

This document is made available through the declassification efforts
and research of John Greenewald, Jr., creator of:

The Black Vault



The Black Vault is the largest online Freedom of Information Act (FOIA)
document clearinghouse in the world. The research efforts here are
responsible for the declassification of hundreds of thousands of pages
released by the U.S. Government & Military.

Discover the Truth at: **<http://www.theblackvault.com>**

INDEX ☐ YES ☒ NO
CLASSIFY TO FILE NO. 50-6-22/4 CLASSIFIED MESSAGE ☒ TOTAL COPIES 30
REF TO FILE NO.
FILE RID ☒ REF. TO BRANCH ☐
DESTROY ☐ FILE NO. 204816
PERSON/UNIT NOTIFIED
REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED
1 2 3 4
ACTION WHI ☒ RID COPY ☐ ADVANCE COPY ☐ ISSUED ☐ SLOTTED ☐ TUBED
UNIT TIME BY
INFO
FILE W, EUR 8, SR 8, C/ops, ELIM 2

SECRET 151600Z CITE 4135
MEXICO CITY INFO DIRECTOR
REDCOAT
REF MEXICO CITY 0121 (IN 88248)
MEXICO CITY 9919 (IN 77928)

APPROVED
ABSTRACT
WHI/1

15 FEB 67 01388

LOCAL LNERGO REP PASSED REF INFO TO SMABOVE 13 FEB 67.

SECRET

BT

SECRET

KIFENT
50-6-32/4
15 FEB 67

INDEXT ☒ YES ☐ NO 506-34 41 00000087

CLASSIFY TO FILE NO. SECRET CLASSIFIED MESSAGE TOTAL COPIES

X-REF TO FILE NO. SECRET REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED

FILE RID ☒ RET. TO BRANCH ☐ PERSON/UNIT NOTIFIED

DESTROY ☐ SIG. SECRET

DISSEM BY AP

ACTION U.H.8 ☒ RID COPY ☐ ADVANCE COPY ☐ ISSUED ☐ SLOTTED ☐ TUBED

UNIT TIME BY

INFO FILE U.R. C/1-2, EUR8, SAR, WH/COG8, C/OPS, FI, FI/INT

SECRET 272155Z CITE MEXICO CITY 2121

DIRECTOR INFO

REDCOAT

PEF: MEXICO CITY 9919 (PLS RELAY)

MEXI
MICROFILMED

APR 14 1967

AN	PS	W4/1
ABSTRACT	X	INDEX
33242	U.H.1	
ABSTRACT	X	INDEX

1. PER LIFEAT AGAIN CALLED LEONOV 19 JAN

IDENTIFYING HIMSELF AS HAD BEEN UNABLE
TO CONTACT BUT LEONOV SAID HE
COULD NOT HELP HIM AND THAT HE SHOULD KEEP TRYING. ✓

2. LOCAL LNERGO HAS RECORD OF ONE

LOCAL LNERGO IS NOTIFYING THEIR REPRESENTATIVES IN
WASHINGTON TO SEE IF STILL ON WANTED LIST

3. STATION HAS LIONION PHOTO OF MAN

 ON 9 JAN AND SHOWS SOME RESEMBLANCE TO VERY OLD
LNERGO PHOTO CAN POUCH PRINTS IF
 STATION WISHES.


4. SUBSTANCE OF THE ABOVE AND INFO IN PEF MAY BE
PASSED TO, SMABOVES, DISGUIISING SOURCE. REQUEST STATION
COORDINATE ANY ACTION WITH LNERGO.


SECRET

SECRET

MICROFILMED
MAR 7 1967
DOC. MICRO. SER.


