This document is made available through the declassification efforts and research of John Greenewald, Jr., creator of:

The Black Vault



The Black Vault is the largest online Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) document clearinghouse in the world. The research efforts here are responsible for the declassification of hundreds of thousands of pages released by the U.S. Government & Military.

Discover the Truth at: http://www.theblackvault.com

JFK Assassination System Identification Form

Date: 4/28/201

Agency Information

AGENCY: FBI

RECORD NUMBER: 124-10207-10176

RECORD SERIES: HQ

AGENCY FILE NUMBER: 62-9-12-383

Released under the John

F. Kennedv

Assassination Records Collection Act of 1992 (44 USC 2107 Note).

ase#:NW 45760 Date:

1-17-2017

Document Information

ORIGINATOR: FBI

FROM: SAC, DL

TO: DIRECTOR, FBI

TITLE:

DATE: 12/04/1962

PAGES: 8

SUBJECTS:

CIP, GAMBLING, INVEST

DOCUMENT TYPE: PAPER, TEXTUAL DOCUMENT

CLASSIFICATION: Unclassified RESTRICTIONS: 4; 11(a) CURRENT STATUS: Redact

DATE OF LAST REVIEW: 09/29/1998

OPENING CRITERIA: INDEFINITE, APPROVAL OF IRS

COMMENTS:

