14.

15

lá

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

554-2345

(202)

20024

ಲೆ

ċ

VASRUESTON,

REPORTERS BUILDING.

STREEF, S.W.

7.TH

it immediately?

1

Ms. Goodpasture ... So they could find out whether there 2 3 was any information there under the name Lee Oswald. That 4 was the only transcription that he did except for a short 554-2345 5 one from the Cuban Embassy staff. Mr. Goldsmith. The first conversation that came in ó (202) 7 involving Oswald apparently came in on September 27, that 20024 he was not identified by name in that conversation. 8 D. C. Ms. Goodpasture. No, that is right. 9 PASHTHGTON, Mr. Goldsmith. Was that tape taken to Tarasoff? Is 10 that the one that he was asked to transcribe immediately? 11 Ms. Goodpasture. The one that he was asked to transcribe 12 REPORTERS BUILDING. immediately was the one that had the name on it. The one 13. 14 that was unidentified, it would also have been taken to him as soon as it came off of the machine, and he would have been 15 lá asked to transcribe it as soon as he could. S. W. S 17 Mr. Goldsmith. I am not sure I follow you why there STREEF 18 was a need to transcribe it immediately. 11.1. C 19 Ms. Goodpasture. Pardon me? 000 20. Mr. Goldsmith. Why was there a need to have him 21 transcribe it immediately? 22 Ms. Goodpasture. The Lee Oswald one? 23 Mr. Goldsmith. Yes. 24 Ms. Goodpasture. The conversation was in Russian, or 25 broken Russian, but it was in a language that the monitors

1-117

001259

inside could not understand. They could not tell if the man had a telephone number there or if he had an address or if he gave more information in the Russian language than his name.

The only thing they got was his name, which I believe was in English.

Mr. Goldsmith. Even so, why could they not have waited for the ordinary lag time to ensure prior to the transcription of the recording?

Ms. Goodpasture. Wait a minute. We are talking about two different things. The reason that he would have been asked, Tarasoff would have been asked, to transcribe it immediately would have been because we had a name connected with it. Most of Tarasoff's transcription work was transcribing Russian to English, but the conversations were with persons who were in the Embassy, Soviet personnel, and in that process he built up a backlog.

So if something came in that was not a Soviet or something other than the people who we knew there talking, he would have been asked to do that immediately before the chit-chat conversation translations of people who were living in the Embassy.

Now do you understand what I am talking about? Mr. Goldsmith. I think your explanation is responsive. Mr. Dodd. Counsel, one point I should make on the 60

NW 50955 DocId:32277224 Page 120

1

2

3

5

ó

7

3

10

11

12

.13

14

15

lá

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

5.51-2315

(202)

20024

D: C.

WASHINGTON.

REPORTERS BUILDING.

S.W.

7TH STREET,

1 record. I should mention that Mr. Edgar is replacing Mr. 2 Sawyer here for purpose of this hearing. 3 Mr. Goldsmith. I would like, at this point, to refer 4 to page 6 of the documents contained in Stack C. In this 554-2345 5 regard, can you identify this particular document? 6 Ms. Goodpasture. Yes, it is a cover sheet that would (202) 7 go over a cable in the Mexico station files. 20024 8 Mr. Goldsmith. Is this the cover sheet that went with ದೆ 9 the cable that was received from headquarters which gave the ċ WASHTHGTON, 10 correct description of Oswald? 11-Ms. Goodpasture, Probably on the 11th of October, 12 That is the date stamped here. BUILDING. 13 Mr. Goldsmith. In fact, number and explanate lo not 14 Tight.Ms. Goodpasture. No, that is not right. The date REPORTERS 15 is stamped there with the date of the message. The day it ló was received was 12 October which was the day that is STREET, S.W. 17 beside Scott's name. 18 Mr. Goldsmith. However, the routing slip pertains 11.1. C 19 to cable number 74830. 3 N N 20 Ms. Goodpasture. Right. 21 Mr. Goldsmith. Which is the one that arrived from 22 Washington that gave the description of Oswald, is that 23 correct? 24 Ms. Goodpasture. That is correct. 25

1-119

001261

Mr. Goldsmith. Examining this particular document,

whose handwriting appears in dark, bold script on the right 2 side, the right side of the page? 3 Ms. Goodpasture. The dark print? 4 Mr. Goldsmith. The cursive. 554-2345 5 Ms. Goodpasture. Please set up P file? 6 Mr. Goldsmith. Yes. (202) 7 Ms. Goodpasture. That is Mr. Scott's. 20024 8 Mr. Goldsmith. What is a P file? D, C. 9 Ms. Goodpasture. Where? HASHTHGTON, 10 Mr. Goldsmith. What is a P file? 11 Ms. Goodpasture. What is one? 12 Mr. Goldsmith. Yes. BUTLDING. 13 Ms. Goodpasture. That is a personality file on an 14 individual, an investigative file containing all the informa-REPORTERS 15 tion about a name. lá Mr. Goldsmith. Is that a file that is maintained at a S. W. 17. local station? STREET, 18 Ms. Goodpasture. Yes. 7 T H -19 Mr. Goldsmith. How does it differ from the file on an 3 N N 20 individual that is maintained at headquarters? 21 Ms. Goodpasture. It is not any different at all. It. 22 is the same type of file. 23 Mr. Goldsmith. In terms of the content of the file, 24 would everything that is in the local file, the P file, be 25 reflected in the headquarters file on the individual? 001262

1-120

Ms. Goodpasture. Not always. It should be, but some-2 times formal communication was sent to headquarters that was 3 written from several notes. The formal communication, such as the cable, would be in the headquarters files, but if some-554-2345 5 one had taken the information down from the conversation on a ó table napkin, or something, and had not written a formal (202) 7 memorandum for the file, the raw data would not be in the 20024 3 headquarters file. D. C. 9 Mr. Goldsmith. How long would it take to open a P file PASHINCTON, 10 locally? 11 Ms. Goodpasture. Depending on the workload, it should not take longer than a day to take a file folder out of the 12 REPORTERS RUFLDING. 13 cabinet and put the material in and put a label on it. 14 Mr. Goldsmith. Is it likely that Oswald's P file 15 was open shortly after October 12, 1963? ló Ms. Goodpasture. I would assume so. S. W. 17 Mr. Goldsmith. Examining the statement in cursive 7TH STREET 18 by Mr. Scott, it says, "Please set up P file on Lee Henry 19 Oswald and put all data that we have into it. " "All" is **000** 20 underscored. 21 Do you have any explanation for why Mr. Scott would 22 have bothered to underscore "all"? 23 Ms. Goodpasture. He always did that. 24 You always had the feeling that your files were never 25 complete enough. 001263

