

This document is made available through the declassification efforts
and research of John Greenewald, Jr., creator of:

The Black Vault



The Black Vault is the largest online Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) document clearinghouse in the world. The research efforts here are responsible for the declassification of hundreds of thousands of pages released by the U.S. Government & Military.

Discover the Truth at: **<http://www.theblackvault.com>**

File #:

62-HQ-116395

Serial Scope:

1066-1079x, 1081, 1082-1090, 1091-1100

Released under the John F. Kennedy Assassination Records Collection Act of 1992 (44 USC 2107 Note). Case#:NW 55079 Date: 11-27-2017



OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY ATTORNEY GENERAL
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20530

*p/7
Tm*

November 24, 1975

TO: John A. Mintz, Assistant Director
Legal Counsel Division
Federal Bureau of Investigation

Mika
FROM: Michael E. Shaheen, Jr.
Special Counsel for Intelligence
Coordination

10
SUBJECT: Senate Select Committee Request

Attached is a letter from the Senate Select Committee requesting information on informants. Since the letter indicates that Mr. Paul Daly was copied with the same request, we assume that an appropriate response is under preparation.

AS

cc: Paul Daly

EX 103

REC-43

62-116395-1100

25 NOV 28 1975

ENCLOSURE

ALL FBI INFORMATION CONTAINED
HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED
DATE 11/10/00 BY SP2 am/lmf
MDR-16

5- *[Signature]*

62-116395



79 DEC 2 1975

FRANK CHURCH, IDAHO, CHAIRMAN
JOHN G. TOWER, TEXAS, VICE CHAIRMAN

PHILIP A. HART, MICH.
WALTER F. MONDALL, MINN.
WALTER D. HUDDLESTON, KY.
ROBERT MORGAN, N.C.
GARY HART, COLO.

HOWARD H. BAKER, JR., TENN.
BARRY GOLDWATER, ARIZ.
CHARLES MC C. MATHIAS, JR., MD.
RICHARD S. SCHWEIKER, PA.

WILLIAM G. MILLER, STAFF DIRECTOR
FREDERICK A. O. SCHWARTZ, JR., CHIEF COUNSEL
CURTIS R. SMOTHERS, MINORITY COUNSEL

United States Senate

SELECT COMMITTEE TO
STUDY GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS WITH
RESPECT TO INTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES

(PURSUANT TO S. RES. 21, 94TH CONGRESS)

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20510

November 19, 1975


Michael E. Shaheen, Esq.
Special Counsel for Intelligence Coordination
Office of the Deputy Attorney General
U. S. Department of Justice
Washington, D.C. 20530

Dear Mike:

In connection with our investigation of the FBI's use of informants in the intelligence area and our public hearings on December 2 on this subject, enclosed is a request for information on the number of such informants.

In view of the short time remaining before our public hearing on December 2, we request that this information be supplied as soon as possible, and no later than November 24, 1975.


Sincerely,



John Elliff
Director
Domestic Intelligence
Task Force

Enclosure

cc: Paul V. Daly
Office of Congressional Affairs
Federal Bureau of Investigation



ALL FBI INFORMATION CONTAINED
HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED
DATE 11/1/2000 BY SP3 AM/mc
mdr-16 ENCLOSURE

62-116395-1100

Request for Information

The following information is requested:

1. The number of Internal Security Informants on or about June 30, 1975
2. The numbers contained in the following sentence which appears on page 94 of the Report of the inspection of the Domestic Intelligence Division, August 17-September 9, 1971 (these numbers were deleted from the copy of the report produced to the Committee)

"As of July 31, 1971, date of latest monthly tabulation, the Security Informant Unit handled a total of (deleted) security informants and (deleted) potential security informants, a total of (deleted)."

3. The numbers contained in the following sentences which appear on page 152 of the Report of the inspection of the Domestic Intelligence Division, August 17-September 9, 1971 (these numbers were deleted from the copy of the report produced to the Committee)

"As of 7/31/71 we are operating a total of (deleted) extremist informants compared with a total of (deleted) at the time of the last inspection...Concerning the above informants, (deleted) informants report on black extremist organizations and (deleted) informants report on white extremist organizations such as the Klan."

UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

Memorandum

TO : Mr. J. B. Adams

DATE: 11/12/75

FROM : Legal Counsel

SUBJECT: SENATE SELECT COMMITTEE

Assoc. Dir. _____
Dep. AD Adm. _____
Dep. AD Inv. _____
Asst. Dir. _____
Admin. _____
Comp. Syst. _____
Ext. Affairs _____
Files & Com. _____
Gen. Inv. _____
Ident. _____
Inspection _____
Intell. _____
Laboratory _____
Legal Coun. _____
Plan. & Eval. _____
Spec. Inv. _____
Training _____
Telephone Rm. _____
Director Sec'y _____

At 11:15 a.m. on November 12, 1975, Cartha DeLoach, former Assistant to the Director, telephonically advised me that he was interviewed under oath by Mike Epstein and David Boshong of the Senate Select Committee on November 11, 1975. He advised that he was represented by Charles McNellis, an attorney in the Washington law firm of Edward Morgan. The testimony was recorded by a stenographer and principally dealt with general guidelines Mr. DeLoach used in briefing various Attorneys General concerning FBI work. They also inquired concerning the Counterintelligence Program in that they asked him if he had ever briefed Ramsey Clark regarding the Counterintelligence Program. Mr. DeLoach described that portion of the interview as a "political tug of war" in which Epstein attempted to have him say that Ramsey Clark had not been briefed, and Boshong attempted to have him say that Ramsey Clark had been briefed.

Mr. DeLoach said that he testified that he had briefed Ramsey Clark regarding the Counterintelligence Program and also briefed Clark regarding wiretaps and microphones at Clark's specific request. Mr. DeLoach was asked whether he had written a memorandum regarding that briefing, but he could not recall specifically whether the memorandum was prepared. He did say that it was the practice for a memorandum to be prepared recounting conversations with Department officials. The Committee representatives then advised Mr. DeLoach that they had asked for such documentation from the Bureau, but a memorandum indicating DeLoach had briefed Ramsey Clark regarding the Counterintelligence Program was not received among the documents furnished by the Bureau. Mr. DeLoach requested me to advise the Bureau that in view of such a memorandum can be located, it should be forwarded to the Committee.

- 1 - Personnel file Cartha DeLoach
- 1 - Mr. Wannall
- 1 - Mr. Cregar
- 1 - Mr. Hotis (Attn: Mr. Daly)
- 1 - Mr. Mintz

JAM:mfd

2 1975

EX 103 REC-4362 - 116395-1099
25 NOV 28 1975

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED

DATE 11/7/2000 BY SP2 RUM/mf

Buy U.S. Savings Bonds Regularly on the Payroll Savings Plan

UNRECORDED COPY FILED IN 62-116009-

at 5:20 pm 11/13/75 PVDaly adv. that Epstein said that Clark had been interviewed 11/11/75 has been cancelled.

Memorandum to Mr. Adams
Re: Senate Select Committee

Mr. DeLoach was asked whether anyone from the Bureau had alerted him to the possibility that he would be asked concerning briefing Ramsey Clark and whether anyone had suggested to him what his response should be. He denied that such was the case, but did say that he had received a telephone call from Assistant Director Wannall asking him the question whether DeLoach had briefed any Attorneys General regarding the Counterintelligence Program.

Mr. DeLoach was requested by the Committee to return on Tuesday, November 18, 1975, at 2:30 p.m. for additional interview at which time the subject will be the Southern Christian Leadership Conference and Martin Luther King.

Mr. DeLoach said that a Mr. Wallach of the Committee's staff described a memorandum which he claimed that DeLoach had prepared regarding Jack Anderson and the Warren Commission. Mr. DeLoach said that he could not recall such a memorandum.

Mr. DeLoach requested that the Bureau make available a Bureau representative for consultation at 2:30 p.m. on November 18, 1975. Mr. DeLoach said he made this request because he was uncertain as to the scope of the testimony he might be asked to give regarding Martin Luther King. In response to his question, I told Mr. DeLoach that information concerning certain confidential sources involved in the origination of the investigation of Martin Luther King has not been discussed with the Committee staff, but limited discussion has been had with Chairman Frank Church. I told Mr. DeLoach that inquiries concerning the informants involved in the basic information in that case should not be answered due to the sensitivity of the information.

RECOMMENDATIONS:

1. That the Intelligence Division determine whether there is a memorandum describing a briefing of Ramsey Clark by Mr. DeLoach concerning the Counterintelligence Program.

*Rec'd. 1/8/76 handled
by 11/17/75 memo noted
inf. 1. [Signature]*

Memorandum to Mr. Adams
Re: Senate Select Committee

RECOMMENDATIONS (CONT'D)

2. That the above-described memorandum be made available to the Committee with such excisions as may be necessary.

3. In response to the specific request of Mr. DeLoach, a Bureau representative familiar with the King matter should be available for consultation at the time of Mr. DeLoach's testimony on November 18, 1975.

[Handwritten signature]

*WRL
HBT*

*JB
RM*

[Handwritten signature]

*Discussion had w/ DeLoach
his asking (phone) 11/25/75*



OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY ATTORNEY GENERAL
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20530

NOV 24 1975

TO: John A. Mintz, Assistant Director
Legal Counsel Division
Federal Bureau of Investigation

FROM: Michael E. Shaheen, Jr.
Special Counsel for Intelligence
Coordination

SUBJECT: Senate Select Committee Request

Attached is a letter from the Senate Select Committee requesting delivery of materials pertaining to the authorization and purpose of certain listed electronic surveillances. Please prepare a response to this request.

cc: Paul Daly

5 JAN 13 1976

ALL FBI INFORMATION CONTAINED
HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED
DATE 11/7/00 BY SP2 Alm/jmf

MOR-16

FRANK CHURCH, IDAHO, CHAIRMAN
JOHN G. TOWEN, TEXAS, VICE CHAIRMAN

PHILIP A. HART, MICH.
WALTER F. MONDALE, MINN.
WALTER D. HUDDLESTON, KY.
ROBERT MORGAN, N.C.
GARY HART, COLO.

HOWARD H. BAKER, JR., TENN.
BARRY GOLDWATER, ARIZ.
CHARLES MCC. MATHIAS, JR., MD.
RICHARD S. SCHWEIKER, PA.

WILLIAM G. MILLER, STAFF DIRECTOR
FREDERICK A. O. SCHWARZ, JR., CHIEF COUNSEL
CURTIS R. SMOTHERS, MINORITY COUNSEL

United States Senate

SELECT COMMITTEE TO
STUDY GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS WITH
RESPECT TO INTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES

(PURSUANT TO S. RES. 21, 94TH CONGRESS)

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20510

November 21, 1975


Michael E. Shaheen, Esq.
Office of the Deputy Attorney General
U. S. Department of Justice
Washington, D.C. 20530

Dear Mike:

The Senate Select Committee requests delivery of materials pertaining to the authorization and purpose of the electronic surveillances conducted by the FBI on the attached list. These surveillances are described according to the terms used in the summary charts I examined recently in your office.


The Committee requests delivery of these materials by Friday, November 28, 1975.

Sincerely,



John T. Elliff
Director

Domestic Intelligence Task Force



ALL FBI INFORMATION CONTAINED
HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED
DATE 11/17/00 BY SP2 AM/mf

MDR-16

ENCLOSURE

62-116395-1098X

LIST OF ELECTRONIC SURVEILLANCES

Telephone Surveillances (all targets in each category)

1. Coverage of Classified Information Leak (for the years 1961-1963 and 1965).
2. Leader of Racist Organization (1963-1964)
3. Civil Rights Functionary (1963-1965)
4. Black Separatist Group Functionary (1960-1966)
5. Coverage Requested by Warren Commission (1964)
6. Racial Extremist Group Member (1964-1965, 1971-1972)
7. Associate of SCLC Functionaries (1964)
8. Black Extremist Leader (1965, 1969-1970, 1972)
9. Militant Black Extremist Organization (1965, 1969-1972)
10. New Left Oriented Campus Group (1965-1966, 1969-1970)
11. Anti-Vietnam War Group (1969)
12. Racial Extremist Group (1970-1972)
13. Black Extremist Group Affiliate (1970)
14. New Left Oriented Campus Group Faction (1970-1971)
15. New Left Oriented Campus Group Contact (1970)
16. New Left Activist (1971)
17. Black Extremist (1971)
18. Militant Black Extremist Group Member (1971-1972)
19. Militant Black Extremist Group Functionaries (1971-1972)
20. Domestic Protest Group (1971)
21. Coverage of Meeting of Militant Group (1971)
22. Internal Security Dominican Republic (1961-1962)

23. Marxist-Leninist Group Affiliate (1966, 1969)
24. Marxist-Leninist Group Functionary (1966)
25. Puerto Rican Independence Group (1966-1967)
26. Puerto Rican Independence Group Leader (1966-1967)
27. Communist Party Member (1966-1968)
28. Headquarters Basic Revolutionary Group (1966-1974)
29. Communist Party Influenced Group (1966)
30. Pro-Arab Group (1967)

Microphone Surveillances (all targets in each category)

1. Black Separatist Group (1960-1965)
2. Black Separatist Group Functionary (1961-1965)
3. Racist Organization (1962)
4. Civil Rights Functionary (1964-1965)
5. Coverage at Request of Warren Commission (1964)
6. Associates of Civil Rights Functionary (1965)
7. New Left Oriented Campus Group (1969)
8. Black Extremist Organization (1970)
9. Black Extremist Group Functionary (1970-1972)

FBI



OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY ATTORNEY GENERAL
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20530

November 24, 1975

TO: John A. Mintz, Assistant Director
Legal Counsel Division
Federal Bureau of Investigation

FROM: Michael E. Shaheen, Jr.
Special Counsel for Intelligence
Coordination

SUBJECT: Senate Select Committee Request

Attached is a Senate Select Committee letter requesting delivery of certain documents pertaining to Mary Jo Cook and Gary Thomas Rowe. Please prepare an appropriate response.

ST 115

cc: Paul Daly

REC-30

62-116395-1098

15 NOV 26 1975

1- ENCLOSURE

LEGAL COUNSEL

ALL FBI INFORMATION CONTAINED
HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED
DATE 11/1/00 BY SP2 am/vmf
MAR-16

79 DEC 3 1975

62-116395



November 24, 1975

TO: John A. Mintz, Assistant Director
Legal Counsel Division
Federal Bureau of Investigation

FROM: Michael E. Shaheen, Jr.
Special Counsel for Intelligence
Coordination

SUBJECT: Senate Select Committee Request

Attached is a Senate Select Committee letter requesting delivery of certain documents pertaining to Mary Jo Cook and Gary Thomas Rowe. Please prepare an appropriate response.

cc: Paul Daly

ALL FBI INFORMATION CONTAINED
HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED
DATE 11/17/00 BY SP2RM/mf

FRANK CHURCH, IDAHO, CHAIRMAN
JOHN G. TOWER, TEXAS, VICE CHAIRMAN
PHILIP A. HART, MICH.
WALTER F. MONDALE, MINN.
WALTER D. HUDDLESTON, KY.
ROBERT MORGAN, N.C.
GARY HART, COLO.
HOWARD H. BAKER, JR.
BARRY GOLDWATER, ARIZ.
CHARLES MCC. MATHIAS, JR., MD.
RICHARD S. SCHWEIKER, PA.

WILLIAM G. MILLER, STAFF DIRECTOR
FREDERICK A. O. SCHWARZ, JR., CHIEF COUNSEL
CURTIS R. SMOTHERS, MINORITY COUNSEL

United States Senate

SELECT COMMITTEE TO
STUDY GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS WITH
RESPECT TO INTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES

(PURSUANT TO S. RES. 21, 94TH CONGRESS)

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20510


November 24, 1975

Michael E. Shaheen, Esq.
Special Counsel for Intelligence Coordination
Office of the Deputy Attorney General
U.S. Department of Justice
Washington, D.C. 20530

Dear Mike:

With respect to the documents pertaining to
Mary Jo Cook and Gary Thomas Rowe which the Bureau
has made available for review in response to our
request, we request that the documents specified
by our staff members be delivered to the Committee.

Sincerely,



John Elliff
Director
Domestic Intelligence
Task Force

cc: Paul V. Daly
Office of Congressional Affairs
Federal Bureau of Investigation

ALL FBI INFORMATION CONTAINED
HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED
DATE 11/1/00 BY SP8BAM/umf
MDR-16

ENCLOSURE

62-116395-1098



OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY ATTORNEY GENERAL
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20530

FBI
[Handwritten signature]

November 24, 1975

TO: John A. Mintz, Assistant Director
Legal Counsel Division
Federal Bureau of Investigation

Mika

FROM: Michael E. Shaheen, Jr.
Special Counsel for Intelligence
Coordination

SUBJECT: Senate Select Committee Request

F-8

Attached is a letter from the Senate Select Committee with a copy to Mr. Cregar of the Bureau indicated. Please prepare an appropriate response.

[Handwritten signature]

cc: Paul Daly

ST 115

REC-30

62-116395-1097

1- ENCLOSURE

15 NOV 26 1975



1-ADHOC
11-25-75
79 DEC

ALL FBI INFORMATION CONTAINED
HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED
DATE 11/7/2008 BY SP2 BSM/jmf
MDR-16

62-116395

LEGAL COUNSEL

5- *[Handwritten signature]*

November 24, 1975

TO: John A. Mintz, Assistant Director
Legal Counsel Division
Federal Bureau of Investigation

FROM: Michael E. Shaheen, Jr.
Special Counsel for Intelligence
Coordination

SUBJECT: Senate Select Committee Request

Attached is a letter from the Senate Select Committee with a copy to Mr. Cregar of the Bureau indicated. Please prepare an appropriate response.

cc: Paul Daly

ALL FBI INFORMATION CONTAINED
HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED
DATE 11/7/00 BY SP2 Bim/lmf
MOR-16

FRANK CHURCH, IDAHO, CHAIRMAN
JOHN G. TOWER, TEXAS, VICE CHAIRMAN

PHILIP A. HART, MICH. HOWARD H. BAKER, JR., TENN.
WALTER F. MONDALE, MINN. BARRY GOLDWATER, ARIZ.
WALTER D. HUDDLESTON, KY. CHARLES MC C. MATHIAS, JR., MD.
ROBERT MORGAN, N.C. RICHARD S. SCHWEIKER, PA.
GARY HART, COLO.

WILLIAM G. MILLER, STAFF DIRECTOR
FREDERICK A. O. SCHWARZ, JR., CHIEF COUNSEL
CURTIS R. SMOTHERS, MINORITY COUNSEL

United States Senate

SELECT COMMITTEE TO
STUDY GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS WITH
RESPECT TO INTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES

(PURSUANT TO S. RES. 21, 94TH CONGRESS)

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20510

November 21, 1975

Michael E. Shaheen, Jr., Esq.
Office of Deputy Attorney General
U.S. Department of Justice
Washington, D.C. 20530

Dear Mike:

The Select Committee requests delivery on or before Tuesday, November 25, 1975, of the following materials which staff members have reviewed at the Bureau:

- (1) All documents produced for access in response to Items 1, 2, 4 and 5 of the SSC's October 31, 1975 document request;
- (2) all documents produced for access in response to Items 4 and 5 of the SSC's November 6, 1975 document request.

Sincerely yours,



Paul G. Wallach
Counsel

cc: William O. Cregar



ALL FBI INFORMATION CONTAINED
HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED
DATE 11/10/00 BY SP4 ALM/JMC

MDR-16

62-116395-1097

ENCLOSURE



OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY ATTORNEY GENERAL
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20530

FBI

[Handwritten signature]

NOV 24 1975

TO: John A. Mintz, Assistant Director
Legal Counsel Division
Federal Bureau of Investigation

FROM: Michael E. Shaheen, Jr.
Special Counsel for Intelligence
Coordination

SUBJECT: Senate Select Committee Request

Attached is a letter from the Senate Select Committee requesting delivery of materials pertaining to the authorization and purpose of certain listed electronic surveillances. Please prepare a response to this request.

[Handwritten signature]

cc: Paul Daly

2-ENCLOSURE

ST 115

REC-30 62-116395-1096

15 NOV 26 1975

ALL FBI INFORMATION CONTAINED
HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED
DATE 11/7/00 BY SP8 BLM/jmf
MOR-16

LEGAL COUNSEL

5-Enf

62-116395

79 DEC 3 1975

NOV 24 1975

TO: John A. Mintz, Assistant Director
Legal Counsel Division
Federal Bureau of Investigation

FROM: Michael E. Shaheen, Jr.
Special Counsel for Intelligence
Coordination

SUBJECT: Senate Select Committee Request

Attached is a letter from the Senate Select Committee requesting delivery of materials pertaining to the authorization and purpose of certain listed electronic surveillances. Please prepare a response to this request.

cc: Paul Daly

ALL FBI INFORMATION CONTAINED
HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED
DATE 11/7/00 BY SP2 RUM/lmf
MDR-16

FRANK CHURCH, IDAHO, CHAIRMAN

JOHN G. TOWER, TEXAS, VICE CHAIRMAN

PHILIP A. HART, MICH.

WALTER F. MONDALE, MINN.

WALTER D. HUDDLESTON, KY.

ROBERT MORGAN, N.C.

GARY HART, COLO.

HOWARD H. BAKER, JR., TENN.

BARRY GOLDWATER, ARIZ.

CHARLES MC C. MATHIAS, JR., MD.

RICHARD S. SCHWEIKER, PA.

WILLIAM G. MILLER, STAFF DIRECTOR

FREDERICK A. O. SCHWARZ, JR., CHIEF COUNSEL

CURTIS R. SMOTHERS, MINORITY COUNSEL

United States Senate

SELECT COMMITTEE TO
STUDY GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS WITH
RESPECT TO INTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES

(PURSUANT TO S. RES. 21, 94TH CONGRESS)

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20510

November 21, 1975

Michael E. Shaheen, Esq.
Office of the Deputy Attorney General
U. S. Department of Justice
Washington, D.C. 20530

Dear Mike:


The Senate Select Committee requests delivery of materials pertaining to the authorization and purpose of the electronic surveillances conducted by the FBI on the attached list. These surveillances are described according to the terms used in the summary charts I examined recently in your office.

The Committee requests delivery of these materials by Friday, November 28, 1975.

Sincerely,

John T. Elliff
Director

Domestic Intelligence Task Force



ALL FBI INFORMATION CONTAINED
HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED
DATE 11/7/00 BY SP2 dm/kmf
mde-16

62-116395-1096
ENCLOSURE

LIST OF ELECTRONIC SURVEILLANCES

Telephone Surveillances (all targets in each category)

1. Coverage of Classified Information Leak (for the years 1961-1963 and 1965).
2. Leader of Racist Organization (1963-1964)
3. Civil Rights Functionary (1963-1965)
4. Black Separatist Group Functionary (1960-1966)
5. Coverage Requested by Warren Commission (1964)
6. Racial Extremist Group Member (1964-1965, 1971-1972)
7. Associate of SCLC Functionaries (1964)
8. Black Extremist Leader (1965, 1969-1970, 1972)
9. Militant Black Extremist Organization (1965, 1969-1972)
10. New Left Oriented Campus Group (1965-1966, 1969-1970)
11. Anti-Vietnam War Group (1969)
12. Racial Extremist Group (1970-1972)
13. Black Extremist Group Affiliate (1970)
14. New Left Oriented Campus Group Faction (1970-1971)
15. New Left Oriented Campus Group Contact (1970)
16. New Left Activist (1971)
17. Black Extremist (1971)
18. Militant Black Extremist Group Member (1971-1972)
19. Militant Black Extremist Group Functionaries (1971-1972)
20. Domestic Protest Group (1971)
21. Coverage of Meeting of Militant Group (1971)
22. Internal Security Dominican Republic (1961-1962)

23. Marxist-Leninist Group Affiliate (1966, 1969)
24. Marxist-Leninist Group Functionary (1966)
25. Puerto Rican Independence Group (1966-1967)
26. Puerto Rican Independence Group Leader (1966-1967)
27. Communist Party Member (1966-1968)
28. Headquarters Basic Revolutionary Group (1966-1974)
29. Communist Party Influenced Group (1966)
30. Pro-Arab Group (1967)

Microphone Surveillances (all targets in each category)

1. Black Separatist Group (1960-1965)
2. Black Separatist Group Functionary (1961-1965)
3. Racist Organization (1962)
4. Civil Rights Functionary (1964-1965)
5. Coverage at Request of Warren Commission (1964)
6. Associates of Civil Rights Functionary (1965)
7. New Left Oriented Campus Group (1969)
8. Black Extremist Organization (1970)
9. Black Extremist Group Functionary (1970-1972)



OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY ATTORNEY GENERAL
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20530

November 24, 1975

TO: John A. Mintz, Assistant Director
Legal Counsel Division
Federal Bureau of Investigation

FROM: Michael E. Shaheen, Jr.
Special Counsel for Intelligence
Coordination

SUBJECT: Senate Select Committee Request

Attached is a copy of a Senate Select Committee request dated November 21, and received by this office on November 24, 1975. Please prepare an appropriate response.

cc: Paul Daly

ST. 115

REC-30

62-116395-1095

15 NOV 26 1975

LEGAL COUNSEL

ALL FBI INFORMATION CONTAINED
HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED
DATE 11/1/00 BY SP-2 AUM/LMF
MOR-16

79 DEC 3 1975

62-116395



November 24, 1975

TO: John A. Mintz, Assistant Director
Legal Counsel Division
Federal Bureau of Investigation

FROM: Michael E. Shaheen, Jr.
Special Counsel for Intelligence
Coordination

SUBJECT: Senate Select Committee Request

Attached is a copy of a Senate Select Committee request dated November 21, and received by this office on November 24, 1975. Please prepare an appropriate response.

cc: Paul Daly

ALL FBI INFORMATION CONTAINED
HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED
DATE 11/1/00 BY SP2 SM/umf
MOR-16

FRANK CHURCH, IDAHO, CHAIRMAN
JOHN G. TOWER, TEXAS, VICE CHAIRMAN
PHILIP A. HART, MICH.
WALTER F. MONDALE, MINN.
WALTER D. HUDDLESTON, KY.
ROBERT MORGAN, N.C.
GARY HART, COLO.
HOWARD H. BAKER, JR., OHIO
BARRY GOLDWATER, ALA.
CHARLES MCC. MATHIAS, MD.
RICHARD S. SCHWEIKER, PA.
WILLIAM G. MILLER, STAFF DIRECTOR
FREDERICK A. O. SCHWARZ, JR., CHIEF COUNSEL
CURTIS R. SMOTHERS, MINORITY COUNSEL

United States Senate

SELECT COMMITTEE TO STUDY GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS WITH RESPECT TO INTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES

(PURSUANT TO S. RES. 21, 94TH CONGRESS)

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20510

November 21, 1975


Michael E. Shaheen, Jr., Esq.
Special Counsel for Intelligence Coordination
Office of the Deputy Attorney General
U. S. Department of Justice
Washington, D. C. 20530

Dear Mike:

I would appreciate your asking the Bureau to provide to the Committee by the end of next week all memoranda and any other materials pertaining to:

1. Project CHATTER and any other programs involving research, experimentation, or use of drugs for interrogation purposes.
2. An August 11, 1950, meeting at the Naval Medical Research Institute (NMRI), Bethesda, Maryland, attended by Cartha DeLoach and/or Donald J. Parsons, which pertained to a CIA project on narco-hypnosis and an NMRI project on the surreptitious administration of speech-inducing drugs.
3. Information about speech-inducing drugs which was made available to NMRI and CIA following the meeting described in item 2 above.
4. A study by ONR on a projected research contract designed to improve the performance and reliability of the KEELER polygraph which was transmitted to the FBI following the meeting described in item 2 above.
5. The FBI's comments and recommendations on the study described in item 3 above which were transmitted to ONR.

The results of any investigations or inquiries conducted by Mr. Parsons pertaining to the possibility of securing volunteer federal prisoners for drug testing by NMRI following the meeting described in item 2 above.



ALL FBI INFORMATION CONTAINED
HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED
DATE 11/7/2003 BY SP5AUM/lmf

mod-16

ENCLOSURE


62-116395-1095

Michael E. Shaheen, Jr., Esq.
Page Two

November 21, 1975

7. The supplying of NMRI by the FBI of any quantities of CANABIS or HEROIN following the meeting described in item 2 above.
8. A project undertaken by the University of Rochester which was underway in 1950 pertaining to a study of drugs and psychological pressure methods to induce cooperativeness and truthfulness in subjects under interrogation.

Sincerely,



John T. Elliff
Director
Domestic Intelligence Task Force

UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

Memorandum

TO : Mr. J. B. Adams

FROM : Legal Counsel *[Signature]*

SUBJECT: SENSTUDY 75

1 - Mr. Mintz
1 - Mr. Wannall
1 - Mr. Cregar

DATE: 11/20/75

1 - Mr. Hotis
1 - Mr. Daly

Assoc. Dir. _____
Dep. AD Adm. _____
Dep. AD Inv. _____
Asst. Dir.: _____
Admin. _____
Comp. Syst. _____
Ext. Affairs _____
Files & Com. _____
Gen. Inv. _____
Ident. _____
Inspection _____
Intell. _____
Laboratory _____
Legal Coun. _____
Plan. & Eval. _____
Spec. Inv. _____
Training _____
Telephone Rm. _____
Director Sec'y _____

In response to a Senate Select Committee request, a letter and letterhead memorandum dated 11/17/75 were prepared furnishing the Senate Select Committee information pertaining to name-check requests involving various news media personnel, Congressmen and other individuals which requests were made at the request of the White House. Since this material impacted on the White House, SA Paul V. Daly of this Division contacted assistant Counsel to the President James Wilderotter on 11/19/75 and advised him of the material which was being furnished to the Senate Select Committee. He was generally briefed on the content. Wilderotter stated he had previously discussed this material with Michael E. Shaheen, Jr., Special Counsel for Intelligence Coordination in the Department and given authority for the material to be transmitted to the Committee. He, however, requested that in view of the contents of the material the White House be immediately furnished a copy of the material being transmitted. Wilderotter requested that he be kept advised of any material of a similar nature which impacted on the White House which was being furnished to Congressional Committees.

The Intelligence Division was advised of Wilderotter's request that copies be furnished to the White House and stated that they would insure this was done.

RECOMMENDATION:

For information.

ST. 115

REC-30

62-116395-1094

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED
HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED

(7) DATE 11/7/2000 BY SP2 sum/jmc 15 NOV 26 1975

79 DEC 3 1975 MOR-16



Buy U.S. Savings Bonds Regularly on the Payroll Savings Plan

LEGAL COUNSEL

Senstudy
Folder

Mr. W. R. Wannall

J. G. Deegan

RALPH DAVID ABERNATHY
EM - SOUTHERN CHRISTIAN LEADERSHIP
CONFERENCE (SCLC)

2 - Mr. J. A. Mintz
(1 - J. B. Motis)
1 - External Affairs
1 - Mr. J. B. Adams
11/21/73
1 - Mr. W. R. Wannall
1 - Mr. H. E. Helgeson
1 - Mr. J. G. Deegan
1 - Mr. S. P. Phillips
1 - Mr. J. T. Aldhizer

Purpose is to advise of information furnished the Senate Select Committee (SSC) regarding subject in light of attached newspaper article in which Abernathy, President of the SCLC, states he was subjected to more severe attempts by the FBI to discredit blacks than was Martin Luther King and in which he claims a member of the SSC showed him numerous FBI memoranda dealing with himself. Also to recommend liaison contact with the SSC in attempt to ascertain facts of this matter.

It is noted that in response to voluminous SSC requests for material on Martin Luther King, Jr., and the SCLC many documents were furnished containing references to Abernathy. In a few instances the SSC asked for and received specific data regarding Abernathy.

Attached article makes reference to a number of Bureau memoranda allegedly seen by Abernathy, including one by former Vice President Agnew, in which Abernathy was described as one of the worst of the agitators and trouble makers and in which Agnew desired to know if the Director had any information in subject's file with which to embarrass him.

Our files contain no such memorandum, however, the attached memorandum of the Director dated 5/13/70 records a conversation between Mr. Hoover and Agnew that date in which Mr. Hoover referred to Abernathy in similar terminology (paragraph one lines four and five of attached memorandum). This memorandum was furnished the SSC by Bureau memorandum

Enclosures
PHE:adm
(11)

100-442706

1-52-116395

ENCLOSURE

62-116395-
CONTINUED - OVER

NOT RECORDED

46 FEB 4 1976

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED
HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED
DATE 7/1/1998 BY 3803 RDN/mk

3352-459

84 FEB 6 1976

NW 55079 DocId:32989536

Page 28

11/7/2000 302 mm/mnt MDR-16

ORIGINAL FILED IN 100-442706-21

Memorandum to Mr. W. R. Wannall
Re: Ralph David Abernathy

of 8/29/75 captioned "U. S. Senate Select Committee to Study Governmental Operations with Respect to Intelligence Activities" (brackets indicate excisions made). This memorandum was furnished in response to a SSC inquiry regarding a special paper for the Vice President concerning racial disturbances in Mississippi and Georgia. Other documents furnished the SSC regarding Abernathy are: (1) Bureau letter to Atlanta 7/14/64 requesting information concerning Abernathy's escapades (2) FD-302 containing interview of Abernathy after King's assassination and (3) Correspondence with Pittsburgh office regarding counterintelligence activity against Abernathy, including copy of congressional record 5/6/68. (All attached.)

In addition the SSC asked whether Abernathy was ever the subject of electronic surveillances (a charge also made by Abernathy in the attached article). Abernathy was never the target of electronic surveillance, however, as a result of his activities and association with Martin Luther King, Jr., and the SCLC, which were targets of duly authorized electronic surveillances, he was overheard on numerous occasions. Abernathy was subject of active Bureau investigation following his assumption of the presidency of the SCLC in the spring of 1968. That investigation was closed in 8/74.

None of the attached documents furnished the SSC concerning Abernathy is classified and Legal Counsel Division concurs with Intelligence Division, that if a representative of the SSC exhibited such documents to Abernathy no violation of Federal laws is involved. Such action by the SSC, however, might constitute a breach of agreement between the FBI and the SSC which, in essence, is that information furnished the Committee is to be in confidence and not disclosed to unauthorized individuals.

RECOMMENDATION:

If approved, that a representative of the Legal Counsel Division, through liaison contact with the Committee, ascertain the facts of this matter.

Comp. Syst. _____
 Ext. Affairs _____
 Files & Com. _____
 Gen. Inv. _____
 Ident. _____
 Inspection _____
 Intell. _____
 Laboratory _____
 Legal Coun. _____
 Plan. & Eval. _____
 Spec. Inv. _____
 Training _____
 Telephone Rm. _____
 Director Sec'y _____

Abernathy Claims He Was Top Target

Was FBI 'More Severe' on Him?

KANSAS CITY (AP) — Civil rights leader Dr. Ralph David Abernathy says a number of FBI memos, including one by former Vice President Spiro T. Agnew, convince him he was subjected to more severe attempts by the FBI to discredit blacks than was Dr. Martin Luther King Jr.

Abernathy said a member of the Senate Intelligence Committee, which disclosed on Tuesday illegal FBI attempts to discredit King, visited him last week and showed him numerous FBI memos dealing with himself, King and the Southern Christian Leadership Conference.

He said one memo was from then-Vice President Agnew to the late FBI Director J. Edgar Hoover.

ABERNATHY said that in the memo Agnew "stated emphatically and clearly that he considered me to be one of the worst of the agitators and troublemakers and wanted to know of Mr. Hoover if there was anything that could be done, if they had any infor-



REV. RALPH ABERNATHY
 Agnew memo subject

mation in my file that they could use to embarrass me, embarrass my family in an effort to destroy my effectiveness, because I was most effective with the masses, the ordinary people."

Abernathy also said the FBI not only tapped his telephone and bugged his hotel rooms, but also bugged his home.

"I really think that I have been subjected even more severely to what Dr. King

was subjected to," the 45-year-old SCLC president said yesterday at a news conference. He was here to speak at the Robert F. Kennedy Memorial Symposium at the University of Missouri-Kansas City.

HIS COMMENTS came when he was questioned on the FBI efforts to discredit black leadership. This included a letter to King which King interpreted as suggesting he commit suicide.

Abernathy said King was aware of the FBI campaign to discredit him and the SCLC movement, which he then headed, but did not attribute the letter to the FBI.

Abernathy said he and King thought the letter came from the Ku Klux Klan or the John Birch Society.

In Memphis, the Rev. Samuel B. Kyles, who was with King before his assassination in Memphis in 1968, said yesterday that the disclosure "calls for a whole new look at the investigative process that went into the King murder."

Kyles is head of Operation PUSH — People United to Save Humanity.

The Washington Post _____
 Washington Star-News A-7
 Daily News (New York) _____
 The New York Times _____
 The Wall Street Journal _____
 The National Observer _____
 The Los Angeles Times _____

Date NOV 20 1975

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED
 HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED

DATE 11/10/00 BY SP2 PUM/lm

MDR-16

ENCLOSURE

62-116395-

REPORT

NW 150747 DocId:35298936 Page 31

JFK Law 10(a)1

JFK Law 10(a)1

SAC, Atlanta (100-6520)

July 14, 1964

Director, FBI (100-3-116)

PERSONAL ATTENTION

0
COMMUNIST PARTY, USA
NEGRO QUESTION
COMMUNIST INFLUENCE
IN RACIAL MATTERS
INTERNAL SECURITY - C

1 - Mr. Phillips

Reurairtel 6/26/64 which furnished the Bureau a copy of the 6/19/64 issue of "The Herald." Copies were maintained by your office. The indicated issue contained data concerning a reported court action against Reverend Ralph Abernathy which took place in Alabama apparently in 1958, and which apparently involved the alleged seduction of a 15-year-old girl.

Your airtel 6/18/64 and other recent communications from other offices in above caption contained additional data concerning the reported Abernathy involvement in a court action.

REC-44 100-3-116-1714

The Bureau desires to obtain the fullest factual information available relative to the reports received concerning Abernathy's escapades. Noting the apparent disreputable nature of the newspaper in question and its publisher, it is imperative that factual data be obtained from original sources. You are, therefore, instructed to furnish the Bureau information available from your files concerning Abernathy's reported involvement with a 15-year-old girl. You should also request the appropriate office to obtain full court records, including transcripts of testimony, so that the fullest facts obtainable may be in the hands of the Bureau. Of course, no action should be taken which might cause embarrassment to the Bureau. This matter must be handled in a most discreet fashion and your instructions to any offices being furnished leads in this matter should contain such caution. This matter should be handled on a need-to-know basis in your and other offices.

SFP:lat:alf
ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED
HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED
DATE 11/10/00 BY SP8 PAM/ML

MAIL ROOM ☒ TELETYPE UNIT ☐

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Date April 8, 1968

Ralph B

Reverend RALPH DAVID ABERNATHY, 690 La Verne Drive, N. W., Atlanta, Georgia, was interviewed at the Memphis Police Department at 10:55 p.m., April 4, 1968. Reverend ABERNATHY was advised of the Agent's identity and of the nature of the matter under investigation.