AIRTEL AIR MAIL (Priority or Method of Mailing) TG: DIRECTOR, FBI (62-9-12) SAC, DALLAS (94-55A-SUB) SUBJECT: CRIMINAL INTELL IGENCE PROGRAM WEEKLY SUMMARY DALLAS DIVISION Re Dallas airtel to Bureau, 11/26/62. RE: ET AL IGA (DL 162-210) On 11/26/62 was interviewed by Bureau identify long distance telephone calls charged to his non- published phone number LA 8-8687. Each call, as previously defermined through a check at the telephone company, was discussed with and on practically each occasion he stated that it was a friend or a business acquaintance and categorically denied any of these calls were involved in any gambling activities. He readily admitted that he was a 3-Bureau 1-Dallas (162-210) 1-Dallas (162-213) 1-Dallas (162-208) 1-Dallas (162-213) 1-Dallas (162-109) 1-Dallas (162-143)	э э		Date: 12,	/4/62	1
AIRTEL AIR MAIL (Priority or Method of Mailing) TO: DIRECTOR, FBI (62-9-12) SAC, DALLAS (94-55A-SUB) SUBJECT: CRIMINAL INTELLIGENCE PROGRAM WEEKLY SUMMARY DALLAS DIVISION Re Dallas airtel to Bureau, 11/26/62. JFK Act 6 (4) RE: LIGA (DL 162-210) On 11/26/62 Agents at his apartment; stated he would gladly identify long distance telephone calls charged to his non-published phone number LA 8-8687. Each call, as previously determined through a check at the telephone company, was discussed with and on practically each occasion he stated that it was a friend or a business acquaintance and categorically denied any of these calls were involved in any gambling activities. He readily admitted that he was a 3-Bureau 1-Dallas (162-210) 1-Dallas (162-210) 1-Dallas (162-208) 1-Dallas (162-219) 1-Dallas (162-129) 1-Dallas (162-129) 1-Dallas (162-129) 1-Dallas (162-143)	ansmit the following	ng in PLAIN TEXT			
TO: DIRECTOR, FBI (62-9-12) SAC, DALLAS (94-55A-SUB) SUBJECT: CRIMINAL INTELLIGENCE PROGRAM WEEKLY SUMMARY DALLAS DIVISION Re Dallas airtel to Bureau, 11/26/62. RE: ET AL IGA (DL 162-210) On 11/26/62 was interviewed by Bureau stated his apartment, stated he would gladly identify long distance telephone calls charged to his nonpublished phone number LA 8-8687. Each call, as previously determined through a check at the telephone company, was discussed with and on practically each occasion he stated that It was a friend or a business acquaintance and categorically denied any of these calls were involved in any gambling activities. He readily admitted that he was a 3-Bureau 1-Dallas (162-210) 1-Dallas (162-210) 1-Dallas (162-213) 1-Dallas (162-196) 1-Dallas (162-199) 1-Dallas (162-199) 1-Dallas (162-199) 1-Dallas (162-11) 1-Dallas (162-143) 1-Dallas (162-143) 1-Dallas (162-143) 1-Dallas (162-143) Approved Sent M Per	2.	(T	ype in plain text or co	ode)	
TO: DIRECTOR, FBI (62-9-12) SAC, DALLAS (94-55A-SUB) SUBJECT: CRIMINAL INTELLIGENCE PROGRAM WEEKLY SUMMARY DALLAS DIVISION Re Dallas airtel to Bureau, 11/26/62. RE: ET AL IGA (DL 162-210) On 11/26/62 was interviewed by Bureau stated his apartment, stated he would gladly identify long distance telephone calls charged to his nonpublished phone number LA 8-8687. Each call, as previously determined through a check at the telephone company, was discussed with and on practically each occasion he stated that It was a friend or a business acquaintance and categorically denied any of these calls were involved in any gambling activities. He readily admitted that he was a 3-Bureau 1-Dallas (162-210) 1-Dallas (162-210) 1-Dallas (162-213) 1-Dallas (162-196) 1-Dallas (162-199) 1-Dallas (162-199) 1-Dallas (162-199) 1-Dallas (162-11) 1-Dallas (162-143) 1-Dallas (162-143) 1-Dallas (162-143) 1-Dallas (162-143) Approved Sent M Per	AIRTEL	AIR MAIL	* *	,	į,
SAC, DALLAS (94-55A-SUB) SUBJECT: CRIMINAL INTELLIGENCE PROGRAM WEEKLY SUMMARY DALLAS DIVISION Re Dallas airtel to Bureau, 11/26/62. RE: ET AL IGA (DL 162-210) On 11/26/62 Agents at his apartment. stated he would gladly identify long distance telephone calls charged to his non-published phone number 1A 8-8687. Each call, as previously determined through a check at the telephone company, was discussed with and on practically each occasion he stated that it was a friend or a business acquaintance and categorically denied any of these calls were involved in any gambling activities. He readily admitted that he was a 3-Bureau 1-Dallas (162-210) 1-Dallas (162-37) 1-Dallas (162-331) 1-Dallas (162-96) 1-Dallas (162-109) 1-Dallas (162-109) 1-Dallas (162-109) 1-Dallas (162-129) 1-Dallas (162-129) 1-Dallas (162-11) 1-Dallas (162-129) 1-Dallas (162-13) 1-Dallas (162-143) 1-Dallas (162-15A-SUB) RMB:sah (16)	3		(Priority or Met	hod of Mailing)	-
