This document is made available through the declassification efforts and research of John Greenewald, Jr., creator of:

## The Black Vault



The Black Vault is the largest online Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) document clearinghouse in the world. The research efforts here are responsible for the declassification of hundreds of thousands of pages released by the U.S. Government & Military.

**Discover the Truth at: http://www.theblackvault.com** 

File #:

80-AN-0

Serial Scope:

597

DO NOT DESTROY

FOIPA# NIA

# Mr. Church's Cover-Up

#### By William Safire

WASHINGON, Nov. 19—On Oct. 19, 1963, the then-Attorney General of the United States put his personal signature on a document that launched and legitimatized one of the most horrendous abuses of Federal police power in this century.

In Senator Frank Church's subcommittee hearing room this week, the authorized wiretapping and subsequent unauthorized bugging and attempted blackmailing of Martin Luther King Jr. is being gingerly examined, with the "investigation" conducted in such a way as not to unduly embarrass officials of the Kennedy or Johnson Administrations.

With great care, the committee has focused on the I.B.I. Yesterday, when the committee counsel first set forth the result of shuffling through press clips, it seemed as if no Justice Department had existed in 1962; today, an F.B.I. witness pointed out that it was Robert Kennedy who authorized the wiretap of Dr. King, and that "the President of the United States and the Attorney General specifically discussed their concern of Communist influence with Dr. King."

But the Church committee showed no zest for getting further to the Kennady root of this precedent to Watergate eavesdropping. If Senator Church were willing to let the chips fall where they may, he would call some knowledgeable witnesses into the glare of the camera lights and ask them some questions that have gone unasked for thirteen years.

For example, he could call Nicholas Katzenbach, Attorney General Kennedy's deputy and successor, and ask what he knows of the Kennedy decision to wiretap Dr. King. Who at Justice concurred in the recommendation? How does the F.B.I. know the President was consulted or informed?

After Mr. Katzenbach assumed office, and the wiretapping continued, he was told by enery newsmen that the F.B.I. was leaking scurrilous information about Dr. King. Why did he wait for four months, and for a thousand telephonic interceptions, to discontinue the officially approved tap?

Of course, this sort of testimony vould erode Senator Church's political base. That is why we do not see former Assistant F.B.I. director Cartha (Deke) Deloach, Lyadon Johnson's personal contact with the F.B.I. in the witness chair. What did President Johnson know about the characterassessination plus and when did he knew it? What conversations took place between Mr. Deloach and President Johnson or, the tapping of Dr. King, or about the use of the F.B.I. in any other intrusions into the lives of polatical figures?

The committee is not asking embarrassing questions even when answers are readily available. A couple of weeks ago, at an open hearing, an F.B.I. man inadvertently started to blurt out an episode about newsmen who were weritapping in 1962 with the apparent knowledge of Attorney General Kennedy. The too-willing witness was promptly shooshed into silence, and told that such information would be developed only in executive session. Nobody raised an eyebrow.

That pattern of containment by the Church committee is vividly shown by the handling of the buggings at the 1964 Republican and Democratic con-

### ESSAY

ventions which were ordered by Lyndon Johnson. Such invasions of political headquarters were worse than the crime committed at Watergate, since they involved the use of the F.B.I., but the Church investigators seem to be determined not to probe too deeply.

If F.B.I. documents say that reports were made to specific Johnson aides, why are those men not given the same opportunity to publicly tell their story so avidly given the next President's men? If Lyndon Johnson committed this impeachable high crime of using the F.B.I. to spy on political opponents, who can be brought forward to tell us all about it?

But that would cause embarrassment to Democrats, and Senator Church wants to embarrass professional employees of investigatory agencies only. A new sense of Congressional decorum exists, far from the sense of outrage expressed in the Senate Watergate committee's hearing room. When it is revealed that the management of NBC News gave press credentials to L.B.J.'s spies at the 1964 convention, everybody blushes demurely—and nobody demands to know which network executive made what decision under what pressure.

I have been haranguing patient<sup>3</sup> readers for years about the double standard applied to Democratic and Republican political crimes, and had hoped the day would come when the hardball precedents set by the Kennedy and Johnson men would be laid before the public in damning detail.

Obviously, Democrat Frank Church is not the man to do it. His jowlshaking indignation is all too selective; the trail of high-level responsibility for the crimes committed against Dr. King and others is evidently going to be allowed to cool.

Pity. You'd think that after all the nation has been through in the past few years our political leaders would have learned that the one thing that brings you down is the act of covering up.

SEARCHED INDETERMINED SERIALIZED FILE NOV 2 4 1978

NOV 2 4 1978

80-0-597

THE NEW YORK TIMES THURSDAY, NOVEMBER 20th,1975 PAGE C-41

Routing	Stip	•	((
0-7 (Re		7-73)	

## (Copies to Offices Crecked)

0-1 (KeV. 12-11-1-	<i>ن</i>			
TO: SAC:  Albany Albuquerque Alexandria Anchorage Atlanta Baltimore Birmingham Boston Buffalo Butte Charlotte Chicago Cincinnati Cleveland Columbia Dallas Denver Detroit	Houston Indianapolis Jackson Jacksonville Kansas City Knoxville Las Vegas Little Rock Los Angeles Louisville Memphis Miami Milwaukee Minneapolis Mobile Newark New Haven New Offeans	Oklahoma City Omaha Philadelphia Phoenix Pittsburgh Portland Richmond Sacramento St. Louis Salt Lake City San Antonio San Diego San Francisco San Juan Savannah Scattle Springfield Tompa	TO LEGAT:  Beirut  Bern  Bonn  Brasilia  Buenos Aires  Caracas  Hong Kong  London  Madrid  Manila  Mexico City  Ottawa  Paris  Rome  Singapore  Tel Aviv	
El Paso Honolulu	New York City	☐ Washington Fiel	0	
RE: SENATE SELECT COMMITTEE Date 11/21/75 ON ANTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES				
		(x)		
The enclosed sources, T	Retention on optional ois for your information paraphrase contents. corrected pages from	on. If used in a future	rep, by conceal all	
dated	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	<u> </u>		
an article	e by Mr. Wil	information liam Safire at appeared sue of "The	is a copy of entitled "Mr. in the New York Times.	
	<b>A</b> SSEP	10	î e	

Enc. (1)
Bufile

Urfile

NW 55273 DocId:32989838 Page 3