This document is made available through the declassification efforts and research of John Greenewald, Jr., creator of:

## The Black Vault



The Black Vault is the largest online Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) document clearinghouse in the world. The research efforts here are responsible for the declassification of hundreds of thousands of pages released by the U.S. Government & Military.

**Discover the Truth at: http://www.theblackvault.com** 

# File #; DO NOT DESTROY

FOIPA# NIA

105-10-995

# Serial Scope:

1thru3

NRØ46 WA CODE 8:48PM NITEL 3-24-75 DEB TO ALL SACS FROM DIRECTOR

SENATE SELECT COMMITTEE ON INTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES

SENATOR FRANK CHURCH, CHAIRMAN OF THE SENATE SELECT
COMMITTEE TO STUDY GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS WITH RESPECT TO
INTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES HAS MADE AN INITIAL REQUEST FOR INFORMATION
FROM THE FBI. AMONG THE ITEMS REQUESTED IS A BREAKDOWN OF
FIELD AGENT PERSONNEL ASSIGNED TO INTERNAL SECURITY AND
COU.NTERINTELLIGENCE MATTERS.

ACCORDINGLY, WITHIN FOUR EIGHT HOURS EACH SAC SHOULD SUTEL

TO FBIHQ, ATTENTION: BUDGET AND ACCOUNTING SECTION, SETTING FORTH

SEPARATELY THE NUMBER OF SACS, ASACS, SUPERVISORS AND AGENTS ASSIGNED

TO INTERNAL SECURITY AND COUNTERINTELLIGENCE MATTERS. PERCENTAGES

OF AN AGENTS TIME, WHEN NOT ASSIGNED FULL-TIME TO THESE ACTIVITIES,

SHOULD BE USED IF APPROPRIATE, PARTICULARLY IN THE SUPERVISORY

CATEGORIES. THIS INFORMATION SHOULD BE BROKEN DOWN SEPARATELY

BETWEEN INTERNAL SECURITY AND COUNTERINTELLIGENCE. YOUR RESPONSE SHOULD

BE LIMITED TO AGENT PERSONNEL ONLY.

END

MAR & 19/5

Hvinstvory In

W 54931 Dogld: 32989479 Page 2

#### FBI

				Date: MARCI	H 25, 1	.975	
<b>Fransmi</b>	t the following in	CODED	)				
	TELETYPE	NITEL	Type in	plaintext or code	e <i>)</i>		i mos
Via		• <del>************************************</del>	^	(Priority)		1	HODE
7	TO DIRECTOR, F	 'BI					
	·	BUDGET AND	ACCOI	INTING SE	CTTON		
	FROM COLUMBIA						
	SENATE SELECT	COMMITTEE ON	I INT	ELLIGENCE	ACTIVI	TIES.	
	RE BUREAU	NITEL TO AL	L SAC	CS, MARCH	24, 19	75.	
	COLUMBIA	PRESENTLY HA	S ZEI	RO AGENTS	ASSIGN	ED COUNT	ER
	INTELLIGENCE M	ATTERS. IN	INTE	RNAL SECU	RITY MA	TTERS CO	LUMBIA
	HAS THE FOLLOW	ING NUMBER O	F AG	ENTS AND	SUPERVI	SORS ASS	IGNED
	THE FOLLOWING	PERCENTAGES	OF T	IME:			
	AGENTS		1 -	75%, 1 -	30%, 3	- 20%,	1 - 10%,
			3 -	5% OR LE	SS		
	SUPERVISO	RS	1 -	20%			
	END.						
	① - COLUMBIA						
	JWA:spr						
	(1) pp						
						105-	795-2
		,				Searched Serialized Indexed	<i>X</i> —
						Filled	1

overnment Printing Office: 1972 — 455-574

Approved: Special Agent in Charge
NW 54931 DocId:32989479 Page 3

1			البد			
Reutin	ng Slip Rev. 12-17-7	(Copie	ffice	s Checked)		
	Rev. 12-17-7 SAC: Ibany	Houston  Indianapolis  Jackson Jacksonvill  Kansas City  Kansas Vegas  Las Vegas  Minami  Milwaukee  Minneapolis  Mobile  New Haven  New Haven  New York City  Norfolk  795  SELECT CO  FELLIGENCE	S C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C	klahoma City maha hiladelphia hocnix ittsburgh ortland ichmond hocramento Louis alt Lake City on Antonio on Diego on Francisco on Juan hocattle oringfield ompa ashington Fie uantico	TO LEGAT:  Beirul  Beirul  Benn  Bonn  Buenos A  Caracas  Hong Kon  London  Madrid  Manila  Mexico C  Ottawa  Paris  Rome  Singapore  Tel Aviv  Tokyo  1d	Aires ng City e
TI ST da	ne enclosed ources, [] neclosed are ited	Retention on optional is for your infon paraphrase contected pages ed for you e by Mr. V Cover-Up"	action mation. If we not so that in the contract of the contra	of SAormation of Safire	is a copy entitled in the	y of "Mr.
Enc. Bufile Urfile	:	AS d:32989479	ĤCĴ <sup>₹</sup> Page 4	/05 - ENV. JRD (C.M. 11730 T	795-3 2001-0-0	