Reverend ABERNATHY, who described himself as Vice President at Large and Treasurer of the Southern Christian Leadership Conference, stated he was Dr. MARTIN LUTHER KING, JR.'s closest associate and stated that he and Dr. KING always shared a room whenever they travelled together. He stated that at about 10:30 a.m. on April 3, 1968, he and Dr. KING registered at the Lorraine Motel, Memphis, Tennessee.

Reverend ABERNATHY stated that on April 4, 1968, he and Dr. KING did not leave the motel and spent most of the day in their room, #306, which is located on the second floor of the motel. He stated that he and Dr. KING had been gone from their room for approximately one hour or less when they returned to the room at about 5:30 p.m. He said they got dressed as they were going to dinner at the home of Reverend BILLY KYLE and that at almost exactly 6 p.m. they started to leave the room. Reverend ABERNATHY stopped for a moment and Dr. KING walked out onto the balcony just outside the door to his room. Reverend ABERNATHY was still inside the motel room but could hear Dr. KING discussing the dinner engagement with other associates who Reverend ABERNATHY believes were standing in the parking area of the motel underneath and to the front of the balcony on which Dr. KING was standing.

Reverend ABERNATHY stated he heard what sounded like a fire-cracker and then heard the screams of persons to whom Dr. KING had been talking. Reverend ABERNATHY went then to the balcony and discovered Dr. KING lying there wounded in the right side of the face and neck. He said he believed Dr. KING attempted to speak to him but was unable to do so. Reverend ABERNATHY said he instructed someone to call an ambulance and then accompanied Dr. KING in the ambulance to the hospital where Dr. KING subsequently died.

48

On 4/4/68 at Memphis, Tennessee File # Memphis 44-1987

by SA JOE C. HESTER: bn

ENCLOSURE

62-116395-
Date dictated 4/8/68

Reverend ABERNATHY stated that judging from the sound of the noise it appeared that the shot came from directly in front of the door and not from any great distance. He stated he did not observe anything that would indicate who fired the shot. Reverend ABERNATHY also stated that while they were in the motel room Dr. KING did not, to his knowledge, receive any telephone calls of a threatening nature or calls from persons who would not identify themselves. Reverend ABERNATHY said Dr. KING had not feared that any particular persons might harm him and Reverend ABERNATHY was unable to suggest any suspects or to provide any other information which might assist in identifying Dr. KING's killer.

F B I

Date: 10/26/68

Transmit the following in _____
(Type in plaintext or code)

A I R T E L

(Priority)

TO: DIRECTOR, FBI (100-448006)

FROM: SAC, PITTSBURGH (100-15780) (P)

SUBJECT: COUNTERINTELLIGENCE PROGRAM
BLACK NATIONALISTS - HATE GROUPS
RACIAL INTELLIGENCE
(RALPH ABERNATHY)
(SOUTHERN CHRISTIAN LEADERSHIP CONFERENCE)

The Pittsburgh "Point," a weekly newspaper dated 10/24/68, contains an article which indicates that Dr. RALPH ABERNATHY will speak at a mass rally at Central Baptist Church, Pittsburgh, Pa., on 11/2/68, as part of a drive by the Southern Christian Leadership Conference (SCLC) to educate Black communities concerning the three major presidential candidates. *B*

The Congressional Record dated 5/6/68, on *June 19,* Page H3389, contains an article which indicates that the 6th 1965 edition of the "Herald," 407 Butler Street, Anderson, S. C., South Carolina's oldest Negro newspaper, contains the testimony of a 15 year old female member of ABERNATHY's church. The testimony relates to a filthy and perverted sex scandal between ABERNATHY and the girl according to the Congressional Record. It is believed that if information relating to this sordid episode on the part of ABERNATHY were made public in Pittsburgh, his effectiveness would be curtailed.

2-Bureau (RM)
2-Columbia (RM)
2-Pittsburgh

LMC:psa
(6)

REC 46

ENCLOSURE

27 OCT 29 1968

Approved: *[Signature]*

Sent

M

Per *[Signature]*

Special Agent in Charge

PG MD-15780

Request of Bureau:

The Bureau is requested to authorize Columbia to obtain two copies of the 6th 1965 issue of the Anderson, South Carolina, "Herald" if available. These papers should be mailed as follows:

Pastor
Central Baptist Church
2200 Wylie Avenue
Pittsburgh, Pa.

Chairman of Deacons
Central Baptist Church
2200 Wylie Avenue
Pittsburgh, Pa.

A note should be included with each issue to read as follows:

"This paper has news about the man who is speaking at your church." It should be mailed from Anderson, South Carolina.

To be effective, these papers should reach the addressee prior to 11/2/68. The Bureau, therefore, is requested to give expeditious attention to this request.

No action should be taken in this matter without Bureau authority.

October 30, 1968

Airtel

1 - Mr. Deakin
1 - Mr. Glass

~~44~~ To: SAC, Pittsburgh (100-15780)

~~46~~ From: Director, FBI (100-448006) - 351

46

COUNTERINTELLIGENCE PROGRAM

~~45~~ BLACK NATIONALISTS - HATE GROUPS

RACIAL INTELLIGENCE,

(RALPH ABERNATHY)

(SOUTHERN CHRISTIAN LEADERSHIP CONFERENCE)

RePGairtel 10/26/68.

Enclosed for Pittsburgh are three Xerox copies of page H 3389 of the Congressional Record, 5/6/68. One copy is enclosed for Columbia for information.

It is felt that the mailing of these copies of the Congressional Record from Pittsburgh to the people suggested in referenced airtel would be more effective than a mailing of the Herald newspaper from South Carolina. There would be less chance the information would be discounted as a Klan trick if the information was received from Pittsburgh in a way to indicate a member of the local community sent it. Also the same page in the Herald contains an article condemning Martin Luther King, Jr., and accusing him of traveling to Puerto Rico with a young girl. If the paper were used, this information on King would only serve to "muddy the water," and make less effective the information on Abernathy.

Enclosures - 3
1 - Columbia (Enclosure)

CEG:ekw
(6)

SEE NOTE PAGE TWO

ENCLOSURE

62-116395-

MAILED 9
OCT 30 1968
COMM-FBI

Airtel to SAC, Pittsburgh
COUNTERINTELLIGENCE PROGRAM; RALPH ABERNATHY
100-448006

For your information the edition of the Herald was dated 6/19/64, not 6/19/65 as mentioned in the Congressional Record.

NOTE:

Ralph Abernathy, President of the SCLC, is to speak at a Pittsburgh Church on 11/2/68 to educate the black community on the elections. Pittsburgh suggested that the Herald, reportedly a South Carolina newspaper, be sent to the minister and Chairman of Deacons of the Church prior to Abernathy's appearance. The paper contains an article about a sex scandal involving Abernathy and a 15-year-old girl. The Congressional Record also contains information about this scandal.

May 6, 1968

May 6, 1968

im- \$1500 for your senior year.

Is there anyone who's been accepted by a college, but isn't going because of money?

Will \$4750 make a difference?

Bankers Trust will lend you up to that amount, to help you become a Bachelor of Something.

If you want to be a Master, of course, \$4750 won't be enough. So we'll lend you another \$1500 for each year of graduate work, as long as it leads to a degree.

We'll lend you up to a maximum of \$7500.

You don't pay us back until after you graduate. Then, you get up to 10 years to pay it off.

The interest doesn't start until after you graduate either. And it's only 3% (any other kind of loan would cost about twice that).

Bankers Trust is making these loans in cooperation with the New York Higher Education Assistance Corporation.

The loans are for those ready to start college now, those already attending, and those who only go part time.

The prerequisites: you must be a U.S. citizen and a resident of New York State. And your parents must meet the financial qualifications. Come into any Bankers Trust branch for an application.

Want to go to college?

You'll find a banker at Bankers Trust.

RALPH ABERNATHY—PREACHER OR PERVERT

Mr. RARICK. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend my remarks.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Louisiana?

There was no objection.

Mr. RARICK. Mr. Speaker, ever since Ralph Abernathy assumed control of the rich man's "poor people's march" to occupy Washington, most southerners who know about Abernathy have shuddered at the deceit and national prestige buildup given Abernathy as a leader.

We have kept our peace—knowing that any comment would not receive fair consideration—in all probability discounted as "racism."

But the news establishment, knowing the facts, continued to be silent until the Montgomery Advertiser on May 2, 1968, spoke out.

In a lead editorial captioned, "The Leader of the Great Crusade," the Montgomery Advertiser slashed into Abernathy's reputation by calling him, "an unpolished and disreputable clod" and politely, I assure you, rehashed the sex scandal involving Abernathy and a 15-year-old female member of Abernathy's church.

I have a complete transcript of the testimony of the exploited girl given at the jury trial of her husband—who was acquitted by a jury of chasing and striking at Abernathy with a hatchet.

The testimony of the youthful victim, Vivian McCoy Davis, contradicted by Abernathy, is so filthy and perverted that I cannot—in good conscience—place it in the Record for our colleagues' examination.

I will, however, make her testimony available on request to my colleagues, or it can be obtained from the Herald, 407 Butler Street, Anderson, S.C.—South Carolina's oldest Negro newspaper—by asking for a copy of their June 19, 1964,

edition which contained a full account of the sordid background of Ralph Abernathy including the testimony.

I can only add that Abernathy apparently has little control over himself—he couldn't have control of the threatened booby trap merging on Washington under the banner of a poor people's march. But he can be used to exploit poor people.

Mr. Speaker, I place the May 2 editorial from the Montgomery Advertiser in the Record at this point:

THE LEADER OF THE GREAT CRUSADE

It is preposterous but unfortunately true commentary on the recent history of the most powerful country on earth that it is now receiving ultimatums from the likes of Ralph D. Abernathy.

Abernathy succeeded to the late Dr. King's mantle in the Southern Christian Leadership Conference by virtue of an association dating from the Montgomery bus boycott.

King was at least glib and adroit in the guile by which he managed to summon an alarming number of Americans. But Abernathy is an unpolished and disreputable clod best remembered here for what may have been a record dash from his church office on the night of Aug. 29, 1958.

Panic-stricken and screaming, he was pursued by an irate, hatchet-wielding husband who had accused him of having a relationship with his wife more suited to the pages of Kraft-Ebing's *Psychopathia Sexualis* than a family newspaper.

The wife of his assailant told a Montgomery Circuit Court jury in November 1963 that she had submitted to unnatural sex acts with the Reverend before her marriage, beginning at the age of 15, and that "he never stopped chasing me" even after her marriage to Edward Davis. She had been a member of Abernathy's church.

On the day Davis accosted Abernathy in his office, armed with pistol and hatchet, Abernathy had called her Mrs. Davis testified.

The jury deliberated only 10 minutes, obviously invoking the unwritten law, before acquitting Davis of attempting to do in the preacher.

Yet, basking in the reflected glory of King, Abernathy emerged from the revolting case unscathed in the eyes of SCLC.

In 1960, he was signally honored by Prime Minister Kwame Nkrumah, the subsequently deposed dictator of Ghana, by being invited to Accra for a "Conference on Non-Violence and Positive Action for the Security of Africa."

This was heady stuff for a man who, but for his color and the accident of time and place, would never have been heard from. But he was to go on to loftier things, despite his unsavory background, finally being elevated to King's throne after the tragedy in Memphis.

Monday he led the vanguard of a movement which is trying to intimidate Congress not only into massive redistribution of the wealth to the poor (along with the shiftless), but into changing foreign policy. Vietnam is only one item of the agenda of the amorphous mass which may number in the tens of thousands (hundreds of thousands, some say) who will attempt to immobilize Washington by late May.

In their initial protest Monday, the Abernathy-led extortionists denounced U.S. diplomatic and business ties with Portugal and South Africa. The United States must not be encumbered, the formal statement said, "with the support in any way of racist societies abroad."

Although Abernathy keeps mouthing the old line about non-violence, this is once again semantic camouflage for the threat of violence unless Washington—the President and Congress—knuckles under to every demand.

Today, the first of the poor people's march, as the march leaders described it, is scheduled to set out from Memphis, Tenn., via Marks, Miss., to Washington, D.C. Others are to start from other parts of the Midwest, South, North and West.

The first contingents of what is to be a seige of Washington are due May 12, with the escalation of fighting peak strength for an incoming camp-in by May 30. According to a table, "major demonstrations" are planned for May 20.

By June, Abernathy's invading it figured, there will be shantytowns in Washington and the work of should be paralyzed—unless:

The government meets all demands, including massive new federal pay raises, a guaranteed income, universalization of welfare program, and such changes in the way as the blackmailers may demand.

Terror is not the appropriate response to Washington: righteous anger, not by massive force. Unless they have the courage to turn back by whatever force is necessary, they will be intimidated, the words of Sen. C. Byrd, West Virginia Democrat, prophetic:

"I am greatly concerned for the future of this country. I feel that we can see the evidence of destruction of our country within."

It would all be sickening if the leadership were other than Ralph D. Abernathy, an unprincipled and unrepentant demagogue worse than Stokely Carmichael. If only because he's so stupid, unfit to lead the smallest rural community, let alone the representative of the unfortunate poor.

WILBUR MILLS HON. CLEMSON UNIV.

Mr. DORN. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend my remarks.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from South Carolina?

There was no objection.

Mr. DORN. Mr. Speaker, Wilbur Mills, our esteemed and distinguished colleague from Arkansas, was in the district on Saturday, May 4, 1968.

When Clemson University, a distinguished colleague, Clemson was in turn honored by the great Committee Means for more than 10 years, Mills has exercised a special influence on this Nation on the entire free world, and superb leadership in trade, taxation, social security, responsibility has profited the destiny of this Nation of freedom in the West.

Wilbur Mills was an honorary doctor of laws from Clemson University for his leadership in preserving our country and for his responsibility.

Mr. Speaker, it was that our great colleague, Wilbur Mills, son-in-law

ENCLOSURE

116 396

Today, the first of the people's caravans, as the march leaders describe them, is scheduled to set out from Memphis toward Washington via Marks, Miss. Next week, others are to start from other locations, in the Midwest, South, North and West.

The first contingents of what is designed to be a seige of Washington are due to arrive May 12, with the escalation of forces reaching peak strength for an indeterminate camp-in by May 30. According to the timetable, "major demonstrations" are to begin May 20.

By June, Abernathy's invading horde has figured, there will be shantytowns all over Washington and the work of government should be paralyzed—unless:

The government meets all demands, including massive new federal programs and handouts, a guaranteed income for everyone, universalization of welfare programs and regulations, and such changes in foreign policy as the blackmailers may demand.

Terror is not the appropriate reaction in Washington: righteous anger is, accompanied by massive force. Unless authorities have the courage to turn back this invasion, by whatever force is necessary, and refuse to be intimidated, the words of Senator Robert C. Byrd, West Virginia Democrat, will prove prophetic:

"I am greatly concerned for my country... I feel that we can see ample evidence of destruction of our nation from within."

It would all be sickening enough if the leadership were other than Ralph Abernathy, an unprincipled and unspeakable bum without any redeeming qualities whatever; a demagogue worse than Stokely Carmichael if only because he's so stupid; a degenerate unfit to lead the smallest rural church, much less pose as the representative of America's unfortunate poor.

WILBUR MILLS HONORED BY CLEMSON UNIVERSITY

Mr. DORN. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend my remarks.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from South Carolina?

There was no objection.

Mr. DORN. Mr. Speaker, WILBUR MILLS, our esteemed and beloved colleague from Arkansas, was honored by Clemson University in my congressional district on Saturday, May 4.

When Clemson University honored our distinguished colleague, WILBUR MILLS, Clemson was in turn honored. As chairman of the great Committee on Ways and Means for more than 10 years, WILBUR MILLS has exercised a great and beneficial influence on this Nation, and indeed on the entire free world. His wise counsel and superb leadership in international trade, taxation, social security, and fiscal responsibility has profoundly influenced the destiny of this Nation and the course of freedom in the Western World.

WILBUR MILLS was awarded an honorary doctor of laws degree by Clemson University for his statesmanship and leadership in preserving the economy of our country and for his forthright stand for fiscal responsibility.

Mr. Speaker, it was fitting and proper that our great colleague be honored at Clemson University, named for Thomas G. Clemson, son-in-law of John C. Cal-

houn, and the first Superintendent of the Department of Agriculture. Clemson University is on the old plantation of the immortal John C. Calhoun, one of the five greatest Senators of all time.

Mr. MILLS, while in the Calhoun mansion—one of the best kept shrines in America—was presented a gavel and pounding block made from a tree grown on the Calhoun mansion grounds by Dr. Wright Bryan, Clemson vice president and former editor of the Atlanta Journal and the Cleveland Plain Dealer.

The lovely and charming Mrs. Mills accompanied her distinguished husband to the Clemson campus. His excellency, the Honorable Robert E. McNair, Governor of South Carolina, and our own beloved and great colleague, the gentleman from South Carolina, MENDEL RIVERS, chairman of the Committee on Armed Services, were present for this, one of the most momentous occasions in the history of my congressional district and indeed the State of South Carolina. The dynamic and able president of Clemson University, Dr. Robert C. Edwards, presented this coveted honorary degree to Chairman MILLS on behalf of the board of trustees and the faculty.

Mr. Speaker, the chairman of the Clemson board of trustees is a friend of yours, the Honorable Edgar Brown, of South Carolina, who sat beside William Jennings Bryan at the Democratic National Convention in New York in 1924 and who for 40 years, as State senator and as chairman of the senate finance committee, has provided for South Carolina fiscal responsibility. Mr. Speaker, another lifetime member of the Clemson board is the Honorable James F. Byrnes, who served with honor in this House and the Senate and was perhaps best known for his distinguished service as Secretary of State and as "Assistant President" under the wartime administration of President Franklin D. Roosevelt.

Mr. Speaker, I commend Clemson University for honoring, on its graduation day, this great and good American who today is providing that leadership so essential to the future of young America.

EXPLANATION OF GENERAL PAIR

Mr. FULTON of Pennsylvania. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to address the House for 1 minute.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Pennsylvania?

There was no objection.

Mr. FULTON of Pennsylvania. Mr. Speaker, on Wednesday, May 1, 1968, I left Washington by plane at 5 o'clock p.m. to go to West Liberty, W. Va., to make the keynote speech at the West Liberty State College students' mock national political convention.

After I left the floor on that date the bill, H.R. 16913, making appropriations for the Department of Agriculture and related agencies for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1969, was passed.

I was paired generally with the gentleman from North Carolina [Mr. GALTHER] on final passage of that bill.

Mr. Speaker, if I would have voted "nay."

PROTEST AGAINST ORDER

Mr. STUCKEY. Mr. Speaker, unanimous consent: I mark at this point to include extraneous matter.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Objection to the request from Georgia?

There was no objection.

Mr. STUCKEY. Mr. Speaker, because I am indignant. Georgia men, battlefield of Vietnam, men are good soldiers. Importance of following of our armed services at their commanding officer.

However, Mr. Speaker, learned that one of our to carry out an order.

Because of the nature cannot remain silent on obligated to bring this light and call to the colleagues the order man refused to carry out.

The order, Mr. Speaker, from his wall above his his home State of Georgia.

Allow me to read soldier's letter to his

DEAR MAMA: Last week because of the racial tension out to remove all flags—flag which contained the bol which as you know, largely made up of. We down the Georgia, Alabama Confederate flags—then and others may still be draped.

I have always respected and have always been told—regardless, but this emotion I have never experienced. I have refused to do it. To flag fly when a Georgia flag seriously the legality of the refused to do it for my CMA and I requested to go all general. I was so furious I said to Governor Maddox explain that something be done at manding Officer came in to talk to me before I wrote ernor Maddox and I said "I just mailed it."

I do not think I need Mr. Speaker.

And, for what good reason issued?

The reason given was people view the Confederate symbol of racism, all contained bars and removed. Mr. Speaker, every Southern State, especially all Georgia Mississippi flags must immediately. Other flags from New York and Ohio were main displayed.

Our fighting men, Mr. Speaker, the flags from their homes put them over their graves.

Commissioner

NOV 20 1975

15
Mr. William Greager
Section Chief, CI-2
Federal Bureau of Investigation
John Edgar Hoover Building
Room 4123
Washington, D. C. 20535

Dear Mr. Greager:

Members of the staff of the Senate Select Committee on Intelligence have asked for copies of the attached memoranda from our files, which our records indicate that we received from the Federal Bureau of Investigation.

I would appreciate your letting me know as soon as reasonably convenient whether the FBI has any objection to our providing the Committee staff with copies of these memoranda.

With kind regards,

Sincerely,

Thomas V. Glynn
Thomas V. Glynn
Assistant to the Commissioner

cc: Mr. Arthur Harrigan

ST. 115
[REC-30] 62-116395-1093

15 NOV 26 1975

OK to Clear: per Stosh, E. Drave
+ George LEX of 15-2 EWL

Glynn tele. to me advised
11/21/75
79 DEC 2 1975 WOB

ALL FBI INFORMATION CONTAINED
HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED
DATE 11/7/2000 BY SP2 BLM/UMF

MOR-16

5-EWL

File 62-116395

In September, 1970, source was recontacted relative to the information he furnished about SID PECK, a former member of the Wisconsin Chapter of the CP being present at the Milwaukee Conference on June 27, 1970. Source was asked how he learned PECK was a former member of the Wisconsin Chapter of the CP, and he indicated that it was common knowledge during the Milwaukee Conference that PECK was a former member of the CP. Informant was not certain as to the source of the information but thought this fact was mentioned during the course of the conference. Informant further advised that he assumed PECK's CP membership was in Wisconsin but had nothing to substantiate this fact.

Source: CV T-7

On July 23, 1970, source advised that DAVE KATZ was recently telling some people that SID PECK had been expelled from the CP years ago, and the expulsion was for Trotskyite leanings.

DAVE KATZ

CV T-8 advised on April 14, 1969 that during the Ohio CP State Convention held in Cleveland, Ohio on April 13, 1969, DAVE KATZ was elected as a member of the Ohio CP State Committee.

III. FINANCIAL DATA REGARDING SUBJECT

Source: CV T-5

On May 18, 1970, source advised that subject had a checking account, No. 20239654 at the Shaker Heights Branch of the Central National Bank (CNB), Cleveland, Ohio, and during the months of April and May, 1970, it had the following activity:

- 4 -

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED
HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED
DATE 11/1/2000 BY SP2XN/mf
MDR-16

Balance Brought Forward	\$3,727.06
Total Deposits	340.00
Total Checks Drawn	3,560.00
Balance at End of Month	506.11

Pertinent Checks Drawn:

- a) Check #444, dated April 27, 1970 payable to Ohio Bell for \$44.94.
- b) Check #445, dated April 27, 1970 payable to Ohio Bell for \$127.23.
- c) Check #441, dated April 27, 1970, payable to "Ramparts" for \$15.00.
- d) Check #447, dated April 27, 1970, payable to Diners Club for \$2,025.15.
- e) Check #450, dated May 7, 1970 payable to the New Mobilization Committee for \$500.00; there was notation on the face of the check "Defense Committee".
- f) Check #449, dated May 4, 1970 payable to SIDNEY LENS for \$70.00.

All of the above checks were signed by SIDNEY M. PECK.

In addition, source indicated that PECK and his wife, LOUISE PECK, had a checking account, #20232455, at the Shaker Heights Branch of the CNB, Cleveland, Ohio, and during the months of April and May, 1970, this account had the following activity:

Balance Brought Forward	\$ 862.35
Total Deposits	1666.65
Total Checks Drawn	1482.88
Balance at End of Month	1046.33

Pertinent Checks: None

All of the checks drawn during this period were signed by LOUISE W. PECK.

The above information is not to be made public except after the issuance of a subpoena duces tecum directed to Mr. F. B. MILLER, Assistant Auditor, CNB, Cleveland, Ohio.

SIDNEY LENS

"The Toledo Blade", a Toledo, Ohio daily newspaper of general circulation, in the October 10, 1969 issue, had an article "SIDNEY LENS To Launch Moratorium", which showed LENS was a national Co-Chairman of the NMC.

On June 16, 1970, source advised that subject's checking account at the Shaker Heights Branch of the CNB, Cleveland, Ohio had the following activity during the months of May - June, 1970:

Balance Brought Forward	\$506.11
Total Deposits Made	915.00
Total Amount of Checks Drawn	898.88
Balance at End of Month	522.23

Pertinent Checks Drawn:

- a) Check #454, dated June 2, 1970, payable to Ohio Bell for \$36.40.
- b) Check #455, dated June 2, 1970, payable to Ohio Bell for \$60.50.
- c) Check #451, dated June 2, 1970, payable to Diners Club for \$622.42.

All of the above checks were signed by SIDNEY M. PECK.

In addition, source indicated that PECK and his wife, LOUISE PECK's checking account maintained at the same branch of CNB had the following activity during the months of May - June, 1970:

Balance Brought Forward	\$1,046.33
Total Deposits Made	1,790.14
Total Checks Drawn	1,094.83
Balance at End of Month	1,741.64

Pertinent Checks: None

All of the checks issued against this account were signed by LOUISE W. PECK.

The above information is not to be made public except after the issuance of a subpoena duces tecum directed to Mr. F. B. MILLER, Assistant Auditor, CMB, Cleveland, Ohio.

Source: CV T-9

On July 16, 1970, source reported that subject's checking account at the CMB, Shaker Heights Branch, Cleveland, Ohio, had the following activity during the months of June - July, 1970:

Balance Brought Forward	\$ 522.23
Total Deposits	6,238.50
Total Checks Drawn	3,851.41
Balance at End of Month	2,909.32

Pertinent Checks Drawn:

- a) Check #468, dated June 16, 1970, payable to Ohio Bell for \$74.25.
- b) Check #478, dated June 27, 1970, payable to the National Welfare Rights Organization (Washington, D.C.) for \$350.00.
- c) Check #481, dated June 30, 1970, payable to cash for \$2,500.00.
- d) Check #453, dated June 2, 1970, payable to the Union of Radical Sociologists for \$3.00.

- e) Check #480, dated June 28, 1970,
payable to WILLIAM DOUTHARD
(endorsed WILLIAM DOUTHARD - Pay
to Vietnam Peace Parade Committee -
Bail Fund, New York), for \$70.00.

All of the above checks were signed by SIDNEY M. PECK.

In addition, source indicated that the checking account of PECK and his wife maintained at this branch had the following activity during the months of June - July, 1970:

Balance Brought Forward	\$1,741.64
Total Deposits	2,044.46
Total Checks Drawn	1,925.16
Balance at End of Month	1,859.94

Pertinent Checks: None

All of the checks issued against this account were signed by LOUISE W. PECK.

The above information is not to be made public except after the issuance of a subpoena duces tecum directed to Mr. F. B. MILLER, Assistant Auditor, Central National Bank, Cleveland, Ohio.

Source: CV T-10

On August 17, 1970, source indicated that subject's checking account at the Shaker Heights Branch of the CNB, Cleveland, Ohio had the following activity during the month of July, 1970:

Balance Brought Forward	\$2909.32
Deposits Made during Month	
Total Checks Drawn	2009.37
Balance at End of Month	899.95

Pertinent Checks Drawn:

- a) Check #490, dated July 12, 1970, payable to Diners Club, for \$449.68.
- b) Check #503, dated July 23, 1970, payable to CHARLES HARTMAN for \$460.70; there was a notation on the face of the check showing it was for the July - August rent.
- c) Check #504, dated July 23, 1970, payable to CHARLES HARTMAN for \$295.00; there was a notation on the face of the check that it was for the September rent.
- d) Check #498, dated July 17, 1970, payable to DOUG DOWD, for \$85.00.
- e) Check #483, dated June 30, 1970, payable to FGR, for \$150.00; this check was endorsed by the Fellowship of Reconciliation in New York.

All of the above checks were signed by SIDNEY M. PECK.

In addition, source advised that PECK and his wife's account maintained at this branch had the following activity during July, 1970:

Balance Brought Forward	\$1,859.94
Total Deposits	2,559.45
Total Checks Drawn	2,194.89
Balance at End of Month	2,224.50

Pertinent Checks Drawn:

- a) Check No. 2532, dated July 22, 1970, payable to Warehouse Cooperative School (Watertown, Mass.), for \$113.50.

All checks were signed by LOUISE W. PECK.

The above information is not to be made public except after the issuance of a subpoena duces tecum directed to Mr. F. B. MILLER, Assistant Auditor, CNB, Cleveland, Ohio.

DOUG DOWD

CV T-11 advised on September 13, 1970 that DOWD was a Co-Chairman of the NMC.

Source: CV T-10

On September 14, 1970, source advised that subject's checking account at the Shaker Heights Branch of the CNB, Cleveland, Ohio had the following activity during the month of August, 1970:

Balance Brought Forward	899.95
Total Deposits Made	1,250.00
Total Checks Drawn	2,150.40
Balance at End of Month	2.45
	(overdrawn)

Pertinent Checks Drawn:

- a) Check #514, dated August 18, 1970, Payable to Diners Club for \$958.55.
- b) Check #509, dated August 17, 1970, payable to CHESTER HARTMAN (cashed in San Francisco), for \$39.30.
- c) Check #518, dated August 31, 1970, payable to MacAlester College, for \$663.00.

All of the above checks were signed by SIDNEY M. PECK.

In addition, source indicated that PECK and his wife's checking account at the same branch of CNB had the following activity during the month of August, 1970:

Balance Brought Forward	\$2,224.50
Total Deposits	1,209.29
Total Checks Drawn	1,040.53
Balance at End of Month	2,393.26

Pertinent Checks Drawn: None

All checks drawn were signed by LOUISE W. PECK.

The above information is not to be made public except after the issuance of a subpoena duces tecum, directed to Mr. F. B. MILLER, Assistant Auditor, CNB, Cleveland, Ohio.

Source: CV T-5

On October 16, 1970, source advised that subject's checking account at CNB Shaker Heights Branch, Cleveland, Ohio had the following activity during the months of September and October, 1970:

Balance Brought Forward	2.45 (overdrawn)
Total Deposits	1857.89
Total Checks Drawn	756.62
Balance at End of Month	1096.32

Pertinent Checks Drawn:

- a) Check #524, dated September 28, 1970 to Ohio Bell for \$68.07.
- b) Check #525, dated September 28, 1970 payable to Diners Club for \$349.55.

All of the checks were signed by SIDNEY M. PECK.

In addition, source indicated that PECK and his wife, LOUISE PECK's checking account at the same branch of the CNB had the following activity during the months of September and October, 1970:

Balance Brought Forward	2,393.25
Total Deposits	970.39
Total Amount of Checks Drawn	1,494.51
Balance at End of Month	1,869.41

Pertinent Checks Drawn:

- a) Check #2569, dated September 10, 1970, payable to SIDNEY M. PECK for \$332.89.
- b) Check #2572, undated, payable to SIDNEY M. PECK for \$500.00.

All of the checks drawn against this account were signed by LOUISE W. PECK.

The above information is not to be made public except after the issuance of a subpoena duces tecum directed to Mr. F. B. MILLER, Assistant Auditor, CIB, Cleveland, Ohio.

IV. BLACK PANTHER PARTY (BPP)

Source: CV T-12

On March 11, 1970, source advised that an emergency conference to defend the right of the Black Panther Party (BPP) to exist was held in Chicago, Illinois from March 6-8, 1970, and during this conference an announcement was made of contributions and pledges which are to be used to organize around the right of the BPP to exist. One of the contributions was made by the SIDNEY PECK Defense Fund of Cleveland, Ohio in the amount of \$50.00

BPP

See appendix.

Source: CV T-13

This source mentioned on June 23, 1970, that a press conference would be held by the National Committee to Combat Fascism (NCCF) at 12:00 noon on June 23, 1970 at the New Federal Building, Cleveland, Ohio, where a speech made previously by the National Chief of Staff of the BPP, would be read. Also participating in this program would be SID PECK, who was also scheduled to make a speech.

12/23/69

CV 100-26799

that the National Mobilization Committee to End the War in Viet Nam (NMCCWV) was split on the question of a confrontation during the January 20, 1969 inauguration demonstration and it was indicated subject was one of those who were opposed to any confrontation on January 20, 1969.

VII. FINANCIAL DATA REGARDING
SUBJECT

Source: CV T-11

Source advised on May 15, 1969 that subject had a checking account #20238654, at the Shaker Heights Branch of Central National Bank (CNB), Cleveland, Ohio, and during the month of April, 1969 it had the following activity:

Balance Brought Forward	\$2016.18
Total Deposits	1795.80
Total Checks Drawn	1639.84

Pertinent checks drawn:

- a) Check #236, dated April 13, 1969, payable to Cleveland SAHE for \$3.00.
- b) Check #242, dated April 25, 1969, payable to the Milwaukee 14 Defense Fund for \$50.00.

On May 15, 1969 source also reported that subject and his wife LOUISE had a checking account #20232455 at the Shaker Heights Branch of the CNB, Cleveland, Ohio and during the month of April, 1969, it had the following activity:

Balance Brought Forward	\$1455.56
Total Deposits made	2842.23
Total Checks Drawn	1915.70
Balance at End of Month	2381.01

All the checks drawn during the month were signed by LOUISE PECK, but there were none of a pertinent nature.

The above information is not to be made public except after the issuance of a subpoena duces tecum directed to Mr. F.B. MILLER, Assistant Auditor, CNB, Cleveland, Ohio.

Source:- CV T-11

On June 17, 1969 source mentioned that SIDNEY M. PECK's checking account #20239654 at the CMB, Cleveland, Ohio reflected the following activity during the month of May, 1969:

Balance Brought Forward	\$2,172.14
Total deposits	963.50
Total amount of checks	373.95
Balance at end of month	2,761.69

All checks drawn during the month were signed by SIDNEY M. PECK, however, there were none that were pertinent.

The above information is not to be made public except after the issuance of a subpoena duces tecum directed to Mr. F.B. MILLER, Assistant Auditor, CMB, Cleveland, Ohio.

Source: CV T-11

On July 18, 1969, source reported that the checking account #20239654 for the subject at CMB, Cleveland, Ohio, showed the following activity during the month of June, 1969:

Balance Brought Forward	\$2761.69
Total deposits	760.00
Total checks drawn	1195.38
Balance at end of month	2326.31

Pertinent checks:

- a) Check #272, dated 6/20/69 for \$50.00 payable to DON GUREWITZ
- b) Check #275, dated 6/26/69, for \$100.00 payable to CAPAC- conference.

All checks drawn were signed by SIDNEY M. PECK.

Source went on that the checking account in subject and his wife's name (LOUISE), #20232455 at CMB had the following activity in June, 1969:

Balance Brought Forward	\$1321.71
Total Deposits	659.17
Total Checks Drawn	823.56
Balance at end of month	1156.96

CV 100-26799

All checks drawn on this account were signed by
LOUISE PECK.

The above information is not to be made public except after the issuance of a subpoena duces tecum directed to Mr. F.B. MILLER, Assistant Auditor, CMB, Cleveland, Ohio.

DON GUREWITZ

CV T-12 indicated on February 13, 1969 that DONALD GUREWITZ was one of the new members of the Young Socialist Alliance (YSA) in Cleveland, Ohio.

CV T-13 mentioned on July 17, 1969 that DON GUREWITZ a member of the SWP and YSA in Cleveland had been transferred to Washington, D.C. on temporary duty to act as the SWP representative to help organize the demonstrations in that city against the war in Viet Nam on November 15, 1969.

YSA

See appendix.

Cleveland Area Peace Action Council (CAPAC)

CAPAC is a coalition of Cleveland area peace and anti Viet Nam war groups.

Source: CV T-11

Source related on August 18, 1969 that subject's checking account at the CMB, Cleveland, Ohio, #20239654 showed the following activity during the month of July, 1969:

Balance Brought Forward	\$2326.31
Total deposits	1379.53
Total checks drawn	2069.52
Balance at End of Month	1636.32

Pertinent Checks:

- a) Check #303, dated July 7, 1969 for \$50.00 payable to the Kent Student Defense which was endorsed Cleveland Committee for Kent Student Defense.
- b) Check #319, dated July 23, 1969 for \$350.00 payable to the Student Mobilization Committee.

All checks drawn against this account were signed by SIDNEY M. PECK.

In addition the checking account #20232455 for subject and his wife LOUISE, showed the following activity ~~during the month of July, 1969:~~

Balance Brought Forward	\$1156.96
Total Deposits	1719.17
Total Checks Drawn	2216.66
Balance at End of Month	657.31

There were no pertinent checks drawn against this account and all checks were signed by LOUISE PECK.

The above information is not to be made public except after the issuance of a subpoena duces tecum directed to Mr. F.B. MILLER, Assistant Auditor, CMB, Cleveland, Ohio.

Source: CV T-14

On September 22, 1969, source advised that subject's checking account #20239654 at the CMB, Cleveland, Ohio, had the following activity during the month of August, 1969:

Balance Brought Forward	\$2636.32
Total Deposits	1900.00
Total Checks drawn	1095.90
Balance at End of Month	2440.42

Pertinent Checks drawn:

- a) Check #279, dated August 26, 1969 for \$250.00, payable to the November Action - Washington.

All of the checks issued against this account were signed by SIDNEY M. PECK.

In addition the checking account for subject and his wife LOUISE #20232455 showed the following activity during August, 1969.

Balance Brought Forward	\$ 657.31
Total deposits	1226.87
Total checks drawn	1198.64
Balance at end of month	684.28

No pertinent checks were drawn against this account and all checks were signed by LOUISE PECK.

The above information is not to be made public except after the issuance of a subpoena duces tecum directed to Mr. F.B. MILLER, Assistant Auditor, CMB, Cleveland, Ohio.

Source: CV T-15

On November 20, 1969, source indicated that subject's checking account #20239654 maintained at the CMB, Cleveland, Ohio, had the following activity during the months of October and November, 1969:

Balance Brought Forward	\$2452.70
Total Deposits	1349.00
Total amount of checks	2356.78
Balance at End of Month	1444.92

Pertinent checks

- a) Check #363, dated October 20, 1969 for \$250.00 payable to "The Big Us".
- b) Check #359, dated October 17, 1969, for \$25.00 payable to the Chicago Defense Fund.
- c) Check #361, dated October 20, 1969 for \$10.00 payable to the Student Mobilization Committee, Washington, D.C.
- d) Check #368, dated October 27, 1969, for \$1,000 payable to Student Mobilization Committee ~~NEW YORK~~ New York.

All checks drawn during this period were signed by SIDNEY M. PECK.

In addition the checking account for subject and his wife LOUISE #20232455 at the CMB, Cleveland, Ohio, had the following activity during the months of October and November, 1969:

Balance Brought Forward	\$1219.95
Total deposits	2053.41
Total amount of checks	2376.14
Balance at end of month	897.22

All checks were signed by LOUISE PECK, but there were no pertinent ones.

The above information is not to be made public except after the issuance of a subpoena duces tecum directed to Mr. F.B. MILLER, Assistant Auditor, CMB, Cleveland, Ohio.

Source: CV T-14

On October 17, 1969 source advised that the checking account for the subject #20239654 maintained at the CMB, Cleveland, Ohio had the following activity during the month of October, 1969:

Balance Brought Forward	\$2440.02
Total Deposits	378.45
Total Checks drawn	366.17
Balance at End of Month	2452.70

Pertinent checks:

- a) Check #291, dated September 11, 1969 for \$25.00 payable to "FTA".
- b) Check #297, dated October 3, 1969 for \$50.00, payable to the BPP.