506-34/4-
506-34/4
27 JAN 67






DISPATCH		CLASSIFICATION	PROCESSING ACTION
		SECRET	
TO	Deputy Chief WH/C		MARKED FOR INDEXING
INFO	Chief, WH Division		NO INDEXING REQUIRED
FROM	Chief of Station, Mexico City		ONLY QUALIFIED DESK CAN JUDGE INDEXING
SUBJECT	TYPIC/LIFEAT/Transmittal of Traffic of Subject of [] and []		MICROFILM
ACTION REQUIRED - REFERENCES			
1. Attached as A are copies of traffic covering the Subject of [] for the period 1, 2, 4, and 7 June 1966. This traffic was provided Station Mexico by LIFEAT. 2. Attached as B are copies of traffic covering [] for the period 30 May - 12 June 1966. This traffic was also provided Station Mexico by LIFEAT. 3. Attachments are being forwarded KAPOK and under separate cover. []			
Attachments: A & B a/s KAPOK u/s/c Distribution: ✓ 3 - DCWH/C w/atts u/s/c 1 - C/WHD w/o atts 4 - FILES			
CROSS REFERENCE TO	DISPATCH SYMBOL AND NUMBER	DATE	
	INDIA-29211	16 June 1966	
	CLASSIFICATION	HC'S FILE NUMBER	
	SECRET	50-6-12/4	


LIFEAT
CB
DEFERRED
50-6-32/4





1





















DISPATCH		CLASSIFICATION SECRET	PROCESSING ACTION
TO	Chief of Station, [REDACTED]	XXXX	MARKED FOR INDEXING
INFO	Chief, WH Division		NO INDEXING REQUIRED
FROM	Chief of Station, Mexico City		ONLY QUALIFIED DESK CAN JUDGE INDEXING
SUBJECT	LIFEAT Coverage of [REDACTED] Mexico City		
ACTION REQUIRED REFERENCES			
Attached for Addressees' information and retention are copies of the transcripts of the LIFEAT coverage of the [REDACTED] [REDACTED] in Mexico City, reels 21 through 34 for the period 2 to 9 May 1966. [REDACTED]			
Attachments: As stated above, h/w Distribution: 2 - COS [REDACTED] w/atts 1 - Chief, WHD, w/atts <i>[Handwritten Signature]</i>			
CS COPY			
CROSS REFERENCE TO	DISPATCH SYMBOL AND NUMBER HMA-28943	DATE 10 May 1966	50-6 32/4
	CLASSIFICATION SECRET	HC'S FILE NUMBER 50-9-3	
































































































































14-00000





DISPATCH		CLASSIFICATION	PROCESSING ACTION
		SECRET/KAPOK	
TO	DCWHD/C		XX
INFO	Chief, WH Division		NO INDEXING REQUIRED
FROM	Chief of Station, Mexico City		ONLY QUALIFIED DESK CAN MAKE INDEXING
SUBJECT	TYPIC/AMROD/LIFEAT		RECORD FILE
ACTION REQUIRED REFERENCES			
Action Required: Pass to [REDACTED]			
Forwarded herewith is verbatim conversation between [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] on 20 November 1965. (Source: LIFEAT)			
[REDACTED]			
Attachments: As stated - Herewith			
Distribution: 2 - DCWHD/C w/att (h/w) 1 - C/WHD w/o/att 3 - Files			
CROSS REFERENCE TO	DISPATCH SYMBOL	DATE	
	HQMA 27885	15 December 1965	
	SECRET/KAPOK	201 - 020481	














DISPATCH		CLASSIFICATION	PROCESSING ACTION	
		SECRET		MARKED FOR INDEXING
TO	Chief, MI Division		XX	NO INDEXING REQUIRED
INFO.				ONLY QUALIFIED DESK CAN JUDGE INDEXING
FROM	Chief of Station, Mexico City			MICROFILM
SUBJECT	[REDACTED]			
ACTION REQUIRED - REFERENCE				
1. Attached for Headquarters' information and retention are copies of the LIFEAT coverage on Subject, reel #269 through reel #272 for the period 2 through 14 July 1965 and reel #1 through reel #16 for the period 31 July through 16 August 1965. 2. This coverage was temporarily discontinued from 15 through 30 July because of technical difficulties with the instrument in the [REDACTED] [REDACTED]				
Attachment: As stated in paragraph 1 Distribution: 3 - Chief, WHD, w/att <i>File Lima 27 28 29</i>				
DIS REFERENCE TO	DISPATCH SYMBOL AND NUMBER		DATE	
	INMA-26,933		19 August 1965	
	CLASSIFICATION		HQS FILE NUMBER	
	SECRET		201-745927	