SAC, DALLAS (94-55A-SUB) SUBJECT: CRIMINAL INTELLIGENCE PROGRAM WEEKLY SUMMARY DALLAS DIVISION Re Dallas airtel to Bureau, 11/26/62. RE: ET AL IGA (DL 162-210) On 11/26/62 Agents at his apartment. stated he would gladly identify long distance telephone calls charged to his non-published phone number 1A 8-8687. Each call, as previously determined through a check at the telephone company, was discussed with and on practically each occasion he stated that it was a friend or a business acquaintance and categorically denied any of these calls were involved in any gambling activities. He readily admitted that he was a 3-Bureau 1-Dallas (162-210) 1-Dallas (162-37) 1-Dallas (162-331) 1-Dallas (162-96) 1-Dallas (162-109) 1-Dallas (162-109) 1-Dallas (162-109) 1-Dallas (162-129) 1-Dallas (162-129) 1-Dallas (162-11) 1-Dallas (162-129) 1-Dallas (162-13) 1-Dallas (162-143) 1-Dallas (162-15A-SUB) RMB:sah (16)					
SAC, DALLAS (94-55A-SUB) SUBJECT: CRIMINAL INTELLIGENCE PROGRAM WEEKLY SUMMARY DALLAS DIVISION Re Dallas airtel to Bureau, 11/26/62. RE: ET AL IGA (DL 162-210) On 11/26/62 Agents at his apartment. stated he would gladly identify long distance telephone calls charged to his non-published phone number 1A 8-8687. Each call, as previously determined through a check at the telephone company, was discussed with and on practically each occasion he stated that it was a friend or a business acquaintance and categorically denied any of these calls were involved in any gambling activities. He readily admitted that he was a 3-Bureau 1-Dallas (162-210) 1-Dallas (162-37) 1-Dallas (162-331) 1-Dallas (162-96) 1-Dallas (162-109) 1-Dallas (162-109) 1-Dallas (162-109) 1-Dallas (162-129) 1-Dallas (162-129) 1-Dallas (162-11) 1-Dallas (162-129) 1-Dallas (162-13) 1-Dallas (162-143) 1-Dallas (162-15A-SUB) RMB:sah (16)			*	V 3 1	
SUBJECT: CRIMINAL INTELLIGENCE PROGRAM WEEKLY SUMMARY DALLAS DIVISION Re Dallas airtel to Bureau, 11/26/62. RE: JFK Act 6 (4) RE: OL 162-210) On 11/26/62 Agents at his apartment. Stated he would gladly identify long distance telephone calls charged to his non-published phone number LA 8-8687. Each call, as previously determined through a check at the telephone company, was discussed with and on practically each occasion he stated that It was a friend or a business acquaintance and categorically denied any of these calls were involved in any gambling activities. He readily admitted that he was a 3-Bureau 1-Dallas (162-210) 1-Dallas (162-37) 1-Dallas (162-208) 1-Dallas (162-208) 1-Dallas (162-208) 1-Dallas (162-109) 1-Dallas (162-109) 1-Dallas (162-149) 1-Dallas (162-143)	T9:	DIRECTÒR, FBI (62-9-	-12)		
SUBJECT: CRIMINAL INTELLIGENCE PROGRAM WEEKLY SUMMARY DALLAS DIVISION Re Dallas airtel to Bureau, 11/26/62. RE: JFK Act 6 (4) RE: OL 162-210) On 11/26/62 Agents at his apartment. Stated he would gladly identify long distance telephone calls charged to his non-published phone number LA 8-8687. Each call, as previously determined through a check at the telephone company, was discussed with and on practically each occasion he stated that It was a friend or a business acquaintance and categorically denied any of these calls were involved in any gambling activities. He readily admitted that he was a 3-Bureau 1-Dallas (162-210) 1-Dallas (162-37) 1-Dallas (162-208) 1-Dallas (162-208) 1-Dallas (162-208) 1-Dallas (162-109) 1-Dallas (162-109) 1-Dallas (162-149) 1-Dallas (162-143)	m_{L}				9
WEEKLY SUMMARY DALLAS DIVISION Re Dallas airtel to Bureau, 11/26/62. RE: TAL IGA (DL 162-210) On 11/26/62 was interviewed by Bureau Stated he would gladly identify long distance telephone calls charged to his non-published phone number LA 8-8687. Each call, as previously determined through a check at the telephone company, was discussed with and on practically each occasion he stated that it was a friend or a business acquaintance and categorically denied any of these calls were involved in any gambling activities. He readily admitted that he was a 3-Bureau 1-Dallas (162-210) 1-Dallas (162-37) 1-Dallas (162-96) 1-Dallas (162-109) 1-Dallas (162-109) 1-Dallas (162-109) 1-Dallas (162-109) 1-Dallas (162-109) 1-Dallas (162-110) 1-Dallas (162-110	FROM:	BAC, DALLAS (94-55A-	-SUB)		