All checks drawn against this account were signed by SIDNEY M. PECK.

In addition the checking account for subject and his wife LOUISE, #20232455 at the CMB, Cleveland, Ohio, had the following activity in October, 1969.


Balance Brought Forward	\$684.28
-------------------------	----------

CV 100-26799

Total Deposits	\$2303.20
Total checks drawn	1767.53
Balance at End of Month	1219.95

There were no pertinent checks drawn and all checks were signed by LOUISE PECK.

The above information is not to be made public except after the issuance of a subpoena duces tecum directed to Mr. F.B. MILLER, Assistant Auditor, CNB, Cleveland, Ohio.



OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY ATTORNEY GENERAL
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20530

FBI

NOV 19 1975

TO: John A. Mintz, Assistant Director
Legal Counsel Division
Federal Bureau of Investigation

FROM: Michael E. Shaheen, Jr.
Special Counsel for Intelligence
Coordination

SUBJECT: Senate Select Committee Request

Attached is a letter from the Senate Select Committee dated November 18, 1975, enclosing lists indicating the categories of documents the SSC wishes to review in the files of Gary Rowe and Mary Jo Cook. This material is requested by Thursday, November 20. Please prepare an appropriate response to this request as soon as possible.

cc: Paul Daly

ST 115

1- ENCLOSURE

REC-30

62-116395-1092

15 NOV 26 1975

ALL FBI INFORMATION CONTAINED
HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED
DATE 11/6/2000 BY SP8 ALM/jm-f

MOR-16

62-116395

79 DEC 2 1975



NOV 19 1975

TO: John A. Mintz, Assistant Director
Legal Counsel Division
Federal Bureau of Investigation

FROM: Michael E. Shaheen, Jr.
Special Counsel for Intelligence
Coordination

SUBJECT: Senate Select Committee Request

Attached is a letter from the Senate Select Committee dated November 18, 1975, enclosing lists indicating the categories of documents the SSC wishes to review in the files of Gary Rowe and Mary Jo Cook. This material is requested by Thursday, November 20. Please prepare an appropriate response to this request as soon as possible.

cc: Paul Daly

1-111 RAC
11-25-75
ALL FBI INFORMATION CONTAINED
HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED
DATE 11/6/00 BY SP-8mm/jmf
MOR-16

FRANK CHURCH, IDAHO, CHAIRMAN
JOHN G. TOWER, TEXAS, VICE CHAIRMAN

PHILIP A. HART, MICH.
WALTER F. MONDALE, MINN.
WALTER D. HUDDLESTON, KY.
ROBERT MORGAN, N.C.
GARY HART, COLO.

HOWARD H. BAKER, JR., TENN.
BARRY GOLDWATER, ARIZ.
CHARLES MCC. MATHIAS, JR., MD.
RICHARD S. SCHWEIKER, PA.

WILLIAM G. MILLER, STAFF DIRECTOR
FREDERICK A. O. SCHWARZ, JR., CHIEF COUNSEL
CURTIS R. SMOTHERS, MINORITY COUNSEL

United States Senate

SELECT COMMITTEE TO
STUDY GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS WITH
RESPECT TO INTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES
(PURSUANT TO S. RES. 21, 94TH CONGRESS)

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20510

November 18, 1975


Michael E. Shaheen, Esq.
Special Counsel for Intelligence Coordination
Office of the Deputy Attorney General
U. S. Department of Justice
Washington, D. C. 20530

Dear Mike:

In response to Paul Daley's request, I am enclosing lists which indicate the categories of documents we wish to review in the files of Gary Rowe and Mary Jo Cook. These files were requested in my letters to you of November 7 and November 13, 1975. The categories listed are related to the Committee's investigation of the FBI's use of these informants and to their testimony, as well as that of Bureau officials at public hearings before the Committee on December 2, 1974.

In view of the depositions we are taking this week of the handling agents for these informants, it is essential that these documents be made available as soon as possible, preferably no later than Thursday, November 20.

Sincerely,



John Elliff
Director
Domestic Intelligence
Task Force


ALL FBI INFORMATION CONTAINED
HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED
DATE 11/6/2000 BY SP2 ALM/lmf
MDR-16

cc: Paul V. Daly
Office of Congressional Affairs
Federal Bureau of Investigation

Enclosure

62-116395-109

ENCLOSURE



Categories of Documents
Gary Rowe

All documents specified in the Committee's request of November 7, 1975, which relate to:

- a. The planning for and the encounter involving the Ku Klux Klan, the Birmingham Police Department, and Freedom Riders on or about May 1961 (Mother's Day incident, 1961).
- b. Impending or potential Klan violence.
- c. Rowe's participation in violent activity.
- d. The killing of Mrs. V. Liuzzo.
- e. Political activity or positions of candidates for local, state or federal office of the Ku Klux Klan.
- f. Activities to disrupt or harass the Ku Klux Klan, whether under the cointel program or otherwise.
- g. Guidance, directions, instructions, or suggestions given to Rowe by the FBI relating to the above categories (a-f).
- h. Payments of money to, or other financial arrangements between Rowe, the FBI, and the Justice Department from March 25, 1966, to December 31, 1966.

Categories of Documents
Mary Jo Cooke

All documents specified in the Committee's request of November 13, 1975, which relate to:

- a. The intelligence, investigative or other purpose which Cook's informant activity was to serve.
- b. The political activity or positions of the Vietnam Veterans Against the War, including but not limited to the following:
 - (1) Termination of the Vietnam War.
 - (2) U. S. Armed Forces discharge policy.
 - (3) Medical or other assistance to Veterans.
 - (4) Prison reform.
- c. Legal defense information, whether within the attorney-client privilege or otherwise.

1 - Mr. W. R. Wannall
1 - Mr. W. O. Cregar
1 - Mr. F. J. Cassidy

Mr. W. R. Wannall

11/20/75

F. J. Cassidy

1 - Mr. D. Ryan

COINTELPROS

This is to advise of information furnished to the Senate Select Committee (SSC) on 11/13/75, documenting information previously provided to the Committee indicating our Cointelpro efforts had phased down prior to formal discontinuance of the programs on 4/28/71.

On 11/7/75, Barbara Banoff of the SSC staff advised the Committee had reviewed the exhibits in the Petersen Report on FBI Cointelpros in an effort to determine if Cointelpro actions had been phased down as indicated by SA David Ryan in his deposition to the Committee in 10/75. Banoff stated SSC staff members had reviewed all proposals for Cointelpro action in the Communist Party and New Left programs, as reported in the Petersen Committee Report exhibits, and these verified the fact these two programs had been considerably phased down prior to 4/71. She stated the Petersen Report exhibits did not list the dates of activities for proposals in the Black Nationalist, White Hate, and Socialist Workers Party programs and requested this be furnished to the Committee. According to Banoff, this information would be broken down for each of the five programs to show how many actions were instituted in each quarterly period during the life of the individual programs, and that a chart would be prepared for the Committee's use.

After obtaining information from the IS-1 and the IS-2 Sections (enclosed), the requested data for the additional three programs was furnished to Banoff on 11/13/75. These charts do indicate the programs had phased down considerably prior to their formal termination in 1971.

ACTION:

None. For information.

Enclosures

62-116009

1 - 62-116395 (Sens study)

DR:lfj

(6)

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED
HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED
DATE 11/1/00 BY SP2 RUM/inf

MDR-16

NOT RECORDED

46 DEC 1 1975

ORIGINAL FILED IN 62-116009-119



SECRET

OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY OF THE TREASURY
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20220

November 19, 1975

Dear Mr. Elliff:

This refers to your request for information concerning participation by the Customs Service in a covert foreign intelligence operation of the FBI which relates to "denied areas" immigrants to the United States who decide to return to their country of origin or to some other "denied area." I understand from our conversation that the intelligence manual of the FBI refers to a procedure in which the FBI would request Customs officials to examine or to facilitate the FBI inspection of the outgoing baggage of such individuals. This appears to be a clandestine effort to determine if such "defecting emigrants" are transporting the products or other evidence of espionage or if they are being coerced into leaving the United States.

As I mentioned in our brief telephone conversation yesterday, Customs has advised me that it has no program for assisting the FBI in the inspection of outgoing baggage and has not been approached, at the Headquarters level, for this type of assistance. Its concern is with incoming baggage, and Customs doubts that it could segregate a particular individual's outgoing bag for inspection.

The Customs Service acknowledges that an FBI request for assistance could have been made with an individual Customs official at the local office level without the knowledge of Customs Headquarters

ST 115

REC-30

62-116395-1091

15 NOV 26 1975

SECRET
FBI

CLASSIFIED BY _____
EXEMPT FROM GENERAL DECLASSIFICATION
SCHEDULE OF EXECUTIVE ORDER 11652
EXEMPTION CATEGORY 5B(2)&(3)
AUTOMATICALLY DECLASSIFIED ON _____
Impossible to Determine

ENCLOSURE

SECRET

ALL FBI INFORMATION CONTAINED
HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED
DATE 11/2/00 BY SP2 am/jmf
mbr-16

FIVE


SECRET

- 2 -

There is, however, no indication that such local arrangements have been made.

If I can be of any further assistance in this matter, please advise me.

Sincerely,



J. Robert McBrien
Special Assistant for Special
Legislation and Projects

Mr. John T. Elliff
Director
Domestic Intelligence Task Force
Select Committee Study Governmental
Operations with Respect to Intelligence Activities
United States Senate
Washington, D. C. 20510

SECRET

DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY CLASSIFIED DOCUMENT ACCOUNTABILITY RECORD	One copy of this form must remain affixed to document(s) transmitted herewith.		DATE OF DISPATCH
	DATE RECEIVED	SUSPENSE DATE	LOG NO.

SECTION A — GENERAL

TO: Mr. Cregar	FROM: J. Robert McBrien
--------------------------	-----------------------------------

CONTROL OR FILE NO.	CLASSIFICATION	DATE OF DOCUMENT	DESCRIPTION (Identify Items such as Report, Letter, Memo, Etc. Unclassified Subject or Short Title, Copy Number and Number of Attachments)	ORIGINATOR	NO. OF COPIES
	secret	11/19/75	Letter to John T. Elliff of the Senate Select Committee from McBrien	McBrien	1

SECTION B — INTERNAL ROUTING

TO	COPY NO.	DATE	PRINTED NAME	SIGNATURE
1.				
2.				
3.				
4.				
5.				

SECTION C — REPRODUCTION AUTHORITY

NO. OF COPIES REPRODUCED	AUTHORIZED BY (Printed or typed name)	SIGNATURE	DATE

SECTION D — DESTRUCTION CERTIFICATE

MATERIAL DESCRIBED HEREIN HAS BEEN (Check appropriate block): <input type="checkbox"/> DESTROYED <input type="checkbox"/> TORN AND PLACED IN A CLASSIFIED WASTE CONTAINER (Burn bag)		DESTRUCTION RECORD NO.	PAGE OR COPY NO.
PRINTED NAME OF CERTIFYING/DESTRUCTION OFFICIAL		SIGNATURE	DATE
PRINTED NAME OF WITNESSING OFFICIAL		SIGNATURE	DATE

SECTION E — TRACER ACTION

☐ SIGNED COPY OF RECEIPT FOR MATERIAL DESCRIBED ABOVE HAS NOT BEEN RECEIVED.
PLEASE ACCOMPLISH APPROPRIATE SPACE IN SECTION F AND RETURN.

SECTION F — RECEIPT ACTION

<input type="checkbox"/> DOCUMENT(S) HAVE NOT BEEN RECEIVED	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> RECEIPT OF DOCUMENT(S) ACKNOWLEDGED
(Typed or printed name)	(Signature)
	11/20/75 (Date)

REMARKS:

to: Mr. William Chagar

Department
of the Treasury

Office of
Assistant Secretary
(Enforcement, Operations
& Tariff Affairs)

room: 4063 date: 11/19/75

15
0 Sens Today - 1975 -
Re: Church's Intelligence Committee

Attached is a copy of the question and answer with reference to the FBI which I mentioned in my phone call yesterday with Mr. Andrew J. Duffin of your office. Relevant parts are marked. This has gone forward as a small part of the Customs Service's first report to the Church Committee. For your information, a copy of our transmittal letter is also attached plus our answer to another question which may eventually require our further coordination.

DS

3-ENCLOSURE

B. H. McT...

Special Assistant for
Special Legislation
& Projects

5-11/18
J. Robert McBrien
room 1134
ext. 2639, 2745

REC-30

62-116395-1090

ST 125
ALL FBI INFORMATION CONTAINED
HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED
DATE *11/18/00* BY *SP8 DUM/mc*

15 NOV 26 1975

79 DEC 21 1975

MOR-16

HSC file

A.12. What is the authority and procedure for inspections on behalf of another agency such as CIA, FBI, DEA, IRS, Postal Service, and Internal Security Division?

The US Customs Service has the authority under 19 U.S.C. 1499 to examine all merchandise arriving in the United States. We believe this authority encompasses and authorizes Customs to conduct inspections at the request of the CIA, since the statute states that imported merchandise "shall not be delivered from Customs custody, except under such bond or other security as may be prescribed by the Secretary of the Treasury to assure compliance with all applicable laws, regulations and instructions which the Secretary of the Treasury or the Customs Service is authorized to enforce" 50 U.S.C. 403 authorizes Federal agencies to cooperate with the CIA. There are no established procedures for Customs inspections carried on at the behest of the CIA. Any request would be handled on a case by case basis.

→ The language of 19 U.S.C. 1499 as cited above may also, in our opinion, be potentially applicable in connection with the FBI examination of imported shipments. We are unaware of any established procedure for Customs inspections carried on at the behest of the FBI. Any request would be handled on a case by case basis. ←

The US Customs Service shares with DEA enforcement responsibility respecting certain controlled substances the importation of which is prohibited by 21 U.S.C. 952. 19 U.S.C. 482 and 1582 provide the authority for the Customs search of persons and baggage. Final operating procedures between DEA and Customs have never been formalized. Attachment A is the interim Customs-DEA operating procedures issued by the US Customs Service, Attachment B is the interim Customs-DEA operation procedures issued by DEA.

Customs assists IRS in enforcing the Currency and Foreign Transactions Reporting Act, 31 U.S.C. 1051 et seq. The Treasury Department Regulations issued to implement 31 U.S.C. 1051 et seq., Part 103 of Title 31 CFR, require that reports be filed with the Commissioner of Customs by individuals engaged in transporting currency or other monetary instruments in excess of \$5,000. These reports must be filed upon entry into or departure from the United States of such an individual. The provisions of 19 U.S.C. 482 and 1582 are used to search persons and baggage arriving from foreign countries in order to ascertain compliance with many Federal and state laws of which The Currency and Foreign Transactions Reporting Act is one.

ALL FBI INFORMATION CONTAINED
HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED
DATE 11/8/2000 BY SP2 PUM/lmf
MOR-16

ENCLOSURE

62-116395-1090

With respect to inspections on behalf of the Postal Service, we are unaware of any, however, please see the response to question B.16 for mail search procedures.

With respect to requests from the Internal Security Division (now in the Criminal Division), Department of Justice, for Customs to conduct an inspection, we are not aware of any such requests, nor are there any procedures established for honoring such requests.



THE GENERAL COUNSEL OF THE TREASURY
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20220

NOV 18 1975

Dear Mr. Chairman:

By letter of July 31, 1975, to Mr. Vernon D. Aeres, Commissioner of Customs, you submitted on behalf of the Select Committee an initial request for information and documents relating to the U.S. Customs Service. By letter of August 14, Mr. John T. Elliff, Director of the Committee's Domestic Intelligence Task Force, advised Mr. J. Robert McBrien of the Treasury Department that certain questions were of priority interest. The documents enclosed and forwarded herewith have been assembled by the Customs Service in response to the designated priority items. Part A contains responses to General Requests 1, 7--10, 12 and 13. Part B responds to Specific Requests 1--9, 11, 16, 19 and 20.

Please note that discussions between Messrs. Elliff and McBrien have resulted in this clarification of the meaning of "intelligence activities:"

- National security intelligence activities:
domestic or foreign information gathering by Customs or the facilitation by Customs of information gathering by other agencies for national security purposes;
- Law enforcement intelligence activities:
domestic or foreign information gathering by Customs which relates to accumulating a body of preventive knowledge or general background knowledge on areas of criminal enterprise (e.g., organized crime, narcotics trafficking) as contrasted with investigations of specifically alleged offenses (criminal cases).

ENCLOSURE

62-116395-1090

With these differences in mind, we believe it is important to recognize that the "law enforcement intelligence activities" of the Customs Service do not constitute a category of endeavor distinct and separate from the investigation of criminal cases and the border and port-of-entry inspection functions. For the most part, these activities are not readily distinguishable; and, in fact, criminal case investigations which do not produce some "law enforcement intelligence" are rare indeed. The General Requests under Part A have, therefore, been answered with due consideration to these factors.

The Customs Service has advised us that responses to the questions of secondary priority will be forthcoming shortly. We hope that the enclosed materials and our forthcoming responses will be helpful to the Select Committee, and we will be pleased to discuss further any of the matters raised by the Committee's request.

Sincerely,

(Signed) Richard R. Albrecht

Richard R. Albrecht
General Counsel

Honorable Frank Church
Chairman, Select Committee to Study
Governmental Operations with Respect
to Intelligence Activities
United States Senate
Washington, D. C.

Enclosures

B.11. Under what circumstances, if any, have Customs Service facilities been made available to agencies of the national security intelligence community?

As it has in the past, Customs continues to cooperate with and assist other Federal agencies, including those of the national security intelligence community, in the performance and enforcement of their statutory responsibilities on those occasions when these agencies request the assistance of the Customs Service. At least one significant example of this cooperation has been the subject of interviews by the Committee's Domestic Intelligence Task Force with a number of present and former Customs employees.

to: Bill Cuz Department of the Treasury
Office of Assistant Secretary
(Enforcement, Operations & Tariff Affairs)
room: _____ date: 4/19

Bill —
this reference in
B-11 is not to any
Customs-FBI endeavor.

Beb McBrien

Special Assistant for
Special Legislation
& Projects
J. Robert McBrien
room 1134
ext. 2639, 2745

2 - Mr. J. A. Mintz
(1 - Mr. J. B. Hotis)
1 - Mr. W. R. Wannall
1 - Mr. F. J. Cassidy
1 - Mr. W. O. Cregar
November 3, 1975

The Attorney General

Director, FBI

U. S. SENATE SELECT COMMITTEE
ON INTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES (SSC)
62-116395

U. S. HOUSE SELECT COMMITTEE
ON INTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES (HSC)
62-116464

Enclosed for your approval and forwarding to the SSC and HSC are two original memoranda, designated for the SSC and HSC respectively, which effect transmittal of a jurisdiction study, dated October 28, 1975, entitled "An Analysis of FBI Domestic Security Intelligence Investigations; Authority, Official Attitudes, and Activities in Historic Perspective."

A copy of this study was furnished to you by my letter, dated November 3, 1975, captioned "FBI Jurisdiction in the Intelligence Field," which also enclosed a copy for the White House. 62-116395-1849

This study is being furnished to the SSC and HSC in line with their prior requests for all memoranda concerning the legal authority of the FBI to conduct security investigations.

A copy of these memoranda are enclosed for your records.

15 NOV 26 1975

Assoc. Dir. _____

Dep. AD Adm. _____

Dep. AD Inv. _____

Asst. Dir.:

Admin. _____

Comp. Syst. _____

Ext. Affairs _____

Files & Com. _____

Gen. Inv. _____

Ident. _____

Inspection _____

Intell. _____

Laboratory _____

Plan. & Eval. _____

Spec. Inv. _____

Training _____

Legal Coun. _____

Telephone Rm. _____

Director Sec'y _____

Enclosures (4) ENCLOSURE
1 - The Deputy Attorney General
Attention: Michael E. Shaheen, Jr.
Special Counsel for
Intelligence Coordination

AJD:1hb/lhb
(10)

SECRET MATERIAL ATTACHED

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED
HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED

DATE 11/3/00 BY SP8 NMF/mj
MOR-16

MAIL ROOM ☐

TELETYPE UNIT ☐

2 - Mr. S. A. Mintz
(1 - Mr. J. B. Hotis)
1 - Mr. W. R. Wannall
1 - Mr. F. J. Cassidy
1 - Mr. W. O. Cregar

62-116395

November 5, 1975

U. S. SENATE SELECT COMMITTEE TO
STUDY GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS WITH
RESPECT TO INTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES (SSC)

RE: FBI JURISDICTION IN THE
INTELLIGENCE FIELD

The purpose of this memorandum is to effect delivery of a jurisdiction study, dated October 28, 1975, entitled "An Analysis of FBI Domestic Security Intelligence Investigations; Authority, Official Attitudes, and Activities in Historic Perspective."

This study is being furnished in line with your prior request for information concerning the authority of the FBI to conduct security investigations.

1 - The Attorney General

AJD:1hb/hb

(8)

ORIGINAL AND ONE COPY TO AG

NOTE:

Study, entitled "An Analysis of FBI Domestic Security Intelligence Investigations; Authority, Official Attitudes, and Activities in Historic Perspective," prepared by INTD, IS-3 Section, and sent to Department by letter dated 11/3/75.

Assoc. Dir. _____
Dep. AD Adm. _____
Dep. AD Inv. _____
Asst. Dir.:
Admin. _____
Comp. Syst. _____
Ext. Affairs _____
Files & Com. _____
Gen. Inv. _____
Ident. _____
Inspection _____
Intell. _____
Laboratory _____
Plan. & Eval. _____
Spec. Inv. _____
Training _____
Legal Coun. _____
Telephone Rm. _____
Director Sec'y _____

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED
HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED
DATE 11/3/2000 BY SP0/AM/DMC

MDR-16

~~SECRET MATERIAL ATTACHED~~

MAIL ROOM ☐ TELETYPE UNIT ☐

ENCLOSURE

GPO : 1975 O - 569-920

5-140 (Rev. 1-21-74) FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

WASHINGTON, D. C. 20535

Senate Select Committee

Addressee: _____

☐ LTR ☒ LHM ☐ Memo ☐ Report dated 11/5/75

Effect delivery of jurisdiction study dated

Caption of Document: 10/28/75 "An Analysis of FBI
Domestic Security Intelligence Investigations;
Authority, Official Attitudes, & Activities in
Historic Perspective."

Originating Office: FBI

Delivered by: Richard S. Taylor Date: 11/5/75

Received by: Allen Melsky

Title: Clerk

Return this receipt to the Intelligence Division, FBI

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED
HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED
DATE 11/3/2003 BY SP8/mj/mf
MDR-16

ENCLOSURE 62. 116 395-1089

TO: ~ Intelligence Community Staff
ATTN: Central Index

FROM:

FBI

SUBJECT: Abstract of Information Provided to Select Committees

1. HOW PROVIDED (check appropriate term. If a document was made available
for review but not transmitted, so note.)

2. DATE PROVIDED

☒ DOCUMENT ☐ BRIEFING ☐ INTERVIEW ☐ TESTIMONY ☐ OTHER

11/5/75

3. TO WHOM PROVIDED (check appropriate term; add specific names if appropriate)

☒ SSC☒ HSC4. IDENTIFICATION (provide descriptive data for documents; give name or identification number of briefer,
interviewee, testifier and subject)

Memorandum and enclosures

5. IN RESPONSE TO (list date and item number if in response to formal request, other-
wise state verbal request of (name), initiative, subpoena, etc.)

Prior request for legal authority

6. CLASSIFICATION OF
INFORMATION (enter
U, C, S, TS or
Codeword)

S

7. KEY WORDS (enter the appropriate key words from the list provided separately; if key words not listed are
used underline for emphasis)

Operating Procedures

8. SUMMARY (see reverse side before completing this item)

Jurisdiction study, dated 10/28/75 entitled "An analysis of FBI
Domestic Security Intelligence Investigations; Authority,
Official Attitudes and Activities in Historic Perspective."

62-116395

62-116464

FMK: fmk

(4)

ORIGINAL VIA LIAISON TO CENTRAL COMMUNITY INDEX
IN CONNECTION WITH SENSTUDY AND HOUSTUDY

TREAT AS YELLOW

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED
HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED
DATE 11/3/2000 BY SP2 RUM/inf

INSTRUCTIONS

- Type or print clearly in ink.
- Indicate classification of the abstract top and bottom.
- Date the abstract and put on any internal control numbers required.
- "FROM" entry should clearly identify the organization providing the information.
- If additions (as when a copy of document sent to SSC is later sent to HSC) or changes to a previously submitted form are necessary, submit a copy of the original abstract, with the change indicated.

SPECIFIC ITEM NO. 8. SUMMARY - enter brief narrative statement describing substance of information and showing relationship to Intelligence Community matters if appropriate. Any feedback or evidence of investigatory interests should be noted. Commitments made to supply additional information should be noted. Additionally, certain administrative information may be entered here, e.g., restrictions on review of a document, if document was paraphrased, whether interviewee is current or former employee, etc. If actual document or transcript is provided, that fact should be noted and no summary is required. Additional pages may be attached if necessary.

1 - Mr. J. B. Adams
1 - Mr. J. A. Mintz
1 - Mr. W. R. Wannall
1 - Mr. W. A. Branigan
1 - Mr. W. O. Cregar

November 3, 1975

The Attorney General

Director, FBI

1 - Mr. S. F. Phillips

U. S. SENATE SELECT COMMITTEE
ON INTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES (SSC)

Reference is made to my letter to you dated August 7, 1975, furnishing a memorandum of same date reporting the results of an interview by an SSC representative of former Inspector Donald E. Moore of the FBI.

Enclosed is the original of a memorandum, with attachment, reporting the results of another interview of Moore by an SSC Staff Member. Also enclosed is a copy of the memorandum, with attachment, for forwarding to Mr. James A. Wilderotter, Associate Counsel to the President.

Enclosures (4)

62-116395

1 - The Deputy Attorney General
Attention: Michael E. Shaheen, Jr.
Special Counsel for
Intelligence Coordination

1 - 67-

ST 115
REC-30 62-116395-1088
(Personnel file former FBI Inspector
Donald E. Moore)

15 NOV 26 1975

Assoc. Dir. _____
Dep. AD Adm. _____
Dep. AD Inv. _____
Asst. Dir.: _____
Admin. _____
Comp. Syst. _____
Ext. Affairs _____
Files & Com. _____
Gen. Inv. _____
Ident. _____
Inspection _____
Intell. _____
Laboratory _____
Plan. & Eval. _____
Spec. Inv. _____
Training _____
Legal Coun. _____
Telephone Rm. _____
Director Sec'y _____

SFP:eks
(11)

ENCLOSURE

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED
HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED
DATE 11/3/2000 BY SP2 RMB/MSB

MDR-16

MAIL ROOM ☐ TELETYPE UNIT ☐

GPO : 1975 O - 569-920

- 1 - Mr. J. B. Adams
- 1 - Mr. J. A. Mintz
- 1 - Mr. W. R. Wannall
- 1 - Mr. W. A. Branigan
- 1 - Mr. W. O. Cregar
- 1 - Mr. S. F. Phillips

62-116395

November 3, 1975

U. S. SENATE SELECT COMMITTEE TO
STUDY GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS WITH
RESPECT TO INTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES (SSC)

RE: INTERVIEW OF FORMER FBI
INSPECTOR DONALD E. MOORE
BY SSC STAFF MEMBER

By FBI memorandum dated August 7, 1975, there were reported the results of an interview of former FBI Inspector Donald E. Moore by an SSC Staff Member on July 28, 1975.

Attached hereto is a copy of a memorandum voluntarily furnished by Moore reporting an interview of him on October 1, 1975, by SSC Staff Member James Dick.

Moore orally advised this Bureau of the following additional information, more fully explaining that in paragraph one of his memorandum. Moore was put under oath by Senator Walter D. Huddleston, a Member of the SSC. This took place in the Senator's office, after which Moore and Dick retired to the former Carroll Arms Hotel where the actual interview took place, not in the presence of Senator Huddleston or any other Senator.

Enclosure

Assoc. Dir. _____

Dep. AD Adm. _____

Dep. AD Inv. _____

Asst. Dir.:

Admin. _____

Comp. Syst. _____

Ext. Affairs _____

Files & Com. _____

Gen. Inv. _____

Ident. _____

Inspection _____

Intell. _____

Laboratory _____

Plan. & Eval. _____

Spec. Inv. _____

Training _____

Legal Coun. _____

Telephone Rm. _____

Director Sec'y _____

1 - 67-

(Personnel file former FBI Inspector
Donald E. Moore)

SFP:eks
(10)

SEE NOTE PAGE 2

ORIGINAL AND ONE COPY TO AG

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED
HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED
DATE 11/3/2000 BY SP2 AMJ/mtc

MAIL ROOM ☐ TELETYPE UNIT ☐

ENCLOSURE

SENATE SELECT COMMITTEE ON INTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES (SSC)

RE: INTERVIEW OF FORMER FBI INSPECTOR BY SSC

NOTE:

Oral information mentioned above was furnished by
Moore to W. O. Cregar of the SENSUDY 75 Project, on 10/3/75.

Interview of Donald E. Moore by Mr. James Dick
of the Senate Select Committee Staff

After being sworn by Senator Huddleston, I was interviewed under oath by Mr. James Dick in the Old Carroll Arms Hotel on 1 October 1975. The interview started at approximately 1020 hours and lasted until 1345.

During the interview I was shown numerous Bureau documents and asked questions about them. Some of the meetings described in the documents I had no recollection about but I answered all the questions to the best of my ability.

I said that Mr. Hoover had personally approved the various mail programs. On some memoranda which did not go to Mr. Hoover's office and were signed off by Mr. Belmont I said I thought this was because Mr. Hoover had approved the program either orally or in a prior memorandum and therefore I thought Mr. Belmont did not send the subsequent memorandum in to Mr. Hoover.

I was asked if any Attorney General ever was informed of the mail program and I advised that the only one I personally knew was advised was Attorney General Katzenback. I explained that sometime in 1965 I received a phone call from Mr. Belmont on a Saturday morning and he asked me to come to his office as we needed to see the Attorney General with regard to some testimony the previous day before a subcommittee chaired by Senator Long of Missouri. Mr. Belmont, in his office, advised me that Chief Postal Inspector Henry Montague had appeared before the Long Committee and had been told before his testimony not to answer any questions that might relate to national security matters. When he was asked whether mail ever left the custody of the Post Office Department he answered no as he believed to decline to answer would be tantamount to a yes and could affect national security. Mr. Belmont talked to Mr. Tolson by phone and thereafter we met the Attorney General in his office. Courtney Evans, former Bureau Assistant Director and then a Special Assistant to the AG, was also present but Mr. Dick did not

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED
HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED
DATE 11/3/2000 BY SP2 SIM/mtf
m02-16

ask me who was present and I did not volunteer Courtney's name. Either Mr. Montague had contacted the AG or Mr. Belmont had mentioned the matter to him for as I recall the AG was aware of the problems when we arrived. After discussing the matter, as I recall the AG said he would try to see Senator Long to get the matter resolved. Mr. Dick asked if we told the AG we were opening the mail we received from the Post Office and I said I could not specifically recall this. He asked if we would have advised the AG if he had asked and I said yes. As to whether I learned later if the AG ever discussed this with Mr. Hoover and if we were advised to discontinue I said I knew of no such discussion, if one took place, and we were not told by Mr. Hoover to discontinue until mid-1966. I also did not know if the AG saw Senator Long.

Mr. Dick asked about the Baltch case and if I had informed former Assistant Attorney General Yeagley about mail intercepts in the case and I said I had. He asked if Mr. Yeagley had informed the Attorney General and I said I did not know but I believe that Attorney General Kennedy was advised by the Bureau later. As I recall when trial started the US Attorney had made a statement that required the government to either amend the statement or drop the case and after Courtney Evans, then still in the Bureau, had advised the AG of the mail intercept the AG decided the case should be dropped. Mr. Dick asked if I was aware of a briefing Attorney General Kennedy received in the New York office and if I knew whether the mail programs were discussed. I said I remembered the briefing, understood it was very comprehensive, but I do not know if it covered the mail programs.

Mr. Dick asked if I knew whether any other Attorneys General had been advised of the Bureau's mail programs and I said I did not know of any. I also did not know of any Postmaster General who had been advised, or Presidents.

With regard to the Hunter Project I said I had been aware of it from the time the FBI started receiving material from it. I could not recall how we were first advised but I believe either the Post Office suggested we go to CIA or the Post Office went to CIA and suggested we be advised. He asked if Mr. Hoover was aware of it and I said he was.

Mr. Dick asked me various questions about my contacts with Mr. Montague, several of which were set forth in the various Bureau memoranda I was shown. With regard to the institution of Z coverage in New York City he asked me if we first made contact with the Chief Postal Inspector here and I said we did. He asked who contacted him and I said I believed Mr. Boardman contacted Mr. Stephens who was then the Chief Postal Inspector. Mr. Dick asked if I had ever contacted Mr. Stephens and I said to the best of my recollection I had never met him and was quite sure I had not contacted him on any mail program. In response to a question as to whether I had ever advised Mr. Montague the FBI opened mail received from the Post Office I said I had not.

I advised Mr. Dick I knew of no mail intercepts by the FBI after 1966 and was quite sure there had been none.

TO: Intelligence Community Staff
ATTN: Central Index

FROM:
FBI

SUBJECT: Abstract of Information Provided to Select Committees

1. HOW PROVIDED (check appropriate term. If a document was made available for review but not transmitted, so note.)

2. DATE PROVIDED

DOCUMENT

BRIEFING

☒

INTERVIEW

TESTIMONY

OTHER

11/3/75

3. TO WHOM PROVIDED (check appropriate term; add specific names if appropriate)

SSC

The Attorney General with a copy for forwarding to the White House.

HSC

4. IDENTIFICATION (provide descriptive data for documents; give name or identification number of briefer, interviewee, testifier and subject)

Memorandum reporting the results of an interview by SSC Staff Member of former Inspector Donald E. Moore.

5. IN RESPONSE TO (list date and item number if in response to formal request, otherwise state verbal request of (name), initiative, subpoena, etc.)

NA

6. CLASSIFICATION OF INFORMATION (enter U, C, S, TS or Codeword)

U

7. KEY WORDS (enter the appropriate key words from the list provided separately; if key words not listed are used underline for emphasis)

**Intelligence collection
Information handling**

8. SUMMARY (see reverse side before completing this item)

Interviewed regarding his knowledge of Mail Openings and if any Attorney General was ever informed of the Mail program.

62-116395

FMK: fmk

(4)

**ORIGINAL VIA LIAISON TO CENTRAL COMMUNITY INDEX
IN CONNECTION WITH SENSTUDY 75**

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED
HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED
DATE 3/2000 BY SP8RNM/lmf

MAR-16

TREAT AS YELLOW

5-2001

INSTRUCTIONS

- Type or print clearly in ink.
- Indicate classification of the abstract top and bottom.
- Date the abstract and put on any internal control numbers required.
- "FROM" entry should clearly identify the organization providing the information.
- If additions (as when a copy of document sent to SSC is later sent to HSC) or changes to a previously submitted form are necessary, submit a copy of the original abstract, with the change indicated.

SPECIFIC ITEM NO. 8. SUMMARY - enter brief narrative statement describing substance of information and showing relationship to Intelligence Community matters if appropriate. Any feedback or evidence of investigatory interests should be noted. Commitments made to supply additional information should be noted. Additionally, certain administrative information may be entered here, e.g., restrictions on review of a document, if document was paraphrased, whether interviewee is current or former employee, etc. If actual document or transcript is provided, that fact should be noted and no summary is required. Additional pages may be attached if necessary.

- 1 - Mr. B. Adams
- 1 - Mr. J. A. Mintz
- 1 - Mr. W. R. Wannall
- 1 - Mr. W. A. Branigan
- 1 - Mr. W. O. Cregar

November 3, 1975

- 1 - Mr. S. F. Phillips

The Attorney General

Director, FBI

U. S. SENATE SELECT COMMITTEE
ON INTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES (SSC)

Enclosed is the original of a memorandum, with attachment, reporting the results of an interview of FBI Special Agent Homer W. Schweppe by an SSC Staff Member. Also enclosed is a copy of the memorandum, with attachment, for forwarding to Mr. James A. Wilderotter, Associate Counsel to the President.

Enclosures (4)

62-116395

1 - The Deputy Attorney General
Attention: Michael E. Shaheen, Jr.
Special Counsel for
Intelligence Coordination

1 - 67- (Personnel File SA Homer W. Schweppe)

SFP:1hb /hb
(11)

ST. 115

SECRET MATERIAL ATTACHED

2 - ENCLOSURE

REC-30

62-116395-1087

15 NOV 26 1975

- Assoc. Dir. _____
- Dep. AD Adm. _____
- Dep. AD Inv. _____
- Asst. Dir.: _____
- Admin. _____
- Comp. Syst. _____
- Ext. Affairs _____
- Files & Com. _____
- Gen. Inv. _____
- Ident. _____
- Inspection _____
- Intell. _____
- Laboratory _____
- Plan. & Eval. _____
- Spec. Inv. _____
- Training _____
- Legal Coun. _____
- Telephone Rm. _____
- Director Sec'y _____

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED
HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED
DATE 11/13/00 BY SP4 DM/AM

MDR-16

MAIL ROOM ☒ TELETYPE UNIT ☐

1 - Mr. J. A. Adams
1 - Mr. J. A. Mintz
1 - Mr. W. R. Wannall
1 - Mr. W. A. Branigan
1 - Mr. W. O. Cregar
1 - Mr. S. F. Phillips

62-116395

November 3, 1975

U. S. SENATE SELECT COMMITTEE TO
STUDY GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS WITH
RESPECT TO INTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES (SSC)

RE: INTERVIEW OF FBI SPECIAL AGENT
(SA) HOMER W. SCHWEPPE BY AN
SSC STAFF MEMBER

The following concerns an interview of FBI
SA Homer W. Schweppe by an SSC Staff Member.

On September 16, 1975, SSC Staff Member Paul
Wallach advised the Legal Counsel Division of this Bureau
that he desired to interview Schweppe concerning his
knowledge of mail openings. Pursuant to this request,
the FBI waived Schweppe's confidentiality agreement for
the purpose of the interview on the indicated subject.

Schweppe's report of the results of the interview
is contained in a memorandum dated October 15, 1975, a
copy of which is attached. Schweppe furnished the following
additional information relative to the interview.

In a brief off-the-record exchange between
Schweppe and SSC Staff Member James Dick prior to the
interview, Dick explained that he was interested in
Schweppe's knowledge of the "Hunter Project." When
Schweppe indicated he was not familiar with the term, Dick
consulted his notes to confirm whether Schweppe was, in
fact, the party he intended to interview. Dick's check
corroborated that Schweppe's name had been provided him
by the Department of Justice as an individual with knowledge
of "Hunter" and related projects.