1-121

NW 50955 DocId:32277224 Page 123

1 Mr. Goldsmith. If you examined another routing slip 2 by Mr. Scott directing that a P file should be opened, he 3 would also, as a matter of routine, have the "all" underlined? 554-2345 5 Ms. Goodpasture. Yes. ó Mr. Goldsmith. To your knowledge, do you have any (202) 7 information that any materials that should have gone in 20024 8 Oswald's P file were not put into it? ۍ 9 Ms. Goodpasture. Not to my knowledge. Ċ BUTLDING, MASHINCTON, 10 Mr. Goldsmith. Was Oswald's P file, in fact, complete? 11 Ms. Goodpasture. I think his file was complete. 12 Mr.Goldsmith. I would like to refer you to page 7 13 at this time of the materials contained in Stack C and could 14 you explain to me and the Congressmen what the difference REPORTERS 15 is between this cable which is marked, in the upper rightlá hand corner, 74830 and the cable that appears on page 3 that S. U. 2 17 is also marked 74830? **7TH STREET**. 18 Ms. Goodpasture. Number 3? 19 Mr. Goldsmith. Page 3. 300 20 Ms. Goodpasture. The one on page 7 is a copy of the 21

Ms. Goodpasture. The one on page 7 is a copy of the cable when it was received in Mexico City that was typed up in the Mexico station. The one on page 3 is a copy that was taken from the headquarters file and the way the cable looked when it went out.

Page 7 is the way it was received. You have information

001264

1 - 122

NW 50955 DocId:32277224 Page 124

22

23

24

25

from the Mexico file and information from a headquarters file put together here.

1-123

001265

Mr. Goldsmith. As a matter of routine, who would review the cable upon receiving it in Mexico City?

Ms. Goodpasture. The first person to get all cable traffic was the Chief of Station and the second person to get it was Alan White. The third person to get it was usually the person to whom it was routed to, that Mr. Scott wanted to see it first.

Mr. Goldsmith. On page 7. This appears to be Mr.
Scott's handwriting in dark, the dark lines, and the handwriting.

Ms. Goodpasture. Winn Scott's:

Mr. Goldsmith. Drawing your attention to the arrow that appears on the upper lefthand corner of this document, the arrow goes from Henry upwards. Do you see that?

Ms. Goodpasture. Yes.

Mr. Goldsmith. To the right of that appears the word

Ms. Goodpasture. Right.

Mr. Goldsmith. Underscored.

Can you explain that?

Ms. Goodpasture. Well, he put "sic" there because he wanted a heading on the P file -- this goes back to the P file -- he wanted the P file to be written Lee Oswald, the

.2

3

4

5

ó

7

8

ç

13

14

15

lá

17

18

19

20

23

24

25

554-2345

(202)

20024

REPORTERS BUILDING, VASHINGTON, D.C.

TTH STREET, S.W.

title on it, also known as Lee Henry Oswald. That is what 1 2 the "sic" designates, that it could be two names. 3 Mr. Goldsmith. If that were the case, would not the 4 appropriate way to indicate that be by writing down "aka" 554-2345 5 instead of "sic." Ms. Goodpasture. "Aka" was also used. ó (202) Mr. Goldsmith. In fact, my impression is that the 7 20024 term "sic" is used as a matter of routine in the English 3 language to designate that the item that is referred to is ಲೆ 9 ġ erroneous. WASHTINGTON, 10 Ms. Goodpasture. I do not think he meant it in those .11 12 terms. I think he wanted both names to be on the file. He BUTLDTHG is another world now and there is no way of finding out. 13 14 Mr. Goldsmith. Understood. REPORTERS 15 In fact, the "sic" points right to the Henry and in ló fact Oswald's real name was Harvey not Henry, is that correct? S. W. 2 17 Ms. Goodpasture. Right. STREET, 18 Mr. Goldsmith. Did Winn Scott know that Oswald's middle 1111 19 name was Harvey and not Henry? 100 20 Ms.Goodpasture. Not to my knowledge. We had no record 21 of it at the Mexico station. We had no trace on anyone by 22 Oswald. 23 Mr. Goldsmith. That is exactly right. It is an impor-24 tant question. 25 Ms. Goodpasture. Right. 001266

1-124

1. That is why, I think, that he must have wanted it so that the file would show Lee Oswald and also Lee Henry 2 3 Oswald.

1-125

Mr. Goldsmith. If the Committee wanted to verify whether 5 it was standard procedure for Mr. Scott to indicate that a file should be opened under more than one name, for him to indió 7: cate that by writing down the word "sic;" I presume that all 8 we would have to do is to review cable traffic or routing 9 sheets to see if that was a matter of routine, he used that terminology, "sic" is that not correct? 10

Ms. Goodpasture. You could if you wanted.

Mr. Goldsmith. If, in fact, it turned out that as a 12 13 matter of routine he did not use the terminology "sic" to refer to the opening of a file under more than one name, that 14. 15 might indicate, would it not, that Scott was aware of Oswald's 16 true middle name?

17 Ms. Goodpasture. I would not go so far as to suggest 18 that. You could assume that you thought that he knew, but 19 if he knew it, we certainly had no record of it to my knowledge 20 at the Mexico station.

Mr. Dodd. Let me step in here for a second.

Ms. Goodpasture. I do not think he knew it.

Mr. Dodd. Let me ask you something, ma'am. What does "sic" mean to you, s-i-c?

Ms. Goodpasture. I was just saying that, the way it is 001267

4

551-2345

(202)

20024

ೆ

Ċ

WASHINGTON.

pullatie,

REPORTURS

Ś. W.

STREET,

7TH

300

21

22

23

24

25

written -1 What does "sic" mean to you? Mr. Dodd. 2 Ms. Goodpasture. A garbled version of a name. 3 Mr. Dodd. Not an aka? 4 554-2345 5 Ms. Goodpasture. No. Mr. Dodd. There is a distinction between an aka and a ó (202) 7 sic? 20024 Ms. Goodpasture. Yes. 8 Mr. Dodd. Are you telling this committee that it is 9 ೆ ć WASHINGTON, your personal knowledge ---10 Ms. Goodpasture. I am saying, sir, that I think that 11 what he meant was that Lee Oswald, because the first conversa-12 SWILDING. tion we heard -- . 13 Mr. Dodd. I understand. Let me repeat what I think 14 REPORTERS 15 you are saying. Correct me if I am wrong. What you are telling us is that you have personal 16 S. W. S 17 knowledge that the individual that made that notation there, STREET, 18 sic, as a result of your personal knowledge of this individual, γŤΗ 19 he used those words interchangeably all the time, even though 100 20 you know that those two words have different meanings, or a 21 those symbols have different meanings? 22 Ms. Goodpasture. That is not what I mean to say. 23 Mr. Dodd. The record indicates that you had some sort 24 of special knowledge that this individual used those symbols 25 interchangeably. 001268

1-126

NW 50955 DocId:32277224 Page 128

Ms. Goodpasture. In the context of the way the name Lee Oswald came to our attention the first time, it was in such a way that we could not tell the man was saying his name was Lee and Oswald, or whether his name was Lee Oswald. Mr. Dodd. What notation was made in that reference in the cable traffic when the transcripts -- what notation is in parentheses?

Ms. Goodpasture. Pardon me?