DISPATCH		CLASSIFICATION	PROCESSING ACTION	
		SECRET		MARKED FOR INDEXING
TO	Chief, EED		XX	NO INDEXING REQUIRED
INFO	Chief, WHD			ONLY QUALIFIED DESK CAN JUDGE INDEXING
FROM	Chief of Station, Mexico City			MICROFILM
SUBJECT	DMILET/LIFEAT/Operational			
ACTION REQUIRED - REFERENCES	Transmittal of LIFEAT Tapes and Transcripts			
1. Forwarded herewith for translation of the [] portions are the following LIFEAT tapes: 7, 9, 10, 11, 12 & 14. Also forwarded are the following [] transcripts: 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12 & 14. 2. Because of the DMSAUCE/1 flap, the [] transcripts of the LIFEAT tapes will continue thru the end of this week (10 April), after that there will be no transcribing done in the field. for Willard C. CURTIS <u>Attachment:</u> 1. As Stated (HEREWITH) <u>Distribution:</u> 2 - EED w/att h/w X - WHD w/o/att 2 - Files <i>File LIFEAT Prod.</i>				
CROSS REFERENCE TO	DISPATCH SYMBOL AND NUMBER		DATE	
	HMMA-25814		8 April 1965	
	CLASSIFICATION		FILE NUMBER	
	SECRET		SC-2-2/9	

CONFIDENTIAL

(COLLECTOR/EVALUATOR: RECLASSIFY ACCORDING TO DATA ADDED BELOW)