1 - 67- (Personnel File SA Homer W. Schweppe)

SECRET MATERIAL ATTACHED

SFP:1hb (10)

ORIGINAL AND ONE COPY TO AG

Assoc. Dir. _____
Dep. AD Adm. _____
Dep. AD Inv. _____
Asst. Dir.: _____
Admin. _____
Comp. Syst. _____
Ext. Affairs _____
Files & Com. _____
Gen. Inv. _____
Ident. _____
Inspection _____
Intell. _____
Laboratory _____
Plan. & Eval. _____
Spec. Inv. _____
Training _____
Legal Coun. _____
Telephone Rm. _____
Director Sec'y _____

MAIL ROOM ☐

TELETYPE UNIT ☐

ENCLOSURE

SEE NOTE PAGE 2 GPO - 1975 O - 569-920

SENATE SELECT COMMITTEE ON INTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES (SSC)

RE: INTERVIEW OF FBI SPECIAL AGENT (SA) BY SSC

During this initial exchange, Schweppe indicated that his recollection of specifics such as "Exactly when?" and "Did you ever see?" or "When did you first see or learn?" or "How many times did you see?" based on material possibly seen by him over a period of several months as far back as 1958 was limited. Dick agreed and suggested that there really might be no need to continue the interview, but in the end he suggested that since all parties were in place, the interrogation might as well proceed.

Enclosure

NOTE:

Wallach's contact with the Legal Counsel Division was with Supervisor P. V. Daly. The information reported in the LHM which augments that in Schweppe's LHM was derived from WFO airtel 10/15/75, "Senstudy 75," which was the cover submitting Schweppe's LHM.



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Washington, D. C. 20535

October 15, 1975

In Reply, Please Refer to
File No.

~~SECRET~~

UNITED STATES SENATE SELECT COMMITTEE ON
INTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES (SSC)

RE: INTERVIEW OF FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
(FBI) SPECIAL AGENT HOMER W. SCHWEPPE BY
SSC STAFF MEMBER JAMES DICK

On September 23, 1975, after a short orientation discussion and after advising him of his rights under the Constitution and reminding him that his appearance was voluntary, SSC Staff Member James Dick interviewed FBI Special Agent Homer W. Schweppe in the presence of SSC stenotypist Susan Hanback regarding his knowledge of the following: The "Hunter Project," "Bureau Informant 200," "the SAM Survey," "Z Coverage," and opening of mail by FBI employees.

At the outset Dick asked Schweppe to document his FBI service. Schweppe responded by listing the following: Assignments in San Francisco from late 1946 to mid-1947; in Los Angeles from mid-1947 to mid-1951; at FBI Headquarters (FBIHQ) from May, 1951, to May, 1958, and again from late 1960 to November, 1961; in the Washington Field Office (WFO) of the FBI from May, 1958, on, except for the 1960 to 1961 period just cited.

During the course of the interview Schweppe was also asked to indicate the nature of his assignments at FBIHQ. He indicated he was assigned for the main part to units within the Domestic Intelligence Division dealing with Soviet military attache personnel and with members of Elizabeth Bentley's "espionage ring."

This document contains neither
recommendations nor conclusions of
the FBI. It is the property of
the FBI and is loaned to your agency;
it and its contents are not to be
distributed outside your agency.

~~SECRET~~

DECLASSIFIED BY SP2 nm/lms
ON 11/2/2000

MDR-16

Classified by #7366
Exempt from GDS, Category 2
Date of Declassification Indefinite

ENCLOSURE

62-116395-1087



U.S.- SSC ON INTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES

~~SECRET~~

Dick's initial inquiry was directed to the extent of Schweppe's knowledge of the "Hunter Project." When Schweppe conceded he was not familiar with the term, Dick offered as an exhibit for review a copy of a February 6, 1958, memorandum directed to Director Hoover entitled "Hunter Project." This memorandum outlined arrangements to be effected between the FBI and the Central Intelligence Agency (CIA) regarding the manner in which the FBI would be provided the results of CIA's monitoring of certain overseas mail. On review of the document, Schweppe stated he was certain he had never seen that memo, but he acknowledged that on the basis of the memo he had a recollection about such activity by the CIA. Schweppe recalled that at some point prior to his transfer from FBIHQ in May, 1958, and he indicated he felt it must have been earlier than the February 8, 1958, date indicated above, he had pass across his desk in the normal flow of official mail what he referred to as the modern day version of computer printout lists consisting, to the best of his recollection, of names of what were presumed to be Soviet residents as well as addresses in the Soviet Union. Schweppe indicated that he had seen several such lists but since they did not seem applicable to the type of cases being supervised by Schweppe at the time, he paid them little heed. He recalled that at least with some of the later printouts there were instructions accompanying the printouts to the effect that names and/or addresses of interest should be flagged for what was presumed to be a "watch list" of some sort.

Dick asked specifically when Schweppe first became aware of the lists mentioned above; whether he was familiar with FBI Form 5-38, a form prepared for placing a request on the "watch list"; whether he had seen any of the results of the CIA monitoring; and whether he had seen any reproduction of correspondence photographed by CIA in connection with the "Hunter Project."

~~SECRET~~

U.S. SSC ON INTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES

~~SECRET~~

Schweppe replied that he thought the lists he mentioned earlier probably were seen by him before February, 1958, but he acknowledged he could not recall exactly when. He said he could not now recall ever having seen FBI Form 5-88. He replied that, since he felt he had never placed a name or address on the "watch list," he would not have any specific results routed to his personal attention. He added, however, that if the results were routed to all supervisors concerned with Soviet intelligence and related matters, he probably did see such results and routinely initialed the accompanying routing slips. He said he could recall no specific "CIA results" if, in fact, he did see anything specific.

Dick asked whether Schweppe was aware of who designated the routing of the lists he had seen, and Schweppe responded that he assumed it was some individual in the office of the division's Assistant Director who performed such an administrative function. Schweppe said he did not recall a Miss Triplett (phonetic), who, according to Dick, was responsible for routing the "Hunter Project" material to appropriate supervisors. Schweppe said he could have been included in such routing because of his assignment.

Dick then queried Schweppe on his familiarity with the term "Bureau Informant 200" (BI 200), when he first became aware of the term, and whether he ever saw any of the material attributed to that informant. In this connection Dick exhibited another FBIHQ memo dated February 6, 1958, which referred to BI 200 as the designation used by FBIHQ to forward to its field offices information received from CIA under the "Hunter Project." Schweppe was unable to recall exactly when he first became aware of the use and identity of BI 200, but he assumed it was in early 1958. He said he could recall seeing information attributed to BI 200 both while at FBIHQ and in WFO but that he could no longer recall the specific nature of the items involved. He said he presumed that all of them dealt with Soviet-related investigatory matters. Schweppe said he did not believe he has seen any references to BI 200 in the past 15 years or so and that he may have seen as many as five references a year before that.

~~SECRET~~

U.S. SSC ON INTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES

~~SECRET~~

Dick also queried Schweppe about his knowledge of the "SAM Survey" (SAM) and "Z Coverage" (Z). He asked Schweppe whether he knew when "SAM" and "Z" were initiated, what they consisted of, when they ceased, when he first became aware of them, and whether he had seen any results of those projects. Schweppe replied that "SAM" and "Z" were on a need-to-know basis within WFO but that he in time heard about them through office "shop talk" and then concluded that those designations indicated projects in which mail to and/or from the Soviet Union and Soviet bloc countries was monitored. He said he did not know when those projects were initiated or when they terminated. He said that he would have to guess within a tolerance of two or three years that he first heard of those projects in the early 1960s. Schweppe said he was called on from time to time to translate correspondence in German, which correspondence had been photographed by some source not identified initially. Schweppe suggested that on later inquiry about the source of the correspondence he was informed it was either from "SAM" or "Z." He said he was unable to recall specifically who so advised him. He felt it was possible that word was just "passed along" to that effect. He could not recall whether each specific individual item was identified in a particular, special manner so as to tie it in to its source. Schweppe stated that he believes all such material translated by him was directed to officials at the Soviet and/or Soviet bloc embassies in Washington, D. C., and not to any non-diplomatic personnel. Such translation requirements were quite infrequent, and Schweppe was not called on to translate any such material within the past eight or ten years, to the best of his recollection.

Schweppe said it was his impression that "SAM" in New York City was handled in space at one or the other of New York's airports. He said he was unaware of the specific arrangements in Washington, D. C. He related that he was aware that FBI Special Agent John De Bettencourt, since deceased, was directly involved in WFO's "Z Coverage" project but that he did not know specifically to whom De Bettencourt was answerable.

~~SECRET~~

U.S. SSC ON INTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES

~~SECRET~~

Dick asked whether Schweppe was aware of any mail having been opened by FBI employees in situations other than the projects referred to above. Schweppe, after making the observation that Federal law and FBI regulations prohibit such activity, said he himself had never opened anyone's mail in his capacity as an FBI employee, that he never observed any other FBI employee so engaged, and that he personally was not aware of any specific instances in which that might have happened. At this point Schweppe related that while he was assigned to the Los Angeles Office, he suspected that a female employee, whose name he could not recall, might possibly have been engaged in the surreptitious opening of other people's mail because of the suspicious nature of her actions at certain times. Schweppe added that these were suspicions raised by circumstantial evidence only.

Dick then presented a hypothetical situation in which agents on duty might obtain mail posted by an individual and then proceed to open the same and review the contents. He asked whether Schweppe was aware of any such instances. Schweppe acknowledged that the suggested scenario sounded realistic enough but added that he could recall no such actual situations.

During the course of the interview, Schweppe indicated that he had been assigned to Soviet-related investigatory matters in WFO until early 1959, after which he was assigned to the handling of German, Latin American, Middle East, and Chinese investigatory matters at different times.

Schweppe concluded by stating that his knowledge and recollection of the subject matter constituting the basis for the inquiry were weak. He reiterated that he never opened any other person's mail in his capacity as an FBI employee, that he never witnessed any other FBI employee opening any such mail, and that he cannot recall ever being aware of any situation in which mail was opened by FBI employees, exclusive of the "SAM" and "Z" projects mentioned above.

This interview commenced about 10:15 a.m., September 23, 1975, and concluded at about 11:15 p.m. the same day.

TO: Intelligence Community Staff
ATTN: Central Index

FROM:

FBI

SUBJECT: Abstract of Information Provided to Select Committees

1. HOW PROVIDED (check appropriate term. If a document was made available for review but not transmitted, so note.)

2. DATE PROVIDED

DOCUMENT

BRIEFING

☒

INTERVIEW

TESTIMONY

OTHER

11/3/75

3. TO WHOM PROVIDED (check appropriate term; add specific names if appropriate)

SSC

The Attorney General with a copy for forwarding to the White House.

HSC

4. IDENTIFICATION (provide descriptive data for documents; give name or identification number of briefer, interviewee, testifier and subject)

Memorandum reporting results of an interview by SSC Staff Members of Special Agent Homer W. Schweppe.

5. IN RESPONSE TO (list date and item number if in response to formal request, otherwise state verbal request of (name), initiative, subpoena, etc.)

NA

6. CLASSIFICATION OF INFORMATION (enter U, C, S, TS or Codeword)

X

7. KEY WORDS (enter the appropriate key words from the list provided separately; if key words not listed are used underline for emphasis)

**Intelligence collection
Information handling**

8. SUMMARY (see reverse side before completing this item)

Interviewed regarding his knowledge of Mail Openings, "Hunter Project" "Bureau Informant 200", "the SAM Survey" and Z Coverage."

62-116395

FMK:fmk

(4)

**ORIGINAL VIALIABON TO CENTRAL COMMUNITY INDEX
IN CONNECTION WITH SENSTUDY 75**

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED
HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED
DATE 11/2/00 BY SP2 DM/mc
MDR-16

TREAT AS YELLOW

INSTRUCTIONS

- Type or print clearly in ink.
- Indicate classification of the abstract top and bottom.
- Date the abstract and put on any internal control numbers required.
- "FROM" entry should clearly identify the organization providing the information.
- If additions (as when a copy of document sent to SSC is later sent to HSC) or changes to a previously submitted form are necessary, submit a copy of the original abstract, with the change indicated.

SPECIFIC ITEM NO. 8. SUMMARY - enter brief narrative statement describing substance of information and showing relationship to Intelligence Community matters if appropriate. Any feedback or evidence of investigatory interests should be noted. Commitments made to supply additional information should be noted. Additionally, certain administrative information may be entered here, e.g., restrictions on review of a document, if document was paraphrased, whether interviewee is current or former employee, etc. If actual document or transcript is provided, that fact should be noted and no summary is required. Additional pages may be attached if necessary.

2 - Mr. J. A. Mintz
 (1 - Mr. J. B. Hotis)
 1 - Mr. W. R. Wannall
 1 - Mr. W. O. Cregar
 1 - Mr. T. E. Burns

The Attorney General

October 31, 1975

Director, FBI

U. S. SENATE SELECT COMMITTEE
 ON INTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES (SSC)

Reference is made to the letter from the SSC dated October 2, 1975, requesting, inter alia, the series of studies of various aspects of FBI operations which were previously prepared by the FBI at the request of former Deputy Attorney General William D. Ruckelshaus.

The original of a memorandum along with the requested material is being submitted herewith for your approval and forwarding to the Committee in response to the Committee's request in this matter.

A copy of this memorandum is being furnished for your records.

Enclosures (ENCLOSURE)

62 -116395

1 - The Deputy Attorney General
 Attention: Michael E. Shaheen, Jr.
 Special Counsel for
 Intelligence Coordination

15 NOV 26 1975

TEB:ba/en ed
 (9)

Assoc. Dir. _____
 Dep. AD Adm. _____
 Dep. AD Inv. _____
 Asst. Dir.: _____
 Admin. _____
 Comp. Syst. _____
 Ext. Affairs _____
 Files & Com. _____
 Gen. Inv. _____
 Ident. _____
 Inspection _____
 Intell. _____
 Laboratory _____
 Plan. & Eval. _____
 Spec. Inv. _____
 Training _____

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED
 HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED
 DATE 11/3/2006 BY SP8 ALM/lmf

SECRET MATERIAL ATTACHED

DELIVERED TO DEPT. 11-4-75. PWD/40
 delivered to Comm. Rec 11-7-75.

2 - Mr. J. A. Mintz
(1 - Mr. J. B. Hotis)
1 - Mr. W. R. Wannall
1 - Mr. W. O. Cregar
1 - Mr. T. E. Burns

62-116395

October 30, 1975

**U. S. SENATE SELECT COMMITTEE TO
STUDY GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS WITH
RESPECT TO INTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES (SSC)**

**RE: SERIES OF STUDIES PREPARED AT THE REQUEST
OF FORMER DEPUTY ATTORNEY GENERAL
WILLIAM D. RUCKELSHAUS**

Reference is made to SSC letter to the Special Counsel for Intelligence Coordination of the Department of Justice dated October 2, 1975, requesting, inter alia, a series of studies of various aspects of FBI operations previously prepared by the FBI at the request of former Deputy Attorney General William D. Ruckelshaus.

Pursuant to the above SSC request, we are forwarding herewith the requested material. Also included in this material for your ready reference is a copy of the memorandum from Mr. Ruckelshaus to the Director, FBI, dated July 20, 1973, captioned "Substantive Issues Regarding the Future of the FBI," which memorandum initiated the requested studies.

For your further information, it appears from a review of FBI files that of the original 11 areas of inquiry contained in the July 20, 1973, Ruckelshaus memorandum, supra, only eight responses were delivered to the U. S. Department of Justice and that the remaining three responses regarding inquiries numbered two, eight, and 10, were never submitted to the Department. The material being furnished with this memorandum, however, includes responses to all 11 areas of the Ruckelshaus inquiry including those not previously furnished to the Department.

Assoc. Dir. _____
Dep. AD Adm. _____
Dep. AD Inv. _____
Asst. Dir.:
Admin. _____
Comp. Syst. _____
Ext. Affairs _____
Files & Com. _____
Gen. Inv. _____
Ident. _____
Inspection _____
Intell. _____
Laboratory _____
Plan. & Eval. _____
Spec. Inv. _____
Training _____
Legal Coun. _____
Telephone Rm. _____
Director Sec'y _____

TEB:ba/en *en*

(8)

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED
HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED
DATE 11/3/00 BY SP2AM/umf

MOR-16

JAM
get
SECRET MATERIAL ATTACHED

SEE NOTE PAGE TWO

unrec'd
WOC
1086
KB
This document is prepared in response to your request and is not for dissemination outside your Committee. Its use is limited to official proceedings by your Committee and the content may not be disclosed to unauthorized persons without the express approval of the FBI.
MAIL ROOM TELETYPE UNIT

CLOSURE 62-116395-1086

GPO : 1975 O - 569-920

Re: Series of Studies Prepared at the Request
of Former Deputy Attorney General
William D. Ruckelshaus

With respect to inquiry number three of the Ruckelshaus memorandum, two items included in the original response to the Department, viz., Section 87 of the Manual of Instructions, and the study prepared in August, 1972, for former Acting Director L. Patrick Gray III, i.e., the Position Paper prepared by former FBI Section Chief Thomas J. Smith captioned "Domestic Intelligence Division-Scope of FBI Authority, Jurisdiction, and Responsibilities In Domestic Intelligence Investigations," have not been included in the material furnished herewith since both items were previously furnished to the SSC in response to earlier and separate requests.

1 - The Attorney General

NOTE:

Copies of those studies previously furnished to the Department were readily located in appropriate files. However, review of numerous logical files failed to locate any serials revealing a response to the Department relative to inquiries two, eight, and 10 of the 7/20/73 Ruckelshaus memorandum. By memorandum from O. T. Jacobson to Mr. Callahan, 7/5/74, (almost one year later) regarding the status of responses to the Ruckelshaus inquiry, it was noted that response to questions two, eight, and 10, while completed and ready for the Department, had not been furnished to the Department. The memorandum is apparently consistent with another earlier memorandum to W. R. Wannall from T. J. Smith dated 12/14/73, regarding this matter wherein it was suggested that prior to completing any studies which had been ordered by Richardson (former AG) and Ruckelshaus, we should wait for Mr. Saxbe to take office as AG. This memorandum also contained Director's notation "No need to do anything further at this point."

Material prepared in response to questions two, eight, and 10 was located in the IS-3 Section, INTD, and is being included in material furnished to SSC since such material appears to be covered by the SSC request.

5-140 (Rev. 1-21-74) FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
WASHINGTON, D. C. 20535

Addressee: SENATE SELECT COMMITTEE
☐ LTR ☒ LHM ☐ Memo ☐ Report dated 10/31/75

Caption of Document:

U.S. SENATE SELECT COMMITTEE
10/2/75 Request

FBI

Originating Office: _____

Delivered by: Paul M. Kelly Date: 11/7/75

Received by: John E. Smith

Title: _____

Return this receipt to the Intelligence Division, FBI

ENCLOSURE

62-116395-1086

TO: Intelligence Community Staff
ATTN: Central Index

FROM:

FBI

SUBJECT: Abstract of Information Provided to Select Committees

1. HOW PROVIDED (check appropriate term. If a document was made available for review but not transmitted, so note.)

2. DATE PROVIDED

☒ DOCUMENT ☐ BRIEFING ☐ INTERVIEW ☐ TESTIMONY ☐ OTHER

10/31/75

3. TO WHOM PROVIDED (check appropriate term; add specific names if appropriate)

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	SSC
<input type="checkbox"/>	HSC

4. IDENTIFICATION (provide descriptive data for documents; give name or identification number of briefer, interviewee, testifier and subject)

Memorandum and enclosures

5. IN RESPONSE TO (list date and item number if in response to formal request, otherwise state verbal request of (name), initiative, subpoena, etc.)

SSC letter 10/2/75

6. CLASSIFICATION OF INFORMATION (enter U, C, S, TS or Codeword)

S

7. KEY WORDS (enter the appropriate key words from the list provided separately; if key words not listed are used underline for emphasis)

Operating procedures

8. SUMMARY (see reverse side before completing this item)

Materials relating to a series of studies of various aspects of FBI operations previously prepared by the FBI at the request of former Deputy Attorney General William D. Ruckelshaus. Also furnished is a copy of 7/20/73 Ruckelshaus to the Director memorandum captioned "Substantive Issues Regarding The Future of the FBI" which initiated the requested studies.

62-116395

FMK:fmk

(4)

**ORIGINAL VIA LIAISON TO CENTRAL COMMUNITY INDEX
IN CONNECTION WITH SENSTUDY 75**

TREAT AS YELLOW

51 gnd

INSTRUCTIONS

- Type or print clearly in ink.
- Indicate classification of the abstract top and bottom.
- Date the abstract and put on any internal control numbers required.
- "FROM" entry should clearly identify the organization providing the information.
- If additions (as when a copy of document sent to SSC is later sent to HSC) or changes to a previously submitted form are necessary, submit a copy of the original abstract, with the change indicated.

SPECIFIC ITEM NO. 8. SUMMARY - enter brief narrative statement describing substance of information and showing relationship to Intelligence Community matters if appropriate. Any feedback or evidence of investigatory interests should be noted. Commitments made to supply additional information should be noted. Additionally, certain administrative information may be entered here, e.g., restrictions on review of a document, if document was paraphrased, whether interviewee is current or former employee, etc. If actual document or transcript is provided, that fact should be noted and no summary is required. Additional pages may be attached if necessary.

RE - HOUSTUDY 62-116464-

OR

SENSTUDY 62-116395- 1086

NOTE: THIS IS A PERMANENT CHARGE OUT FOR A XEROX COPY/COPIES OF "JUNE" MAIL THAT WAS INCLUDED IN COPIES OF FBI DOCUMENTS FURNISHED TO THE ATTORNEY GENERAL BY MEMO/LETTER DATED 10-31-75 IN RESPONSE TO REQUEST(S) MADE BY EITHER THE U. S. SENATE OR HOUSE SELECT COMMITTEES ON INTELLIGENCE. THE COPY/COPIES OF THE "JUNE" MAIL DATED AS INDICATED BELOW HAS/HAVE BEEN REMOVED FROM THIS ENCLOSURE MATERIAL TO BE FILED IN THE APPROPRIATE HOUSTUDY OR SENSTUDY "JUNE" FILE INDICATED ABOVE, LOCATED IN THE SPECIAL FILE ROOM OF THE RECORDS SECTION.

DATE(S) OF MAIL: 10-2-75REMOVED BY: WardlawDATE REMOVED: 9-28-76

1 - Mr. J. A. Mintz
1 - Mr. W. R. Wannall
1 - Mr. W. O. Cregar
1 - Mr. R. L. Shackelford
1 - Mr. F. J. Cassidy

The Attorney General

October 31, 1975

Director, FBI

1 - Mr. D. K. Pettus

**UNITED STATES SENATE SELECT COMMITTEE
TO STUDY GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS WITH
RESPECT TO INTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES (SSC)**

Reference is made to the letter of the SSC dated October 7, 1975, requesting delivery of certain FBI material pertaining to reasons for the FBI's investigation of Mr. George B. Bowles and Mr. Leon Letwin. Attached for your approval and forwarding to the SSC is an excised memorandum captioned "Leon Letwin," dated May 26, 1964, setting forth reasons for the FBI's investigative interest in Mr. Leon Letwin.

A thorough review of FBI files fails to disclose any material which indicates the FBI had an investigative interest in Mr. Bowles.

A copy of the May 26, 1964, memorandum regarding Letwin is being furnished for your records.

6-ENCLOSURE
3 filed with copy
Enclosures - 4

ST 115 REG-30

62-116395-1085

15 NOV 26 1975

62-116395

~~CONFIDENTIAL MATERIAL ATTACHED~~

Assoc. Dir. _____
Dep. AD Adm. _____
Dep. AD Inv. _____
Asst. Dir.: _____
Admin. _____
Comp. Syst. _____
Ext. Affairs _____
Files & Com. _____
Gen. Inv. _____
Ident. _____
Inspection _____
Intell. _____
Laboratory _____
Plan. & Eval. _____
Spec. Inv. _____
Training _____
Legal Coun. _____
Telephone Rm. _____
Director Sec'y _____

1 - The Deputy Attorney General
Attention: Michael E. Shaheen, Jr.
Special Counsel for
Intelligence Coordination

1 - 62-116009 (Cointelpro)
1 - 100-365437 (Letwin)

DKP:lfj
(12)

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED
HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED
DATE 11/3/2000 BY SP8AM/mc
MOR-16

DELIVERED TO DEPT. 11-4-75 PUD/AD
62-116395-1085-11-5-75
UNRECORDED COPY FILED IN 100-365437-

1 - Mr. J. A. Mintz
 1 - Mr. W. R. Wannall
 1 - Mr. W. O. Cregar
 1 - Mr. R. L. Shackelford
 1 - Mr. F. J. Cassidy
 1 - Mr. D. K. Pettus

62-116395

October 31, 1975

**UNITED STATES SENATE SELECT COMMITTEE
 TO STUDY GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS
 WITH RESPECT TO INTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES (SSC)**

**RE: REQUEST FOR INFORMATION
 CONCERNING MR. LEON LETWIN
 AND MR. GEORGE B. BOWLES**

Reference is made to the letter of the SSC dated October 7, 1975, requesting delivery of FBI material pertaining to reasons for the FBI's investigative interest in Mr. George B. Bowles and Mr. Leon Letwin.

A thorough review of FBI files fails to disclose any material which indicates the FBI had an investigative interest in Mr. Bowles.

In accordance with this request, a memorandum, appropriately excised, captioned "Leon Letwin," dated May 26, 1964, which sets forth reasons for the FBI's investigative interest in Mr. Letwin is being delivered with this memorandum.

Enclosure

1 - The Attorney General

~~CONFIDENTIAL MATERIAL ATTACHED~~

1 - 62-116009 (Cointelpro)
 1 - 100-365437 (Letwin)

DKP:lfj
 (11)

Assoc. Dir. _____
 Dep. AD Adm. _____
 Dep. AD Inv. _____
 Asst. Dir.: _____
 Admin. _____
 Comp. Syst. _____
 Ext. Affairs _____
 Files & Com. _____
 Gen. Inv. _____
 Ident. _____
 Inspection _____
 Intell. _____
 Laboratory _____
 Plan. & Eval. _____
 Spec. Inv. _____
 Training _____
 Legal Coun. _____
 Telephone Rm. _____
 Director Sec'y _____

NOTE:

See letter to the Attorney General dated 10/31/75, captioned "United States Senate Select Committee to Study Governmental Operations with Respect to Intelligence Activities," prepared by DKP:lfj.

Handwritten signatures and initials: jam, WAD, 10/31/75, DR

MAIL ROOM ☐ TELETYPE UNIT ☐

ENCLOSURE

62-116395-108-5 1975-569-020

Mr. Sullivan
1 - Mr. Rachner

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

May 26, 1964

#14612

RE: LEON LETWIN

Reference is made to your communication concerning captioned individual (Information deleted regarding third agency).

Letwin was born on December 29, 1929, at Milwaukee, Wisconsin. He resides with his wife, Alita Letwin, at 20 Egmont Street, Brookline, Massachusetts, and is engaged as a graduate student at Harvard Law School, Cambridge, Massachusetts. He also possesses a teaching fellowship in law at Harvard Law School which is scheduled to expire June 30, 1964. He recently applied for a teaching position on the staff of the University of Toledo Law School, Toledo, Ohio.

Letwin has contributed materially to the communist movement for several years. As early as 1944, when 14 years of age, he became a member of American Youth for Democracy (AYD). He continued active in the AYD, including service in several leadership capacities, until 1950. He became active in the Labor Youth League (LYL) in 1949 and served in several leadership positions within the LYL, including New York State LYL Chairman, from 1949-1957. He was also reported to have been a member of the Communist Party (CP), USA, as early as 1948 and to have held membership on the New York State CP Board as recent as 1956. Since 1957 Letwin has continued active in the affairs of several communist front organizations and has maintained close contacts with numerous individuals connected with the CPUSA.

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

Tolson _____
Belmont _____
Mohr _____
Casper _____
Callahan _____
Conrad _____
DeLoach _____
Evans _____
Gale _____
Rosen _____
Sullivan _____
Tavel _____
Trotter _____
Tele. Room _____
Holmes _____
Gandy _____

DECLASSIFIED BY SP-2 am/jmr

11/3/2000

MBR-16

MAILED FROM DIVISION FIVE

REC 12

MAY 27 1964

NATIONAL SECURITY INFORMATION

Unauthorized Disclosure

Subject to Criminal Sanctions MAY 28 1964

SEE NOTE PAGE TWO

MAIL ROOM ☐ TELETYPE UNIT ☐

ENCLOSURE 62-116395-1085

UNRECORDED COPY FILED IN

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

All the above-mentioned organizations have been declared to be subversive by the United States Attorney General.

Any pertinent information which might come to your attention concerning Letwin would be appreciated.

NOTE:

Subject on Security Index. Above being furnished in response to name check request. Classified "Confidential" as disclosure of subversive data herein to unauthorized persons could jeopardize sources who furnished same and result in injury to national defense.

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

- 2 -

5-140 (Rev. 1-21-74) FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
WASHINGTON, D. C. 20535

Addressee: Senate Select Committee

☐ LTR ☒ LHM ☐ Memo ☐ Report dated 10/31/75

Caption of Document: Re SSC let 10/7/75 requesting
delivery material pertaining to FBI's in-
vestigative interest in Geo. B. Bowles and
Leon Letwin.

Originating Office: FBI

Delivered by: Richard J. Taylor Date: 11/5/75

Received by: Allen Metzger

Title: Clerk

Return this receipt to the Intelligence Division, FBI

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED
HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED
DATE 11/3/2010 BY SP2 AUM/umf
mar-16

ENCLOSURE

62-116395-1085

TO: Intelligence Community Staff
ATTN: Central Index

FROM:

FBI

SUBJECT: Abstract of Information Provided to Select Committees

1. HOW PROVIDED (check appropriate term. If a document was made available for review but not transmitted, so note.)

2. DATE PROVIDED

☒ DOCUMENT ☐ BRIEFING ☐ INTERVIEW ☐ TESTIMONY ☐ OTHER

10/31/75

3. TO WHOM PROVIDED (check appropriate term; add specific names if appropriate)

☒ SSC
☐ HSC

4. IDENTIFICATION (provide descriptive data for documents; give name or identification number of briefer, interviewee, testifier and subject)

Memorandum and enclosures

5. IN RESPONSE TO (list date and item number if in response to formal request, otherwise state verbal request of (name), initiative, subpoena, etc.)

SSC letter 10/7/75

6. CLASSIFICATION OF INFORMATION (enter U, C, S, TS or Codeword)

C

7. KEY WORDS (enter the appropriate key words from the list provided separately; if key words not listed are used underline for emphasis)

Intelligence collection
Information handling

8. SUMMARY (see reverse side before completing this item)

Memorandum dated 5/26/64 sets forth reasons for the FBI's
investigative interest in Mr. Leon Letwin.

62-116395

FMK: fmk

(4)

ORIGINAL VIA LIAISON TO CENTRAL COMMUNITY INDEX
IN CONNECTION WITH SENSTUDY

TREAT AS YELLOW

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED
HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED
DATE 11/3/2000 BY SP2 sum/jmb

MAR-16

INSTRUCTIONS

- Type or print clearly in ink.
- Indicate classification of the abstract top and bottom.
- Date the abstract and put on any internal control numbers required.
- "FROM" entry should clearly identify the organization providing the information.
- If additions (as when a copy of document sent to SSC is later sent to HSC) or changes to a previously submitted form are necessary, submit a copy of the original abstract, with the change indicated.

SPECIFIC ITEM NO. 8. SUMMARY - enter brief narrative statement describing substance of information and showing relationship to Intelligence Community matters if appropriate. Any feedback or evidence of investigatory interests should be noted. Commitments made to supply additional information should be noted. Additionally, certain administrative information may be entered here, e.g., restrictions on review of a document, if document was paraphrased, whether interviewee is current or former employee, etc. If actual document or transcript is provided, that fact should be noted and no summary is required. Additional pages may be attached if necessary.

Mr. W. R. Wannall

10/30/75

W. O. Cregar

FRANCES M. KORB

CLERK

EOD: 2/5/52, GS-7, \$12,977

INTELLIGENCE DIVISION

CI-2 SECTION (TEMPORARY)

Reference is made to memorandum W. O. Cregar to Mr. W. R. Wannall, dated 9/26/75, captioned as above, which stated that Miss Korb should be placed in trial assignment in proposed GS-7 position in the Intelligence Division.

Miss Korb has completed 30-day trial assignment and is assigned in the position of Research Analyst, GS-7, GS 301-7-75-D-176.

ACTION:

For information and record purposes.

HJM:ebc

- 1 - Mr. Adams
- 1 - Mr. Jenkins
- 4 - Mr. Walsh
 - (1-Mrs. Crookston)
 - (1-Mrs. Shirley Smith)
 - (1-Miss Ayres)
- 1 - Mr. McDermott
- 1 - Mr. Wannall
- 1 - Mr. Cregar

① - 62-116395 (SENSTUDY 75)

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED

HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED

DATE 11/1/200 BY SP0 RUM JMC

MDR-16

62-116395

NOT RECORDED

46 DEC 5 1975

- 1 - Mr. W. V. Cleveland
(Attn: G. R. Steel)
2 - Mr. J. A. Mintz
(1 - Mr. J. B. Hotis)
1 - Mr. R. J. Gallagher
(Attn: K. V. Hetherington)
1 - Mr. W. R. Wannall
October 28, 1975

The Attorney General

Director, FBI

- 1 - Mr. W. O. Cregar
1 - Mr. E. W. Larson

U. S. SENATE SELECT COMMITTEE
ON INTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES (SSC)

Reference is made to a letter dated September 29, 1975, to the Attorney General from Senator Frank Church, Chairman of the SSC. In paragraph one of this communication Senator Church indicated an interest in the manner in which FBI name checks were handled. Specifically, he requested to be advised what information would be provided to another Federal agency by the FBI as a result of a name check and also what information would be provided to that agency if the FBI conducted a background investigation on the individual who was the subject of a name check.

Enclosed is the original of a memorandum which responds to this request. Also enclosed is a copy of the responding memorandum for your records.

It is noted that referenced communication also included a request for certain information concerning surreptitious entries for the purpose of installing electronic surveillance equipment. This inquiry is being responded to by separate communication.

Enclosures (2)

Assoc. Dir. 62-116395

Dep. AD Adm.

Dep. AD Inv.

Asst. Dir.:

Admin.

Comp. Syst.

Ext. Affairs

Files & Com.

Gen. Inv.

Ident.

Inspection

Intell.

Laboratory

Plan. & Eval.

Spec. Inv.

Training

Legal Coun.

Telephone Rm.

Director's Sec'y

1 - The Deputy Attorney General

Attention: Michael E. Shaheen, Jr.

Special Counsel for
Intelligence Coordination

EWL:1hb/hb

(10)

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED
HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED
DATE 11/1/2000 BY SP-6 AUM/PMC

MDR-16

SEE NOTE PAGE 2

DELIVERED TO DEPT 10-30-75. PUD 14D
Delivered to Comm. Hec 11-5-75.

The Attorney General

NOTE:

A copy of the 9/29/75 letter from Senator Church is attached to the yellow file copy of this communication. The response contained in the attached LHM was prepared by Section Chief Karl V. Hetherington of the Name Check Section, General Investigative Division. Last paragraph of the LHM was prepared by Section Chief G. R. Steel of the Employees Security and Special Inquiry Section, Special Investigative Division.

- 1 - Mr. W. V. Cleveland
(Attn: G. R. Steel)
- 2 - Mr. J. A. Mintz
(1 - Mr. J. B. Hotis)
- 1 - Mr. R. J. Gallagher
(Attn: K. V. Hetherington)

62-116395

October 28, 1975

- 1 - Mr. W. R. Wannall
- 1 - Mr. W. O. Cregar
- 1 - Mr. E. W. Larson

**U. S. SENATE SELECT COMMITTEE TO
STUDY GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS WITH
RESPECT TO INTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES (SSC)**

Reference is made to letter dated September 29, 1975, to the Attorney General from Senator Frank Church, Chairman, SSC. In the first paragraph of this communication Senator Church addressed the question of name check procedures within the FBI. Specific advice was sought concerning what information would be provided to a Federal agency submitting a name check by the FBI and also what information would be provided to that agency if the FBI conducted a background investigation on that individual.

The basic rule followed for dissemination of information in FBI files by the Name Check Section, General Investigative Division, is that only the results of FBI investigations are disseminated. In addition, however, information received from sources and informants who have provided reliable information in the past, pertinent public source information and information volunteered or obtained during investigation of other matters is also disseminated. Rumor or gossip, which is found in FBI files, is not disseminated unless it is considered pertinent to the inquiry. When such rumor or gossip is considered pertinent and is disseminated, the nature of the source of the information is described so that the receiving agency can place the information in its proper perspective. The receiving agency is also advised that this information was never verified by FBI investigation.

Assoc. Dir. _____
Dep. AD Adm. _____
Dep. AD Inv. _____
Asst. Dir.: _____
Admin. _____
Comp. Syst. _____
Ext. Affairs _____
Files & Com. _____
Gen. Inv. _____
Ident. _____
Inspection _____
Intell. _____
Laboratory _____
Plan. & Insp. _____
Spec. Inv. _____
Training _____
Legal Coun. _____
Telephone Rm. _____
Director Sec'y _____

EWL:1hb 1/6
(10) CONTAINED
ALL INFORMATION
HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED
DATE 11/1/00 BY SP20 NML/mc

ORIGINAL AND ONE COPY TO AG

This document is prepared in response to your request and is not for dissemination outside your Committee. Its use is limited to official proceedings by your Committee and the content may not be disclosed to unauthorized personnel without the express approval of the FBI.

MAIL ROOM ☐ TELETYPE UNIT ☐

ENCLOSURE

SENATE SELECT COMMITTEE ON INTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES (SSC)

Of course, the FBI does not offer any comment or recommendation concerning the employability of any person on whom a name check is conducted.

When an individual is the subject of a security-type (Domestic Intelligence) case file and is employed or is being considered for employment by the Federal Government, the background investigation which may be conducted by the FBI is in accordance with the provisions of Executive Order 10450 (Federal Employees Security Program). The results of such investigation are disseminated to the Civil Service Commission.

1 - The Attorney General

FRANK CHURCH, IDAHO, CHAIRMAN
JOHN G. TOWER, TEXAS, VICE CHAIRMAN

PHILIP A. HART, MICH.
WALTER F. MORDALE, MINN.
WALTER D. HUDDLESTON, KY.
ROBERT MORGAN, N.C.
GARY HART, COLO.

HOWARD H. BAKER, JR., TENN.
BARRY GOLDWATER, ARIZ.
CHARLES MCC. MATHIAS, D.C.
RICHARD S. SCHWEIKER, PA.