WEEKLY SUMMARY DALLAS DIVISION Re Dallas airtel to Bureau, 11/26/62. RE: TAL IGA (DL 162-210) On 11/26/62 was interviewed by Bureau Stated he would gladly identify long distance telephone calls charged to his non-published phone number LA 8-8687. Each call, as previously determined through a check at the telephone company, was discussed with and on practically each occasion he stated that it was a friend or a business acquaintance and categorically denied any of these calls were involved in any gambling activities. He readily admitted that he was a 3-Bureau 1-Dallas (162-210) 1-Dallas (162-37) 1-Dallas (162-96) 1-Dallas (162-109) 1-Dallas (162-109) 1-Dallas (162-109) 1-Dallas (162-109) 1-Dallas (162-109) 1-Dallas (162-110) 1-Dallas (162-110	SID TECH) DD TMINAI INMELIICENC	TE DROCKAN		
DALLAS DIVISION Re Dallas airtel to Bureau, 11/26/62. RE: JFK Act 6 (4) On 11/26/62 Agents at his apartment. identify long distance telephone calls charged to his non-published phone number LA 8-8687. Each call, as previously determined through a check at the telephone company, was discussed with and on practically each occasion he stated that it was a friend or a business acquaintance and categorically denied any of these calls were involved in any gambling activities. He readily admitted that he was a 3-Bureau 1-Dallas (162-210) 1-Dallas (162-37) 1-Dallas (162-331) 1-Dallas (162-208) 1-Dallas (162-208) 1-Dallas (162-109) 1-Dallas (162-129) 1-Dallas (162-129) 1-Dallas (162-143)	process and the second		E PROGRAM		•
Re Dallas airtel to Bureau, 11/26/62. RE: STAL IGA			(e)		
Agents at his apartment. On 11/26/62 Agents at his apartment. Ida (DL 162-210) On 11/26/62 Agents at his apartment. Istated he would gladly identify long distance telephone calls charged to his non-published phone number LA 8-8687. Each call, as previously determined through a check at the telephone company, was discussed with and on practically each occasion he stated that it was a friend or a business acquaintance and categorically denied any of these calls were involved in any gambling activities. He readily admitted that he was a 3-Bureau 1-Dallas (162-210) 1-Dallas (162-37) 1-Dallas (162-37) 1-Dallas (162-208) 1-Dallas (162-109) 1-Dallas (162-109) 1-Dallas (162-129) 1-Dallas (162-129) 1-Dallas (162-129) 1-Dallas (162-143)					
Agents at his apartment. On 11/26/62 Agents at his apartment. Ida (DL 162-210) On 11/26/62 Agents at his apartment. Istated he would gladly identify long distance telephone calls charged to his non-published phone number LA 8-8687. Each call, as previously determined through a check at the telephone company, was discussed with and on practically each occasion he stated that it was a friend or a business acquaintance and categorically denied any of these calls were involved in any gambling activities. He readily admitted that he was a 3-Bureau 1-Dallas (162-210) 1-Dallas (162-37) 1-Dallas (162-37) 1-Dallas (162-208) 1-Dallas (162-109) 1-Dallas (162-109) 1-Dallas (162-129) 1-Dallas (162-129) 1-Dallas (162-129) 1-Dallas (162-143)		Re Dallas airtel to	Bureau, 11/2	26/62	
TFK Act 6 (4) IGA	(0)				<u> </u>
IGA (DL 162-210) On 11/26/62 was interviewed by Bureau Agents at his apartment. stated he would gladly identify long distance telephone calls charged to his non- published phone number LA 8-8687. Each call, as previously determined through a check at the telephone company, was discussed with and on practically each occasion he stated that it was a friend or a business acquaintance and categorically denied any of these calls were involved in any gambling activities. He readily admitted that he was a 3-Bureau 1-Dallas (162-210) 1-Dallas (162-37) 1-Dallas (162-96) 1-Dallas (162-96) 1-Dallas (162-109) 1-Dallas (162-109) 1-Dallas (162-129) 1-Dallas (162-129) 1-Dallas (162-143)		RE:			aka.
On 11/26/62 was interviewed by Bureau Agents at his apartment. stated he would gladly identify long distance telephone calls charged to his non- published phone number LA 8-8687. Each call, as previously determined through a check at the telephone company, was discussed with and on practically each occasion he stated that it was a friend or a business acquaintance and categorically denied any of these calls were involved in any gambling activities. He readily admitted that he was a 3-Bureau 1-Dallas (162-210) 1-Dallas (162-37) 1-Dallas (162-331) 1-Dallas (162-208) 1-Dallas (162-109) 1-Dallas (162-109) 1-Dallas (162-109) 1-Dallas (162-129) 1-Dallas (162-109) 1-Dallas (162-11) 1-Dallas (162-11) 1-Dallas (162-11) 1-Dallas (162-11) 1-Dallas (162-143)	JFK	Act 6 (4)	ET AL		0