Mr. Dodd. What notation was in parentheses in the 9 transcripts of the telephone conversations? Does the word 10 phonetic, does that strike a bell in parentheses, phonetic? 11

Ms. Goodpasture. Phonetic, Lee Oswald.

When the transcript was first brought to me, the man outside, as I recall, said they do not know if this man is Lee or whether it is Oswald. 15

Mr. Dodd. If they indicated they did not understand, what did they put in parentheses?

Ms. Goodpasture. Before the action was taken on the transcript, was taken to the transcriber who prepared an English translation and on the basis of his English translation they feltethat, the person who prepared the cable felt, that the name was Lee Oswald.

> Mr. Dodd. Did they not put phonetic? Ms. Goodpasture. They put phonetic. Mr. Dodd. They did not put sic?

001269

1-127

2

3

4

5

ć

7

3

12

13

14

١ó

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

5.54-2345

(202)

20024

ਹ

ġ

WASHINGTON,

BUILDING,

REPORTURS

. ŝ

STREET

10.2

Ms. Goodpasture. Meaning that they did not know whether 1 it was spelled Ozwald or Oswald, I guess. But I cannot be 2 sure, but the person who prepared the cable is here, Barbara 3 ł Minell. She might know.

5 Mr. Dodd. Counsel and witness, I am going to have to apologize to you one more time. This is one of the burdens ó of this institution. We have a recorded vote again. We will 7 come right back. 8

(A brief recess was taken.)

Mr. Dodd. Picking up where we left off at the time we took that recess, I do not want to belabor this point with 11. you. How well did you know Mr. Scott?

Ms. Goodpasture. I did not make myself clear. What I meant when I answered his question, I thought, I think, that this refers to the fact that the first Lee Oswald that we had was garbled.

Mr. Goldsmith. Where was that? What are you talking about, on the transcripts or the conversation?

Ms. Goodpasture. From the transcripts, yes, sir. And we had never seen the name printed and that I thought what. he meant that this Lee Henry Oswald file should have in it all the information that we had on the man with the name, the garbled name, the Lee Oswald that had come up in the transcripts.

That was just my interpretation. I do not know, to

001270

1-128

554-2345

(2.0.2)

20024

ಭ

ċ

WASHINGTON,

BUTLDING

REPORTERS

S. W. 2

STREET,

11.1.6

000

9

10

12

13

14

15

15

17

18

19

20

31

22

23

24

25

		answer the question truthfully.
REPORTERS BUILDING, MASHINGTON, D.C. 20024 (202) 554-2345	2	Mr. Dodd. Let us go back a little bit and, counsel
	3	I will ask you to assist me on this, but in all of the trans-
	4	cripts, was there any middle name or middle initial mentioned?
	5	I do not recall seeing any.
	6	Mr. Goldsmith. No, there was not.
	7	Mr. Dodd. That does not explain the letter or the
	8	language "sic" by Henry, because they had never been any
	9	reference to a Henry or a Harvey.
	10	Ms. Goodpasture. That Henry, I think that was the
	-11	headquarters mistake. This cable originated in headquarters
	12	that we are looking at and this is a copy of it as it was
	13	received in Mexico.
	14	The first time that we received the name on Oswald and
	15	the traces it was Lee Henry, and it was later that it became
4, ACI	. 1ó	Lee Harvey.
r, s.1	17	Mr. Dodd. We are getting away from my point. If it
STREET	18	were a question about using a different name as you have
7 T'II	19	properly described Aka, also known as, is the proper letter
000	20	to use in that kind of situation where a sic, as you have
	21	properly defined it, describes an entirely different
	22	situation.
	23	Ms. Goodpasture. Right.
	24	Mr. Dodd. My next question is, how well did you know

²⁵ Mr. Scott? Didyou know him well?

001271

1-129

NW 50955 DocId:32277224 Page 131

Ms. Goodpasture. I worked there for 11 years when he was there.

和腳

Mr. Dodd. You knew him fairly well.

Ms.Goodpasture. Let me answer it this way. I do not 4 554-2345 think I knew him well enough to be able to say beyond any 5 reasonable doubt what he meant here by this term. ó (202) Mr. Dodd. Were you familiar with his annotations on 7 20024 other cable traffic? 3 Ms. Goodpasture. Well, yes, I think I probably was ರ ¢ Ċ more familiar than anybody else who was down there at that VASHERCTON, 10 time because I was down there longer. 11 Mr. Dodd. Did you ever notice that he used these 12 SULLDING. symbols interchangeably in other cable traffic? 13 Ms. Goodpasture. Yes, I think he would use it. I have 14 RUPONE PER seen him write sic in for a garbled name by the side of it. 15 One garbled version put in for the information on a file lá traced with another name. ý .17 STREET Mr. Dodd. Thank you. I turn questioning over to 13 7 T H counsel. 19 100 Mr. Goldsmith, Referring to page 6 of Stack C, Scott 20 indicates that a P file should be opened on Lee Henry Oswald 21 does he not? 22 23 Ms. Goodpasture. Yes.

Mr. Goldsmith. He does not indicate that a file should
²⁵ be opened under Lee Oswald and Lee Henry Oswald, does he?

001272

1

2

In other words, he does not indicate the file should 1 be opened up under two names? 2 Ms. Goodpasture. Right. 3 Mr. Goldsmith. So really there is no basis at all for 4 554-2345 thinking that the term "sic" indicates what Scott had in mind 5 was an "aka" is there? There is no basis for reaching that ó (202) conclusion, is there? 7 20024 Ms. Goodpasture. Would you repeat that again? 8 Mr. Goldsmith. Certainly. ರ 9 ġ It is apparent from the routing indication on page 6 WASHTHGTON, 10 that Scott wanted the file opened on Lee Henry Oswald. 11 Ms. Goodpasture. Right. 12 BUTLDTAG. Mr. Goldsmith. He gave no indication on the routing 13 slip that he wanted the file open upsunder the name Lee Henry 14 REPORTERS Oswald aka Lee Oswald. There is no indication that the file 15 was to be opened up under two names. In light of that, there lá с. В. В. is really no basis, is there, for concluding that the use of 17 STREET, the phrase sic on page 7 refers to the term aka? 18 7 T II Ms. Goodpasture. My interpretation that the use of 19 001 20 the word sic was for the Lee Oswald, the first name in there 31 on page 7 and that all of the information about that name 22 that we did not know the full name on would go into the other 23 file. 24 Mr. Goldsmith. The "sic" is pointing to the word

"Henry" is it not?