WILLIAM G. MILLER, STAFF DIRECTOR
FREDERICK A. O. SCHWARZ, JR., CHIEF COUNSEL
CURTIS R. SMOTHERS, MINORITY COUNSEL

United States Senate

SELECT COMMITTEE TO STUDY GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS WITH RESPECT TO INTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES

(PURSUANT TO S. RES. 21, 94TH CONGRESS)

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20510

September 29, 1975

Dep.-A.D.-Adm. ☒
Dep.-A.D.-Inv. ☒
Asst. Dir.:
Admin. ☐
Comp. Syst. ☐
Ext. Affairs ☐
Files & Com. ☐
Gen. Inv. ☐
Ident. ☐
Inspection ☐
Intell. ☒
Laboratory ☐
Plan. & Eval. ☐
Spec. Inv. ☐
Training ☐
Legal Coun. ☐
Telephone Rm. ☐
Director Sec'y ☐

Attorney General Edward H. Levi
Department of Justice
Washington, D.C. 20530

Dear Mr. Levi:

At the close of his testimony on September 25, Mr. Charles Brennan, former Chief of Domestic Intelligence Division, indicated that he could not answer a question posed to him by me. The question relates to the status of a so-called "closed" FBI Domestic Intelligence case file. Suppose that the individual who is subject of the file is a member of the military service or applies for employment with a federal government agency, and the agency requests an FBI name check. What information would be provided to the federal agency by the FBI as the result of that name check? What information would be provided to that agency if the FBI conducted a background investigation on that individual?

With respect to surreptitious entries for the purpose of installing electronic surveillance equipment, which are referred to on page three of the September 24, 1975 letter from Michael E. Shaheen, Jr., to myself, I would appreciate answers to the following additional questions:

1. What has been the number of such entries for each year since 1960?
2. What has been the number of separate targets for each year since 1960?
3. What has been the total number of separate targets since 1960?

I would also request access, under agreed upon procedures, to a list of such targets, and the dates of the entries.

Sincerely,

Frank Church
Chairman
(IDAHO)

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED
HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED
DATE 11/1/2000 BY SP2 BLM/DMF

cc: M.E. Shaheen
C.L. Kelley

ENCLOSURE

SEP 30 1975

let to AG 1-DA G
EWL:lh6 10/2/75 Enc. 2
28

62-116395-1084

5-140 (Rev. 1-21-74) FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
WASHINGTON, D. C. 20535

Addressee: SENATE SELECT COMMITTEE

☐ LTR ☒ LHM ☐ Memo ☐ Report dated 10/28/75

U. S. Senate Select Committee on Intelligence
Caption of Document: Activities (SSC). (SSC letter
9/29/75 adresng name check procedures in FBI.)

Originating Office: FBI

Delivered by: Richard J. Taylor Date: 11/5/75 *4PM*

Received by: Ellen Metzger

Title: Clerk

Return this receipt to the Intelligence Division, FBI

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED
HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED
DATE 11/1/2000 BY SP2 ALM/lmf
mbr-16

ENCLOSURE

62-116395-1084

TO: Intelligence Community Staff
ATTN: Central Index

FROM:

FBI

SUBJECT: Abstract of Information Provided to Select Committees

1. HOW PROVIDED (check appropriate term. If a document was made available for review but not transmitted, so note.)

2. DATE PROVIDED

☒ DOCUMENT ☐ BRIEFING ☐ INTERVIEW ☐ TESTIMONY ☐ OTHER

10/28/75

3. TO WHOM PROVIDED (check appropriate term; add specific names if appropriate)

☒ SSC☐ HSC

4. IDENTIFICATION (provide descriptive data for documents; give name or identification number of briefer, interviewee, testifier and subject)

Memorandum

5. IN RESPONSE TO (list date and item number if in response to formal request, otherwise state verbal request of (name), initiative, subpoena, etc.)

SSC letter 9/29/7 5

6. CLASSIFICATION OF INFORMATION (enter U, C, S, TS or Codeword)

U

7. KEY WORDS (enter the appropriate key words from the list provided separately; if key words not listed are used underline for emphasis)

Operating procedures
Information handling

8. SUMMARY (see reverse side before completing this item)

Answers to questions posed to former Special Agent Charles Breannan, during his testimony before SSC, that he was unable to answer: Only the results of investigations are disseminated, no comment or recommendation concerning employability, on security-type cases background investigation conducted in accordance with the provisions of Executive Order 10450. The results of such investigation are disseminated to the Civil Service Commission.

62-116395

FMK:fmk

(4)

ORIGINAL VIA LIAISON TO CENTRAL COMMUNITY INDEX
IN CONNECTION WITH SENSTUDY 75

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED
HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED
DATE 11/12/00 BY SP2 RUM/umf

MDR-16

TREAT AS YELLOW

5-14

INSTRUCTIONS

- Type or print clearly in ink.
- Indicate classification of the abstract top and bottom.
- Date the abstract and put on any internal control numbers required.
- "FROM" entry should clearly identify the organization providing the information.
- If additions (as when a copy of document sent to SSC is later sent to HSC) or changes to a previously submitted form are necessary, submit a copy of the original abstract, with the change indicated.

SPECIFIC ITEM NO. 8. SUMMARY - enter brief narrative statement describing substance of information and showing relationship to Intelligence Community matters if appropriate. Any feedback or evidence of investigatory interests should be noted. Commitments made to supply additional information should be noted. Additionally, certain administrative information may be entered here, e.g., restrictions on review of a document, if document was paraphrased, whether interviewee is current or former employee, etc. If actual document or transcript is provided, that fact should be noted and no summary is required. Additional pages may be attached if necessary.

RE - HOUSTUDY 62-116464-

OR

SENSTUDY 62-116395-1883

NOTE: THIS IS A PERMANENT CHARGE OUT FOR A XEROX COPY/COPIES OF "JUNE" MAIL THAT WAS INCLUDED IN COPIES OF FBI DOCUMENTS FURNISHED TO THE ATTORNEY GENERAL BY MEMO/LETTER DATED 10-28-75 IN RESPONSE TO REQUEST(S) MADE BY EITHER THE U. S. SENATE OR HOUSE SELECT COMMITTEES ON INTELLIGENCE. THE COPY/COPIES OF THE "JUNE" MAIL DATED AS INDICATED BELOW HAS/HAVE BEEN REMOVED FROM THIS ENCLOSURE MATERIAL TO BE FILED IN THE APPROPRIATE HOUSTUDY OR SENSTUDY "JUNE" FILE INDICATED ABOVE, LOCATED IN THE SPECIAL FILE ROOM OF THE RECORDS SECTION.

DATE(S) OF MAIL: 10-24-75REMOVED BY: WardlawDATE REMOVED: 9-28-76

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED
HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED
DATE 2/3/00 BY SP2 DM/lmc
MDR-16

2 - Mr. J. A. Mintz
(1 - Mr. J. B. Hotis)
1 - Mr. W. R. Wannall
1 - Mr. W. O. Gregar
1 - Mr. J. P. Thomas
October 28, 1975

The Attorney General

Director, FBI

U. S. SENATE SELECT COMMITTEE
ON INTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES (SSC)

Pursuant to an oral request of Mr. John T. Elliff, Staff Member of the SSC, on October 24, 1975, and with the concurrence of Mr. Michael E. Shaheen, Jr., Special Counsel for Intelligence Coordination, enclosed is the original of a memorandum to effect delivery of copies of eight FBI documents to the SSC responsive to the request of Mr. Elliff.

Also enclosed for your records is a copy of the aforementioned memorandum.

Enclosures (2)

62-116395

1 - The Deputy Attorney General
Attention: Michael E. Shaheen, Jr.
Special Counsel for
Intelligence Coordination

1 - 65-67669 (Baldwin)

JTP:1hb/lhb
(10)

ST 115
REC-30

15 NOV 26 1975

TOP SECRET MATERIAL ATTACHED

Assoc. Dir. **NOTE:**

Dep. AD Adm.

Dep. AD Inv.

Asst. Dir.:

Admin.

Comp. Syst.

Ext. Affairs

Files & Com.

Gen. Inv.

Ident.

Inspection

Intell.

Laboratory

Plan. & Eval.

Spec. Inv.

Training

Legal Coun.

Telephone Rm.

Director Sec'y

MAIL ROOM ☐

TELETYPE UNIT ☐

On 10/24/75 Donald E. Moore, formerly Inspector, Intelligence Division, testified, together with FBIHQ officials, before the SSC. Mr. Moore, in his testimony, mentioned his recollection of an incident in about 1962 when an electronic surveillance was conducted by the FBI on a newsman. No details

NOTE CONTINUED PAGE 2

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED
HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED
DATE 11/3/2000 BY SP2 AUM/jms

21975

DEC 1 1975

The Attorney General

NOTE CONTINUED:

were mentioned in public testimony. Mr. Elliff of the SSC requested that the SSC be furnished for its use FBI documents relating to that surveillance. Being furnished herewith in response to that request are Serials 1, 8, 9, 11, 67, 126, 162 and 165 of Bureau file 65-67669, regarding Hanson W. Baldwin.

2 - Mr. J. A. Mintz
(1 - Mr. J. B. Hotis)
1 - Mr. W. R. Wannall
1 - Mr. W. O. Cregar
1 - Mr. J. P. Thomas

62-116395

October 28, 1975

U. S. SENATE SELECT COMMITTEE TO
STUDY GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS WITH
RESPECT TO INTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES (SSC)

Reference is made to the testimony on
October 24, 1975, before the SSC, of Mr. Donald E. Moore,
formerly Inspector, Intelligence Division, FBI Headquarters.
In his testimony, Mr. Moore mentioned recollection of an
electronic surveillance of a newsman by the FBI in about
1962. Mr. John T. Elliff, Staff Member of the SSC,
requested from the FBI records pertaining to the
initiation of that surveillance.

This memorandum effects delivery to the SSC
of eight FBI communications, all dated in 1962, which
are responsive to the oral request of Mr. Elliff.

1 - The Attorney General

1 - 65-67669 (Baldwin)

JPT:lhb/lhb
(9)

TOP SECRET MATERIAL ATTACHED
ORIGINAL AND ONE COPY TO AG

Assoc. Dir. _____
Dep. AD Adm. _____
Dep. AD Inv. _____
Asst. Dir.:
Admin. _____
Comp. Syst. _____
Ext. Affairs _____
Files & Com. _____
Gen. Inv. _____
Ident. _____
Inspection _____
Intell. _____
Laboratory _____
Plan. & Eval. _____
Spec. Inv. _____
Training _____
Legal Coun. _____
Telephone Rm. _____
Director Sec'y _____

MAIL ROOM ☐

TELETYPE UNIT ☐

ENCLOSURE

62-116395-1083

1975-569-920

5-140 (Rev. 1-21-74) FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
WASHINGTON, D. C. 20535

Addressee: SENATE SELECT COMMITTEE

☐ LTR ☒ LHM ☐ Memo ☐ Report dated 10/28/75
U. S. Senate Select Committee on Intelligence
Caption of Document: Activities (SSC). (Re testimony of
Donald E. Moore & oral request of John T.
Elliff, 10/24/75.)

Originating Office: FBI

Delivered by: Paul K. Kelly Date: 11/4/75

Received by: Mark G. [Signature]

Title: _____

Return this receipt to the Intelligence Division, FBI

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED
HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED
DATE 11/3/2000 BY SP2 AMJ/mf
mor-16

ENCLOSURE 62-116395-1083

TO: Intelligence Community Staff
ATTN: Central IndexFROM:
FBI

SUBJECT: Abstract of Information Provided to Select Committees

1. HOW PROVIDED (check appropriate term. If a document was made available
for review but not transmitted, so note.)

2. DATE PROVIDED

☒ DOCUMENT ☐ BRIEFING ☐ INTERVIEW ☐ TESTIMONY ☐ OTHER**10/28/75**

3. TO WHOM PROVIDED (check appropriate term; add specific names if appropriate)

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	SSC
<input type="checkbox"/>	HSC

4. IDENTIFICATION (provide descriptive data for documents; give name or identification number of briefer,
interviewee, testifier and subject)**Memorandum**5. IN RESPONSE TO (list date and item number if in response to formal request, other-
wise state verbal request of (name), initiative, subpoena, etc.)**SSC oral request 10/24/75**6. CLASSIFICATION OF
INFORMATION (enter
U, C, S, TS or
Codeword)**TS**7. KEY WORDS (enter the appropriate key words from the list provided separately; if key words not listed are
used underline for emphasis)**Surveillance, electronic**

8. SUMMARY (see reverse side before completing this item)

**Eight communications dated 1962 relating to electronic
surveillance of a newsmen.****62-116395****FMK: fmk****(4)****ORIGINAL VIA LIAISON TO CENTRAL COMMUNITY INDEX
IN CONNECTION WITH SENSTUDY 75****ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED****HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED****DATE 11/3/2000 BY SP0A/m/lf****mo-16****TREAT AS YELLOW****5-78**

INSTRUCTIONS

- Type or print clearly in ink.
- Indicate classification of the abstract top and bottom.
- Date the abstract and put on any internal control numbers required.
- "FROM" entry should clearly identify the organization providing the information.
- If additions (as when a copy of document sent to SSC is later sent to HSC) or changes to a previously submitted form are necessary, submit a copy of the original abstract, with the change indicated.

SPECIFIC ITEM NO. 8. SUMMARY - enter brief narrative statement describing substance of information and showing relationship to Intelligence Community matters if appropriate. Any feedback or evidence of investigatory interests should be noted. Commitments made to supply additional information should be noted. Additionally, certain administrative information may be entered here, e.g., restrictions on review of a document, if document was paraphrased, whether interviewee is current or former employee, etc. If actual document or transcript is provided, that fact should be noted and no summary is required. Additional pages may be attached if necessary.

F B I

Date: 10/15/75

Transmit the following in _____
(Type in plaintext or code)Via AIRTEL _____
(Priority)

TO: DIRECTOR, FBI (62-116395) ~~CONFIDENTIAL~~
 FROM: SAC, WFO (62-19744) (ATTN: INTD - W. O. CREGAR)

"SENSTUDY-75"

Enclosed are eight copies of an LHM dated as above and captioned "U.S. Senate Select Committee on Intelligence Activities (SSC)" and "Re: Interview of FBI Special Agent HOMER W. SCHWEPPE by SSC Staff Member JAMES DICK."

The following background information is being supplied in connection with the enclosed LHM:

SA SCHWEPPE, at the express direction of SA PAUL DALY, FBIHQ, presented himself for interview at 10:00 a.m. on 9/23/75, to SSC Staff Member JAMES DICK in Room 308, Dirksen Senate Office Building, Washington, D. C. (WDC). At about 10:00 a.m. DICK and staff stenotypist SUSAN HANBACK repaired to a sixth floor room in the former Carroll Arms Hotel. DICK had a key for this room, which appeared to have been used before, possibly for similar interview purposes, inasmuch as there was a supply of stenotype paper on hand.

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

Classified by #7366
 Exempt from GDS, Category 2
 Date of Declassification Indefinite

②-Bureau (Enc. 8)
 2-WFO
 (1-SA SCHWEPPE's Personnel file)

HWS:cad
 (5)

ENCLOSURE

15 NOV 26 1975

DECLASSIFIED BY SP2 NLM/KMC

MAR-16

Approved: _____ Sent _____
 Special Agent in Charge

let to AG 1-DAC
 SEP 14 11/3/75 Enc. 4

COPY RETURNED TO
 RECORDS UNIT

DOCS. REC. UNIT
 DOC. CLASS.

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

DICK was friendly and affable but businesslike throughout the interview. In a brief initial off-the-record exchange, DICK explained that he was interested in SCHWEPPE's knowledge of the "Hunter Project." When SCHWEPPE indicated he was not familiar with the term, DICK consulted his notes to confirm whether SCHWEPPE in fact was the party he intended to interview. A check by him corroborated that SCHWEPPE's name had been provided him by the Department of Justice as an individual with knowledge of "Hunter" and related projects.

[During this initial exchange, SCHWEPPE indicated that his recollection of specifics such as "exactly when?" and "Did you ever see?" or "When did you first see or learn?" or "How many times did you see?" based on material possibly seen by him over a period of several months as far back as 1958 was limited. DICK agreed and suggested that there really might be no need to continue the interview, but in the end he suggested that since all parties were in place, the interrogation might as well proceed.]

He indicated that a transcript of the interview would be available for review by SCHWEPPE in about a week. DICK said he would contact SA DALY at FBIHQ at the appropriate time. On contact on 9/30/75, DICK said the transcript probably would be available for review in about a week. As of 10/15/75, it had not been made available.

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Washington, D. C. 20535

October 15, 1975

SECRET

In Reply, Please Refer to
File No.

UNITED STATES SENATE SELECT COMMITTEE ON
INTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES (SSC)

RE: INTERVIEW OF FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
(FBI) SPECIAL AGENT HOMER W. SCHWEPPE BY
SSC STAFF MEMBER JAMES DICK

On September 23, 1975, after a short orientation discussion and after advising him of his rights under the Constitution and reminding him that his appearance was voluntary, SSC Staff Member James Dick interviewed FBI Special Agent Homer W. Schweppe in the presence of SSC stenotypist Susan Hanback regarding his knowledge of the following: The "Hunter Project," "Bureau Informant 200," "the SAM Survey," "Z Coverage," and opening of mail by FBI employees.

At the outset Dick asked Schweppe to document his FBI service. Schweppe responded by listing the following: Assignments in San Francisco from late 1946 to mid-1947; in Los Angeles from mid-1947 to mid-1951; at FBI Headquarters (FBIHQ) from May, 1951, to May, 1958, and again from late 1960 to November, 1961; in the Washington Field Office (WFO) of the FBI from May, 1958, on, except for the 1960 to 1961 period just cited.

During the course of the interview Schweppe was also asked to indicate the nature of his assignments at FBIHQ. He indicated he was assigned for the main part to units within the Domestic Intelligence Division dealing with Soviet military attache personnel and with members of Elizabeth Bentley's "espionage ring."

This document contains neither
recommendations nor conclusions of
the FBI. It is the property of
the FBI and is loaned to your agency;
it and its contents are not to be
distributed outside your agency.

SECRET

Classified by #7366
Exempt from GDS, Category 2
Date of Declassification Indefinite



ENCLOSURE

62-116395-1082

U.S. SSC ON INTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES

SECRET

Dick's initial inquiry was directed to the extent of Schweppe's knowledge of the [redacted]. When Schweppe conceded he was not familiar with the term, Dick offered as an exhibit for review a copy of a February 6, 1958, memorandum directed to Director Hoover entitled [redacted].

[redacted] This memorandum outlined arrangements to be effected between the FBI and the Central Intelligence Agency [redacted] regarding the manner in which the FBI would be provided the results of [redacted].

On review of the document, Schweppe stated he was certain he had never seen that memo, but he acknowledged that on the basis of the memo he had a recollection about such activity by the [redacted]. Schweppe recalled that at some point prior to his transfer from FBIHQ in May, 1958, and he indicated he felt it must have been earlier than the February 8, 1958, date indicated above, he had pass across his desk in the normal flow of official mail what he referred to as the modern day version of computer printout lists consisting, to the best of his recollection, of names of what were presumed to be Soviet residents as well as addresses in the Soviet Union. Schweppe indicated that he had seen several such lists but since they did not seem applicable to the type of cases being supervised by Schweppe at the time, he paid them little heed. He recalled that at least with some of the later printouts there were instructions accompanying the printouts to the effect that names and/or addresses of interest should be flagged for what was presumed to be a "watch list" of some sort.

Dick asked specifically when Schweppe first became aware of the lists mentioned above; whether he was familiar with FBI Form 5-88, a form prepared for placing a request on the "watch list"; whether he had seen any of the results of the [redacted] and whether he had seen any reproduction of correspondence photographed by [redacted] in connection with the [redacted].

SECRET

JFK Act 6. (1) (B)

U.S. SSC ON INTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES

SECRET

Schweppe replied that he thought the lists he mentioned earlier probably were seen by him before February, 1958, but he acknowledged he could not recall exactly when. He said he could not now recall ever having seen FBI Form 5-88. He replied that, since he felt he had never placed a name or address on the "watch list," he would not have any specific results routed to his personal attention. He added, however, that if the results were routed to all supervisors concerned with Soviet intelligence and related matters, he probably did see such results and routinely initialed the accompanying routing slips. He said he could recall no specific [] results" if, in fact, he did see anything specific.

Dick asked whether Schweppe was aware of who designated the routing of the lists he had seen, and Schweppe responded that he assumed it was some individual in the office of the division's Assistant Director who performed such an administrative function. Schweppe said he did not recall a Miss Triplett (phonetic), who, according to Dick, was responsible for routing the "Hunter Project" material to appropriate supervisors. Schweppe said he could have been included in such routing because of his assignment.

Dick then queried Schweppe on his familiarity with the term "Bureau Informant 200" (BI 200), when he first became aware of the term, and whether he ever saw any of the material attributed to that informant. In this connection Dick exhibited another FBIHQ memo dated February 6, 1958, which referred to BI 200 as the designation used by FBIHQ to forward to its field offices information received from [] under the [] Schweppe was unable to recall exactly when he first became aware of the use and identity of BI 200, but he assumed it was in early 1958. He said he could recall seeing information attributed to BI 200 both while at FBIHQ and in WFO but that he could no longer recall the specific nature of the items involved. He said he presumed that all of them dealt with Soviet-related investigatory matters. Schweppe said he did not believe he has seen any references to BI 200 in the past 15 years or so and that he may have seen as many as five references a year before that.

SECRET

Dick also queried Schweppe about his knowledge of the "SAM Survey" (SAM) and "Z Coverage" (Z). He asked Schweppe whether he knew when "SAM" and "Z" were initiated, what they consisted of, when they ceased, when he first became aware of them, and whether he had seen any results of those projects. Schweppe replied that "SAM" and "Z" were on a need-to-know basis within WFO but that he in time heard about them through office "shop talk" and then concluded that those designations indicated projects in which mail to and/or from the Soviet Union and Soviet bloc countries was monitored. He said he did not know when those projects were initiated or when they terminated. He said that he would have to guess within a tolerance of two or three years that he first heard of those projects in the early 1960s. Schweppe said he was called on from time to time to translate correspondence in German, which correspondence had been photographed by some source not identified initially. Schweppe suggested that on later inquiry about the source of the correspondence he was informed it was either from "SAM" or "Z." He said he was unable to recall specifically who so advised him. He felt it was possible that word was just "passed along" to that effect. He could not recall whether each specific individual item was identified in a particular, special manner so as to tie it in to its source. Schweppe stated that he believes all such material translated by him was directed to officials at the Soviet and/or Soviet bloc embassies in Washington, D. C., and not to any non-diplomatic personnel. Such translation requirements were quite infrequent, and Schweppe was not called on to translate any such material within the past eight or ten years, to the best of his recollection.

Schweppe said it was his impression that "SAM" in New York City was handled in space at one or the other of New York's airports. He said he was unaware of the specific arrangements in Washington, D. C. He related that he was aware that FBI Special Agent John De Bettencourt, since deceased, was directly involved in WFO's "Z Coverage" project but that he did not know specifically to whom De Bettencourt was answerable.

U.S. SSC ON INTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES

SECRET

Dick asked whether Schweppe was aware of any mail having been opened by FBI employees in situations other than the projects referred to above. Schweppe, after making the observation that Federal law and FBI regulations prohibit such activity, said he himself had never opened anyone's mail in his capacity as an FBI employee, that he never observed any other FBI employee so engaged, and that he personally was not aware of any specific instances in which that might have happened. At this point Schweppe related that while he was assigned to the Los Angeles Office, he suspected that a female employee, whose name he could not recall, might possibly have been engaged in the surreptitious opening of other people's mail because of the suspicious nature of her actions at certain times. Schweppe added that these were suspicions raised by circumstantial evidence only.

Dick then presented a hypothetical situation in which agents on duty might obtain mail posted by an individual and then proceed to open the same and review the contents. He asked whether Schweppe was aware of any such instances. Schweppe acknowledged that the suggested scenario sounded realistic enough but added that he could recall no such actual situations.

During the course of the interview, Schweppe indicated that he had been assigned to Soviet-related investigatory matters in WFO until early 1959, after which he was assigned to the handling of German, Latin American, Middle East, and Chinese investigatory matters at different times.

Schweppe concluded by stating that his knowledge and recollection of the subject matter constituting the basis for the inquiry were weak. He reiterated that he never opened any other person's mail in his capacity as an FBI employee, that he never witnessed any other FBI employee opening any such mail, and that he cannot recall ever being aware of any situation in which mail was opened by FBI employees, exclusive of the "SAM" and "Z" projects mentioned above.

This interview commenced about 10:15 a.m., September 23, 1975, and concluded at about 11:15 p.m. the same day.

62-116395

1 - Mr. J. B. Adams
2 - Mr. J. A. Mintz
(1 - J. B. Hotis)
1 - Mr. W. R. Wannall
October 7, 1975

1 - Mr. W. O. Cregar
1 - Mr. A. J. Duffin

U. S. SENATE SELECT COMMITTEE
TO STUDY GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS
WITH RESPECT TO INTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES (SSC)

RE: REQUEST FOR ACCESS OR DELIVERY OF
MATERIALS WHICH ARE SUPPLEMENTARY TO SSC
REQUESTS OF JULY 28, 1975, PART 3. D. AND
AUGUST 20, 1975, PART 3. 1. A.

Reference is made to SSC letter dated September 18, 1975, which requested access or delivery of materials, many of which are supplementary to prior SSC requests of July 28, 1975, Part 3. D., and August 20, 1975, Part 3. 1. A.

The materials requested pertain to the following categories:

- I. Democratic National Convention 1968;
- II. Files on Warren Commission Critics;
- III. Bobby Baker Investigation;
- IV. Contacts Between FBI and W. Marvin Watson, Former Special Assistant to Former President Lyndon B. Johnson for the Years 1965 Through 1968;
- V. An Unexcised Copy of May 18, 1970, 9:49 A. M. Memorandum from Mr. Hoover to Messrs. Tolson, et al; and
- VI. Contacts Between FBI Personnel and Kent B. Crane, Former Assistant to Former Vice President Spiro Agnew.

This is to advise that the requested materials are available for review at FBI Headquarters, Room 4171, J. Edgar Hoover Building, Washington, D. C.

Assoc. Dir. _____
Dep. AD Adm. _____
Dep. AD Inv. _____
Asst. Dir.: _____
Admin. _____
Comp. Syst. _____
Ext. Affairs _____
Files & Com. _____
Gen. Inv. _____
Ident. _____
Inspection _____
Intell. _____
Laboratory _____
Plan. & Eval. _____
Spec. Inv. _____
Training _____
Legal Coun. _____
Telephone Rm. _____
Director Sec'y _____

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED

DATE 11/16/00 BY SP-6 AM/mtf

ORIGINAL AND ONE COPY TO AG

AJD:mjg
(9)

MAIL ROOM ☐

TELETYPE UNIT ☐

ENCLOSURE

SEE NOTE PAGE TWO

TO: Intelligence Community Staff
ATTN: Central Index

FROM:

FBI

SUBJECT: Abstract of Information Provided to Select Committees

1. HOW PROVIDED (check appropriate term. If a document was made available for review but not transmitted, so note.)

2. DATE PROVIDED

☒ DOCUMENT ☐ BRIEFING ☐ INTERVIEW ☐ TESTIMONY ☐ OTHER

10/7/75

3. TO WHOM PROVIDED (check appropriate term; add specific names if appropriate)

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	SSC
<input type="checkbox"/>	HSC

4. IDENTIFICATION (provide descriptive data for documents; give name or identification number of briefer, interviewee, testifier and subject)

Memorandum and enclosures

5. IN RESPONSE TO (list date and item number if in response to formal request, otherwise state verbal request of (name), initiative, subpoena, etc.)

SSC letter 9/18/75

6. CLASSIFICATION OF INFORMATION (enter U, C, S, TS or Codeword)

U

7. KEY WORDS (enter the appropriate key words from the list provided separately; if key words not listed are used underline for emphasis)

**Information handling
Intelligence collection**

8. SUMMARY (see reverse side before completing this item)

Materials furnished for review and subsequently delivered to SSC: Democratic National Convention 1968; Files on Warren Commission Critics; Bobby Baker Investigation; Contacts between FBI and W. Marvin Watson Former Special Assistant to Former President Lyndon B. Johnson for the years 1965-1968; 5/18/70 Memorandum from Hoover to Tolson, etal; contacts between FBI personnel and Kent B. Crane, Former Assistant to Former Vice President Spiro Agnew.

62-116395

FMK: fmk

(4)

**ORIGINAL VIA LIAISON TO CENTRAL COMMUNITY INDEX
IN CONNECTION WITH SENSTUDY 75**

TREAT AS YELLOW

INSTRUCTIONS

- Type or print clearly in ink.
- Indicate classification of the abstract top and bottom.
- Date the abstract and put on any internal control numbers required.
- "FROM" entry should clearly identify the organization providing the information.
- If additions (as when a copy of document sent to SSC is later sent to HSC) or changes to a previously submitted form are necessary, submit a copy of the original abstract, with the change indicated.

SPECIFIC ITEM NO. 8. SUMMARY - enter brief narrative statement describing substance of information and showing relationship to Intelligence Community matters if appropriate. Any feedback or evidence of investigatory interests should be noted. Commitments made to supply additional information should be noted. Additionally, certain administrative information may be entered here, e.g., restrictions on review of a document, if document was paraphrased, whether interviewee is current or former employee, etc. If actual document or transcript is provided, that fact should be noted and no summary is required. Additional pages may be attached if necessary.

FRANK CHURCH, IDAHO, CHAIRMAN
JOHN G. TOWER, TEXAS, VICE CHAIRMAN

PHILIP A. HART, MICH.
WALTER F. MONDALE, MINN.
WALTER D. HUDDLESTON, KY.
ROBERT MORGAN, N.C.
GARY HART, COLO.

HOWARD H. BAKER, JR., TENN.
BARRY GOLDWATER, ARIZ.
CHARLES MCC. MATHIAS, JR., MD.
RICHARD S. SCHWEIKER, PA.

WILLIAM G. MILLER, STAFF DIRECTOR
FREDERICK A. O. SCHWARZ, JR., CHIEF COUNSEL
CURTIS R. SMOTHERS, MINORITY COUNSEL

United States Senate

SELECT COMMITTEE TO
STUDY GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS WITH
RESPECT TO INTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES

(PURSUANT TO S. RES. 21, 94TH CONGRESS)

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20510

Assoc. Dir.	
Dep.-A.D.-Adm.	
Dep.-A.D.-Inv.	
Asst. Dir.:	
Admin.	
Comp. Syst.	
Ext. Affairs	
Files & Com.	
Gen. Inv.	
Ident.	
Inspection	
Intell.	
Laboratory	
Plan. & Eval.	
Spec. Inv.	
Training	
Legal Coun.	
Telephone Rm.	
Director's Sec'y	

November 18, 1975

Director Clarence M. Kelley
Federal Bureau of Investigation
Washington, D. C.

Dear Mr. Kelley:

At this time when the Senate Select Committee hearings are beginning, I wanted to share with you some of my personal thoughts.

First of all, may I express my deepest sympathies upon the passing of Mrs. Kelley.

On my own behalf and as a representative of the Committee, I also want to thank all the officials and agents of the FBI who have been so very helpful in the Committee's work over the past months. I hesitate to mention names, but we especially appreciate the time and effort put in by Mr. Bill Cregar, Mr. Elmer Larsen, and Mr. Paul Daly. There is still a way to go before we are done, especially in thinking through questions of possible legislation. But we have made a start.

I have tried to do all I can to insure a fair and bi-partisan approach to this inquiry. It is by no means perfect. Some aspects inevitably get exaggerated for purposes of presentation. But the important thing is to get the Congressional process moving. There may still be some tensions between the Committee and FBI representatives on one problem or another. Nevertheless, I want you to understand that the Chairman and Vice-Chairman are deeply interested in achieving our basic legislative goal of clarifying FBI responsibilities.

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED
HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED

DATE 11/20/00 BY SP2 DM/AM

11/20/75 Gm

JAN 26 1976

Records: cc's personnel file Cregar, Larson & Daly

11/20/75 Elliff advised the info. in this letter is incorrect. Correct date is 12/9/75 for testimony and Director will appear alone. Jm

EXP. PROC.

NOV 20 1975


COPY RETAINED IN PERSONNEL RECORDS UNIT

Finally, I would like on behalf of the Committee to invite you to appear at our hearing scheduled for 10:00 A.M. on Monday, December 8, 1975. We are also inviting the Attorney General to appear at the same time. The purpose of this hearing will be to discuss present and future legislative and administrative guidelines and standards for the FBI in the intelligence field.

This hearing will begin a two month process of intensive study by the Senators of various legislative proposals. The investigative phase of our work will be finished, and the staff will be reduced to those whose skills and expertise are best suited to this task.

Thank you again for the Bureau's cooperation.

Sincerely,


John T. Elliff

Director
Domestic Intelligence
Task Force

September 18, 1975

McClure
✓ I. Democratic National Convention 1968 *McClure* 7 JAN.

The Committee requests delivery of all underlying documents used to prepare the memorandum of July 31, 1975, entitled "Sullivan Memorandum to John Dean (Democratic Convention 1968)". The underlying documents should include, but not be limited to, all materials as they relate to the subject matter of the July 31, 1975, memorandum:

- McClure*
- A. pertaining to contacts with FBI personnel and anyone at the Democratic National Committee including, but not limited to, John Criswell.
 - B. pertaining to contacts between FBI personnel and anyone on the White House staff or the staff of the Vice President of the United States at that time, including, but not limited to, William Connell.
 - C. prepared by or for J. Edgar Hoover, Cartha DeLoach, William C. Sullivan, and other Bureau personnel.
 - D. prepared by or for Marlin Johnson, Special Agent in Charge of the Chicago Field Office of the FBI at the time of the Democratic National Convention.
 - E. pertaining to requests by the FBI to the Attorney General of the United States for authority for electronic surveillance and any response by the Attorney General pertaining to the aforementioned requests.

✓ II. Files on Warren Commission Critics *McClure*

McClure

The Committee requests delivery of all underlying documents used to prepare the February 3, 1975, memorandum entitled "Information concerning Washington Star-News article January 31, 1975, regarding Hale Boggs' son claiming possession of files of Warren Commission critics". The Committee requests a serial-by-serial description of the contents of any such

create
files and delivery of all materials ~~grant~~ pertaining to the original authority or order to ~~grant~~ such a file and materials reflecting dissemination of information from such files.

✓ III. Bobby Baker Investigation *Mellott*

Mellott { The Committee requests delivery of all underlying materials used to prepare the February 3, 1975, memorandum from the Director of the FBI to the Attorney General captioned "Background Information Concerning the Department's Request for Body Recorder in Bobby Baker Investigation in 1965". The underlying materials should include, but not be limited to, all materials pertaining to:

- A. the original request from the Department to the Bureau to place the body recorder.
- B. the Bureau's responses thereto.
- C. any investigation conducted at the request of the White House on individuals in the Treasury Department, Narcotics Bureau, and Department of Justice pertaining to the placement of this recorder.
- D. communications between White House personnel or the President and Bureau personnel.
- E. the dissemination of the results of such information.

✓ IV. The Committee requests staff access to abstracts of all communications to or from or contacts between FBI personnel and W. Marvin Watson, former Special Assistant to former President Lyndon B. Johnson for the years 1965-1968. The Committee also requests staff access to the results of a general name index search of Mr. Watson for the same years.

Rec'd. Bull Bailey x4876
✓ V. The Committee requests delivery of an unexcised copy of a May 18, 1970, 9:49 a.m. memorandum from Mr. Hoover to Messrs. Tolson, DeLoach, Rosen, Sullivan, and Bishop pertaining to a call from Vice President Spiro Agnew.

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
COMMUNICATIONS SECTION

NOV 18 1975

TELETYPE

NR 005 NY CODE

215 PM IMMEDIATE 11/18/75 ATL

TO: DIRECTOR, FBI (62-116395)

FROM: SAC, NEW YORK (62-15065)

SENSTUDY 75.

ON NOVEMBER 18, 1975, NEW YORK OFFICE INDICES SEARCHED
WITH NEGATIVE RESULTS PERTAINING TO THE OPENING OF MAIL TO
OR FROM GILBERT STUART AND VIRGINIA R. STUART DURING THE
YEARS 1960 AND 1961.
END.

Asst. Dir.	_____
Dep.-A.D.-Adm.	_____
Dep.-A.D.-Inv.	_____
Asst. Dir.:	_____
Admin.	_____
Comp. Syst.	_____
Ext. Affairs	_____
Files & Com.	_____
Gen. Inv.	_____
Ident.	_____
Inspection	_____
Intell.	_____
Laboratory	_____
Plan. & Eval.	_____
Spec. Inv.	_____
Training	_____
Legal Coun.	_____
Telephone Rm.	_____
Director Sec'y	_____

EX-115

REC-45 62-116395-1079

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED
HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED
DATE 10/31/2000 BY SP8 BLM/KMC
moe-16

15 NOV 24 1975

Records
62-116395

11/17 200 ESE

84 NOV 25 1975

The Attorney General

November 18, 1975

Director, FBI

2-Mr. Mintz
(1-Mr. Hotis)
1-Mr. Bassett
1-Mr. Wannall
1-Mr. Cregar

U. S. SENATE SELECT COMMITTEE
ON INTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES (SSC)

Reference is made to memorandum dated October 21, 1975, from Michael E. Shaheen, Jr., Special Counsel for Intelligence Coordination, Department of Justice, to Assistant Director John A. Mintz of this Bureau, forwarding an SSC request dated October 17, 1975, requesting delivery of the "List of Official Confidential Files in Director's Office," dated October 20, 1971, prepared by Mrs. Metcalf.

Enclosed for your approval and forwarding to the SSC is the original of a memorandum advising that the excised material relating to SSC request of October 17, 1975, is enclosed with this memorandum. A copy of this memorandum is enclosed for your records.

Enclosures (3)

62-116395

1 - The Deputy Attorney General
Attention: Michael E. Shaheen, Jr.
Special Counsel for
Intelligence Coordination

JRM:jvl
(9)

REC-30

62-116395-1078

DEC 19 1975

Assoc. Dir. _____
Dep. AD Adm. _____
Dep. AD Inv. _____
Asst. Dir.:
Admin. _____
Comp. Syst. _____
Ext. Affairs _____
Files & Com. _____
Gen. Inv. _____
Ident. _____
Inspection _____
Intell. _____
Laboratory _____
Plan. & Eval. _____
Spec. Inv. _____
Training _____
Legal Coun. _____
Telephone Rm. _____
Director's Sec'y _____

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED
HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED
DATE 10/31/2001 BY SP2 RUM/VAF

MDR-14

DEC 23 1975 ROOM ☒ TELETYPE UNIT ☐

2-Mr. Mintz
(1-Mr. Hotis)
1-Mr. Bassett
1-Mr. Wannall
1-Mr. Cregar

62-116395

November 18, 1975

U. S. SENATE SELECT COMMITTEE TO
STUDY GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS WITH
RESPECT TO INTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES (SSC)

RE: REQUEST FOR DELIVERY OF MATERIAL
RELATING TO SSC REQUEST DATED
OCTOBER 17, 1975

Reference is made to SSC letter dated October 17, 1975, which requested delivery of a copy of the "List of Official Confidential Files in Director's Office," dated October 20, 1971, prepared by Mrs. Metcalf.

An excised version of the material requested which describes the files listed generically is enclosed with this memorandum.

Enclosure

1 - The Attorney General

ORIGINAL AND ONE COPY TO AG

JRM:jvl
(8)

NOTE:

Excised material is enclosed. The passage of this item has been cleared with appropriate personnel of the Inspection and Legal Divisions. SSC deadline for this request was suspended per conversation between Special Agent Paul Daly, Legal Counsel Division, and Mark Gitenstein, SSC Staff Member. Excised version of SSC request prepared at direction of Mr. John A. Mintz.