CIA REQUEST FOR EVALUATION BY <u>Department of State</u>																																																																																																	
DATE OF DISTRIB. <u>February 1963</u>		DISSEM. NUMBER <u>CS-3/938,352</u>																																																																																															
CHIEF COUNTRY <u>Yugoslavia/Mexico</u>		FIELD NUMBER <u>44-10-24-1-2</u>																																																																																															
SUBJECT <u>Yugoslav Commercial Activities in Mexico</u>		EVALUATING AGENCY <u>State Dept</u>																																																																																															
		PLEASE RETURN EVALUATION																																																																																															
EVALUATOR: Please complete this evaluation within <u>10</u> days of receipt of request. Need for completion within this time is priority standard. Indicate choices by "X." If item marked is printed in italics, please explain under "Evaluators Comments." Normally, put one X in each appropriate section. Otherwise, please cover each of these aspects in your comments. For further notes on use of this form, see reverse.																																																																																																	
SUBJECT OF THIS REPORT IS OF: <table border="1"> <tr> <td>1. MAJOR</td> <td rowspan="4">IMPORTANCE IN RELATION TO OUR NEEDS.</td> </tr> <tr> <td>☒ 2. MODERATE</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3. LITTLE</td> </tr> <tr> <td>4. NO</td> </tr> </table>		1. MAJOR	IMPORTANCE IN RELATION TO OUR NEEDS.	☒ 2. MODERATE	3. LITTLE	4. NO	COLLECTOR'S COMMENTS AND QUESTIONS We would appreciate your comments evaluating this report.																																																																																										
1. MAJOR	IMPORTANCE IN RELATION TO OUR NEEDS.																																																																																																
☒ 2. MODERATE																																																																																																	
3. LITTLE																																																																																																	
4. NO																																																																																																	
INFORMATION IN THIS REPORT: <table border="1"> <tr> <td colspan="2">CONTRIBUTES TO OUR KNOWLEDGE OF THE SUBJECT:</td> </tr> <tr> <td>1. SIGNIFICANTLY.</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>2. SUBSTANTIALLY.</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>☒ 3. MODERATELY.</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>4. NEGLIGIBLY.</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2">ACCURACY:</td> </tr> <tr> <td>1. SUBSTANTIALLY TRUE.</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>☒ 2. CREDIBLE.</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>3. Doubtful.</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>4. False.</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>5. CANNOT BE JUDGED. SEE D.</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2">RELATION TO OTHER INFORMATION:</td> </tr> <tr> <td>☒ 1. USEFUL FOR CONFIRMATION.</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>2. USEFUL FOR NEW INFORMATION.</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>3. Sufficiently known.</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>4. AT PRESENT WE LACK OTHER INFORMATION TO JUDGE ACCURACY OR USEFULNESS.</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>5. Conflicts with previous information.</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>6. Appears to be fabrication.</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2">USEFULNESS WOULD HAVE BEEN GREATER WITH:</td> </tr> <tr> <td>1. More detail.</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>2. Less detail, more summary.</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>3. Less fragmentary approach.</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>4. Better organization.</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>5. Better observation or better exploitation of source, or both.</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>6. (STATED IN COMMENT.)</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>☒ 7. NO DEFECT AFFECTING USEFULNESS.</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2">OUR COLLECTION NEEDS</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2">OTHER REPORTING MEETS:</td> </tr> <tr> <td>☒ 1. OUR IMPORTANT NEEDS.</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>2. OUR MINIMUM NEEDS.</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>3. MOST OF OUR MINIMUM NEEDS.</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>4. FEW OR NONE OF OUR NEEDS.</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2">(ONLY IF YOUR REQUIREMENT IS CITED.) REPORT SATISFIES IT:</td> </tr> <tr> <td>1. FULLY. REQUIREMENT CAN BE CLOSED.</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>2. Partially. Portion of requirement may be closed.</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>3. PARTIALLY. BUT NEED CONTINUES.</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>4. NEGLIGIBLY.</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2">(IF SUBJECT IS IMPORTANT TO YOU, OTHER REPORTING DOES NOT MEET MINIMUM NEEDS, AND YOU HAVE FILED NO REQUIREMENT:)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>1. HE WILL PRESENT A REQUIREMENT SOON.</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>2. OUR NEED DOES NOT WARRANT A REQUIREMENT FOR CS REPORTING.</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>EVALUATOR</td> <td>NAME (Please print)</td> <td>COMPONENT</td> <td>PHONE</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>P. Adler</td> <td>INT/RSB/EA</td> <td>x 3503</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>DATE REQUEST RECEIVED.</td> <td colspan="2">DATE EVALUATION FORWARDED</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>Mar 1</td> <td colspan="2">Mar 6</td> </tr> </table>		CONTRIBUTES TO OUR KNOWLEDGE OF THE SUBJECT:		1. SIGNIFICANTLY.		2. SUBSTANTIALLY.		☒ 3. MODERATELY.		4. NEGLIGIBLY.		ACCURACY:		1. SUBSTANTIALLY TRUE.		☒ 2. CREDIBLE.		3. Doubtful.		4. False.		5. CANNOT BE JUDGED. SEE D.		RELATION TO OTHER INFORMATION:		☒ 1. USEFUL FOR CONFIRMATION.		2. USEFUL FOR NEW INFORMATION.		3. Sufficiently known.		4. AT PRESENT WE LACK OTHER INFORMATION TO JUDGE ACCURACY OR USEFULNESS.		5. Conflicts with previous information.		6. Appears to be fabrication.		USEFULNESS WOULD HAVE BEEN GREATER WITH:		1. More detail.		2. Less detail, more summary.		3. Less fragmentary approach.		4. Better organization.		5. Better observation or better exploitation of source, or both.		6. (STATED IN COMMENT.)		☒ 7. NO DEFECT AFFECTING USEFULNESS.		OUR COLLECTION NEEDS		OTHER REPORTING MEETS:		☒ 1. OUR IMPORTANT NEEDS.		2. OUR MINIMUM NEEDS.		3. MOST OF OUR MINIMUM NEEDS.		4. FEW OR NONE OF OUR NEEDS.		(ONLY IF YOUR REQUIREMENT IS CITED.) REPORT SATISFIES IT:		1. FULLY. REQUIREMENT CAN BE CLOSED.		2. Partially. Portion of requirement may be closed.		3. PARTIALLY. BUT NEED CONTINUES.		4. NEGLIGIBLY.		(IF SUBJECT IS IMPORTANT TO YOU, OTHER REPORTING DOES NOT MEET MINIMUM NEEDS, AND YOU HAVE FILED NO REQUIREMENT:)		1. HE WILL PRESENT A REQUIREMENT SOON.		2. OUR NEED DOES NOT WARRANT A REQUIREMENT FOR CS REPORTING.		EVALUATOR	NAME (Please print)	COMPONENT	PHONE		P. Adler	INT/RSB/EA	x 3503		DATE REQUEST RECEIVED.	DATE EVALUATION FORWARDED			Mar 1	Mar 6	
CONTRIBUTES TO OUR KNOWLEDGE OF THE SUBJECT:																																																																																																	
1. SIGNIFICANTLY.																																																																																																	
2. SUBSTANTIALLY.																																																																																																	
☒ 3. MODERATELY.																																																																																																	
4. NEGLIGIBLY.																																																																																																	
ACCURACY:																																																																																																	
1. SUBSTANTIALLY TRUE.																																																																																																	
☒ 2. CREDIBLE.																																																																																																	
3. Doubtful.																																																																																																	
4. False.																																																																																																	
5. CANNOT BE JUDGED. SEE D.																																																																																																	
RELATION TO OTHER INFORMATION:																																																																																																	
☒ 1. USEFUL FOR CONFIRMATION.																																																																																																	
2. USEFUL FOR NEW INFORMATION.																																																																																																	
3. Sufficiently known.																																																																																																	
4. AT PRESENT WE LACK OTHER INFORMATION TO JUDGE ACCURACY OR USEFULNESS.																																																																																																	
5. Conflicts with previous information.																																																																																																	
6. Appears to be fabrication.																																																																																																	
USEFULNESS WOULD HAVE BEEN GREATER WITH:																																																																																																	
1. More detail.																																																																																																	
2. Less detail, more summary.																																																																																																	
3. Less fragmentary approach.																																																																																																	
4. Better organization.																																																																																																	
5. Better observation or better exploitation of source, or both.																																																																																																	
6. (STATED IN COMMENT.)																																																																																																	
☒ 7. NO DEFECT AFFECTING USEFULNESS.																																																																																																	
OUR COLLECTION NEEDS																																																																																																	
OTHER REPORTING MEETS:																																																																																																	
☒ 1. OUR IMPORTANT NEEDS.																																																																																																	
2. OUR MINIMUM NEEDS.																																																																																																	
3. MOST OF OUR MINIMUM NEEDS.																																																																																																	
4. FEW OR NONE OF OUR NEEDS.																																																																																																	
(ONLY IF YOUR REQUIREMENT IS CITED.) REPORT SATISFIES IT:																																																																																																	
1. FULLY. REQUIREMENT CAN BE CLOSED.																																																																																																	
2. Partially. Portion of requirement may be closed.																																																																																																	
3. PARTIALLY. BUT NEED CONTINUES.																																																																																																	
4. NEGLIGIBLY.																																																																																																	
(IF SUBJECT IS IMPORTANT TO YOU, OTHER REPORTING DOES NOT MEET MINIMUM NEEDS, AND YOU HAVE FILED NO REQUIREMENT:)																																																																																																	
1. HE WILL PRESENT A REQUIREMENT SOON.																																																																																																	
2. OUR NEED DOES NOT WARRANT A REQUIREMENT FOR CS REPORTING.																																																																																																	
EVALUATOR	NAME (Please print)	COMPONENT	PHONE																																																																																														
	P. Adler	INT/RSB/EA	x 3503																																																																																														
	DATE REQUEST RECEIVED.	DATE EVALUATION FORWARDED																																																																																															
	Mar 1	Mar 6																																																																																															