On 11/26/62 Agents at his apartment. identify long distance telephone calls charged to his non-published phone number LA 8-8687. Each call, as previously determined through a check at the telephone company, was discussed with and on practically each occasion he stated that it was a friend or a business acquaintance and categorically denied any of these calls were involved in any gambling activities. He readily admitted that he was a 3-Bureau 1-Dallas (162-210) 1-Dallas (162-37) 1-Dallas (92-331) 1-Dallas (162-208) 1-Dallas (162-109) 1-Dallas (162-109) 1-Dallas (162-109) 1-Dallas (162-129) 1-Dallas (162-143) 1-Dallas (162-143) 1-Dallas (162-143) 1-Dallas (162-143) 1-Dallas (162-143) 1-Dallas (94-55A-SUB) RMB:sah (16) Sent M Per			IGA		
Agents at his apartment. identify long distance telephone calls charged to his non- published phone number LA 8-8687. Each call, as previously determined through a check at the telephone company, was discussed with and on practically each occasion he stated that It was a friend or a business acquaintance and categorically denied any of these calls were involved in any gambling activities. He readily admitted that he was a 3-Bureau 1-Dallas (162-210) 1-Dallas (162-37) 1-Dallas (162-37) 1-Dallas (162-208) 1-Dallas (162-109) 1-Dallas (162-109) 1-Dallas (162-129) 1-Dallas (162-129) 1-Dallas (162-143) 1-Dallas (162-1) 1-Dallas (162-143) 1-Dallas (162-143) 1-Dallas (94-55A-SUB) RMB:sah (16) Sent M Per	\		(DL 162-210	0) (
Agents at his apartment. identify long distance telephone calls charged to his non- published phone number LA 8-8687. Each call, as previously determined through a check at the telephone company, was discussed with and on practically each occasion he stated that It was a friend or a business acquaintance and categorically denied any of these calls were involved in any gambling activities. He readily admitted that he was a 3-Bureau 1-Dallas (162-210) 1-Dallas (162-37) 1-Dallas (162-37) 1-Dallas (162-208) 1-Dallas (162-109) 1-Dallas (162-109) 1-Dallas (162-129) 1-Dallas (162-129) 1-Dallas (162-143) 1-Dallas (162-1) 1-Dallas (162-143) 1-Dallas (162-143) 1-Dallas (94-55A-SUB) RMB:sah (16) Sent M Per	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	404	–	·	
identify long distance telephone calls charged to his non-published phone number LA 8-8687. Each call, as previously determined through a check at the telephone company, was discussed with and on practically each occasion he stated that it was a friend or a business acquaintance and categorically denied any of these calls were involved in any gambling activities. He readily admitted that he was a 3-Bureau 1-Dallas (162-210) 1-Dallas (162-37) 1-Dallas (162-96) 1-Dallas (162-96) 1-Dallas (162-109) 1-Dallas (162-109) 1-Dallas (162-129) 1-Dallas (162-129) 1-Dallas (162-143)		on 11/26/62			
published phone number LA 8-8687. Each call, as previously determined through a check at the telephone company, was discussed with and on practically each occasion he stated that it was a friend or a business acquaintance and categorically denied any of these calls were involved in any gambling activities. He readily admitted that he was a 3-Bureau 1-Dallas (162-210) 1-Dallas (162-37) 1-Dallas (162-37) 1-Dallas (162-96) 1-Dallas (162-109) 1-Dallas (162-109) 1-Dallas (162-109) 1-Dallas (162-129) 1-Dallas (137-946) 1-Dallas (162-143)					
determined through a check at the telephone company, was discussed with and on practically each occasion he stated that it was a friend or a business acquaintance and categorically denied any of these calls were involved in any gambling activities. He readily admitted that he was a 3-Bureau 1-Dallas (162-210) 1-Dallas (162-37) 1-Dallas (92-331) 1-Dallas (92-331) 1-Dallas (162-109) 1-Dallas (162-109) 1-Dallas (162-129) 1-Dallas (162-129) 1-Dallas (137-946) 1-Dallas (162-143) 1-Dallas (162-143) 1-Dallas (94-55A-SUB) RMB:sah (16) Sent M Per					
discussed with and on practically each occasion he stated that it was a friend or a business acquaintance and categorically denied any of these calls were involved in any gambling activities. He readily admitted that he was a 3-Bureau 1-Dallas (162-210) 1-Dallas (92-331) 1-Dallas (162-96) 1-Dallas (162-96) 1-Dallas (162-109) 1-Dallas (162-109) 1-Dallas (162-129) 1-Dallas (162-129) 1-Dallas (162-11) 1-Dallas (162-143)	determined	through a check at	the telephon	all, as previous	ı y