Assoc. Dir. _____
Dep. AD Adm. _____
Dep. AD Inv. _____
Asst. Dir.:
Admin. _____
Comp. Syst. _____
Ext. Affairs _____
Files & Com. _____
Gen. Inv. _____
Ident. _____
Inspection _____
Intell. _____
Laboratory _____
Plan. & Eval. _____
Spec. Inv. _____
Training _____
Legal Coun. _____
Telephone Rm. _____
Director Sec'y _____

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED
HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED
DATE 10/31/2002 BY SP2 RUM/mrc

MDR-16

62-116395-

MAIL ROOM ☐

TELETYPE UNIT ☐

ENCLOSURE

GPO : 1975 O - 569-920

5-140 (Rev. 1-21-74) FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
WASHINGTON, D. C. 20535

Addressee: SENATE SELECT COMMITTEE

☐ LTR ☒ LHM ☐ Memo ☐ Report dated 11/13/75

U. S. Senate Select Committee (SSC). Re:
Caption of Document: Request for Delivery of Material
Relating to SSC Request Dated 10/17/75. (SSC
let 10/17/75.)

Originating Office: FBI

Delivered by: Richard T. Taylor Jr. Date: 11/25/75

Received by: Sherry Towell

Title: FROM

Return this receipt to the Intelligence Division, FBI

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED
HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED
DATE 10/31/2000 BY SP2 AM/mf
MDR-16

ENCLOSURE

62-116395-1078X

TO: Intelligence Community Staff
ATTN: Central Index

FROM:

FBI

SUBJECT: Abstract of Information Provided to Select Committees

1. HOW PROVIDED (check appropriate term. If a document was made available for review but not transmitted, so note.)

2. DATE PROVIDED

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> DOCUMENT	<input type="checkbox"/> BRIEFING	<input type="checkbox"/> INTERVIEW	<input type="checkbox"/> TESTIMONY	<input type="checkbox"/> OTHER
--	-----------------------------------	------------------------------------	------------------------------------	--------------------------------

11/18/75

3. TO WHOM PROVIDED (check appropriate term; add specific names if appropriate)

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> SSC
<input type="checkbox"/> HSC

4. IDENTIFICATION (provide descriptive data for documents; give name or identification number of briefer, interviewee, testifier and subject)

Memorandum and enclosures

5. IN RESPONSE TO (list date and item number if in response to formal request, otherwise state verbal request of (name), initiative, subpoena, etc.)

SSC letter 10/17/75

6. CLASSIFICATION OF INFORMATION (enter U, C, S, TS or Codeword)

U

7. KEY WORDS (enter the appropriate key words from the list provided separately; if key words not listed are used underline for emphasis)

Operating procedures
Information handling

8. SUMMARY (see reverse side before completing this item)

List of Official Confidential Files in Director's office
dated 10/20/71, prepared by Mrs. Metcalf.

62-116395

FMK: fmk

(4)

ORIGINAL VIA LIAISON TO CENTRAL COMMUNITY INDEX
IN CONNECTION WITH SENSTUDY 75

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED
HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED
DATE 10/21/2000 BY SPARUM/UP

MDR. 11

TREAT AS YELLOW

5. J. J. J.

INSTRUCTIONS

• Type or print clearly in ink.

- Indicate classification of the abstract top and bottom.
- Date the abstract and put on any internal control numbers required.
- "FROM" entry should clearly identify the organization providing the information.
- If additions (as when a copy of document sent to SSC is later sent to HSC) or changes to a previously submitted form are necessary, submit a copy of the original abstract, with the change indicated.

SPECIFIC ITEM NO. 8. SUMMARY - enter brief narrative statement describing substance of information and showing relationship to Intelligence Community matters if appropriate. Any feedback or evidence of investigatory interests should be noted. Commitments made to supply additional information should be noted. Additionally, certain administrative information may be entered here, e.g., restrictions on review of a document, if document was paraphrased, whether interviewee is current or former employee, etc. If actual document or transcript is provided, that fact should be noted and no summary is required. Additional pages may be attached if necessary.

OCT 21 1975

TO: John A. Mintz, Assistant Director
Legal Counsel Division
Federal Bureau of Investigation

FROM: Michael E. Shaheen, Jr.
Special Counsel for Intelligence
Coordination

SUBJECT: Senate Select Committee Request

Attached is a letter from the Senate Select Committee dated October 17, 1975. This is to confirm my understanding that your office was telephonically advised of this request by Mark Gitenstein in conversation with Paul Daly on October 20, 1975, and that an appropriate response has been prepared.

cc: Paul Daly

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED
HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED
DATE 10/1/2000 BY SPAN/inf
MDR-16

ENCLOSURE

62-116395-1078X

FRANK CHURCH, IDAHO, CHAIRMAN
JOHN G. TOWNE, TEXAS, VICE CHAIRMAN

PHILIP A. HART, MICH.
WALTER F. MONDALE, MINN.
WALTER D. HUDDLESTON, KY.
ROBERT MORGAN, N.C.
GARY HART, COLO.

HOWARD H. BAKER, JR.,
BARRY GOLDWATER, ARIZ.
CHARLES MCC. MATHIAS, JR., MD.
RICHARD S. SCHWEIKER, PA.

WILLIAM G. MILLER, STAFF DIRECTOR
FREDERICK A. O. SCHWARZ, JR., CHIEF COUNSEL
CURTIS R. SMOTHERS, MINORITY COUNSEL

United States Senate

SELECT COMMITTEE TO STUDY GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS WITH RESPECT TO INTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES

(PURSUANT TO S. RES. 21, 94TH CONGRESS)

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20510

October 17, 1975


Michael E. Shaheen, Jr., Esq.
Special Counsel for Intelligence Coordination
Office of the Deputy Attorney General
U. S. Department of Justice
Washington, D. C. 20530

Dear Mike:

Please provide the Committee with a copy of
the "List of Official-Confidential Files In Director's
Office" dated October 20, 1971, which was prepared by
Mrs. Metcalf.

The Committee requests delivery of this document
by Tuesday, October 21, 1975, at 10:00 a.m.

Sincerely,



John T. Elliff
Director
Domestic Intelligence Task Force



ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED
HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED
DATE 10/11/2006 BY SP2 am/mc

MDR-16

1078X

62-116396-1078X

SSC-Request of October 17, 1975

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED
HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED
DATE 11/1/2000 BY SP2 Aum/lmf
MAR-16

Retain

Mr. Tolson	_____
Mr. Felt	_____
Mr. Rosen	_____
Mr. Mohr	_____
Mr. Bishop	_____
Mr. Miller, E.S.	_____
Mr. Callahan	_____
Mr. Casper	_____
Mr. Conrad	_____
Mr. Dalbey	_____
Mr. Cleveland	_____
Mr. Ponder	_____
Mr. Bates	_____
Mr. Tavel	_____
Mr. Walters	_____
Mr. Soyars	_____
Tele. Room	_____
Miss Holmes	_____
Miss Gandy	_____

4-3-72
 Typed October 20, 1971

LIST OF OFFICIAL CONFIDENTIAL FILES
IN DIRECTOR'S OFFICE

(Excised)	Policy	(Generic Description)
(Excised)	Prominent Person	(Generic Description)
(Excised)	Congressional Person	(Generic Description)
(Excised)	Congressional Person	(Generic Description)
(Excised)	Personnel	(Generic Description)
(Excised)	Policy	(Generic Description)
(Excised)	Prominent Person	(Generic Description)
(Excised)	FBI Official	(Generic Description)
(Excised)	Administrative	(Generic Description)
(Excised)	Investigative	(Generic Description)
(Excised)	Administrative	(Generic Description)
(Excised)	Administrative	(Generic Description)
(Excised)	Policy	(Generic Description)
(Excised)	Investigative	(Generic Description)
(Excised)	FBI Official	(Generic Description)
(Excised)	Investigative	(Generic Description)
(Excised)	Administrative	(Generic Description)
(Excised)	Investigative	(Generic Description)
(Excised)	Administrative	(Generic Description)
(Excised)	Administrative	(Generic Description)
(Excised)	Personnel	(Generic Description)
(Excised)	Administrative--Investigative	(Generic Description)
(Excised)	Administrative--Policy	(Generic Description)
(Excised)	Administrative	(Generic Description)

(Excised) Investigative--Information (Generic Description)

(Excised) Investigative (Generic Description)

(Excised) Information From Other Agency (Generic Description)

(Excised) Investigative--Administrative (Generic Description)

(Excised) Administrative (Generic Description)

(Excised) Administrative--Investigative (Generic Description)

(Excised) Investigative (Generic Description)

(Excised) Administrative (Generic Description)

(Excised) Administrative (Generic Description)

(Excised) Congressional Person (Generic Description)

(Excised) Congressional Person (Generic Description)

(Excised) Policy (Generic Description)

(Excised) Administrative (Generic Description)

(Excised) Administrative (Generic Description)

(Excised) Administrative (Generic Description)

(Excised) Policy (Generic Description)

(Excised) Administrative (Generic Description)

(Excised) Administrative (Generic Description)

(Excised)	Administrative	(Generic Description)
(Excised)	Prominent Person	(Generic Description)
(Excised)	Administrative	(Generic Description)
(Excised)	Personnel	(Generic Description)
(Excised)	Investigative	(Generic Description)
(Excised)	Administrative and Policy	(Generic Description)
(Excised)	Administrative and Information	(Generic Description)
(Excised)	Administrative	(Generic Description)
(Excised)	Information	(Generic Description)
(Excised)	Information	(Generic Description)
(Excised)	Information	(Generic Description)
(Excised)	Information From Another Agency	(Generic Description)
(Excised)	Miscellaneous	(Generic Description)
(Excised)	Prominent Person	(Generic Description)
(Excised)	Congressional Person	(Generic Description)
(Excised)	Personnel	(Generic Description)
(Excised)	Personnel	(Generic Description)
(Excised)	Administrative--Information	(Generic Description)
(Excised)	Administrative and Personnel	(Generic Description)

(Excised)	Prominent Person--Investigative	(Generic Description)
(Excised)	Prominent Person and Information	(Generic Description)
(Excised)	Policy--Information	(Generic Description)
(Excised)	Information	(Generic Description)
(Excised)	Administrative	(Generic Description)
(Excised)	Investigative	(Generic Description)
(Excised)	Personnel	(Generic Description)
(Excised)	Presidential	(Generic Description)
(Excised)	Administrative	(Generic Description)
(Excised)	Administrative--Information	(Generic Description)
(Excised)	Administrative--Information	(Generic Description)
(Excised)	Congressional--Presidential	(Generic Description)
(Excised)	Investigative	(Generic Description)
(Excised)	Prominent Person	(Generic Description)
(Excised)	Prominent Person	(Generic Description)
(Excised)	Prominent Person	(Generic Description)
(Excised)	Administrative	(Generic Description)

(Excised)	Prominent Person	(Generic Description)
(Excised)	Prominent Person	(Generic Description)
(Excised)	Congressional Person	(Generic Description)
(Excised)	Congressional Person	(Generic Description)
(Excised)	Information	(Generic Description)
(Excised)	Information	(Generic Description)
(Excised)	Information	(Generic Description)
(Excised)	Information	(Generic Description)
(Excised)	Information	(Generic Description)
(Excised)	Policy	(Generic Description)
(Excised)	Information	(Generic Description)
(Excised)	Information	(Generic Description)
(Excised)	Administrative--Policy	(Generic Description)
(Excised)	Prominent Person--Administrative	(Generic Description)
(Excised)	Information	(Generic Description)
(Excised)	Prominent Person	(Generic Description)
(Excised)	Investigative	(Generic Description)
(Excised)	Information	(Generic Description)
(Excised)	Information	(Generic Description)

(Excised)	Information	(Generic Description)
(Excised)	Information	(Generic Description)
(Excised)	Prominent Person	(Generic Description)
(Excised)	Administrative	(Generic Description)
(Excised)	Information From Other Agency	(Generic Description)
(Excised)	Administrative	(Generic Description)
(Excised)	Information	(Generic Description)
(Excised)	Policy	(Generic Description)
(Excised)	Administrative	(Generic Description)
(Excised)	Administrative	(Generic Description)
(Excised)	Information	(Generic Description)
(Excised)	Administrative	(Generic Description)
(Excised)	Information	(Generic Description)
(Excised)	Investigative	(Generic Description)
(Excised)	Policy	(Generic Description)
(Excised)	FBI Official	(Generic Description)
(Excised)	Administrative	(Generic Description)

(Excised)	Miscellaneous	(Generic Description)
(Excised)	Prominent Person	(Generic Description)
(Excised)	Investigative	(Generic Description)
(Excised)	Information	(Generic Description)
(Excised)	Investigative	(Generic Description)
(Excised)	Administrative	(Generic Description)
(Excised)	Information	(Generic Description)
(Excised)	Policy	(Generic Description)
(Excised)	Investigative	(Generic Description)
(Excised)	Personnel	(Generic Description)
(Excised)	Administrative	(Generic Description)
(Excised)	Investigative	(Generic Description)
(Excised)	Congressional	(Generic Description)
(Excised)	Information	(Generic Description)
(Excised)	Prominent Person	(Generic Description)
(Excised)	Investigative	(Generic Description)
(Excised)	Administrative	(Generic Description)

(Excised)	Personnel	(Generic Description)
(Excised)	Congressional Information	(Generic Description)
(Excised)	Investigative	(Generic Description)
(Excised)	Information (Furnished White House)	(Generic Description)
(Excised)	Information	(Generic Description)
(Excised)	Investigative	(Generic Description)
(Excised)	Investigative	(Generic Description)
(Excised)	Policy	(Generic Description)
(Excised)	Prominent Person	(Generic Description)
(Excised)	Policy	(Generic Description)
(Excised)	Policy	(Generic Description)

4-3-72
Typed October 20, 1971

LIST OF OFFICIAL CONFIDENTIAL FILES
IN DIRECTOR'S OFFICE

Mr. Felt	✓
Mr. Rosen	_____
Mr. Mohr	_____
Mr. Bishop	_____
Mr. Miller, E.S.	_____
Mr. Callahan	_____
Mr. Casper	_____
Mr. Conrad	_____
Mr. Dalbey	_____
Mr. Cleveland	_____
Mr. Ponder	_____
Mr. Bates	_____
Mr. Tavel	_____
Mr. Walters	_____
Mr. Soyars	_____
Tele. Room	_____
Miss Holmes	_____
Miss Gandy	_____

✓✓ Agreement Between the FBI & Secret Service Concerning Pre

✓✓ Alsop, Joseph Wright, Jr.

✓✓ Anderson, Clinton P. (Senator)

✓✓ Anderson, Hon. William B.

✓✓ Anonymous Communications

✓✓ Attorney General - Submission of Memoranda by FBI

✓✓ Baker, Robert G.

✓✓ Bates, Charles W.

✓✓ Benitez, General Manuel

✓✓ Bentley, Elizabeth, Testimony

✓✓ Bennett, Willard F.

✓✓ Biddle, Francis

✓✓ "Black Bag" Jobs

✓✓ Black, Fred B., Jr.

✓✓ Boardman, Leland V.

✓✓ Bohlen, Charles E.

✓✓ Bureau Recording Instruments

✓✓ Bombing at U. S. Capitol

✓✓ Butts, E. R.

✓✓ Cafritz, Gwendolyn

✓✓ Charlotte Division

✓✓ Churchill, Winston

✓✓ Civil Rights and Domestic Violence, President's Committee

✓✓ CO Summaries (Listing of deliveries to White House)

- ✓ Communist Party
- ✓ Communist Party Coverage
- ✓ Communist Party USSR
- ✓ Confidential Informants
- ✓ Cook, Fred J.
- ✓ Coplon, Judith, Case
- ✓ Cuneo, Ernest
- ✓ Departmental Applicant Investigations
- ✓ Dewey, Gov. Thomas E.
- ✓ Dickstein, Samuel
- ✓ Dies, Martin
- ✓ Directives
- ✓ Donlan, Mrs. Margaret R.
- ✓ Donovan, Col. William
- ✓ Eisenhower, Dwight D. (Gen'l.)
- ✓ Expansion of FBI Foreign Intelligence Coverage
- ✓ FBI Appropriations Difficulties
- ✓ FBI Counter Intelligence Activities - Technical Devices and Investigative Techniques

✓ FBI Story, The

✓ Fortas, Abe

✓ Federal Bureau of Investigation, Review of Information from

✓ Fitch, E. G.

✓ Foreign Influences in the Black Extremist Movement

✓ Foxworth, P. E.

✓ Frankfurter, Felix

✓ Frankfurter, Otto

✓ Friedman, Mrs. Jerome

✓ Fritchey, Clayton Willis

✓ Germany

✓ Gilford, M. E.

✓ Goding, Marshall B.

✓ Gruenwald, Henry (Henry Summaries)

✓ Hagen, Hon. Harlan Francis

✓ Hartin, J. T.

✓ Hayes, Ruby Faye

✓ Hoover, J. Edgar - Directorship

✓ Hoover, John Edgar - Financial Statement

✓ Hopkins, Harry L.

✓ Hull, Hon. Cordell

✓ Hutchinson, Bill

✓ Intelligence Coverage - Domestic and Foreign

✓ Jackson, Robert H.

✓ Jaffe, Philip Jacob, was et al, Espionage - C

✓ Johnson, Mrs. Grace D.

✓ Johnson, President Lyndon B.

✓ Jones, Edward Murray

✓ Kansas City Election Frauds, 1947, Senate Hearings

✓ Kelley, James F. (Monsignor)

✓ Kennedy, John F.

✓ Kennedy, Robert F.

✓ Khrushchev Visit and Soviet Intelligence Activities in the United States

✓ King, Martin Luther

✓ Knight, Miss Frances G.

✓ Kravchenko, Victor

✓ Lash, Joseph P.

✓ Lowenthal, Max

✓ McCarthy, Senator Joseph

✓ McGovern, Senator George S.

✓ McGuire, Matthew F.

✓ McMullin, Harry

✓ Mabie, Roderick

✓ Melcher, Johanna

✓ Memorandum of Understanding Strike Force, New York City

✓ Menefee, J. R.

✓ Meyer, Ethel (Mrs.)

✓ Microphone Surveillances

✓ Mitchell, Hon. John N.

✓ Monroe, John (alias Monroe Kaplan) Technical Summaries

✓ Murphy, Attorney General Frank

✓ Nixon, Richard M. - Administration Organization

✓ Nosovitsky, Jacob, alias Dr. James Anderson

✓ Nye, Gerald P.

✓Organized Crime

✓Pearl Harbor Attack, December 7, 1941

✓Pearson, Drew

✓Pentagon Papers

✓Pepper, Claude E.

✓Poletti, Lt. Col. Charles

✓Police Killings

✓Possible Testimony, U.S. Senate Committee on the Judiciary,
Subcommittee on Administrative Practice and Procedure,
Senator Edward V. Long

✓Presidential Authority

✓Presidential Message on Crime

✓Press Media Campaign Against the Director

✓Raborn, Admiral William F.

✓Radford, Admiral Arthur W.

✓Riots, Summer 1964

✓Roosevelt, Franklin D.

✓Rosen, Al

✓Rowe, Gary Thomas

✓ Scaife, Captain H. C.

✓ Sinatra, Frank Albert

✓ SIS Charts

✓ Smith, L. M. C.

✓ Specialized Mail Cover

✓ Stevenson, Adlai

✓ Tamm, E. A.

✓ Technical Surveillances

✓ Title VIII Guidelines

✓ Tracy, S. J.

✓ United States Attorneys Conference - 1950

✓ U. S. Foreign Intelligence Activities, Brief for use of the Director in
Appearance before the Presidential Board to Review Periodically

✓ Vandenberg, Arthur, Jr.

✓ Vaughan, Brig. Gen. Harry Hawkins

✓ Wallace, Henry A.

✓ Walsh, Hon. David I.

✓ War Plans

- ✓ Washington Field Division
- ✓ Washington Field Division - Miscellaneous Information
- ✓ Welles, Sumner
- ✓ White House Correspondence
- ✓ White House Correspondence, 1945, Technical Summaries
- ✓ White House Employees
- ✓ White House Security Survey, 1945
- ✓ Willkie, Wendell
- ✓ Winchell, Walter
- ✓ Wire Tapping - Presidential Authority
- ✓ Wiretapping, Use of in the FBI

11

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
COMMUNICATIONS SECTION

NOV 18 1975

TELETYPE

Assoc. Dir.	_____
Dep.-A.D.-Adm.	_____
Dep.-A.D.-Inv.	_____
Asst. Dir.:	_____
Admin.	_____
Comp. Syst.	_____
Ext. Affairs	_____
Files & Com.	_____
Gen. Inv.	_____
Ident.	_____
Inspection	_____
Intell.	_____
Laboratory	_____
Plan. & Eval.	_____
Spec. Inv.	_____
Training	_____
Legal Coun.	_____
Telephone Rm.	_____
Director Sec'y	_____

NR002 BS CODE

8:50 AM IMMEDIATE NOVEMBER 18, 1975 RCM

TO: DIRECTOR 62-116395

FROM: BOSTON 66-4117

SENSTUDY 75.

RE BUTEL NOVEMBER 17, 1975.

BOSTON INDICES CONTAIN NO REFERENCES TO VIRGINIA
R. STUART.

BOSTON FILES INDICATE GILBERT STUART, 28 PLANET
STREET, PROVIDENCE, RHODE ISLAND, WAS INTERVIEWED BY
BUAGENTS ON FEBRUARY 17, 1954 AT WHICH TIME HE FURNISHED
INFORMATION IN THE OWEN LATTIMORE, ESP-R, PERJURY
CASE, BUFILE 100-24628. STUART LATER BY LETTER TO
THE BOSTON OFFICE OF THE FBI DATED MARCH 18, 1954,
FURNISHED A LIST OF PERSONS WHO HE ALLEGED HAD
DIRECT CONTACT WITH LATTIMORE.

BOSTON FILES DO NOT CONTAIN ANY MATERIAL PERTAINING
TO OPENING OF MAIL TO OR FROM GILBERT STUART OR
VIRGINIA R. STUART.

END

MSY FBIHQ

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED
HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED
DATE 10/33/2000 BY SP8/AMT/mc

mor-16

84 NOV 25 1975

2 - Mr. J. A. Mintz
(1 - Mr. J. B. Hotis)
1 - Mr. W. R. Wannall
1 - Mr. F. J. Cassidy
November 14, 1975

fr
The Attorney General

Director, FBI

1 - Mr. W. O. Cregar

**U. S. SENATE SELECT COMMITTEE
ON INTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES (SSC)**

Enclosed for your approval and forwarding to the SSC is a memorandum enclosing one copy of a jurisdiction study entitled "An Analysis of FBI Domestic Security Intelligence Investigations; Authority, Official Attitudes, and Activities in Historic Perspective: A Synopsis," dated November 13, 1975. This study is a condensed version of the study dated October 28, 1975, entitled "An Analysis of FBI Domestic Security Intelligence Investigations; Authority, Official Attitudes, and Activities in Historic Perspective," which was furnished to you by my communication of November 3, 1975.

Also enclosed is one copy of this SSC memorandum and enclosure for your records. *da*

A condensed version of the study dated October 28, 1975, was requested by Mr. Mark Gitenstein, SSC staff member.

EX-115

Enclosures - 4
62-116395

REC-75

62-116395-1077

Mich
1 - The Deputy Attorney General

Attention: Michael E. Shaheen, Jr.

1- ENCLOSURE Special Counsel for Intelligence Coordination

15 NOV 24 1975

ALL: vb

(9) *vb*

Assoc. Dir. _____

Dep. AD Adm. _____

Dep. AD Inv. _____

Asst. Dir.: _____

Admin. _____

Comp. Syst. _____

Ext. Affairs _____

Files & Com. _____

Gen. Inv. _____

Ident. _____

Inspection _____

Intell. _____

Laboratory _____

Plan. & Eval. _____

Spec. Inv. _____

Training _____

Legal Coun. _____

Telephone Rm. _____

Director's Sec'y _____

NOTE:

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED
HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED
DATE 10/31/2000 BY SP4 ALM/LMK
mpe-116

Condensed version of jurisdiction study dated October 28, 1975,

was orally requested by Mr. Mark Gitenstein of Assistant Director W. Raymond Wannall, INTD, November 6, 1975.

*Hand carried
office of AG
11/14/75 RAB*

WOB

RAB

Director's Sec'y _____ TELETYPE UNIT ☐

NOV 25 1975
NOV 25 1975
DocId: 32989536

2 - Mr. J. A. Mintz
(1 - Mr. J. B. Hotts)
1 - Mr. W. R. Wannall
1 - Mr. F. J. Cassidy
1 - Mr. W. O. Cregar

62-116395

November 14, 1975

U. S. SENATE COMMITTEE TO STUDY
GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS WITH RESPECT
TO INTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES (SSC)

RE: FBI JURISDICTION IN THE
INTELLIGENCE FIELD

The purpose of this memorandum is to effect delivery of a jurisdiction study, dated November 13, 1975, entitled "An Analysis of FBI Domestic Security Intelligence Investigations; Authority, Official Attitudes, and Activities in Historic Perspective: A Synopsis." This is a condensed version of the study dated October 28, 1975, entitled "An Analysis of FBI Domestic Security Intelligence Investigations; Authority, Official Attitudes, and Activities in Historic Perspective," which was previously furnished to you by my memorandum dated November 5, 1975.

A condensed version of the October 28, 1975, study was requested by Mr. Mark Gitenstein, SSC staff member.

Enclosure

1 - The Attorney General

ALL: vb
(8)

ORIGINAL AND ONE COPY TO AG

NOTE:

Assoc. Dir. _____
Dep. AD Adm. _____
Dep. AD Inv. _____
Asst. Dir.:
Admin. _____
Comp. Syst. _____
Ext. Affairs _____
Files & Com. _____
Gen. Inv. _____
Ident. _____
Inspection _____
Intell. _____
Laboratory _____
Plan. & Eval. _____
Spec. Inv. _____
Training _____
Legal Coun. _____
Telephone Rm. _____
Director Sec'y _____

Study, entitled "An Analysis of FBI Domestic Security Intelligence Investigations; Authority, Official Attitudes, and Activities in Historic Perspective," prepared by INTD, IS-3 Section, and sent to Department by letter dated 11/3/75.

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED
HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED
DATE 10/31/00 BY SP2 RUM/umf

10/21/16
Hand carried to AG
office 11/4/75 RDX

11/13/75
PC-70C
RDX

WOB
RDX

MAIL ROOM ☐ TELETYPE UNIT ☐

AN ANALYSIS OF FBI DOMESTIC
SECURITY INTELLIGENCE INVESTIGATIONS;
AUTHORITY, OFFICIAL ATTITUDES, AND
ACTIVITIES IN HISTORIC PERSPECTIVE:
A SYNOPSIS

November 13, 1975

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED
HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED
DATE 10/3/2000 BY SP2 RUM/lmf
MDR-16

62-116385-1079
ENCLOSURE

AN ANALYSIS OF FBI DOMESTIC SECURITY
INTELLIGENCE INVESTIGATIONS: AUTHORITY,
OFFICIAL ATTITUDES, AND ACTIVITIES IN HISTORIC PERSPECTIVE:
A SYNOPSIS

Many persons who are currently examining the FBI's domestic security intelligence authority and the parameters of this jurisdiction begin their analysis at a mid-point in the history of this Nation's struggle against the force of subversion. This approach tends to result in a mechanical examination of the subject without the benefit of an understanding of the historical forces and necessities which brought about the need to conduct domestic security intelligence investigations. In an effort to clarify the role the FBI has played in this field, it is deemed appropriate to submit this concise review of historic events illustrating the evolution of the problem of domestic subversion, attitudes of Government officials towards the problem, and how, against this historic backdrop, the FBI, came to be assigned domestic security intelligence responsibilities.

Many today assume incorrectly that the FBI commenced domestic security intelligence investigations within the last several years. In fact, the Bureau of Investigation, the predecessor of the modern FBI, was given foreign and domestic security responsibilities during World War I (WWI), 1917-1918.

In 1917, Congress enacted the Selective Service and Training Act, the Espionage Act, and the Trading with the Enemy Act, followed in 1918, by the Sabotage and Deportation Acts. Enforcement responsibilities for the most part fell on the Bureau of Investigation.

During World War I there was at all times an enormous overlapping of investigative activities among the various agencies charged with the winning of the war. There were probably seven or eight such active organizations operating at full force during war days and it was not an uncommon experience for an Agent of this Bureau to call upon an individual in the course of his investigation, to find out that six or seven other Government agents representing as many other investigative agencies had been around to interview the party about the same matter.

In an effort to counter the radicals and anarchists, the Department and Bureau, in conjunction with the Department of Labor, which had primary jurisdiction over immigration matters, used the provisions of the "Deportation Statute" as an answer.

In 1919, J. Edgar Hoover, a Departmental Attorney since 1917, was placed in charge of the General Intelligence Division, Department of Justice. The Division had the responsibility of correlating information obtained by the Bureau for the purpose of preparing material for deportation proceedings. As a Departmental Attorney, Mr. Hoover not only observed the wartime problems but experienced the difficulties associated with intelligence-type investigations while with the Division.

On October 5, 1920, he prepared a report on the General Intelligence Division and stated very clearly that information which the Bureau was collecting was being used by the General Intelligence Division of the Department of Justice as pure and valuable intelligence information to assess internal domestic radical activity as early as 1919.

In 1924, Harlan Fiske Stone was appointed Attorney General of the United States, and his concept as to the Bureau's role was quite clear:

"The Bureau of Investigation is not concerned with political or other opinions of individuals. It is concerned only with such conduct as is forbidden by the laws of the United States. When a police system goes beyond these limits it is dangerous to the proper administration of justice and to human liberty...."

J. Edgar Hoover, who was appointed Director of the Bureau of Investigation by Attorney General Stone in 1924, followed this policy from its inception.

To complete the picture of official FBI policy and attitude regarding investigation of radical activities during the 1920's and early 1930's, it must be noted that intelligence-type investigations were conducted on a very limited basis and for specific purposes when requested by the Attorney General and Secretary of State under the provisions of the Appropriations Act, 28 U.S.C. 533(3).

Though the Departmental and Bureau policy from 1924 to 1934 was to not engage in general domestic security intelligence investigations, the communist-anarchist problem was ever present and of great concern to the public, the Executive, and Congress. The "red radicals" and anarchists were no longer alone in the field, however, for in the early 1930's, National Socialism, the Nazi party ideology of Adolf Hitler, grew to power in Germany and anti-Semitic, anti-racial propaganda was being peddled by Nazi operatives, aliens and pro-German Americans in the United States.

On May 8, 1934, Mr. Hoover appeared at a conference at The White House attended by President Franklin D. Roosevelt, the Attorney General, the Secretary of the Treasury, the Secretary of Labor, and the Chief of the Secret Service. The topic of concern was the Nazi movement in the United States. As a result of the conference, Hoover recorded on May 10, 1934:

"...it is desired that a very careful and searching investigation be made of this movement, with particular attention to be given to activities indicating that either the German Embassy or the German Consulates throughout the United States may have connection with this movement.

"The investigation should be considered as a so-called intelligence investigation, that is to say, the reports should be prepared in one general summary at specified intervals in order that the Attorney General may have them made available, and in turn make them available to the President."

It is readily recognized that this Presidential instruction was not a sweeping and general assignment to conduct domestic security intelligence investigations, but rather to conduct an intelligence investigation within specified guidelines. Nonetheless, here, based upon a Presidential Directive, the Bureau departed from past statutory policy and immediately initiated an intelligence investigation, which by necessity, involved aliens and United States citizens and was conducted for the primary purpose of informing the Attorney General and the President as to the general activities of the movement.

This investigation of the Nazi movement, conducted along the specified guidelines set by the President, was not expanded to include investigation of such communist or radical movements whose purpose it was to overthrow the Government. In a memorandum dated October 9, 1935, for the Acting Attorney General, the Director noted in his response to a State Department inquiry as to the feasibility of exchanging radical information with foreign police sources:

"At the present time the investigative activity of this Bureau is restricted, in matters of this kind (communist and radical matters) to those activities which constitute a violation of some Federal statute.

"Very little information is obtained by this Bureau relating to the activities of these radical organizations, which advocate the overthrow of the United States Government. It follows, of course, that information of this kind is of no value when existing laws do not permit a prosecution of the persons engaged in advocating the overthrow of the Government. I will, however, be glad to receive at any time, information from any foreign police department, which pertains to organizations established for the purpose of attempting to overthrow this Government, and will in the event the Bureau can take no action upon the information furnished transmit the information to those Governmental agencies who may be interested in the material obtained."

On August 24, 1936, Director Hoover, representing the Department in the Attorney General's absence, was summoned to The White House by President Roosevelt. As reflected in two memoranda by Mr. Hoover, dated August 24 and August 25, 1936, the President "was desirous of discussing the question of the subversive activities in the United States, particularly Fascism and Communism." Hoover recorded that the President stated he had been concerned about the communist and fascist movements and "what he was interested in was obtaining a broad picture of the general movement and its activities as may affect the economic and political life of the country as a whole."

The President inquired what suggestions Hoover might offer relative to this matter. Hoover recorded that he responded to the President as follows:

"I told him that the appropriation of the Federal Bureau of Investigation contains a provision that it might investigate any matters referred to it by the Department of State and that if the State Department should ask for us to conduct such an investigation we could do so under our present authority in the appropriation already granted." (Emphasis Added)

On careful reading, and examination in light of the historic setting, it is apparent that the President and Hoover were talking about money, not jurisdictional limits. The President wanted a broad intelligence investigation conducted not for purposes of prosecution and inquired of Hoover if the FBI could supply the product. Hoover responded by informing the President that money had been appropriated by Congress under the Appropriations Act and to activate the provisions for justifiably using the funds would require a request from the Secretary of State. The FBI could, of course, conduct no investigations for which Congress had not provided appropriations. The final topic discussed at the August 24, 1936, meeting was the President's desire that Hoover coordinate the investigation with the "Military and Naval Intelligence Services."

The entry of the Bureau into the new field of domestic security intelligence investigations necessitated the creation of administrative procedures to handle the incoming information. By memorandum dated August 28, 1936, an assistant submitted a tentative outline for the manner in which it was recommended information concerning subversive activities be maintained at Headquarters. He outlined the following "general classifications": Maritime Industry, Government affairs, steel industry, coal industry, newspaper field, clothing, garment and fur industry, general strike activities, Armed Forces, educational institutions, general activities--Communist and Affiliated Organizations, Fascisti, Anti-Fascisti movements, and activities in Organized Labor organizations. Mr. Hoover noted on the memorandum that this was a good beginning.

By letter of October 20, 1938, Attorney General Homer Cummings forwarded to the President a memorandum from Director Hoover wherein Hoover outlined for the President the general scope of the FBI intelligence effort and the areas of coverage being afforded.

"In order that there may be a clear view of the detailed information covered, there is set forth the following break-up of the various subjects that appear in the files of the Intelligence Section; Maritime; government; industry (steel, automobile, coal mining, and miscellaneous); general strike; armed forces; educational institutions; Fascisti; Nazi; organized labor; Negroes, youth; strikes; newspaper field; and miscellaneous. Any information of a subversive or general intelligence character pertaining to any of the above is received at the FBI headquarters at the Seat of Government and is reviewed, summarized, and placed upon editorial cards which are filed by name of the subject matter, as well as by name of the individual, so that it is entirely possible to find in the index the collection of names of individuals engaged in any particular activity, either in any section of the country or in a particular industry or movement. Indicative of the present size of this index, there are approximately 2,500 names now in the index of the various types of individuals engaged in activities of Communism, Nazism, and various types of foreign espionage." (Emphasis Added)

Additional legislation was apparently not deemed necessary by officials of the Department and the Bureau.

Attorney General Homer Cummings (1938):
"No additional legislation to accomplish the general objectives seems to be required."

Former Director J. Edgar Hoover (1938):
"Such expansion in the FBI as may be desired and may become necessary can be covered, it is

believed, under present provisions existing in the annual appropriations bill of the Federal Bureau of Investigation,..... Under this provision investigations have been conducted in years past for the State Department of matters which do not in themselves constitute a specific violation of a Federal Criminal Statute, such as subversive activities. Consequently, this provision is believed to be sufficiently broad to cover any expansion of the present intelligence and counterespionage work which it may be deemed necessary to carry on."

Recommendations of Departmental and Bureau officials in considering the necessity for the issuance of a Presidential directive (1939):

"Formal order on the part of the President would hardly be needed to accomplish this result" (centralization of investigative responsibility.) "All that would be required from him would be a letter to each department head."

President Roosevelt, on November 2, 1938, personally advised the former Director that he approved of Hoover's plan, thus confirming that there was a meeting of the minds among the primary participants.

The world situation steadily worsened during the 1930's. Amid this chaotic world situation the United States attempted to stand neutral; nevertheless, the danger of potential espionage, sabotage, and subversion was of paramount concern.

Various Federal investigative agencies commenced uncoordinated and decentralized investigation of reports of subversion. Some even prompted local citizens' groups to assist their efforts, much reminiscent of the American Protective League and various ad hoc groups formed for the same purpose during World War I. In response to this growing problem, the Bureau recommended remedial action through the Attorney General which resulted in a letter dated February 7, 1939, being directed to various Federal department heads from Joseph B. Keenan, Assistant to the Attorney General, which stated in part:

"I take pleasure in informing you that in cooperation with the Military Intelligence Division of the War Department, the Federal Bureau of Investigation of the Department of Justice has undertaken to investigate matters relating to espionage and subversive activities.

"In order that such matters be handled expeditiously, it will be appreciated if you will instruct your personnel that in case any information is received concerning the above-mentioned matters such information should be promptly forwarded to the nearest field office of the Federal Bureau of Investigation."

Here was a direct effort of the Department and the FBI to prevent the confusion, delays, and violation of personal rights incident to such investigations during the previous war.

Nevertheless, the letter of February 7, 1939, was virtually ignored by other agencies, and a cumbersome committee system, under the direction of the Undersecretary of State, was inaugurated. The committee was composed of representatives of the War, Navy, Treasury, Post Office, and Justice Departments, in addition to the State Department, whose function it was to receive information of a subversive nature, make an analysis, and then forward the matter to the agency deemed most suited to handle the investigation. The bulk of the matters were referred to the FBI and the military and naval intelligence services. The previous arrangement, based on the President's desires of 1936, was completely ignored, causing a waste of prior efforts and accumulated information, delays in referral of cases, and general confusion.

The Department and Bureau prepared a memorandum dated June 5, 1939, containing ideas and recommendations to be presented to the President in an effort to resolve the controversy.