001273

Ms. Goodpasture. I feel that refers to the first 2 Lee Oswald in place of Lee Henry Oswald, the first line. We 3 could argue that. - 4 Mr. Goldsmith. Is not the arrow pointed right over 554-2345 5 and in between the "e" and "n" in the word "Henry"? Ms. Goodpasture. That is right, but it is also pointing á (202) 7 right to the beginning of the Lee Oswald name. 20024 8 To answer your question, I do not know. 0 9 Mr. Goldsmith. In any event, "sic" does not mean "aka" ċ 10 WASHINGTON, does it? 11 Ms. Goodpasture. That is right. 12 Mr. Goldsmith. Mr. Scott did not use those terms BUILDING, 13 interchangeably, did he? 14 Ms, Goodpasture, Did he ---REPORTERS 15 Mr. Goldsmith. Use the term "sic", s-i-c, and the lá term "aka" interchangeably? He did not, as a matter of S. W. .17. custom, do that, did he? STREET. 18 Ms. Goodpasture. I do not recall having seen him use 7TH 19 aka that much at all. I have seen sic several times after a 300 . 20 name when there was no middle initial or where there was 21 question about spelling. 22 Mr. Goldsmith. I do not believe that the answer was 23 responsive. Do you know whether he used the term "sic" and 24 "aka" interchangeably? 25 Ms. Goodpasture. No, I do not know. 001274

1 - 1.32

Mr. Goldsmith. You testified earlier, I believe, that 2 upon receipt of this cable, the Tarasoffs, or Boris Tarasoff, made a voice comparison. Is that correct? 3 4 Ms. Goodpasture. That ---554-2345 5 Mr. Goldsmith. Your testimony earlier, I believe, was that upon receipt of this cable from headquarters, Boris ó (202) 7 Tarasoff made a voice comparison of the tape recordings? 10024 Ms. Goodpasture. That is right. ŝ ් 4 Mr. Goldsmith. And they concluded -ċ BUTLDING, VASHINGTON, Ms. Goodpasture. At some time after receipt of this 10 cable, according to receipt of that note, I do not know 11 when. 12 Mr. Goldsmith. He concluded that it was the same 13 14 person on both tapes, is that correct? REPORTERS 15 Ms. Goodpasture. That is right. lá Mr. Goldsmith. I would like you to refer now to page S. Ŵ. 17 21 in Stack C, page 21. STRUET, 18 Ms. Goodpasture. One moment. 202 11.L 19 Mr. Goldsmith. We will make that page 22. Can you 10.0 20 identify this document? 21 Ms. Goodpasture. Yes, This is a copy of a cable that 22 was sent from headquarters to Washington. 23 Mr. Goldsmith. Who was the originating officer of this 24 cable? 25 Ms. Goodpasture. I am. 001275

1-133

Mr. Goldsmith. Who was the authenticating officer of Ŧ 2 this cable? 3 Ms. Goodpasture. I am. 4 Mr. Goldsmith. What is your understanding of the term 554-2345 5 "authenticating officer"? What does that term mean? Ms. Goodpasture. Someone who has the authority to sign ó (202) 7 a cable, to release it. 20024 3 Mr. Goldsmith. Would an authenticating officer simply ರ 9 sign a cable and release it, or is there anything that he Ċ WASHTINCTON, 10 would do before signing? Ms. Goodpasture. The releasing officer was a superior 11 officer. 12 **ธ**ิย (1.)ก (พ.ศ. Mr. Goldsmith. It would be the responsibility of the 13 14 authenticating officer, would it not, to verify the accuracy REPORTERS 15 of the contents of the cable? Is that correct? lá Ms. Goodpasture. Yes, that would be his responsibility. STREET, S.W. 17 Mr. Goldsmith. Drawing your attention to the bottom of 18 page 22, please read the second paragraph. It continues on 7TH 19 to page 23. 000 .20 (Pause) 31 Ms. Goodpasture. Yes. 22 Mr. Goldsmith. Specifically, this seems to indicate 23 that the station is unable to compare the voice of the first 24 tape, which was erased pror to the receipt of the second call? Is that true? Is that what the document indicates? 001276 25

1-134

Ms. Goodpasture. Right.

Mr. Goldsmith. Do you have any explanation for the inconsistency between this document and your earlier testimony that there was a voice comparison made?

Ms. Goodpasture. The only explanation that I can make is that the Soviet transcriber made it on the basis of not listening to the two tapes again over, but on his memory of yes, that is the same man that I listened to and transcribed the tape from the Soviet Embassy, the man who called himself Lee Oswald.

Mr. Dodd, Counsel, I do not want to interrupt you. Mrs. Goodpasture, you worked a long time in that Embassy, you are very familiar with technical terms and terminology that are used.

Now, when you are doing a voice comparison and what all of that means, a voice comparison, that is a rather technical description of something, and you just stated a minute ago that a voice comparison was made. I would assume by that that you are telling this Committee -- and we are grateful that you are here, we appreciate your testimony. We have a very difficult job in front of us. We are trying to get to the bottom of this whole story, and you are making it difficult.

I do not like to have to tell you that, but you are. You are using the term "voice comparison" and you are indicating

001277

ALDERSON REPORTING COMPANY, INC.

554-2345 (202) 20024 STREET, S.W. REPORTERS BUILDING, WASHINGTON, D.C. 14 18 7TH 300

2

3

4

5

ó

7

8

10

11

12

13

15

ló

17.

19

20

23

24

25

NW

to this committee that there was analysis made of a person's 1 voice, not the memory of a transcriber. It is a technical job. 2 It is not something that you leave to somebody who is merely 3 a translator, and you just finished telling us that a voice 4 554-2345 comparison was made. Your own memorandum there on page 21 5 says that, in fact, one could not have been made. ó (202) What is the truth here? 7 20024 Ms. Goodpasture. I think that what happened was that 8 the voice comparison, by taking the two tapes, I think the 9 ÷ ć tape had been destroyed. WASPTHOTON. 10 Based on my memory now ---11 Mr. Dodd. What you just said a minute ago ---12 BUTLDING, Ms. Goodpasture. To tell you the truth, I do not 13 remember. 14 RUPORTERS Mr. Dodd. What you just said a moment ago is not 15 true, then, that there is not a voice comparison? 15 S. W. Ms. Goodpasture. What I said a moment ago was based on 17 STREET, a note that had been made in the files, that the transcriber 18 7111 had compared the voices, and this note was written 14 years 49 000 20 ago. Mr. Dodd. Based upon your working knowledge of the 21 22 terminology of voice comparison, does that normally involve 23 listening to two tapes with someone who is technically trained 24 to make a determination as to whether or not the voices on two 25 different tapes are one and the same Person? 001278

1-136

NW 50955 DocId:32277224 Page 138

.1 Ms. Goodpasture. The way it was used, to me it would 2 also mean that a person who had listened to two tapes at 3 different times and said that they were the same, that it 4 would be a comparison by him. (202) 554-2345 5 Mr. Dodd. That was considered a bona fide voice ó comparison? 7 Ms. Goodpasture. Not in the technical sense that you 20024 8 are referring to. You are correct. 7TH STREET, S.W. REPORTERS BUTLDING, VASHINGTON, D.C. 9 Mr. Dodd. Counsel, you may proceed. I am awfully 10 confused about your statement. 11 Ms. Goodpasture. I apologize for that. It is a con-12 fusion tome of so much material at one time, going over it 13 and the details of it. But I honestly do not remember being 14 present when those tapes were listened to, or a conversation 15 relating to it. It was this material that came up in the lá files. 17 Mr. Dodd. You understand, when you are talking bout 18 voices being compares, you are comparing a tape of someone 19 speaking. 000 20 Ms. Goodpasture. Right. 21 Mr. Dodd. You cannot make a comparison based on a 22 transcript or someone's memory of a tape that had been 23 destroyed, by your own testimony. 24 Ms. Goodpasture. A better way to explain it would have 25 been, probably, if this occurred, would have been to have