he stated that it was a friend or a business acquaintance and categorically denied any of these calls were involved in any gambling activities. He readily admitted that he was a 3-Bureau 1-Dallas (162-210) 1-Dallas (162-37) 1-Dallas (92-331) 1-Dallas (162-96) 1-Dallas (92-213) 1-Dallas (162-109) 1-Dallas (162-109) 1-Dallas (162-129) 1-Dallas (137-946) 1-Dallas (162-143) 1-Dallas (162-143) 1-Dallas (162-143) 1-Dallas (94-55A-SUB) RMB:sah (16) Sent M Per					n
and categorically denied any of these calls were involved in any gambling activities. He readily admitted that he was a 3-Bureau 1-Dallas (162-210) 1-Dallas (162-37) 1-Dallas (92-331) 1-Dallas (92-213) 1-Dallas (92-213) 1-Dallas (162-109) 1-Dallas (162-109) 1-Dallas (162-129) 1-Dallas (137-946) 1-Dallas (162-143) 1-Dallas (162-143) 1-Dallas (94-55A-SUB) RMB:sah (16) Sent M Per					
3-Bureau 1-Dallas (162-210) 1-Dallas (162-37) 1-Dallas (92-331) 1-Dallas (92-213) 1-Dallas (162-208) 1-Dallas (162-109) 1-Dallas (162-129) 1-Dallas (137-946) 1-Dallas (162-1) 1-Dallas (162-143) 1-Dallas (94-55A-SUB) RMB:sah (16) Sent M Per					
1-Dallas (162-210) 1-Dallas (162-37) 1-Dallas (92-331) 1-Dallas (162-96) 1-Dallas (162-208) 1-Dallas (162-109) 1-Dallas (162-129) 1-Dallas (137-946) 1-Dallas (162-1) 1-Dallas (162-143)					
1-Dallas (162-210) 1-Dallas (162-37) 1-Dallas (92-331) 1-Dallas (162-96) 1-Dallas (162-208) 1-Dallas (162-109) 1-Dallas (162-129) 1-Dallas (137-946) 1-Dallas (162-1) 1-Dallas (162-143)	* 25 t			φ8 1	19
1-Dallas (162-210) 1-Dallas (162-37) 1-Dallas (92-331) 1-Dallas (162-96) 1-Dallas (162-208) 1-Dallas (162-109) 1-Dallas (162-129) 1-Dallas (137-946) 1-Dallas (162-1) 1-Dallas (162-143)		a a second			
1-Dallas (162-37) 1-Dallas (92-331) 1-Dallas (162-96) 1-Dallas (92-213) 1-Dallas (162-208) 1-Dallas (162-109) 1-Dallas (162-129) 1-Dallas (92-249) 1-Dallas (162-1) 1-Dallas (162-1) 1-Dallas (162-143) 1-Dallas (94-55A-SUB) RMB:sah (16) Sent M Per			i i		
1-Dallas (92-331) 1-Dallas (162-96) 1-Dallas (92-213) 1-Dallas (162-208) 1-Dallas (162-109) 1-Dallas (162-129) 1-Dallas (192-249) 1-Dallas (162-1) 1-Dallas (162-1) 1-Dallas (162-1) 1-Dallas (162-143) 1-Dallas (94-55A-SUB) RMB:sah (16) Sent M Per			ž.		· /
1-Dallas (162-96) 1-Dallas (92-213) 1-Dallas (162-109) 1-Dallas (162-129) 1-Dallas (92-249) 1-Dallas (162-1) 1-Dallas (162-1) 1-Dallas (162-1) 1-Dallas (94-55A-SUB) RMB:sah (16) Approved: Sent M Per					,
1-Dallas (92-213) 1-Dallas (162-208) 1-Dallas (162-109) 1-Dallas (162-129) 1-Dallas (92-249) 1-Dallas (137-946) 1-Dallas (162-1) 1-Dallas (162-143) 1-Dallas (94-55A-SUB) RMB:sah (16) Approved: Sent M Per			- 4	6001	285
1-Dallas (162-1) 1-Dallas (162-143) 1-Dallas (94-55A-SUB) RMB:sah (16) Approved: 15 Sent M. Per			2.C. 2.1	0 - 9-1.	
1-Dallas (162-1) 1-Dallas (162-143) 1-Dallas (94-55A-SUB) RMB:sah (16) Approved: 15 Sent M. Per			REC		
1-Dallas (162-1) 1-Dallas (162-143) 1-Dallas (94-55A-SUB) RMB:sah (16) Approved: 15 Sent M. Per	The second secon	The state of the s	2.00		=
1-Dallas (162-143) 1-Dallas (94-55A-SUB) RMB:sah (16) Appreved: 15 Sent M. Per					
1-Dallas (162-1) 1-Dallas (162-143) 1-Dallas (94-55A-SUB) RMB:sah (16) Approved: 15 Sent M. Per			. 3	18 DEC 7 1962	
1-Dallas (162-1) 1-Dallas (162-143) 1-Dallas (94-55A-SUB) RMB:sah (16) Approved: 15 Sent M. Per		162-129)		18 DEC 7 1962	
1-Dallas (94-55A-SUB) RMB:sah (16) Appreved: 15 Sent M Per	1_Delles (162–129) 92–249)		18 DEC 7 1962	3
RMB:sah (16) Appreved: 150 Sent M Per		162-129) 92-249) 137-946) 162-1)	S. S	18 DEC 7 1962	
Approved: 130 Sent M Per	1-Dallas (162-129) 92-249) 137-946) 162-1) 162-143)	S. S	18 DEC 7 1962	
Approved: 130 Sent M Per	l-Dallas (1 1-Dallas (9	162-129) 92-249) 137-946) 162-1) 162-143)	S. S.	18 DEC 7 1962	
Approved: /3 Sent M Per	l-Dallas (; l-Dallas (; RMB:sah	162-129) 92-249) 137-946) 162-1) 162-143)	S. S.	1e DEC 7 1962	
Approved: Sent M Per Sent M Per	l-Dallas (; l-Dallas (; RMB:sah (16)	162-129) 92-249) 137-946) 162-1) 162-143)		18 DEC 7 1962	
A DEC 19 1869 Agent in Charge	l-Dallas (; l-Dallas (; RMB:sah (16)	162-129) 92-249) 137-946) 162-1) 162-143)		18 DEC 7 1962	
	l-Dallas (; l-Dallas (; RMB:sah (16)	162-129) 92-249) 137-946) 162-1) 162-143)			