"For the reasons outlined above it is recommended that the definite steps be taken-- first, that the interdepartmental committee described in the first paragraph of this memorandum be abandoned and that in its place the investigation of all espionage, counter-espionage and sabotage cases be controlled in the Intelligence Divisions of the War and Navy Departments

and the Federal Bureau of Investigation and that the directors of these three agencies function in the capacity of a committee to coordinate the activities of the three agencies named. Second, that confidential instructions should be issued by the President to the heads of all government departments except War, Navy, and Justice, instructing that absolutely no investigations shall be conducted by the investigative agencies of those departments into cases involving actually or potentially espionage, counter-espionage or sabotage. The heads of all government departments should be instructed in this order to refer immediately through existing channels direct to the nearest office of the Federal Bureau of Investigation any data, information or material pertaining to cases of this type. Formal order on the part of the President would hardly be needed in order to accomplish this result. All that would be required from him would be a letter to each department head."

This recommended procedure, concurred in by President Roosevelt, resulted in the confidential Presidential Directive of June 26, 1939, which reads:

"It is my desire that the investigation of all espionage, counterespionage, and sabotage matters be controlled and handled by the Federal Bureau of Investigation of the Department of Justice the Military Intelligence Division of the War Department, and the Office of Naval Intelligence of the Navy Department. The directors of these three agencies are to function as a committee to coordinate their activities.

"No investigations should be conducted by any investigative agency of the Government into matters involving actually or potentially any espionage, counterespionage, or sabotage, except by the three agencies mentioned above.

"I shall be glad if you will instruct the heads of all other investigative agencies than the three named, to refer immediately to the

nearest office of the Federal Bureau of Investigation any data, information, or material that may come to their notice bearing directly or indirectly on espionage, counterespionage, or sabotage."

The overall problem was not, however, totally resolved with the Directive of June 26, 1939. Reports were frequently received in Washington indicating that various local police authorities were forming "sabotage squads" and the like and, therefore, further clarification was deemed necessary.

The Department and FBI again went to the President to resolve the question.

At 6:20 p.m. on September 6, 1939, the Attorney General reached Mr. E. A. Tamm, Assistant Director, and advised him as is reflected in Mr. Tamm's memorandum dated September 6, 1939.

"The Attorney General called and stated that the President issued the order today. He stated it reads, in part, as follows:

"President Roosevelt today requested all local law enforcement officers to cooperate with the FBI in the drive against espionage, sabotage, subversive activities, and violation of the neutrality laws. Mr. Roosevelt issued a formal statement requesting all police officers, sheriffs, and other law enforcement officers to transmit to the G-Men all information bearing on such cases. This task must be conducted in a comprehensive and effective manner on a national basis and all information must be carefully sifted out and co-related in order to avoid confusion and irresponsibility. To this end I request all police officers, sheriffs, and all other law enforcement officers in the United States promptly to turn over to the nearest representative of the Federal Bureau of Investigation any information obtained by them relating to espionage, counter-espionage, sabotage, subversive activities, and violation of the neutrality laws."

The Presidential Directives of June 26 and September 6, 1939, were apparently not issued for the sole purpose of fully describing the scope of FBI domestic and foreign security intelligence investigative jurisdiction, but rather were issued to place and finalize investigative authority in the FBI and the military intelligence services over those areas of jurisdiction where conflicts were developing. It should be noted, however, the subject matter of the President's instructions regarding domestic security intelligence investigations of 1936, as reaffirmed in 1938, is also addressed in the Directive of September 6, 1939, thus tending to confirm the President's intent that the FBI and military services handle all matters relating to foreign and domestic security intelligence investigations. To coordinate their efforts, the FBI and the military intelligence services formed the Interdepartmental Intelligence Conference (IIC).

The manner in which the FBI and the military intelligence services delineated their respective responsibilities is reflected in a series of Delimitations Agreements of June 5, 1940, February 9, 1942, and February 23, 1949. Each of these Delimitations Agreements generally cites in the preamble that in conformity with the Presidential Directive of June 26, 1939, as augmented by the Directive of September 6, 1939, investigation of all "espionage, counterespionage, sabotage, and subversive activities (or subversion) will be delimited" as therein described.

The Delimitations Agreements of 1940, 1942, and 1949, stated that the FBI shall investigate those matters involving citizens and foreign nationals or foreign-directed activity in certain geographic areas, including the United States, and, among other duties, shall advise the military of "cases of actual or strongly presumptive espionage or sabotage, including the names of individuals definitely known to be connected with subversive activities" (1940 and 1942) or "developments concerning the strength, composition, and intentions of civilian groups within its cognizance which are classed as subversive and whose activities are a potential danger to the security of the United States" (1949).

The IIC as an independent committee ceased to exist as, pursuant to the National Security Act of 1947, which formed the National Security Council (NSC), it was absorbed and chartered by the NSC, presided over by the President. The IIC charter issued by NSC dated July 18, 1949, reads in part:

"Pursuant to the provisions of Section 101 of the National Security Act and NSC 17/4 as approved by the President, the National Security Council hereby authorizes and directs that the Interdepartmental Intelligence Conference effect the coordination of all investigation of domestic espionage, counterespionage, sabotage, subversion, and other related intelligence matters affecting internal security.

"(1) The Interdepartmental Intelligence Conference shall be constituted as set forth in the Presidential Directive of June 26, 1939, that is, the Director of the Federal Bureau of Investigation, the Director of the Intelligence Division of the Army, the Director of Naval Intelligence, and in addition, the Director, Office of Special Investigations, U. S. Air Force, which office has been created since the issuance of the original Presidential Directive.

"D. Nothing herein shall be construed as modifying or affecting the Presidential Directives issued to the members of the IIC relating to their individual responsibilities and duties. The Delimitations Agreement among the members of the IIC relating to the investigative responsibilities and duties of the individual members and other agreements among these members relating to the same matters shall remain in full force and effect and shall continue to be amended, changed, and supplemented at the discretion of the IIC."

Thus, it was reaffirmed on Presidential authority through the charter of the IIC that the Presidential Directive of June 26, 1939, as mentioned in the charter and the Delimitations Agreement, and the Directive of September 6, 1939, as contained in the Delimitations Agreement, were not to be modified or affected and, through the agreement, were to remain in full force and effect.

The Presidential intent has also been manifested and reaffirmed through the issuance of subsequent Directives by President Roosevelt on January 8, 1943, President Truman on July 24, 1950, and President Eisenhower on December 15, 1953.

Such reissuances appear to occur to reinforce and remind interested parties of this intent during times of national peril--1943, during the height of WW II; 1950, during the Korean conflict; and 1953, during the Cold War era and enactment of the Atomic Energy Act.

On June 9, 1962, President John F. Kennedy executed National Security Action Memorandum 161, wherein he stated in part:

"2. Accordingly, I have directed that the two interdepartmental committees concerned with internal security--the Interdepartmental Intelligence Conference (IIC) and the Interdepartmental Committee on Internal Security (ICIS)--which have been under the supervision of the National Security Council, will be transferred to the supervision of the Attorney General. The continuing need for these committees and their relationship to the Attorney General will be matters for the Attorney General to determine."

On March 5, 1964, the Attorney General directed a charter to the IIC which is identical to the charter issued by the NSC in 1949, save for the issuing authority being the Attorney General in place of the NSC. Since that time, the FBI has operated in the field of foreign counterintelligence and domestic security investigations under the overall supervision of the Attorney General.

In addition to the reaffirmation of the Presidential intent, the Attorney General has codified his instructions that the FBI handle such matters in 28 CFR, Section 0.85(d):

"Carry out the Presidential directive of September 6, 1939, as reaffirmed by Presidential directives of January 8, 1943, July 24, 1950, and December 15, 1953, designating the (FBI) to take charge of investigative work in matters relating to espionage, sabotage, subversive activities, and related matters."

The FBI, in addition, is responsible for the investigation of numerous Federal statutory violations, many inherently addressing the field of domestic subversion and extremist activities, as well as for retaining material and conducting such investigations as are necessary and proper under the Federal Employee Loyalty Program.

As has been illustrated, the FBI, since World War I, has been the recognized Federal agency to conduct investigations of a national security nature, and, since 1936, has been authorized to engage in domestic security intelligence investigations through a series of Presidential Directives, as reaffirmed, Executive Branch charters, and through the parallel interest generated in the duty to investigate statutory violations in the field.

UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

Memorandum

TO : Mr. J. B. Adams

DATE: 11/12/75

FROM : Legal Counsel *JAM*

SUBJECT: SENATE SELECT COMMITTEE
TESTIMONY BY THE ATTORNEY
GENERAL ON NOVEMBER 6, 1975

Assoc. Dir. ☒
Dep. AD Adm. ☒
Dep. AD Inv. ☒
Asst. Dir. ☒
Admin. ☐
Comp. Syst. ☐
Ext. Affairs ☐
Files & Com. ☐
Gen. Inv. ☐
Ident. ☐
Inspection ☐
Intell. ☐
Laboratory ☐
Legal Coun. ☒
Plan. & Eval. ☐
Spec. Inv. ☐
Training ☐
Telephone Rm. ☐
Director Sec'y ☐

Attached is a copy of a letter from Attorney General Levi to Senator Richard S. Schweiker dated November 10, 1975, which was forwarded to me for information by Douglas Marvin, Special Assistant to the Attorney General. The letter is self-explanatory and concerns the testimony by the Attorney General in response to an inquiry by Senator Schweiker as to FBI policy contained in a manual using the phrase "embarrassing to the Bureau."

RECOMMENDATION:

For information.

Enc.

- 1 - Mr. Wannall
- 1 - Mr. Hotis
- 1 - Mr. Moore
- 1 - Mr. Walsh
- 1 - Mr. Mintz

JAM:mfd
(6)

ENCLOSURE

15 NOV 24 1975

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED
HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED
DATE 10/31/2000 BY SP4 RUM/umf
MOR-76

84 MAY 26 1975



Office of the Attorney General

Washington, D. C. 20530

November 10, 1975

Honorable Richard S. Schweiker
United States Senate
Washington, D.C. 20510

Dear Senator Schweiker:

At the hearing before the Select Committee on Intelligence Activities, you asked me to comment on a specific provision from the FBI Manual of Rules and Regulations. As I told you at the hearing, through some misunderstanding, I had not seen that provision until almost immediately before the afternoon session of the Committee began and indeed while I was at the witness table. I did not have an opportunity to check the specific provision or to know about its origin. My statement that I was sure it did not represent present policy represented my firm belief.

I note that according to the press reports that a Bureau spokesman later in the day informed a reporter that the statement is still in the manual and that it does represent Bureau policy. I am writing this letter to you, with a copy to Senator Church, because I would not wish to mislead the Select Committee in any way.

I do believe, however, some further explanation is in order. First, the Bureau informs me that the provision has not been interpreted to mean that an investigation should not take place and that "any interpretation that an investigation would not be instituted because of the possibility of embarrassment to the Bureau was never intended and, in fact, has never been the policy of this Bureau." I am told that "what was intended to be conveyed was that in such eventuality FBI Headquarters desired to be advised of the matter before investigation is instituted so that Headquarters would be on notice and could direct the inquiry, if necessary."

ALL FBI INFORMATION CONTAINED
HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED
DATE 10/31/00 BY SP2 RUM/lmf
MAR-16

62-116395-1076
ENCLOSURE

Second, the manual provision dates back to March 30, 1955.

Third, I am informed by the Bureau that "immediate steps are being taken to remove that phraseology from our Manual of Rules and Regulations."

Sincerely,

Edward H. Levi

cc: Senator Church

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
COMMUNICATIONS SECTION

NOV 12 1975

NR 002 JN CODE

3:45 PM NITEL 11/12/75 TELETYPE

TO: DIRECTOR

ATTENTION: INTELLIGENCE DIVISION

FROM: JACKSON (80-662)

SENSTUDY SEVENTYFIVE.

RE BUREAU TELCALL TO JACKSON NOVEMBER ELEVEN, SEVENTYFIVE.

FORMER SAC ROY K. MOORE WAS CONTACTED BY SAC ON NOVEMBER ELEVEN AND ADVISED OF THE DESIRES OF THE SENATE SELECT COMMITTEE TO INTERVIEW HIM CONCERNING THE CAMDEN ACTION CASE AND SPECIFICALLY THE HANDLING OF INFORMANT HARDY.

MR. MOORE ADVISED THAT HE RECALLS SUCH AN INFORMANT BUT HAS NO INDEPENDENT RECOLLECTION OF DETAILS CONCERNING THIS INDIVIDUAL'S HANDLING AND CANNOT RECALL THE NAMES OF THE HANDLING AGENTS. WHILE HE PERSONALLY THINKS THE COMMITTEE MEMBERS WOULD BE WASTING THEIR TIME TRAVELLING ALL THE WAY FROM WASHINGTON TO CONTACT HIM, HE WOULD, OF COURSE, BE COURTEOUS AND COOPERATIVE IF CONTACTED. HE WAS ADVISED THAT HE COULD AVAIL HIMSELF OF THE BUREAU'S OFFICE OF LEGAL COUNSEL BY CALLING THE BUREAU COLLECT AFTER BEING CONTACTED. HE APPRECIATED THIS AND ADVISED HE WOULD CONSIDER USING THIS ASSISTANCE.

END

SJP FBIHQ CLR AND TKS

Assoc. Dir.	_____
Dep.-A.D.-Adm.	_____
Dep.-A.D.-Inv.	_____
Asst. Dir.:	_____
Admin.	_____
Comp. Syst.	_____
Ext. Affairs	_____
Files & Com.	_____
Gen. Inv.	_____
Ident.	_____
Inspection	_____
Intell.	<u>W. R. F. E. A.</u>
Laboratory	_____
Plan. & Eval.	_____
Spec. Inv.	_____
Training	_____
Legal Coun.	_____
Telephone Rm.	_____
Director Sec'y	_____

W. R. F. E. A.

S. J. P.

SA

REC-45 62-116395-1075

EX-115

NOV 19 1975

5. Phillips (last)

(Roy K. Moore)

Ref. [unclear]
cc. 67-

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED
HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED
DATE 11/3/00 BY SP8 [unclear]
MOR-16

84 NOV 26 1975

UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

Memorandum

TO : Mr. W. R. Wannall

FROM : W. O. Cregar

SUBJECT: SENSTUDY 75

- 1 - Mr. J. A. Mintz
 - 1 - Mr. R. J. Gallagher
(Attn: T. L. Sheer)
 - 1 - Mr. W. V. Cleveland
(Attn: C. W. Hurst, Jr.)
- DATE: 11/11/75
- 1 - Mr. W. R. Wannall
 - 1 - Mr. J. G. Deegan
(Attn: H. A. Newman)
 - 1 - Mr. R. L. Shackelford
(Attn: E. P. Grigalus)
 - 1 - Mr. W. O. Cregar
 - 1 - Mr. S. F. Phillips

Assoc. Dir. _____
Dep. AD Adm. _____
Dep. AD Inv. _____
Asst. Dir. _____
Admin. _____
Comp. Syst. _____
Ext. Affairs _____
Files & Com. _____
Gen. Inv. _____
Ident. _____
Inspection _____
Intell. _____
Laboratory _____
Legal Coun. _____
Plan. & Eval. _____
Spec. Inv. _____
Training _____
Telephone Rm. _____
Director Sec'y _____

This informative memorandum, for record purposes, reports on conference 11/10/75 between Bureau and Senate Select Committee (SSC) personnel concerning informant matters.

Attached is a copy of an 11/7/75 letter, with attachment, which served as a basis for the conference which was requested by SSC Staff Member Bob Kelley. The letter covered three principal items: (1) a paper which the SSC invites us to prepare concerning informant matters, (2) a request for additional documents, and (3) a request to interview Agents who handled three former informants.

Present at the conference on behalf of the SSC Staff were Bob Kelley, Andy Postal, David Bushong and Jeffrey Kayden. Attendees at the conference for the Bureau were S. F. Phillips of the Senstudy 75 Project, Supervisors E. P. Grigalus (IS-2 Section) and H. A. Newman (IS-1 Section) of INTD, and T. L. Sheer of the General Investigative Division.

The following matters were discussed at the conference. In respect to paragraph 1, page 2, of the SSC 11/7/75 letter, Kelley acknowledged error in the letter in that the SSC is now requesting documents relating to only one, not two, of three former informants who are scheduled to testify. The former informant in question is Gary Thomas Rowe, Jr., and Newman is coordinating the document request relating to Rowe. The SSC representatives delineated the

Enclosures

62-116395

SFP:1hb
(9)

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED
HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED
DATE 11/3/00 BY SP-1/MLM
mod-16

15 NOV 24 1975
CONTINUED OVER

84 NOV 26 1975

Memorandum to Mr. W. R. Wannall
Re: Senstudy 75
62-116395

Agents it desired to interview, principally those who had handled the three former informants during specified periods; and also indicated a desire to interview former SAC Roy Moore who supervised the Special in which former informant Hardy was involved. By separate correspondence we are handling the matter relating to release from confidentiality agreement of the pertinent personnel.

The conference also discussed details of the memorandum the SSC invited us to prepare, which memorandum would enable the Bureau to present to the SSC an overall view of our informant program. It was agreed at the conference that we will deal with our informant program in the domestic field and not any aspects relating to assets in the counterintelligence field. Unit Chief Grigalus was designated to coordinate and prepare the necessary memorandum with assistance and input from Newman and from the Criminal Informant Unit of the Special Investigative Division, whose assistance Grigalus will separately solicit.

ACTION:

None. For information and record purposes.

B

NPC WRW
HGH

Jim

B38
OW

KI

PHILIP CHURCH, SENIOR CHAIRMAN
-NORMAN T. STUBBS, VICE CHAIRMAN

EDWARD H. BAKER, JR., MD.
BARRY GOLDWATER, ARIZ.
CHARLES MCC. MATHIAS, JR., MD.
RICHARD G. SCHWEIKER, PA.

PHILIP A. BENT, IOWA
WALTER D. BROWN, IOWA
WALTER D. BROWN, IOWA
WALTER D. BROWN, IOWA
WALTER D. BROWN, IOWA

WILLIAM O. MILLER, STAFF DIRECTOR
FREDERICK A. O. SCHWARTZ, JR., CHIEF COUNSEL
CURTIS N. SMOTHERS, MINORITY COUNSEL

United States Senate

SELECT COMMITTEE TO
STUDY GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS WITH
RESPECT TO INTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES

(PURSUANT TO S. RES. 21, 94TH CONGRESS)

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20510

November 7, 1975

Michael E. Shaheen, Jr., Esq.
Special Counsel for Intelligence Coordination
Office of the Deputy Attorney General
U.S. Department of Justice
Washington, D.C. 20530

Dear Mike:

As a follow-up to our briefing of Assistant Director Wannall on November 6 concerning our proposed format and witnesses for public hearings on December 4-5 on the FBI's use of intelligence informants and sources, we would like to make the following requests.

As discussed in our meeting with Mr. Wannall, we invite the Bureau to submit a memorandum on its intelligence informant and source program that could be inserted in the record of the testimony of Bureau representatives at the hearing. This memorandum would enable the Bureau to present to the Select Committee a complete description of its program and to discuss the issues of particular interest to the Committee members. These include, for example, 1) the size, scope and purpose of the program, including an assessment of the program's cost and effectiveness, and the distinctions and relationships between the intelligence informant program and the Bureau's criminal informant activity; 2) the criteria that determine when an informant may be used in an organization or group; and 3) the program's command and control system, including the limits that are placed on the activities of informants and the type of information an informant or source is permitted to report to his handling agent and the Bureau. In addition, the memorandum would discuss possible legislation in the informant area, such as the imposition of a warrant requirement or additional administrative review. To permit the Select Committee members to study this memorandum in advance of the hearing and to discuss it with FBI representatives during their testimony at the public hearing, we request that the memorandum be submitted by November 24.

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED

HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED

DATE 11/1/00 BY SP9 DML/MLC


ENCLOSURE

Michael E. Shaheen, Jr., Esq.
November 7, 1975
Page 2

Also I am enclosing requests for documents relating to two of the three former FBI informants who are scheduled to testify on December 4. These requests supplement our document request of August 20, 1975 pertaining to informants (Part IV-4).

In addition, we wish to interview and possibly depose the Special Agents who handled the three former informants (Rowe, Hardy and Cook) who are scheduled to testify at the public hearing on December 4. Because of the limited time remaining before the scheduled hearings, we request that the Special Agents be made available for interviews and depositions as soon as possible.

Sincerely,



John Elliff
Director
Domestic Intelligence Task Force

cc: Paul V. Daly
Office of Congressional Affairs
Federal Bureau of Investigation

DOCUMENT REQUEST

1. The following documents and materials relating to Gary Thomas Rowe, Jr., whether in the custody or possession of the FBI Birmingham, Alabama office or elsewhere:
 - a) All documents and materials reflecting contacts and reports of contacts (and the substance thereof) between Gary Thomas Rowe, Jr. and FBI Special Agents from January 1, 1960 to April 1, 1965.
 - b) All documents and materials reflecting information supplied by Gary Thomas Rowe, Jr. to FBI Special Agents (and Bureau Field or Headquarters summaries thereof) from January 1, 1960 to April 1, 1965.
 - c) All documents and materials relating to any guidance, directions, instructions, or suggestions given to Gary Thomas Rowe, Jr. by FBI Special Agents from January 1, 1960 to April 1, 1965.
 - d) All documents and materials relating to Bureau and Field Office supervision of the handling of Gary Thomas Rowe, Jr. by FBI Special Agents from January 1, 1960 to April 1, 1965.
 - e) All documents and materials relating to payments of money to, or other financial arrangements between, Gary Thomas Rowe, Jr., the FBI, and the Justice Department - from March 25, 1965 to December 31, 1966.

2 - Mr. A. Mintz
 (1 - Mr. J. B. Hotis)
 1 - Mr. W. R. Wannall
 1 - Mr. J. G. Deegan
 1 - Mr. W. O. Cregar

November 11, 1975

1 - Mr. S. F. Phillips

The Attorney General

Director, FBI

U. S. SENATE SELECT COMMITTEE
 ON INTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES (SSC)

Reference is made to the letter, dated October 16, 1975, from Mr. Michael E. Shaheen, Jr., Special Counsel for Intelligence Coordination, Department of Justice, to Assistant Director John A. Mintz of this Bureau, forwarding a letter, dated October 14, 1975, to Mr. Shaheen from Mr. John T. Elliff of the SSC Staff, and the attachment to Mr. Elliff's letter.

Enclosed for your approval and forwarding to the SSC is the original of a memorandum in response to the above-mentioned SSC request. A copy of the memorandum is also enclosed for your records.


Enclosures (2)

62-116395

REC-112

1 - The Deputy Attorney General
 Attention: Michael E. Shaheen, Jr.
 Special Counsel for
 Intelligence Coordination

SFP:lhb/lhb
 (10)



Assoc. Dir. _____
 Dep. AD Adm. _____
 Dep. AD Inv. _____
 Asst. Dir. _____
 Admin. _____
 Comp. Syst. _____
 Ext. Affairs _____
 Files & Com. _____
 Gen. Inv. _____
 Ident. _____
 Inspection _____
 Intell. _____
 Laboratory _____
 Plan. & Eval. _____
 Spec. Inv. _____
 Training _____
 Legal Coun. _____
 Telephone Rm. _____
 Director Sec'y _____

ENCLOSURE

WRA/TWL

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED
 HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED
 DATE 1/2/2000 BY SP8 [signature]

MOR-16

MAIL ROOM ☐ TELETYPE UNIT ☐

SENATE SELECT COMMITTEE ON INTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES (SSC)

RE: DOCUMENTATION FOR INFORMATION CONCERNING
STANLEY DAVID LEVISON

~~SECRET~~

NOTE:

The referenced request and attachment cited 14 communications, as examples, which the Bureau has furnished the SSC and which describes Levison as having been a secret member of the Communist Party (CP). The request was for supporting evidence in FBI files for what it calls FBI's "conclusionary statements" about Levison. We believe strongly that the special briefing given to Senator Church, et al., would serve in lieu of any written response to the request, and Mr. Shaheen so agreed in oral discussion with Supervisor S. F. Phillips of the Senstudy 75 Project on 11/7/75. Shaheen indicated he did not believe any further written information need be given to the SSC to serve as documentation for Levison's CP membership. Phillips suggested, and Shaheen agreed it was a good idea, to furnish the Committee an LIM along the lines we are now taking which will be on record with the SSC that the oral briefing was to serve in lieu of other response.

Classified by 2047
Exempt from GDS, Category 2
Date of Declassification Indefinite

~~SECRET~~

DECLASSIFIED BY SPARM/UMS - 2 -
ON 11/8/2005
MOB-16

FBI

Date: 11/11/75

Transmit the following in _____
(Type in plaintext or code)Via AIRTEL _____
(Priority)

TO: DIRECTOR, FBI (62-116395)
(ATTN: INTD - W. O. CREGAR)

FROM: SAC, NEW YORK (134-91)

SUBJECT: SENSTUDY: 1975

ReBuphone call of Bureau Supervisor SEYMOUR PHILIP,
11/10/75.

This communication is classified "Top Secret" in
accordance with established classification procedures.

Enclosed for the Bureau are two copies each of 21
communications pertinent to captioned case.

The original recording of this information is
contained in 134-91, unless otherwise noted:

1. NYlet to Bu - CPUSA Reserve Fund - 3/16/61
2. NYlet to Bu - CPUSA Reserve Fund - 10/19/61
3. NYmemo to SAC - CPUSA Funds - 11/20/62
4. NYairtel to Bu - STANLEY LEVISON - 1/4/62
5. NYairtel to Bu - M. L. KING - 2/12/62
6. NYmemo to SAC - CPUSA Reserve Funds - 4/20/62

7. NYtel to Bu - M.L. KING - 5/3/62

of 42 encl. 21 are Bureau in file (1 set)
of the other 21 (1 set) - 5 used for SSC 16 depts.
2 - Bureau (Encls. 42) (RM)
1 - New York

TJD:jir
(4)

Classified by 2116
Exempt from GDS, Category 2
Date of Declassification Indefinite

ENCLOSURE

ENCLOSURE BEHIND FILE

Sent _____

M

Per _____

Special Agent in Charge

U.S. Government Printing Office: 1972 - 455-574

~~TOP SECRET~~

NY 134-91

8. NYmemo to SAC - STANLEY LEVISON - 8/30/62
9. NYairtel to Bu - STANLEY LEVISON - 3/21/63
10. NYairtel to Bu - M.L. KING - 6/13/63
11. NYairtel to Bu - CPUSA Negro Question - 7/10/63
12. NYairtel to Bu - M.L. KING - 7/31/63
13. Memo to SAC NY - STANLEY LEVISON - 7/16/63
14. NYairtel to Bu - STANLEY LEVISON - 9/16/63
15. NYlet to Bu - CPUSA Negro Question - 10/18/63

The following are the original documents set forth in the captioned case files:

16. NYairtel to Bu - CPUSA Negro Question - 2/13/64
17. " " " " " " - 2/18/64
18. NYmemo to SAC - STANLEY LEVISON - 4/3/64
19. " " " " " " - 4/13/64
20. " " " " " " - 4/20/64
21. NYlet to Bu - CIRM - 9/23/64

Concerning the above, it should be noted that due to the top level activity of the source, NY 694-OA, and to the highly sensitive area the source operates in, only oral reports are obtained from the source. These oral reports are not reduced to writing for the source's signature. Also, occasionally information is orally obtained from the source and is originally recorded in the case file of the subject or subject matter.

~~TOP SECRET~~

~~TOP SECRET~~

NY 134-91

The enclosed represents the best information as obtained from a review of the files of the New York Office of NY 694-OA; STANLEY D. LEVISON and MARTIN L. KING from 1961 - 1965 showing the original recorded information.

~~TOP SECRET~~

UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

Memorandum

TO : Mr. J. B. Adams

FROM : Legal Counsel

SUBJECT: SENSTUDY - 75

1 - Mr. Adams

1 - Mr. Walsh

1 - Mr. Moore

DATE: 11-10-75

1 - Mr. Mintz

1 - Mr. Hotis

1 - Mr. Daly

Assoc. Dir. _____
Dep. AD Adm. _____
Dep. AD Inv. _____
Asst. Dir. _____
Admin. _____
Comp. Syst. _____
Ext. Affairs _____
Files & Com. _____
Gen. Inv. _____
Ident. _____
Inspection _____
Intell. _____
Laboratory _____
Legal Coun. _____
Plan. & Eval. _____
Spec. Inv. _____
Training _____
Telephone Rm. _____
Director Sec'y _____

Reference memorandum from E. W. Walsh to Mr. Jenkins dated 11-6-75 captioned "Disciplinary Matters, Explanation Concerning Manual Provisions."

During public testimony by Attorney General Levi before the Senate Select Committee on Intelligence Activities on 11-6-75, Senator Richard Schweiker read a portion of the Rules and Regulations, Part I, Section 9, Item C, dealing with disciplinary matters. The Senator said the rule indicates that investigations will be halted because they might "embarrass the Bureau." Referenced memorandum enclosed a statement, prepared by the Administrative Division, explaining the Bureau's policy in such matters, which was to be included in the record of the hearings.

Mr. Hotis met with Senator Schweiker shortly after the hearings on the afternoon of 11-6-75 and requested that the Senator insert an explanation of our policy into the record. He told the Senator it was incorrect to suggest that the purpose of the rule was to terminate, or as the Senator put it "abort," an investigation that might prove to be embarrassing to the Bureau. He said the purpose of the rule is simply to insure that any incident or allegation that might discredit or undermine the public confidence in the Bureau should be brought promptly to the attention of Bureau Headquarters to insure that the matter is handled in an appropriate manner. Because of the controversy surrounding the phrase "embarrass the Bureau," however, we are revising the language of that provision so that it will more accurately convey our policy.

Senator Schweiker said he did not intend to focus attention on the Bureau in this matter but simply was trying to underscore the need for an Inspectors General Office in the Department of Justice. He said he feels certain that other agencies of Government have similar policies.

EX-115

REG-45 62-116375-1072

CONTINUED OVER

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED
HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED
DATE 10/30/00 BY SP8 nm/lmb
MAR-16

JBH:dkg (8)

84 NOV 26 1975

NW 55079-108 DocId:32989536

Buy U.S. Savings Bonds Regularly on the Payroll Savings Plan

Page 200

LEGAL COUNSEL

Legal Counsel to Mr. Adams Memo
Re: SENSTUDY

He agreed that the policy is a sound one but said the rule is rather awkwardly worded. Schweiker said he had not intended to be critical of the Bureau and wanted Mr. Kelley to know that he has the utmost confidence in his leadership of the Bureau and has said so on two national television programs. He assured Mr. Hotis that he would insert the Bureau's explanation into the record immediately following his questioning of the Attorney General on the subject.

Copies of the Bureau's explanation were delivered on the morning of 11-7-75 to Duggee Hatrey, an assistant in the Senator's office. We were later advised by Elliott Maxwell, a staff member of the committee, that Senator Schweiker asked him to make certain that this explanation appeared in the record of the hearings.

RECOMMENDATION:

For information.

[Handwritten signatures and initials: "HRC", "JBA", "PLH", "K", and a large signature on the right]

SECRET

UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

SECRET

Memorandum

- 1 - Mr. W. R. Wannall
- 1 - Mr. W. A. Branigan
- 1 - Mr. W. O. Cregar

DATE: 8/28/75

Assoc. Dir. _____
Dep. AD Adm. _____
Dep. AD Inv. _____
Asst. Dir.: _____
Admin. _____
Comp. Syst. _____
Ext. Affairs _____
Files & Com. _____
Gen. Inv. _____
Ident. _____
Inspection _____
Intell. _____
Laboratory _____
Plan. & Eval. _____
Spec. Inv. _____
Training _____
Legal Coun. _____
Telephone Rm. _____
Director Sec'y _____

TO : Mr. W. R. Wannall

FROM : W. O. Cregar

SUBJECT: INCOMMUNICADO (S)
INTERROGATIONS

Reference is made to LHM regarding U. S. Senate Select Committee on Intelligence, subcaptioned as above, dated 7/21/75 which is attached.

Enclosed herewith are four memoranda captioned: "Karot," "Heklar," "Gunson" and Gleme, which set forth background and details of incommunicado interrogations conducted during the period 1959 to 1963 for review prior to the oral briefing of SSC personnel.

Referenced LHM indicates that at the time of the oral briefing, SSC personnel will be given access to necessary documentation. Therefore, a copy of authorization setting forth the basis for the interview and a copy of the results of the interviews are being furnished. The enclosed material has been reviewed and excisions made where deemed necessary.

Briefing has been scheduled for 9/9/75. Section Chief W. A. Branigan has been designated to conduct briefing.

RECOMMENDATION:

15 NOV 24 1975

It is recommended that access be limited to the enclosed material and that copies not be furnished to the SSC. This memorandum and attached material should be returned to SA Elmer W. Larson, Room 4063, JEH Building, upon approval.

Enclosures
62-116395

JWJ:cm1/1hb/1hb

(4)

Classified by 2676
Exempt from GDS, Categories 2 and 3
Date of Declassification Indefinite

ROUTE IN ENVELOPE

~~SECRET~~

ADDENDUM: INTELLIGENCE DIVISION

^{Wob}
WOC:1hb

9/2/75

On morning of 9/2/75, Mr. Michael E. Shaheen, Jr., Special Counsel for Intelligence Coordination, Department of Justice, was asked if the Department interposed any objection to the Bureau referring to various surreptitious entries which were made in connection with the four Soviet illegals. Mr. Shaheen advised that the Department would interpose no objection to the Bureau referring to the surreptitious entries made in connection with our investigations of the four Soviet illegals.

Mr. Shaheen suggested that, at the outset of the briefing for SSC personnel, such personnel be advised that the Department is conducting an investigation to determine whether any criminal culpability is involved regarding surreptitious entries conducted by the Bureau in the past.

WRW/TOL

Pen

Jm

~~SECRET~~

1 - Mr. B Adams
1 - Mr. J. A. Mintz
1 - Mr. W. R. Wannall
1 - Mr. W. O. Cregar
1 - Mr. S. F. Phillips

The Attorney General

November 3, 1975

Director, FBI

U. S. SENATE SELECT COMMITTEE
ON INTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES (SSC)

Enclosed is the original of a memorandum concerning an interview of former FBI Special Agent C. Edwin Glass, Jr., by SSC Staff Members. Also enclosed is a copy of the memorandum for forwarding to Mr. James A. Wilderotter, Associate Counsel to the President.

Enclosures (2)

62-116395

1 - The Deputy Attorney General
Attention: Michael E. Shaheen, Jr.
Special Counsel for
Intelligence Coordination

1 - 67- (Personnel file former SA C. Edwin Glass, Jr.)

SFP:eks
(10)

EX-115

REC-45

15 NOV 21 1975

Assoc. Dir. _____
Dep. AD Adm. _____
Dep. AD Inv. _____
Asst. Dir.: _____
Admin. _____
Comp. Syst. _____
Ext. Affairs _____
Files & Com. _____
Gen. Inv. _____
Ident. _____
Inspection _____
Intell. _____
Laboratory _____
Plan. & Eval. _____
Spec. Inv. _____
Training _____
Legal Coun. _____
Telephone Rm. _____
Director Sec'y _____

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED
HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED
DATE 10/31/200 BY SP2 RML/MLK

MAIL ROOM ☐ TELETYPE UNIT ☐

GPO : 1975 O - 569-920

1 - Mr. J. D. Adams
1 - Mr. J. A. Mintz
1 - Mr. W. R. Wannall
1 - Mr. W. O. Cregar
1 - Mr. S. F. Phillips

62-116395

November 3, 1975

**U. S. SENATE SELECT COMMITTEE TO
STUDY GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS WITH
RESPECT TO INTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES (SSC)**

**RE: INTERVIEW OF FORMER FBI
SPECIAL AGENT (SA)
C. EDWIN GLASS, JR., BY
SSC STAFF MEMBERS**

The following concerns an interview conducted by
SSC Staff Members of former FBI SA C. Edwin Glass, Jr.

Prior to the interview, Glass was given a release
by the FBI from his confidentiality agreement with the FBI
for the purpose of the interview.

The following is a statement which Glass voluntarily
furnished and which reports the results of the interview.

After obtaining clearance from the Bureau, retired
SA C. Edwin Glass, Jr., was interviewed on September 25, 1975,
at the offices of the Select Senate Committee by Arthur
Harrigan, James DeMarco, and Barbara Banoff. A court reporter
was also present. Glass signed an advice of rights
statement prior to the interview. The interview lasted
two hours and 15 minutes.

Assoc. Dir. _____
Dep. AD Adm. _____
Dep. AD Inv. _____
Asst. Dir.: _____
Admin. _____
Comp. Syst. _____
Ext. Affairs _____
Files & Com. _____
Gen. Inv. _____
Ident. _____
Inspection _____
Intell. _____
Laboratory _____
Plan. & Eval. _____
Spec. Inv. _____
Training _____
Legal Coun. _____
Telephone Rm. _____
Director Sec'y _____

The interviewers showed Mr. Glass a Xerox of a
memorandum and airtel to all offices dated in December, 1970,
entitled "Key Black Extremist Program." In the airtel there
were listed nine investigative steps to be performed
regarding all Key Black Extremists (KBE). The ninth step
ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED
HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED
DATE 10/26/2000 BY SP-2 (Personnel file former SA C. Edwin Glass, Jr.)
1 - 67-16 MDR-16

SFP:eks (9) *ek*

ORIGINAL AND ONE COPY TO AG

MAIL ROOM ☐ TELETYPE UNIT ☐

ENCLOSURE

SENATE SELECT COMMITTEE ON INTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES (SSC)

RE: INTERVIEW OF FORMER FBI SA BY SSC

was an annual check of IRS "in accordance with existing instructions." The interview centered on FBI checks of IRS records. Mr. Glass explained the procedure followed to check IRS records. He was asked if the field could check these records directly without going through Headquarters, and he said they could not be in security cases. He was asked if instructions to this effect were in the Manual and he replied he thought they were, but was not sure. Mr. Glass was then provided a copy of a letter listing the subjects who had been checked through IRS for tax returns and asked to identify those who were KBEs and extremist subjects. Mr. Glass said that he was of the present opinion that the instruction in the airtel about IRS checks was later amended to indicate that an IRS check on each KBE be considered rather than being mandatory. He said his recollection was bolstered by the fact that LeRoi Jones, a KBE, according to their list, was checked on August 5, 1971, and not again at a later date. This would indicate that an IRS check annually was not mandatory.

Mr. Glass was asked if the Bureau ever had IRS checks made on subjects by other government agencies such as CIA, and he replied not to his knowledge. He told them that IRS checks were made for lead purposes only and were done on a very selective basis and to his recollection were not reported when a report was submitted on a subject.

Mr. Glass was asked if the IRS checks were ever used in the COINTELPRO and he replied that he would think not because of the restriction placed on the use of this information.

He was shown a Xerox of a blind memorandum in the investigation of Bobbie Rush, along with a routing slip and a letter from the Department to IRS, and was asked if the memorandum was the type written in cases requesting an IRS check, and he replied that it was.

SENATE SELECT COMMITTEE ON INTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES (SSC)

RE: INTERVIEW OF FORMER FBI SA BY SSC

Mr. Glass was asked if the KBE Program was patterned after the Key Activist Program and he said that it was.

Harrigan seemed to be of the opinion that the IRS was part of the COINTELPRO, and he asked some questions about the COINTELPRO which Mr. Glass declined to discuss since he was only cleared to discuss the IRS checks.