1-137

001279

NW 50955 DocId:32277224 Page 139

	and and a second se	said that the transcriber who listened to both tapes said
	2	that it was the same person rather than using the term
	3	"voice comparison."
	4	Mr. Dodd. You sent out this memo. Let me refer you
-2345	5	to page 67.
554	6	Ms. Goodpasture. Yes, sir.
20024 (202)	7	Mr. Dodd. Stack C.
120024	8	Is.amoolooking.at. thisonews astorys here. There bere.
	9	Can I ask you, is that your handwriting on the bottom there?
TON.	10	You see the documentation?
÷ SHING	!1	Ms. Goodpasture. Yes, sir.
G. WÀ	12	Mr. Dodd. Whose handwriting is that?
AS BUTLDING, MÀSHINGTON, D. C.	13	Ms. Goodpasture. It is mine.
	14	Mr. Dodd. Read that for me. I can't read your hand-
RPORTERS	15	writing too well. What does that say?
S.W. RF	16	Ms. Goodpasture. It says the caller from the Cuban
	17	Embassy was unidentified until Headquarters sent traces on
TTH STREET ,	18	Oswald and voices compared bywho is the Soviet
	19	translator.
UUE	20	Mr. Dodd. When did you make that annotation?
	21	Ms. Goodpasture. When?
	22	Mr. Dodd. Yes.
	23.	Ms. Goodpasture. I do not know.
	. 25	Mr. Dodd. Approximately?
	نيني - -	Ms. Goodpasture. I would assume we made it some time
		001280

1-138

after the date of this article. To answer your question truthfully, I do not know. 2 Mr. Dodd. Was it ten years ago, a year ago? 3 Ms. Goodpasture. The date on this article was 21 October 4 554-2345 1964. . 5 Mr. Dodd. Would you have done it right at the time that á (202) 7 the article had come out? 20024 Ms. Goodpasture. I will tell you --8 ۍ Mr. Dodd. Unfortunately, we are going to have to ċ WASHINGTON, 10 break again for another vote and I am going to come back again in five minutes. In that five minutes, I want you to 11 think very hard about when you made that annotation. 12 I am BUTLDING going to ask you to give me an answer to that. 13 14 I do not want to have to remind you here that you are REPORTERS sworn to tell the whole truth to this Committee and it is 15 vitally important. lá S. W. 17 Ms. Goodpasture. That is what I have been trying to STREET .18 do. 1111 19 Mr. Dodd. This is a very important matter. We will 300 20 take a recess and come back in five minutes and I would like 21 you to think about it during those five minutes, and then I 22 would like you to give me your best answer to that question 23 I just asked you. 24 We will stand in recess. 25 (A brief recess was taken.) 001281

1-139

Mr. Dodd. Picking up, Mrs. Goodpasture, where we left off at the time of the last break and you have had a few minutes to think over my question, I do not want you to think for one second that I am particularly being tough in any way. It is just that these are very, very important questions and I would like you to answer you as honestly and as candidly as you can as to when you think you made that notation.

Ms. Goodpasture. I think that I made the notation within about a week or ten days after the date of the newspaper article, because this was a Washington article and it would have been sent to us in Mexico through a pouch.

Mr. Dodd. 1964?

¹⁴ Ms. Goodpasture. I think it would have been some time
 ¹⁵ towards the end of October, 1964.

Mr. Dodd. Did I understand you correctly to respond to counsel's question, turning to page 22, that you were the releasing officer, authenticating officer -- which was it on that memo? Did I hear your response to that correctly?

Mr. Goldsmith. Were you the authenticating officer of this memo?

Ms. Goodpasture. Yes.

Mr. Dodd. You were the authenticating officer for that memo?

Ms. Goodpasture. Cable.

001282

1-140

1

1

3

4

5

ó

7

8

ç

10

(11)

12

13

١ó

17

18

19

20

22

23

24

25

554-2345

(202)

20024

D.C.

REPORTERS BUILDING, CASHINGTON,

S.W.

STREET,

1111

100

-	1-141
. 1	Mr. Dodd. Cable dated 23 November 1963?
2	Ms. Goodpasture. Yes, sir.
. .	Mr. Dodd. I read to you again paragraph 2. "In view
4	Oswald Soviet Union. In fact, he claimed on 1 October, the
2345	envoy" whatever that is "to have visited the Soviet
5.511-1	Embassy 28 September. Subject paragraph 1 probably Oswald.
(202)	Station unable to compare voice as first tape erased prior
20024 (second call."
C. 2C	That is November, 1963 and in October of '64, "Voices
a 10	compared by " Which is true?
чабитистон, 11 10	Ms. Goodpasture. The notation was made in 1964 on this
5V 12	newspaper article. I think that I was referring to the trans-
9 И. 13 –	criber, Mr. Tarasoff's, identifying the man as having made
	both calls in listening to the tapes.
SATERS	Mr. Dodd. Why did you not make that same observation
2 2 2 2 1 6	on 23 November 1963?
s 17	Ms. Goodpasture. That was a mistake I made that I should
STREET	not have made. I should have stated it exactly as it occurred
E 19	so we would not be in doubt now. But I do not know.
ະຕິ 20	Mr. Dodd. So the statement made on 23 November '63 is
11	inaccurate?
22	Ms. Goodpasture. To the best of my knowledge, it is.
23	I am sure I could not locate the tapes, or I would not have
24	put that in the cable.
25	Mr.DDodd. Thank you. 001283
	UUIROE

j)

Counsel, you may proceed.

Mr. Goldsmith. Thank you.

In this regard, I would like to refer to Stack B, the 3 4 document, page 1 in Stack B, which is the transcript of the . 5 conversation in reference to Oswald. That is Stack B, page 1. This is a transcription of a conversation involving ó Oswald that took place on 1 October 1963. 7 By the line which immediately follows the number 151 8 in brackets it says "the same person who phoned a day or so 9 ago and spoke in broken Russian." 10 Viewing this language in connection with page 67 of 11 12 Stack C, that is the newspaper article that we were just 13 referring to --14 Ms. Goodpasture. 67. 15 Mr. Goldsmith. Page 67, the newspaper article that 16 we were just referring to. I direct your attention to the 17 last paragraph of that article, the one that is marked off, -18 specifically the one that is adjacent to your marginal nota-19 tion. 20 Would you please read that? Ms. Goodpasture. Yes.