### Mr. Church' Cover-Up

#### By William Safire

WASHINGON, Nov. 19—On Oct. 10, 1963, the then-Attorney General of the United States put his personal signature on a document that launched and legitimatized one of the most horrendous abuses of Federal police power in this century.

In Senator Frank Church's subcommittee hearing room this week, the authorized wiretapping and subsequent unauthorized bugging and attempted blackmailing of Martin Luther King Jr. is being gingerly examined, with the "investigation" conducted in such a way as not to unduly embarrass officials of the Kennedy or Johnson Administrations.

With great care, the committee has focused on the F.B.I. Yesterday, when the committee counsel first set forth the result of shuffling through press clips, it seemed as if no Justice Department had existed in 1962; today, an F.B.I. witness pointed out that it was Robert Kennedy who authorized the wiretap of Dr. King, and that "the President of the United States and the Attorney General specifically discussed their concern of Communist influence with Dr. King."

But the Church committee showed no zest for getting further to the Kennedy root of this precedent to Watergate eavesdropping. If Senator Church were willing to let the chips fall where they may, he would call some knowledgeable witnesses into the glare of the camera lights and ask them some questions that have gone unasked for thirteen years.

thirteen years.

For example, he could call Nicholas Katzenbach, Attorney General Kennedy's deputy and successor, and ask what he knows of the Kennedy decision to witetap Dr. King. Who at Justice concurred in the recommendation? How does the F.B.I. know the President was consulted or informed?

After Mr. Katzenbach assumed office, and the wiretapping continued, he was told by angry newsmen that the F.B.I. was leaking scurrilous information about Dr. King. Why did he wait for four months, and for a thousand telephonic interceptions, to discontinue the officially approved tap?

Of course, this sort of testimony would erode Senator Church's political base. That is why we do not see former Assistant F.B.I. director Cartha (Deke) Deloach, Lyndon Johnson's personal contact with the F.B.I. in the witness chair. What did President Johnson know about the characterassassination plot and when did he know it? What conversations took place between Mr. Deloach and President Johnson on the tapping of Dr. King, or about the use of the F.B.I. in any other intrusions into the lives of 12-11/11/21\_figures?

The committee is not asking embarrassing questions even when answers are readily available. A couple of weeks ago, at an open hearing, an F.B.I. man inadvertently started to blurt out an episode about newsmen who were weritapping in 1962 with the apparent knowledge of Attorney General Kennedy. The too-willing with ness was promptly shooshed into siglence, and told that such information would be developed only in executive session. Nobody raised an eyebrow.

That pattern of containment by the-Church committee is vividly shown by the handling of the buggings at the 1964 Republican and Democratic con-

#### **ESSAY**

ventions which were ordered by Lyndon Johnson. Such invasions of political headquarters were worse than the crime committed at Watergate, since they involved the use of the F.B.I., but the Church investigators seem to be determined not to probe too deeply.

If F.B.I. documents say that reports were made to specific Johnson aides, why are those men not given the same opportunity to publicly tell their story so avidly given the next President's men? If Lyndon Johnson committed this impeachable high crime of using the F.B.I. to spy on political opponents, who can be brought forward to tell us all about it?

But that would cause embarrassment to Democrats, and Senator Church wants to embarrass professional employees of investigatory agencies only. A new sense of Congressional decorum exists, far from the sense of outrage expressed in the Senate Watergate committee's hearing room. When it is revealed that the management of NBC News gave press credentials to L.B.J.'s spies at the 1964 convention, everybody blushes demurely—and nobody demands to know which network executive made what decision under what pressure.

I have been haranguing patient readers for years about the double standard applied to Democratic and Republican political crimes, and had hoped the day would come when the hardball precedents set by the Kennedy and Johnson men would be laid before the public in damning detail.

Obviously, Democrat Frank Church is not the man to do it. His jowlshaking indignation is all too selective; the trail of high-level responsibility for the crimes committed against Dr. King and others is evidently going to be allowed to cool.

Pity. You'd think that after all the nation has been through in the past few years, our political leaders would have learned that the one thing that brings you down is the act of covering up.

THE NEW YORK TIMES
THURSDAY, NOVEMBER 20th, 1975
PAGE C-41