Harrigan asked what the purpose of IRS checks was. Mr. Glass responded that the IRS checks were part of the investigation to determine the sources of finances of extremists who traveled extensively, stockpiled weapons and seemed to have no problem financing their activities.

NOTE:

Per Supervisor P. V. Daly of the Legal Counsel Division, Assistant Director Mintz orally waived the confidentiality agreement. This was done for expediency, as the SSC made known its desire to interview Glass immediately preceding the actual interview. Glass' handwritten statement was mailed to the Bureau and typed into the LHM.

TO: Intelligence Community Staff
ATTN: Central IndexFROM:
FBI

SUBJECT: Abstract of Information Provided to Select Committees

1. HOW PROVIDED (check appropriate term. If a document was made available
for review but not transmitted, so note.)

2. DATE PROVIDED

DOCUMENT

BRIEFING

☒

INTERVIEW

TESTIMONY

OTHER

11/3/75

3. TO WHOM PROVIDED (check appropriate term; add specific names if appropriate)

SSC

The Attorney General with a copy for forwarding
to the White House

HSC

4. IDENTIFICATION (provide descriptive data for documents; give name or identification number of briefer,
interviewee, testifier and subject)Memorandum reporting results of an interview by
SSC Staff Members of former Special Agent C. Edwin Glass,
Jr.5. IN RESPONSE TO (list date and item number if in response to formal request, other-
wise state verbal request of (name), initiative, subpoena, etc.)

NA

6. CLASSIFICATION OF
INFORMATION (enter
U, C, S, TS or
Codeword)

U

7. KEY WORDS (enter the appropriate key words from the list provided separately; if key words not listed are
used underline for emphasis)Information handling
Intelligence collection

8. SUMMARY (see reverse side before completing this item)

Interviewed regarding "Key Black Extremist Program" as they
related to checks of IRS records.

62-116395

FMK:fmk

(4)

ORIGINAL VIA LIAISON TO CENTRAL COMMUNITY INDEX
IN CONNECTION WITH SENSTUDY 75ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED
HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED
DATE 10/26/2000 BY SP2 AM/DAF

MAR-16

TREAT AS YELLOW

5. MJD

INSTRUCTIONS

- Type or print clearly in ink.
- Indicate classification of the abstract top and bottom.
- Date the abstract and put on any internal control numbers required.
- "FROM" entry should clearly identify the organization providing the information.
- If additions (as when a copy of document sent to SSC is later sent to HSC) or changes to a previously submitted form are necessary, submit a copy of the original abstract, with the change indicated.

SPECIFIC ITEM NO. 8. SUMMARY - enter brief narrative statement describing substance of information and showing relationship to Intelligence Community matters if appropriate. Any feedback or evidence of investigatory interests should be noted. Commitments made to supply additional information should be noted. Additionally, certain administrative information may be entered here, e.g., restrictions on review of a document, if document was paraphrased, whether interviewee is current or former employee, etc. If actual document or transcript is provided, that fact should be noted and no summary is required. Additional pages may be attached if necessary.

UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

Memorandum

TO : Mr. W. R. Wannall

FROM : W. O. Cregar *woc/8*

SUBJECT: SENSTUDY 75

1 - Mr. J. B. Adams
3 - Mr. J. A. Mintz
(1 - Mr. J. B. Hotis)
(1 - Mr. P. V. Daly)

DATE: 11/12/75

1 - Mr. W. R. Wannall
1 - Mr. W. O. Cregar
1 - Mr. S. F. Phillips

Assoc. Dir. _____
Dep. AD Adm. _____
Dep. AD Inv. _____
Asst. Dir.: _____
Admin. _____
Comp. Syst. _____
Ext. Affairs _____
Files & Com. _____
Gen. Inv. _____
Ident. _____
Inspection *A*
Intell. *A*
Laboratory _____
Legal Coun. _____
Plan. & Eval. _____
Spec. Inv. _____
Training _____
Telephone Rm. _____
Director Sec'y _____

This memorandum recommends urgently needed consideration by Legal Counsel Division (LCD) of vital issues in the Senate Select Committee (SSC) review of the Martin Luther King, Jr., investigation and conference of appropriate Bureau officials to establish Bureau's position in respect to forthcoming SSC hearings on King matter.

Legal Counsel to Mr. J. B. Adams memorandum, 11/5/75, "Testimony and Furnishing of Information to Congressional Committees" (copy attached), reports the formation of a White House group tasked to keep the White House advised of problems which the various Congressional Committees' requests and/or testimony before such committees might create. Assistant Attorney General (AG) Rex Lee, Civil Division, is Department's representative in group. The existence of this newly created group and the imminence of scheduled SSC hearings on the King matter in about 10 days makes imperative Bureau considerations and setting of policy relating to special problems discussed below.

By way of background, we have furnished the SSC a large volume of documentary material from our files concerning the King and related matters. Additional information has been given to the SSC through the medium of its interviews of current and former FBI employees, as well as through a special, highly classified personal briefing of Senator Church and selected SSC Staff Members by Bureau

Enclosure

62-116395

SFP:1h1h1h INFORMATION CONTAINED

(8) HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED

DATE 10/16/2000 BY SP2 NLM/lmr

MDR-16

15 NOV 21 1975

CONTINUED - OVER

ENCLOSURE

84 NOV 26 1975

LEGAL COUNSEL

Memorandum to Mr. W. R. Wannall
Re: Senstudy 75
62-116395

personnel. Two key issues should be noted: (1) In the vast majority of instances we have excised, from material given the SSC, vital information relating to King's subversive connections and personal life because the SSC specifically requested we excise information which is the product of electronic surveillances of King and because information might lead to identities of extremely valuable Bureau informants (SOLO operations). (2) We have also not furnished the SSC very enlightening Bureau documents regarding King again at the specific request of the SSC to not furnish Bureau monographs containing King data. For your information, prohibition to furnishing product of electronic surveillances on King results from certain agreements between the SSC and the attorney for Mrs. King, apparently because, from the best information we have, the King family desires no publicity relating to the former investigation of King.

If the SSC goes forward with its current plans for hearings on the King matter, public and/or executive session, very serious problems are anticipated. These are primarily two in number, one relating to privacy considerations, the other relating to the Bureau possibly being placed in the untenable position of defending or explaining past actions and judgements but not being able to put forth the full facts supportive to those actions and judgements. To put it plainly, are we going to "enter the ring with one arm tied behind our backs?"

Also entering our study of this matter is the necessity for securing Departmental backing for whatever position we think is the best one to take. Again, in view of the imminence of the hearings, alacrity in proceeding with the action recommended is urged.

CONTINUED - OVER

Memorandum to Mr. W. R. Wannall
Re: Senstudy 75
62-116395

ACTIONS:

1. LCD immediately give needed consideration to the vital issues outlined above and advise:

- (A) Whether privacy considerations will inhibit Bureau testimony.
- (B) Whether the Bureau should allow the SSC to dictate the scope of the Bureau testimony in a manner which will prohibit full disclosure of all the facts.

2. After LCD formulates recommendations as to (A) and (B) above, conference of appropriate Bureau officials should be convened to resolve these important pending issues. It is suggested, as a minimum, conference include Messrs. J. B. Adams, J. A. Mintz, W. R. Wannall, W. O. Cregar and S. F. Phillips.

*Handled. We are not going
to divulge any sensitive or
Jmm privacy info.*

1 - Mr. Mints
1 - Mr. Adams
1 - Mr. Wannall

Mr. J. B. Adams

11/5/75

Legal Counsel

Wofy - Mr. Cregar/
1 - Mr. Notis
1 - Mr. Daly

TESTIMONY AND FURNISHING OF INFORMATION
TO CONGRESSIONAL COMMITTEES

On 11/4/75 at the request of the Department, SA Paul V. Daly of this Division met with Assistant Attorney General of the Civil Division Rex Lee and his assistant, Tom Martin, along with Michael E. Shaheen, Jr., Special Counsel for Intelligence Coordination in the Department, and his assistants, Ray Hornblower and Steven Blackhurst, to discuss anticipated testimony before various Congressional Committees and possible areas of future problems as a result thereof.

Lee advised that he had been appointed by the Attorney General to be the Department's representative on a White House group whose task it was to keep the White House advised of problems which the various Congressional Committee requests and/or testimony before such Committees might create. The group, according to Lee, is referred to as the "Early Warning List Group." The duties of this group are to identify problem areas, analyze the problems and give guidance to the White House as to the appropriate response to a particular problem.

Lee stated that the purpose of the group was to prevent the White House from being surprised by some disclosure to Congress which would create "problems." Among those on the group, according to Lee, are Mitchell Rogovin, CIA; Michael Duval, White House; William Hylen, State Department, and Jack Marsh, Chairman, representing the White House.

CONTINUED - OVER

PVD:lad
(8)

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED
HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED
DATE 10/26/2000 BY SP2AUM/lmf

MDR-16

62-116395-1069

ENCLOSURE

Legal Counsel to Mr. Adams

RE: TESTIMONY AND FURNISHING OF INFORMATION
TO CONGRESSIONAL COMMITTEES

Lee stated this group was to meet daily, if necessary, to keep the White House advised of problem areas and he was desirous of being continually advised of any anticipated problems which might be divulged to the Congressional Committees. Lee was generally briefed by SA Daly of the schedule of anticipated testimony before the various Congressional Committees and in a general fashion, of the types of requests for information which this Bureau had received from Committees of Congress. Lee requested that he be again briefed at 3 p.m. on 11/6/75 in more detail concerning the individual Committee requests made of the Bureau.

RECOMMENDATION:

For information.

1 - Mr. J. B. Adams
1 - Mr. J. A. Mintz
1 - Mr. W. R. Wannall
1 - Mr. W. O. Cregar

The Attorney General

October 29, 1975

1 - Mr. S. F. Phillips

Director, FBI

U. S. SENATE SELECT COMMITTEE
ON INTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES (SSC)

Reference is made to my letter to you dated August 19, 1975, which furnished a memorandum of same date concerning an interview by an SSC Staff Member of former FBI Special Agent George C. Moore.

Enclosed is the original of a memorandum concerning another interview of Moore by SSC Staff Members. A copy of the memorandum is also enclosed for forwarding to Mr. James A. Wilderotter, Associate Counsel to the President.

Enclosures (2)

62-116395

1 - The Deputy Attorney General
Attention: Michael E. Shaheen, Jr.
Special Counsel for
Intelligence Coordination

1 - 67- (Personnel file former SA George C. Moore)

SFP:mjgm
(10)

EX-115

REC-45

62-116395-1068

15 NOV 21 1975

Assoc. Dir. _____
Dep. AD Adm. _____
Dep. AD Inv. _____
Asst. Dir.: _____
Admin. _____
Comp. Syst. _____
Ext. Affairs _____
Files & Com. _____
Gen. Inv. _____
Ident. _____
Inspection _____
Intell. _____
Laboratory _____
Plan. & Eval. _____
Spec. Inv. _____
Training _____
Legal Coun. _____
Telephone Rm. _____

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED
HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED
DATE 12/2/00 BY SP2 Rm/mf
mo2-16

MAIL ROOM ☐

TELETYPE UNIT ☐

NOV 25 1975

Page 215

GPO : 1975 O - 569-920

1 - Mr. J. B. Adams
1 - Mr. J. A. Mintz
1 - Mr. W. R. Wannall
1 - Mr. W. O. Cregar
1 - Mr. S. F. Phillips

62-116395

October 29, 1975

U. S. SENATE SELECT COMMITTEE
TO STUDY GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS
WITH RESPECT TO INTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES (SSC)

RE: INTERVIEW OF FORMER FBI
SPECIAL AGENT (SA) GEORGE C. MOORE
BY SSC STAFF MEMBERS

By FBI memorandum dated August 19, 1975, there was reported an interview of former FBI SA George C. Moore by an SSC Staff Member.

The following concerns another interview of Moore by SSC Staff Members.

Prior to the interview being reported herein, Moore was granted clearance from his confidentiality agreement with the FBI for the purpose of the interview.

Set out below is the statement of Moore reporting on the interview which he voluntarily furnished to the FBI.

RE: NATIONAL SECURITY AGENCY (NSA)
MONITORING ACTIVITIES
(INTERVIEW OF FORMER SPECIAL AGENT
GEORGE C. MOORE BY SENATE SELECT
COMMITTEE STAFF MEMBERS, SEPTEMBER 29, 1975)

For identification purposes, this is a memorandum prepared by George C. Moore, former Special Agent of the FBI who retired July 31, 1974. My address is 6715 N. 27th Street, Arlington, Virginia 22213, telephone number 533-8288. Since the captioned interview concerned matters involving my activities while an FBI employee, I am furnishing this memorandum containing recalled pertinent aspects of the interview to FBI Headquarters entirely by my own volition.

Assoc. Dir. _____
Dep. AD Adm. _____
Dep. AD Inv. _____
Asst. Dir.: _____
Admin. _____
Comp. Syst. _____
Ext. Affairs _____
Files & Com. _____
Gen. Inv. _____
Ident. _____
Inspection _____
Intell. _____
Laboratory _____
Plan. & Eval. _____
Spec. Inv. _____
Training _____
Legal Coun. _____
Telephone Rm. _____
Director Sec'y _____

ORIGINAL AND ONE TO AG

1 - 67- (Personnel file former SA George C. Moore) Woc/8

SFP:mjg
(9)

62-116395- SEE NOTE PAGE FIVE
1068

MAIL ROOM ☐ TELETYPE UNIT ☐

ENCLOSURE

GPO : 1975 O - 569-920

U. S. Senate Select Committee
to Study Governmental Operations
With Respect to Intelligence Activities (SSC)

Re: Interview of Former FBI Special Agent (SA)
George C. Moore by SSC Staff Members

At 2:00 p.m., September 29, 1975, I appeared at the Dirksen Senate Office Building at the request of Staff Member James Dick to be interviewed regarding NSA monitoring activities.

(As a matter of interest, while waiting in the reception room for Mr. Dick, I was approached by Mr. John Elliff who introduced himself and asked if I remembered meeting him in the office of former Assistant Director C. D. Brennan about four years ago. I did not remember him and so informed him. He stated that sometime in the next several weeks he would contact me in order to discuss a number of things. I told him that I would be available in the afternoons but desired some advance notice.)

The captioned interview commenced at about 2:05 p.m. and lasted about one hour and 30 minutes. It was conducted by Mr. James Dick accompanied by Mr. Peter Fenn, both members of the Senate Select Committee on Intelligence Staff. The interview was recorded by a stenotypist who was described as a "court reporter." I was not placed under oath but was advised that I would be asked to come back to read the typed transcript and to swear as to its authenticity before a Senator from the Committee. I was told that I could have the benefit of counsel if so desired. I did not desire such.

The focus of the interview was directed almost solely on my knowledge of NSA's monitoring activities with respect to U. S. citizens and, in particular, was directed at my knowledge as to when such monitoring commenced and stopped and the nature of the administrative matters surrounding this activity.

U. S. Senate Select Committee
to Study Governmental Operations
With Respect to Intelligence Activities (SSC)

Re: Interview of Former FBI Special Agent (SA)
George C. Moore by SSC Staff Members

During the interview, I was shown a letter prepared during October, 1970, by Special Agent Joseph Michela with attached list of individuals and organizations directed to NSA requesting NSA coverage. My initials appeared as one of those approving the letter and I verified such and related my knowledge of events leading up to the preparation of the letter, namely an earlier briefing by NSA people of various representatives of Division 5 in which we were told what NSA could produce for us and at which time we were encouraged to request such by a letter such as the October, 1970, letter.

Other FBI documents (copies) which were exhibited to me were two other letters prepared by members of the Extremist Section (dates not remembered by me but letters were prepared by William H. Atkinson and Roger S. Young). I was also shown a copy of a letter prepared by T. J. Smith to the Attorney General dated in 1973 which discontinued our cooperation with NSA in this matter as a result of the Keith decision.

A number of questions were asked as to meaning of some of the phrasology in Smith's letter as well as what appeared to be statements inconsistent with above previous-mentioned letters. In essence, I told them that T. J. Smith would be the proper person to answer those questions and not me. I also told them that I did not help prepare the letter.

They were interested in the identities of NSA people who came over to the FBI to give the original briefing. I could not recall any of their identities.

They seemed very interested in why the October, 1970, letter was written, who approved it and whether Director Hoover saw it. As to the latter question, there was no initial of the Director but he could not tell from the Xerox whether it passed through his office.

U. S. Senate Select Committee
to Study Governmental Operations
With Respect to Intelligence Activities (SSC)

Re: Interview of Former FBI Special Agent (SA)
George G. Moore by SSC Staff Members

I was pressed by a series of questions as to whether I had ever made oral requests of NSA not confirmed by letter. I advised that I recalled being in telephone contact on several occasions with a "liaison contact" at NSA but believed it was mainly as a result of his calling me and my returning his call. I stated that although my recollection is such that I did not recall making oral requests, I could not make a firm denial as I just could not recall. (I also could not recall the name of this person at NSA who initiated the calls). Finally, I told Mr. Dick that if he had any information indicating I had made such a request which would refresh my memory, such would be helpful. He then advised that he did have information such requests were made by others in the FBI orally but not by me.

He also wanted to know my assessment as to the quantity and quality of information furnished. He was advised that the quantity was extremely small and I could not judge or evaluate quality at this date without seeing the product again.

I tried to stress throughout that actually we got very little as a result of these requests and that we considered such requests to be quite normal and not sinister and really nothing to have made such an impact on ones memory as to be remembered through the years. Also, I furnished my opinion that we did not consider such monitoring or requests to be "illegal" at least prior to the Keith decision.

In addition to the above, the interviewers were advised of a briefing given to a small group of NSA employees re "Foreign Influence in Extremist Matters" given by former SA C. E. Glass and me sometime after October, 1970.

Staff Member Fenn showed me a couple of documents re Army's request for NSA monitoring, copies of which had been furnished the FBI according to copies displayed. I did not recall ever having seen them.

Respectfully furnished
George G. Moore
September 30, 1975

U. S. Senate Select Committee
to Study Governmental Operations
With Respect to Intelligence Activities (SSC)

Re: Interview of Former Special Agent (SA)
George C. Moore by SSC Staff Members

NOTE:

Supervisor P. V. Daly, with the concurrence of AD Mintz, gave oral clearance from confidentiality agreement for purpose of the interview reported herein. This was done because of the urgency of time. Moore's handwritten statement was mailed to the Bureau and typed as contained in the LHM.

TO: Intelligence Community Staff ATTN: Central Index				FROM: FBI			
SUBJECT: Abstract of Information Provided to Select Committees							
1. HOW PROVIDED (check appropriate term. If a document was made available for review but not transmitted, so note.)						2. DATE PROVIDED	
DOCUMENT	BRIEFING	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	INTERVIEW	TESTIMONY	OTHER	10/29/75	
3. TO WHOM PROVIDED (check appropriate term; add specific names if appropriate)							
SSC	The Attorney General with a copy for forwarding to the White House						
HSC							
4. IDENTIFICATION (provide descriptive data for documents; give name or identification number of briefer, interviewee, testifier and subject)							
Memorandum reporting results of an interview by SSC Staff Member of former Special Agent George C. Moore							
5. IN RESPONSE TO (list date and item number if in response to formal request, otherwise state verbal request of (name), initiative, subpoena, etc.)						6. CLASSIFICATION OF INFORMATION (enter U, C, S, TS or Codeword)	
NA						U	
7. KEY WORDS (enter the appropriate key words from the list provided separately; if key words not listed are used underline for emphasis)							
Information handling Intelligence collection							
8. SUMMARY (see reverse side before completing this item)							
Interviewed regarding NSA monitoring activities.							
62-116395 FMK: fmk (4) ORIGINAL VIA LIAISON TO CENTRAL COMMUNITY INDEX IN CONNECTION WITH SENSTUDY 75							
TREAT AS YELLOW							
ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED DATE 11/3/2006 BY SP2 [signature] moe-16							

INSTRUCTIONS

- Type or print clearly in ink.
- Indicate classification of the abstract top and bottom.
- Date the abstract and put on any internal control numbers required.
- "FROM" entry should clearly identify the organization providing the information.
- If additions (as when a copy of document sent to SSC is later sent to HSC) or changes to a previously submitted form are necessary, submit a copy of the original abstract, with the change indicated.

SPECIFIC ITEM NO. 8. SUMMARY - enter brief narrative statement describing substance of information and showing relationship to Intelligence Community matters if appropriate. Any feedback or evidence of investigatory interests should be noted. Commitments made to supply additional information should be noted. Additionally, certain administrative information may be entered here, e.g., restrictions on review of a document, if document was paraphrased, whether interviewee is current or former employee, etc. If actual document or transcript is provided, that fact should be noted and no summary is required. Additional pages may be attached if necessary.

1 - Mr. J. B. Adams
1 - Mr. J. A. Mintz
1 - Mrs. Metcalf

The Attorney General

October 30, 1975

Director, FBI

1 - Mr. W. R. Wannall
1 - Mr. W. O. Cregar
1 - Mr. S. F. Phillips

U. S. SENATE SELECT COMMITTEE
ON INTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES (SSC)

Enclosed is the original of a memorandum reporting the results of an interview by SSC Staff Members of Mrs. Erma D. Metcalf, my Administrative Assistant. A copy of the memorandum is also enclosed for forwarding to Mr. James A. Wilderotter, Associate Counsel to the President.

Enclosures (2)

62-116395

1 - The Deputy Attorney General
Attention: Michael E. Shaheen, Jr.
Special Counsel for
Intelligence Coordination

1 - 67- (Personnel file of Mrs. Erma D. Metcalf)

SFP:mjg
(11)

EX-115

ENCLOSURE

REC-45

62-116395-1067

15 NOV 21 1975

Assoc. Dir. _____
Dep. AD Adm. _____
Dep. AD Inv. _____
Asst. Dir.:
Admin. _____
Comp. Syst. _____
Ext. Affairs _____
Files & Com. _____
Gen. Inv. _____
Ident. _____
Inspection _____
Intell. _____
Laboratory _____
Plan. & Eval. _____
Spec. Inv. _____
Training _____
Legal Coun. _____
Telephone Rm. _____

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED
HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED
DATE 10/26/2000 BY SP2AM/umc

MOR-16

1 - Mr. J. B. Adams
1 - Mr. J. A. Mintz
1 - Mrs. Metcalf
1 - Mr. W. R. Wannall
1 - Mr. W. O. Cregar

62-116395

October 30, 1975

1 - Mr. S. F. Phillips

U. S. SENATE SELECT COMMITTEE
TO STUDY GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS
WITH RESPECT TO INTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES (SSC)

RE: INTERVIEW OF MRS. ERMA D. METCALF,
ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT TO THE DIRECTOR
OF THE FBI, BY SSC STAFF MEMBERS

The following pertains to an interview of Mrs. Metcalf
by SSC Staff Members.

On September 2, 1975, SSC Staff Member Mark Gitenstein requested of the Legal Counsel Division of the FBI that Mrs. Metcalf, among others, be made available for a Staff interview concerning her knowledge of the "official and confidential" files which were maintained in the office of Mr. J. Edgar Hoover, the late Director of the FBI. Mrs. Metcalf had been previously interviewed by personnel of the FBI's Inspection Division during an inquiry of the "official and confidential" files matter which was conducted at the request of the Department of Justice. The SSC has been previously furnished a summary of the results of that inquiry and has reviewed FD-302s reporting the results of interviews of employees concerning the matter. Director Clarence M. Kelley of this Bureau approved the release of Mrs. Metcalf from existing employment agreement for the purpose of the SSC Staff interview. There follows the report of the interview of Mrs. Metcalf as furnished by her.

On Tuesday, October 21, 1975, I was interviewed by Mary DeOreo and Mark Gitenstein, at the Bureau in Assistant Director John Mintz's office. Interview commenced at 9:35 AM and was concluded by 10:45 AM. I was not sworn in, nor advised of my rights. Prior to the interview I had been informed by SA Paul Daly that it was merely an interview and was to pertain to the files maintained by former Director John Edgar Hoover, and that while I would not have an attorney with me, should any question arise which I felt was outside the scope of the inquiry, to call either SA Seymour Phillips or himself, Mr. Daly. Mr. Gitenstein did most of the questioning with Miss DeOreo taking notes, although she asked some questions also. Set out below is a summary of the questioning, although it may not be in the order asked.

Assoc. Dir. —
Dep. AD Adm. —
Dep. AD Inv. —
Asst. Dir.:
Admin. —
Comp. Syst. —
Ext. Affairs —
Files & Com. —
Gen. Inv. —
Ident. —
Inspection —
Intell. —
Laboratory —
Plan. & Eval. —
Spec. Inv. —
Training —
Legal Coun. —
Telephone Rm. —
Director Sec'y —

ORIGINAL AND ONE COPY TO AG

1 - 67-

(Personnel file of Mrs. Erma D. Metcalf)

SPP:mjg (100) TELETYPE UNIT

SEE NOTE PAGE SEVEN

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED
HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED
DATE 10/26/00 BY SSA/AM/DA
mde-16

U. S. Senate Select Committee
to Study Governmental Operations
With Respect to Intelligence Activities (SSC)

Re: Interview of Mrs. Erma D. Metcalf,
Administrative Assistant to the Director
of the FBI, by SSC Staff Members

The questioning started about when I entered on duty in the Bureau and when I was assigned to Director Hoover's office and the positions held in that office. I was shown a sketch which I understood had been drawn by Miss Gandy reflecting the physical layout of Director Hoover's office and using this layout, I told them that when I was first assigned to the Director's office, I was secretary to Mr. Holloman and pointed out where my desk was located and that I was not in the immediate office with Miss Gandy until 1963.

I was asked about whether Mr. Hoover may have confused personal files and official-confidential (OC) files and I told them I certainly felt that was not the case. I was told that in some of the files there would be a "buck-slip" with "P.F." written on it and was asked whether the Director wrote those or Miss Gandy, and that was why they asked if Mr. Hoover might have confused the two. I was told that actually they could not tell in some instances if it was Miss Gandy's writing or the Director's and that there were several, particularly during 1963. I told them that I was sorry but I could not help them, that actually I was on sick leave in May, 1963, and again from June, 1963, until January, 1964. I was asked who would have been on my desk during that period and I told them Miss Ruth McCord.

Mr. Gitenstein indicated that it had been learned from a previous interview that there were approximately 11 file cabinets in the office containing personal files and OC files and that an index was kept. I told them that was my recollection and of those, two drawers were the OC files and these were in two bottom drawers of one cabinet and that actually, there was a table in front of those drawers with reference books and such kept on top and in order to get to those files, indicating there was certainly not much reference to them, it was necessary to pull that table out to get to them. As to the index, it was one index, and to distinguish personal files from OC files,

U. S. Senate Select Committee
to Study Governmental Operations
With Respect to Intelligence Activities (SSC)

Re: Interview of Mrs. Erma D. Metcalf,
Administrative Assistant to the Director
of the FBI, by SSC Staff Members

the OC files were indexed on pink cards and personal files on white. I was asked if those files were encased in a cabinet, and I told them they were not, that they were merely filing cases; however, in back of Miss Gandy's desk were, I believed if I recalled correctly, four two-drawer filing cabinets, stacked, which were in a cabinet built for them and these contained strictly Mr. Hoover's investment and financial records. I was asked if in the personal files there were any files such as on telephone bills or the like. I told them there was not, but that in one cabinet there were 2 or 3 drawers which Miss Gandy maintained on such things as telephone and matters pertaining strictly to the maintenance of Mr. Hoover's house.

In regard to the OC files, I informed them that those were sent to Mr. Felt during the week of Mr. Hoover's death as well as pulling the pink index cards, which were then sent to Mr. Felt. I was shown a listing of files in the OC files and was asked if I had prepared it. I told them I had and was asked about the two dates, one in October, 1971, as I recall, which had been scratched out and the date of 4- -72 written over it. I told them that I had a very hazy recollection, but it seemed that Miss Gandy had indicated the Director wanted a listing of the OC files and the one in October was prepared; that Mr. Hoover before his death had started going through his personal files and indicating which were to be destroyed or kept and in those were a few which he indicated should go in the OC files. Upon being questioned as to how this was physically handled, I told them that I would pull the files, place them in a work box and Miss Gandy would take them in to the Director and the Director indicated to her his desires and she would so indicate by putting a notation on the file itself. I said that I would then destroy the ones so indicated and would pull the index cards from the index pertaining to those. Mr. Gitenstein

U. S. Senate Select Committee
to Study Governmental Operations
With Respect to Intelligence Activities (SSC)

Re: Interview of Mrs. Emma D. Metcalf,
Administrative Assistant to the Director
of the FBI, by SSC Staff Members

pointed out that some of the listing was single spaced and most double spaced and asked if those would show some of the personal files were indicated for OC by the Director and were merely added in and since most of the single spaced items were at the beginning of the alphabet, down into the "B's," that Mr. Hoover had gotten through that many of his personal files, and I told him I felt that was the case. In regard to some other single spaced items later in the alphabet, I told him that I felt in preparing the list originally, I had gotten one or two out of order alphabetically and rather than do over the page, did it that way.

As to the October date being scratched out and the 4- -72 date written over it, I said that I felt that it was an error on my part, that instead of putting 5 (for May), particularly since it was right at the beginning of the month, I inadvertently wrote "4" instead of "5." I was questioned about the check marks appearing beside the listing some of which had 2 check marks, and I said that I checked the listing against the actual files and checked them off and where there were 2 checks, I had probably started checking the list and was interrupted and simply started over again.

In regard to the destruction of the personal files, they were primarily interested in ones sent to Mr. Hoover's home and why and asked if I recalled a conversation Miss Gandy had with Mr. Gray and Mr. Felt pertaining to furnishing a room at the Bureau where Miss Gandy could continue working on the files and it was decided they could be sent to Mr. Hoover's home where Miss Gandy could continue to work on them. I told them I recalled Mr. Gray being in the office a couple of times or so, once when he held an Executives Conference and a time or two when he stopped in and his talking to Miss Gandy, but that I went ahead with my work and was not a party to the conversation and therefore did not pay any attention.

U. S. Senate Select Committee
to Study Governmental Operations
With Respect to Intelligence Activities (SSC)

Re: Interview of Mrs. Erma D. Metcalf,
Administrative Assistant to the Director
of the FBI, by SSC Staff Members

I was asked about the index for the personal files and I said it was destroyed. They asked about the procedure of destroying the personal files and I advised I started at one end and Miss Gandy, of course, was occupied with Mr. Hoover's office and packing up the library, etc., and she was working on the other end of the alphabet; I was asked if as the files were destroyed they were checked as to whether anything should go into the OC files and whether letterheads or any other manner was used as criteria and I told them that was not the case as actually letterheads would really not be good criteria; that it was simply a matter of judgment and, of course, having worked with the files, I would be familiar with the content of a lot of them. I also said that while we had files on all the honors and awards to the Director, they were merely copies of material in Bureau files. I was asked if in going through the personal files, any were pulled and placed in the OC files and I told them I recalled none being added to the OC files other than the ones added by Mr. Hoover prior to his death. They asked if any files were pulled from the personal files not for destruction and I stated I recalled one which pertained to an honor or award Mr. Hoover had received from Romania, I believed, although I could not be sure, which I gave to Miss Gandy inasmuch as I felt it should go back to the State Department or some such procedure followed. I was asked if there were any files in the personal files which were not correspondence and I said I could cite one example and that pertained to Mr. Hoover's dogs - their licenses, shots, etc. I was asked if, when a personal file was pulled and destroyed, at that time the index cards were pulled and destroyed, and I told them that was not the case, that it would be too time-consuming to pull the cards individually and that they were destroyed all at once, after, of course, having pulled the pink OC index cards which were sent to Mr. Felt.

I was asked in connection with the destruction of the personal files whether someone from the Washington Field Office came over with an Agent with him to get the material for destruction.

U. S. Senate Select Committee
to Study Governmental Operations
With Respect to Intelligence Activities (SSC)

Re: Interview of Mrs. Erma D. Metcalf,
Administrative Assistant to the Director
of the FBI, by SSC Staff Members

I advised that at the beginning, I was simply tearing them up and putting them in the confidential trash basket, but due to the quantity of it, I was borrowing confidential trash baskets and then we started getting the big trash bags and that someone from Washington Field would come over to get it for disposal. They questioned about whether any of it was in boxes which were sealed and then picked up, and I told them that I remembered the bags and have a recollection that probably not having bags handy, the material was torn up and placed in boxes, as I recall, trying to arrange the material in the box so as to make it more compact and more room to add more material for destruction.

In regard to the personal files which went to Mr. Hoover's house, I was asked if I recalled how this was done, and I told them I was sorry, but I simply could not recall. I was also asked if there was a time when we said it was done and over, that the job had been completed. I told them I could not say that it actually came up, that it was strictly a matter of being in shock, trying to clean out after someone has passed away, to make room for someone else to come in.

I was asked whether I had ever been out to Mr. Hoover's home, particularly after his death. I told them I had not been to Mr. Hoover's home since his death; however, when Miss Gandy was on leave and I was covering her desk, each Saturday a pouch of work was taken out to Mr. Hoover's home and I merely went to the house to deliver the pouch, but did not enter. I was asked if I was in contact with Miss Gandy while she was still working at the house on the files and any discussion was had concerning them and I told him that Miss Gandy is a friend of mine and we do call each other occasionally and sometimes meet for dinner, but these are and were merely social and we did not discuss such things.

U. S. Senate Select Committee
to Study Governmental Operations
With Respect to Intelligence Activities (SSC)

Re: Interview of Mrs. Erma D. Metcalf,
Administrative Assistant to the Director
of the FBI, by SSC Staff Members

I was asked if I recalled any personal file cabinets being moved to Mr. Hoover's home and I told them I did not; that some of the empty ones were removed as it was not felt he would need that many. I was asked if I stayed on in Mr. Gray's office. I told them I did.

NOTE:

The 9/2/75 contact with the Legal Counsel Division by Gitenstein was with Supervisor P. V. Daly.

TO: Intelligence, Community Staff
ATTN: Central Index

FROM:

FBI

SUBJECT: Abstract of Information Provided to Select Committees

1. HOW PROVIDED (check appropriate term. If a document was made available.
for review but not transmitted, so note.)

2. DATE PROVIDED

DOCUMENT

BRIEFING

☒

INTERVIEW

TESTIMONY

OTHER

10/30/75

3. TO WHOM PROVIDED (check appropriate term; add specific names if appropriate)

SSC

The Attorney General with a copy for forwarding
to the White House

HSC

4. IDENTIFICATION (provide descriptive data for documents; give name or identification number of briefer,
interviewee, testifier and subject)

Memorandum reporting results of an interview by SSC Staff
Members of Mrs. Erna D. Metcalf, Administrative Assistant to
the Director.

5. IN RESPONSE TO (list date and item number if in response to formal request, other-
wise state verbal request of (name), initiative, subpoena, etc.)

NA

6. CLASSIFICATION OF
INFORMATION (enter
U, C, S, TS or
Codeword)

U

7. KEY WORDS (enter the appropriate key words from the list provided separately; if key words not listed are
used underline for emphasis)

Operating procedures

8. SUMMARY (see reverse side before completing this item)

Interviewed concerning files maintained by
former Director Hoover.

62-116395

FMK: fmk
(4)

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED
HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED
DATE 10/26/00 BY SP2 JAM/PAF
MDR-16

ORIGINAL VIA LIAISON TO CENTRAL COMMUNITY INDEX
IN CONNECTION WITH SENSTUDY 75

TREAT AS YELLOW

5-100

INSTRUCTIONS

- Type or print clearly in ink.
- Indicate classification of the abstract top and bottom.
- Date the abstract and put on any internal control numbers required.
- "FROM" entry should clearly identify the organization providing the information.
- If additions (as when a copy of document sent to SSC is later sent to HSC) or changes to a previously submitted form are necessary, submit a copy of the original abstract, with the change indicated.

SPECIFIC ITEM NO. 8. SUMMARY - enter brief narrative statement describing substance of information and showing relationship to Intelligence Community matters if appropriate. Any feedback or evidence of investigatory interests should be noted. Commitments made to supply additional information should be noted. Additionally, certain administrative information may be entered here, e.g., restrictions on review of a document, if document was paraphrased, whether interviewee is current or former employee, etc. If actual document or transcript is provided, that fact should be noted and no summary is required. Additional pages may be attached if necessary.



OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY ATTORNEY GENERAL
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20530

OCT 10 1975

Completed 9/29/75
Handling HWS

TO: John A. Mintz, Assistant Director
Legal Counsel Division
Federal Bureau of Investigation

FROM: Steve Blackhurst
Assistant Special Counsel for
Intelligence Coordination

SUBJECT: Senate Select Committee Letter dated September 30

Attached is a letter dated September 30, 1975,
from the Chairman of the Senate Select Committee to
the Attorney General. Please prepare an appropriate
response to this letter.

*Letter to AL
cc D.A. L
w/ HWD: pal
10/29/75*

cc: Paul Daly

REC-45

62-116395-1066

EX-115

15 NOV 21 1975

ENCLOSURE

*1-Ad Hoc
10-14-75*

ALL FBI INFORMATION CONTAINED
HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED
DATE 10/10/00 BY SP2 RUM/mf
MOR-14

LEGAL COUNSEL

5.11.75



FRANK CHURCH, IDAHO, CHAIRMAN
JOHN G. TOWER, TEXAS, VICE CHAIRMAN
PHILIP A. HART, MICH.
WALTER F. MONDALE, MINN.
WALTER D. DILL, KY.
ROBERT MORGAN, N.C.
GARY HART, COLO.
HOWARD H. BAKER, IND.
BARRY GOLDWATER, ARIZ.
CHARLES MC C. MATHIAS, JR., MD.
RICHARD S. SCHWEIKER, PA.

WILLIAM G. MILLER, STAFF DIRECTOR
FREDERICK A. O. SCHWARZ, JR., CHIEF COUNSEL
CURTIS R. SMOTHERS, MINORITY COUNSEL

United States Senate

SELECT COMMITTEE TO
STUDY GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS WITH
RESPECT TO INTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES

(PURSUANT TO S. RES. 21, 94TH CONGRESS)

MAIL WASHINGTON, D.C. 20510

September 30, 1975

Attorney General Edward H. Levi
Department of Justice
Washington, D.C. 20530

Dear Mr. Levi:

It has been called to my attention that certain materials reviewed by Senator Howard Baker of the Select Committee as part of the Federal Bureau of Investigation's "file" may be of value in the Committee's investigations. The specific materials in question reflect the interception of communications investigation conducted by the FBI pertaining to Senator Baker.

I am requesting that the Committee staff be given access to these materials according to the normal procedures agreed between the Justice Department and the Committee. Senator Baker concurs with this request.

Sincerely,

Frank Church
Frank Church
Chairman

CC: Michael E. Shaheen, Jr.

OFFICE OF LEGISLATIVE AFFAIRS
DEPUTY ATTORNEY GENERAL

FED. BU. OF INV.



ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED
HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED
DATE 11/2/2008 BY SP4 ALM/mk
MAR-16

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE	
8	OCT 6 1975
R.A.G.	
OFFICE OF LEGISLATIVE AFFAIRS	

DEPUTY ATTORNEY GENERAL

FED. BU. OF INV.

ENCLOSURE