1-142

Mr. Goldsmith. Might it not be said that, viewing these two items together, the language in this paragraph and the statement in brackets, the same person who phoned a day or so ago and spoke broken Russian, that it was apparent that Oswald, 001284

22

23

24

25

2

554-2345

(202)

20024

ۍ

ċ

WASHTHGTON,

RULLDING.

REPORTERS

S. W.

STREET,

7TH

300

in fact, had visited the Cuban Embassy earlier? Ms. Goodpasture. Would you repeat that again, please? 2 Mr. Goldsmith. Viewing these two documents together, 3 the last paragraph of the article, the language in brackets, 4 it says, "The same person who phoned a day or so ago and 5 spoke in broken Russian." ó Does it not become apparent that Oswald, in fact, had 7 visited the Cuban Embassy earlier? 3 I admit that is not a direct inference that can be 9 drawn, but if you follow the transcripts, if you go back to 10 the preceding Saturday and you review the transcripts from 11 that day, it becomes apparent that Oswald had been at the Cuban 12 Embassy on Saturday. The point that I am getting at is that 13 independent of these documents here, it would seem that a 14 voice comparison, in fact, would have to have been made. 15 16 Ms. Goodpasture. I think that he recognized the voice 17. from having listened to a tape of the Cuban Embassy that had 18 Russian on it but did not have a name, instead of just - 19 listening to two tapes. 20 Mr. Goldsmith. Going back to page 22 of Stack C it 21 says, "station unable to compare voice as first tape erased 22 prior receipt second call."

1-143

001285

Does that suggest to you at at the time that this cable was sent the second tape was still in existence? It says one tape was destroyed or erased; the second one was not.

NW 50955 DocId:32277224 Page 145

554-2345

(202)

20024

D. C.

PASITINGTON,

REPORTERS BUILDING.

S.W.

STREET,

1116

30.0

Ms. Goodpasture. No, I think that it had been erased. 1 Mr. Goldsmith. You say both have been erased? 2 This says, "station unable to complete" --3 Ms. Goodpasture. The first tape --4 554-2345 Mr. Goldsmith. Right. The first tape, according to 5 ó this cable, had been erased. What about the second tape? (202) 7 Ms. Goodpasture. Pardon me? 20024 8 Mr. Goldsmith. What about the second tape? There are <u>р. с</u>. 9 two tapes we are talking about. REPORTERS BUILDING, VASHINGTON, 10 Ms. Goodpasture. YOu said what about the second tape. 11 Mr. Goldsmith. Yes, that is what I said. 12 This seems to suggest that while the first tape had .13 been erased, the second tape has not been erased. 14 Ms. Goodpasture. That is right. 15 Mr. Goldsmith. This cable is dated November 23, 1963. ló What happened to the tape that was in existence on November STREET, S.W. 17 23, 1963? 18 Ms. Goodpasture. I do not think that it was still in ٦TH 19 existence in November, 1963 but I think that it still was in **000** 20 existence when the tape from the second call came back to us. 21 But the first one, we discovered, had been erased. 22 Mr. Goldsmith. This says, "station unable to compare 23 voice as first tape erased prior receipt second call." Why 24 does not the cable say instead --25 Ms. Goodpasture. They both were erased. I do not know. 001286

1 - 144

NW 50955 DocId:32277224 Page 146

Mr. Goldsmith. I recalled earlier that your testimony was that you looked for the tapes and that you could not 2 find the first one. That also suggests that this second one, 3 in fact, was found. 4 554-2345 Was the second tape found? 5 Ms. Goodpasture. Would you repeat that, please? ó (202) Mr. Goldsmith. Your testimony earlier was that you 7 20024 looked for the tapes but you were unable to find the first 8 You did not say that you were unable to find either tape. р. С. 9 REPORTERS BUTLDING, PASHTHETON, tape. You said that you were unable to find the first tape. 10 That, again, suggests that the second tape was found. 11 Ms. Goodpasture. No, I do not think I was able to find 12 any of the tapes that had Lee Oswald's calls on them. I found 13 the original transcripts, but I could not find the tapes that 14 had those calls. 15 Mr. Goldsmith. The calls in question came in between lá S.W. September 27 and October 1, 1963, is that correct? 17 STREET, Ms. Goodpasture. The first call? 18. HLL Mr. Goldsmith. Yes. 19 00 Ms. Goodpasture. Yes. 20 21 Mr. Goldsmith. The first call came in, as it turns out, September 27th. The last call that was attributed to 22 23 Oswald came in October 1st. So we have a timespan here of five 24. or six days in which the calls came in, and your testimony is 25 that the first tape was erased prior to the receipt of the 001287

1-145

second call, yet earlier, you indicated that the normal time lag was two weeks.

1 - 146

2 Here we have a tape being erased well before the normal 4 time lag. Do you have any explanation for that? -2345 5 Ms. Goodpasture. No. 554 Mr. Goldsmith. Referring to page 28, Stack C, could á (202) 7 you identify this document? 20024 . 8 Ms. Goodpasture. It is a copy of the cable sent from 9 Mexico to Washington, CIA Headquarters. <u>ن</u> _ . 10 Mr. Goldsmith. What is the date on it? WASHTHGTON. 11 Ms. Goodpasture. The date on it is 23 November 1963. 12 Mr. Goldsmith. Directing your attention to paragraph **BUTLDTRG** 13. 4 of this particular document, would you please read that? -14 Ms. Goodpasture. who did transcrip-REPORTERS 15 tion said Oswald is identical to person speaking broken 15 Russian who called from Cuban Embassy 28 September to Soviet 5.W. 17 Embassy. STREET. 18 And that, I think, was taken from the information in this 7111 19 transcript, B-1, line 151. 000 20-Mr. Goldsmith. Your response then is that -- & chick 31 DEGREE Ms. Goodpasture is I think that was taken from this 22 statement in the October 1 transcript. 23 Mr. Goldsmith. At any time after the assassination of 24 the President, did anyone go to Boris Tarasoff who is the 25 person referred to, whose pseudonym was 001288

1

2

at any time after the assassination did anyone go to Mr. Tarasoff and ask him whether the person speaking in these conversations was the same person?

1 - 147

Ms. Goodpasture. I did not, but I did not see it. The person who saw him was Herb Minell and that was his case officer. The outside person for the electronic surveillance delivered tapes to me, but he did not give them guidance or supervision. That was given to him by Mr. Minell, who would have been the one who would have gone to him and talked to him about this.

Mr. Goldsmith. This paragraph number 4 seems to suggest, does it not, that was actually specifically asked whether Oswald was the same person appearing in each conversation.

Ms. Goodpasture. It could be interpreted that way or it could also have been taken from this other transcript.

Mr. Goldsmith. And finally, I would like you to compare the statement in paragraph 4 of this cable with paragraph 2 on page 22.

(Pause)

2

3

4

5

ó

7

3

9.

10

11

12

13

14

15

1ó.

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

554-2345

(202)

20024

ರ

-

WASHTNGTON.

REPORTERS BUILDING,

S. W.

STREET.