bookmaker but denied that he operated out of his apartment. He stated he obtained bets from people he knew in Dallas but would not state how the bets were placed with him. He did state he spent considerable time at the Bachelor JFK Act 6 (4) Club, 14042 Main Street, Dallas.

admitted that he was well acquainted with Dallas hoodlum RUSSELL DOUGLAS MATTHEWS (DL 92-213). He stated MATTHEWS hangs out at a bar at 709 Jackson Street denied that he had been in contact in Dallas. or done any business with known Dallas bookmakers JOHN ELI STONE (DL 162-37), SHERMAN FRANKLIN LITTLE (DL 162-129) and HENRY CLINTON WINFREY (DL 162-96). furnished the residence telephone number for MATTHEWS as LA 6-6187. When asked where he obtained his line for use in his bookmaking activities and after much discussion stated he obtained the line from known Dallas bookmaker PHILIP S. BOSCO (DL 92-331). stated he would. at any time, sit down and talk to Bureau Agents about his knowledge of gambling activities in Dallas as he claimed he was probably the one person in the area who was acquainted with all bookmakers and gamblers. He stated, however, that he would not furnish current information about the activities of other bookmakers as he felt this would make him a "stool pigeon".

On 11/27/62 was reinterviewed by Bureau Agents at which time he furnished his own personal history of gambling activities in the Dallas area. He identified JOHN ELI STONE as the biggest operating bookmaker in the Dallas area at the present time stating that STONE got this position when Dallas was closed down in the early fifties and all bookmakers went out of business except STONE. He identified LITTLE, BOSCO, WINFREY and ALBERT MEADOWS (DL 162-109) as probably the most active bookmakers in the Dallas area today.

