

This document is made available through the declassification efforts
and research of John Greenewald, Jr., creator of:

The Black Vault



The Black Vault is the largest online Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) document clearinghouse in the world. The research efforts here are responsible for the declassification of hundreds of thousands of pages released by the U.S. Government & Military.

Discover the Truth at: <http://www.theblackvault.com>

Dearest team leader, a.k.a. ZRSHARIC;

Please read my Elva Garro write-up.

I feel it flows well now! (except Dec 25
Thomas memo summary) I can still phiten
each section so that it ^{deals} ~~relates~~ only with
Oswald - alone + Oswald - activities in Mexi
but the interesting aspect of the Write-Up is
the CIA pushing us NO theory.

Beginning with p. 29.

What Committee did to investigate story

Results

Analysis

Conclusions.

3) ~~Reported and Received from the Central Intelligence Agency letter of 2/4/76, to the Senate Intelligence Committee Agency a copy of this letter~~ ^{Review of the}

Did the Central Intelligence Agency Write the Senate Intelligence Committee that no Investigation ^{of} the Cuban, Nov. 22, 1963 flight was Conducted?

^{after a} ^{2/4/76 letter}
A careful review of the Central Intelligence ^{H.S.} letter to the Senate Intelligence Committee ^{the Committee} has determined that the Senate Intelligence Report's interpretation of the letter is misleading. The Central Intelligence wrote the Senate Intelligence Committee that the Mexican authorities were asked about the reported flight delay, although there was no recorded response. [CIA letter to Senate Intelligence Committee, 2/4/76.]

Did the Central Intelligence Agency Further Investigate the Report?

The Central Intelligence Agency (conducted regular surveillance of Cuban flights), filing cable reports to Headquarters. ^[3/24/76 report p.11] There was one unilateral CIA surveillance team that observed arrivals and departures of Cuban flights, reporting any unusual incidents (and providing copies of flight manifests) (ibid p.11) (The Mexican authorities also had a surveillance team of its own at the airport, which provided to the CIA photographs of passengers and copies of passenger lists) (ibid p.11). Additionally, a telephone tapping operation (Lionel) against the Cuban Embassy provided transcripts of conversations (with the Cuban office)

to a reported five-hour delay of a Cuban flight from Mexico City to Havana the evening of President Kennedy's assassination, November 22, 1963. [Senate Intelligence Committee Report, April 17, 1976, p. 30] The most intriguing aspect of the report was that the reported delay was to await arrival, at 10:30 P.M. EST of a ^{Cuban} two-engine aircraft, which deposited one unidentified passenger who boarded the aircraft without Customs clearance and traveled to Havana in the pilot's cabin. (Ibid. p. 60-61) The Senate Intelligence Committee writes that the Central Intelligence Agency "had no information indicating that a following investigation was conducted to determine the identity of the passenger and had no further information on the passenger, and no explanation for why a following investigation was not conducted. [Ibid. p. 61]

The ~~an~~ Senate Intelligence Committee Report raises major questions: did ~~they~~ ^{the} Central Intelligence Agency write the Senate Intelligence Committee that no investigation ^{was} conducted; ~~did the Central Intelligence Agency~~ ^{Did the Central Intelligence Agency} ~~conduct a further~~ ^{investigate the report?} investigation; ~~what further investigation was conducted~~; what were the results of the investigation?; and, were they given to the Senate Intelligence Committee?

In an attempt to answer the questions posed by the Senate Intelligence Committee Report, the House Select Committee on Assassinations has done the following:

1) Conducted an extensive review of the Central Intelligence Agency files that pertain to the November 22, 1963 Cuban flights.

2) Requested a ^{Review} ~~copy~~ of ~~the~~ ^{an extensive review of} ~~the~~ ^{the} ~~relevant~~ ^{relevant} ~~documents~~ ^{and} ~~and~~ ^{the} ~~November 22, 1963 Cuban flights.~~ ^{1977 CIA Staff Report and} ^{TAB Check included the}

b) The Cuban flight departed at 2035 hours Mexico City time, 55 minutes ahead of the "alleged" arrival at 2130 hours of a private flight with a secret passenger. c) The ~~Cuban~~ departure at 2035 hours also contrasts further with ~~the alleged time of the Cuban flight~~ ^{the Senate Intelligence Report which ~~reported~~ ^{stated} that - the Cuban flight departed at ~~2200~~ ²²⁰⁰.}

Were the Results of the Central Intelligence Investigation given to the Senate Intelligence Committee?

Unfortunately, the Senate Intelligence Committee was only given the informant reports. The Central Intelligence Agency ^{the Senate Int. Com} never allowed them to review the [S. FIRE & L. ENVOY] reports. Hence, the Senate Intelligence Committee ~~could not have~~ ^{relying solely on the} ~~reached the conclusions reached by the HSCA~~ ^{not reported} informant's allegation, could have ~~reached~~ ^{not reported} the allegation any differently.