7 T H

100

inconsistency?

2

3

4

5

ó

7

8

9

10

. 11.

12

13

14

15

ló

17

18

19

20

22

23

24

25

5.54-2345

(202)

20024

D.C.

REPORTERS BUILDING, WASHINGTON,

TTH STREET, S.H.

000

Ms. Goodpasture. The only explanation is the one I made previously, is that the two tapes were still not available at that time to compare and we had to take the transcriber's word for it.

Mr. Goldsmith. I would also like to point out that paragraph 2, the very last line, the first two words, it says "probably Oswald." Is that correct?

Ms. Goodpasture. Page 22?

Mr. Goldsmith. Page 22, the second paragraph, the last line, it says "probably Oswald."

Ms.Goodpasture. Yes.

Mr. Goldsmith. This cable is Mexico 7023.

Then, two cables later, 7025, the fourth paragraph, it says ______, who did transcriptions, says ______, or longer have any words of qualifica-

tions.

I am referring now to page 29, paragraph 4.

Ms. Goodpasture. What page is the other one?

Mr. Goldsmith. Page 29, paragraph 4. It says,

who did transcription, says Oswald is identical. The earlier cable says, "probably Oswald. Unable to compare voice as

first tape erased prior to receipt of the second."

Two cables later, it says that __________says Oswald is identical with the person speaking broken Russian who called 001290

from the Cuban Embassy on September 28th. What happened between the time that Mexico 7023 was sent out and Mexico 7025 was sent out to account for this different

ence?

2

3

4

5

ó

7

8

9

12

18

19

20

21

23

24

25

554-2345

(202)

20024

D. C.

STREET, S.W. REPORTERS BUILDING, WASHINGTON,

7711

000

Ms. Goodpasture. The only explanation I can give is that we went over the files again and we found the references that the transcriber had made, the statements that he had made, that it was the same person. Oh, Mr. Minell could have gone out and talked with him.

In your interview with Mr. Minell, I am sure that hewould remember if he did.

Mr. Dodd. Let me interrupt for one second.

Miss Goodpasture, at the time that you were sitting down and having discussions over these November 23 -- over this 23 November, 1963, when you were sitting down and comparing these two memorandum, was Mr. Tarasoff ever present in the preparation of these memos?

Ms. Goodpasture. Did Mr. Tarasoff ever ---

Mr. Dodd. Was he present during the preparations of these memos and these discussions you were having?

Ms. Goodpasture. You mean this one?

001291

	÷	
	1	1-150
	- 1	Ms. Goodpasture. No, he was not present.
	2	Mr. Dodd. He was not present for any of those discus-
	3	sions?
	. 4	Ms. Goodpasture. No.
2345	5	Therreason was that he was located outside of the
554 - 2345	ó	Embassy and these were not taken outside.
(202)	7	Mr. Dodd. Did you ever personally speak to Mr. Tarasoff
1024	8	Ms. Goodpasture. Yes, I have spoken to him personally.
ى	9	Mr. Dodd. Did you speak to him at the time?
0N. D.	10	Ms. Goodpasture. No. I was not in touch with him at
WASHINGTON.		this time?
	12	Mr. Dodd. Did you speak to him how did you know he
BUTLDTNG.	13	compared the voices?
	14	Ms. Goodpasture. Pardon me?
-ORTERS	+ 1.5	Mr. Dodd. How do you know he compared the voices if
. REPOR	16	you did not speak to him?
, s.u	17	Ms. Goodpasture. I took it from this transcript, I
STREET	18	think, from a statement made here that he typed himself, the
7TH :	19	P 1-B is a copy of a transcript prepared by Tarasoff, on his
UUC	. (20	typewriter.
	Ç I	Mr. Dodd. Let us suspend for a second.
R	7 22 \	(Pause)
	23	Go ahead.
	24	Mr. Goldsmith. Probably you will not believe this. I
· .	25	only have a few more questions.
		001292

1 Turning to page 30 of the documents in Stack C, this 2 is a duplicate of Mexico number 7025, is that correct? 7 Ms. Goodpasture. Yes. 4 Mr. Goldsmith. This is the same cable that in paragraph 554-2345 5 4 makes a reference to saying that Oswald is identió cal with the person who spoke broken Russian involved in the (202) 7 Cuban Embassy on September 28th, is that correct? 20024 3 Ms. Goodpasture. Wait a minute, I am not following you, ť 9 page 30? BUTLDING, WASHINGTON, D. 10 Mr. Goldsmith. Turn to page 30. That is a duplicate 11 of 7025. That is the Mexico copy of 7025. 12 Ms. Goodpasture. Mexico 7025, right. 13 Mr. Goldsmith. This is your copy, the one that you 14 referred to earlier! On page 28 and 29 was the copy that REPORTERS 15 went to headquarters, is that correct? ló Ms. Goodpasture. Yes. S. W. Mr. Goldsmith. Fine. We are talking about the same 17 STREET . 18 cable now. In each case, paragraph 4 makes the same reference 7TH 19 to Oswald's being identical, et cetera, et cetera. Who was 100 20 the authenticating officer, page 32, I believe. Ms. Goodpasture. I am. 22 Mr. Goldsmith. Turning back to page 23, which refers 23 to Mexico 7023, who is the authenticating officer of that 24 cable? 25 Ms. Goodpasture. I am. 001293

1-151

NW 50955 DocId:32277224 Page 153

Mr. Goldsmith. Yet, in the first cable, you say "probably Oswald. Station unable compare voice as first tape 2 3 erased prior receipt second call." And in the second cable you state, "Oswald is identical," without any language of 4 5 554-234 qualification. Ms. Goodpasture. I said that the transcriber said he ó (202) 7 was identical, right? 20024 8 Mr. Goldsmith. Did anything happen? ۍ 9 Ms. Goodpasture. In the other one, we were unable to ċ S.W. REPORTERS BUILDING, WASHINGTON, have the tapes for someone else to compare them, for other 10 people to listen to them or to send them to headquarters for 11 them tolisten to them, but we did have on record from this 12 13 transcript that he had prepared that Tarasoff said that the 14 calls were made by the same man. 15 Mr. Goldsmith. You have no explanation for why the 15 Oswald tapes would have been erased within six days of their 17% receipt by the Mexico City station as opposed to within the STREET, 18 normal two-week period. 19 Ms. Goodpasture. No, I cannot explain it. 100 . 20 Mr. Goldsmith. One final question. Have you discussed 21 the testimony that you have given us today, have you discussed that with anyone prior to coming here today? 23 Ms. Goodpasture. Have I discussed the testimony? 24 Mr. Goldsmith. Have you discussed the nature of your 25 testimony with anyone prior to your coming here today?

ALDERSON REPORTING COMPANY, INC.