The Dallas Office intends to continue contacts with with a view toward development of him as a possible informant or source of information.

2

62-9-12-383

DL 94-55A-SUB

At a time when the interview was about to be terminated MATTHEWS appeared at apartment accompanied by his daughter. MATTHEWS appeared very surprised to find FBI Agents at the apartment.

The information obtained from was orally furnished to Lt. JACK REVILL, Intelligence Section, Dallas Police Department and Deputy Sheriff BOB MORGAN, Intelligence Section, Dallas Sheriff's Office on 11/28/62.

JFK Act 6 (4)

RE: WILLIAM HOWARD COOPER IGA (DL 162-208)

On 11/27/62 COOPER was interviewed by Bureau Agents at his club located at 2138 Fort Worth Avenue, Dallas. It was observed by the Agents that this is a small private club with a bar, dance floor and pool tables. COOPER appeared to be very nervous throughout the interview. stated that only members are allowed in the club although it was noted that the Bureau Agents entered without being challenged or asked for identification. COOPER claimed membership cost \$10 per year. He provided a book containing the names of his members which was checked by the interviewing Agents, however, no familiar names were noted. COOPER readily admitted he had a prior arrest record in Houston, Texas for operating a policy racket but vehemently denied that since coming to Dallas he has been involved in any gambling activity. He admitted a close association with Houston hoodlum TONY VALONE. He stated he moved to Dallas about four or five years ago and has been operating his present club during that time. He stated he formerly had a club in Grand Prairie, Texas, called the Canopy but had to sell it because he was losing money.

RE: VIRGIL JOHN ETCHIESON, aka.
AR
(DL 92-249)

On 11/28/62 PCI (DL 137-946) advised that immediately following ETCHIESON's recent release from the

DL 94-55A-SUB

county jail where he had spent considerable time awaiting a decision on his appeal, ETCHIESON and his girl friend, ELIZABETH ANN HEDLUND came to PCI and pawned about \$1,100 worth of jewelry. PCI stated that while ETCHIESON was in jail HEDLUND and another of his prostitutes PAULA CAROL CLARK had obtained frequent loans from PCI to pay ETCHIESON's attorneys. PCI stated that in total there was approximately \$2,100 worth of jewelry pawned but all of it was legitimate as he had had it checked by the Dallas Police Department.

The above information was orally furnished to Lt. REVILL and Deputy MORGAN on 11/28/62.

RE: ALBERT MEADOWS, aka. IGA (DL 162-109)

On 11/26/62 Bureau Agents went to the residence of LUKE HUGHES, Mesquite, Texas. (Previous investigation had determined that numerous phone calls have been made from MEADOWS' place of operation in Arlington, Texas to the telephone listed to HUGHES). HUGHES was not home but his wife advised that she was not acquainted with the subject or any of his associates. She claimed she had no knowledge concerning the telephone calls and then advised that her husband obtained his income through oil leases and through playing golf at the Tennison Golf Course, Dallas. (Previous investigation had ascertained that numerous Dallas gamblers hang out at this golf course and make an income through gambling on golf matches.)

On 11/28/62 golf professional at Tennison and a confidential source of the Dallas Office (protect identity) identified HUGHES as a "small time bettor" who plays golf frequently at Tennison's with known gambler CLARK "ACE" DARNELL.

On 11/27/62 HUGHES contacted the Dallas Office and advised he would be available for an interview by FBI Agents at the office of his attorney. When told that

DL 94-55A-SUB

On 11/30/62, LEROY PARRAMORE, Special Agent, Intelligence Section, IRS, Amarillo, Texas, advised tha	<u>t. </u>
	/JFK Law 11(a)
	/

TREVIEWED BY FUFF TASK FORCE

ON 19198 dat

L. WILLIAMSE IN PART

DI TOTAL DENIAL

7

62.9-12-383