NW 50955 DocId:32277224 Page 154

001294

1-152

Ms. Goodpasture. The only discussion I had was a Ţ confusion about my coming up, which I discussed when I 2 arrived, and there was a misunderstanding of my being brought 3 up here. 4 554-2345 Mr. Goldsmith. I am aware of that. 5 Have you discussed the substance of your testimony with ó (202) 7 anybody, or what you thought would be your testimony with 20024 anyone? . 8 Ms. Goodpasture. No, I have not talked with anyone since ಲೆ Ċ I left here yesterday. REPORTERS BUILDING, WASHTHCTON, 10 Mr. Goldsmith. Since you left the Committee's offices 11 yesterday, is that correct? 12 Ms. Goodpasture. That is right. I left your office 13 yesterday. 14 Mr. Goldsmith. I have nothing further. Thank you 15 very much. 1á S. W. Mr. Chairman? 17 TTH STREET. Mr. Dodd. Mr. Preyer, do you have any questions? 18 Mr. Preyer. I have no further questions at this time. 19 000 Mr. Dodd. Mrs. Goodpasture, first of all, I would like 20 to request of you that you leave your address or addresses 21 with the Legislative Counsel of the CIA from time to time 22 23 so if we feel a need to get back in touch with you, we can contact them and they can locate you for us. If you would 24 do that, I would appreciate it. 25 001295

1-153

Secondly, I want you to know that we are grateful to you for appearing before your Committee. You have been helpful and we are grateful to you for that.

I want to apologize to you if I seemed to be a little abrasive on that one point, which is that we are trying to get to the truth of this as quickly as we can. Under our ó. rules of this Committee, we give each witness an opportunity at the conclusion of their testimony to take five minutes and ĝ. sum up or add any additional information that you feel might be helpful to this Committee or to correct what you may feel 10 are any inconsistencies or confusion over testimony that you have given this committee, and I will ask you now to take 13 advantage, if you would so desire, of five minutes or so to 4 make that summation.

Ms. Goodpasture. The only thing that I could think of right now is that I feel that some of the papers here are probably out of context and still have some errors in them, and those errors that come up, I am sure they are human errors and there may be more of them.

I know in this one draft of background material, I just guessed at the dates when people were there as well as names, as well as much of the information about the situation in Mexico at that time, because it was to be used as a framework. for trying to pull together the documents that would be needed to present a true picture of what the agency had in their

001296

1 - 154

NW 50955 DocId:32277224 Page 156

Ţ

2

3

5

7

9

11

12

15

1á

17.

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

554-2345

(202)

20024

ပံ

ċ

STREET, S.W. RPPORTERS BUILDING, WASHINGTON,

7 T II

00

files or the situation that was there at that time. That is about all I can think of now. Mr. Dodd. Thank you. All right, Miss Goodpasture, you are excused as a witness. Again, on behalf of the Committee, I express my gratitude to you. Mr. Preyer. I want to congratulate you on your stamina Miss Goodpasture. Mr. Dodd. Unless there is any further business before this Subcommittee, we will stand in recess until further call of the Chair. (Whereupon, at 3:45 p.m, the Subcommittee recessed to reconvene at the call of the chair.) 							
Mr. Dodd. Thank you. All right, Miss Goodpasture, you are excused as a witness. Again, on behalf of the Committee, I express my gratitude to you. Mr. Preyer. I want to congratulate you on your stamina Miss Goodpasture. Mr. Dodd. Unless there is any further business before this Subcommittee, we will stand in recess until further call of the Chair. (Whereupon, at 3:45 plm, the Subcommittee recessed to reconvene at the call of the chair.) 	f	lles or the sit	uation t	hat was th	iere at t	hat time.	• • •
All right, Miss Goodpasture, you are excused as a witness. Again, on behalf of the Committee, I express my gratitude to you. Mr. Preyer. I want to congratulate you on your stamina Miss Goodpasture. Mr. Dodd. Unless there is any further business before this Subcommittee, we will stand in recess until further call of the Chair. (Whereupon, at 3:45 plm, the Subcommittee recessed to reconvene at the call of the chair.)		That is ab	out all	I can thin	nk of now	8 - 1	
<pre>witness. Again, on behalf of the Committee, I express my gratitude to you. Mr. Preyer. I want to congratulate you on your stamina Miss Goodpasture. Mr. Dodd. Unless there is any further business before this Subcommittee, we will stand in recess until further call of the Chair. (Whereupon, at 3:45 p.m, the Subcommittee recessed to reconvene at the call of the chair.)</pre>		Mr. Dodd.	Thank y	ou.			
<pre>gratitude to you. Mr. Preyer, I want to congratulate you on your stamina Miss Goodpasture. Mr. Dodd, Unless there is any further business before this Subcommittee, we will stand in recess until further call of the Chair. (Whereupon, at 3:45 plm, the Subcommittee recessed to reconvene at the call of the chair.) </pre>		All right,	Miss Gc	odpasture	, you are	excused	as a
Mr. Preyer. I want too congratulate you on your staminal Miss Goodpasture. Mr. Dodd. Unless there is any further business before this Subcommittee, we will stand in recess until further call of the Chair. (Whereupon, at 3:45 p.m, the Subcommittee recessed to reconvene at the call of the chair.)	W	ltness. Again,	on beha	lf of the	Committe	e, I expr	ess my
Miss Goodpasture. Mr. Dodd. Unless there is any further business before this Subcommittee, we will stand in recess until further call of the Chair. (Whereupon, at 3:45 plm, the Subcommittee recessed to reconvene at the call of the chair.)	g	catitude to you	•				
<pre>Mr. Dodd. Unless there is any further business before this Subcommittee, we will stand in recess until further call of the Chair. (Whereupon, at 3:45 p.m, the Subcommittee recessed to reconvene at the call of the chair.)</pre>	۰.	Mr. Preyer	• I wan	ttomcong	catulate	you on yo	ur stamina
<pre>this Subcommittee, we will stand in recess until further call of the Chair. (Whereupon, at 3:45 p.m, the Subcommittee recessed to reconvene at the call of the chair.) </pre>	M	ss Goodpasture	• <u>-</u> •				
<pre>call of the Chair. (Whereupon, at 3:45 p.m, the Subcommittee recessed to reconvene at the call of the chair.) </pre>		Mr. Dodd.	Unless	there is a	any furth	er busine	ss before
(Whereupon, at 3:45 p.m, the Subcommittee recessed to reconvene at the call of the chair.)	tl	is Subcommitte	e, we wi	11 stand i	In recess	until fu	rther
reconvene at the call of the chair.)	Ca	all of the Char	r.				
		(Whereupon	, at 3:4	5 p.m, the	Subcomm	ittee rec	essed to
001297	re	convene at the	call of	the chain	. <u>)</u>	•	
001297		•			·		
001297							
001297						· .	•
001297							
001297	•	• • •					
001297			•				
001297				· · · ·			· · · · ·
001297							
001297							
901 <i>2</i> 97		· · ·			•	Ω	01965
						. 4 9	U I & J (

1-155

ó

lá

300 7TH STREET, S. W. REPORTERS BUTLDING, WASHINGTON, D. C. 20024 (202) 554-2345