CONFIDENTIAL
(COLLECTOR/EVALUATOR RECLASSIFY ACCORDING TO DATA PROVIDED BELOW)

CIA REQUEST FOR EVALUATION BY <u>State Department</u>																	
EVALUATING AGENCY																	
DATE OF DISTRIB. <u>27 January 1961</u>		DISSEM. NUMBER <u>CS-3/163,061</u>															
Chief Country <u>Mexico/Cuba</u>		FIELD NUMBER <u>HM-5400</u>															
Subject <u>Mexican Technicians Going to Work in Cuba</u>																	
EVALUATOR: Please complete this evaluation within 60 days of receipt of request. Need for completion within this time is <u>priority</u> standard. Indicate choices by "X." If item marked is printed in italics, please explain under "Evaluator's Comments." Normally, put one X in each appropriate section. Otherwise, please cover each of these aspects in your comments. For further notes on use of this form, see reverse.																	
SUBJECT OF THIS REPORT IS OF:		COLLECTOR'S COMMENTS AND QUESTIONS															
A <table border="1" style="width: 100%;"> <tr> <td>☐ 1. Major.</td> <td rowspan="4" style="vertical-align: middle; font-size: 2em;">}</td> <td rowspan="4" style="vertical-align: middle;">IMPORTANCE IN RELATION TO OUR NEEDS.</td> </tr> <tr> <td>☒ 2. MODERATE</td> </tr> <tr> <td>☐ 3. LITTLE</td> </tr> <tr> <td>☐ 4. NO</td> </tr> </table>		☐ 1. Major.	}	IMPORTANCE IN RELATION TO OUR NEEDS.	☒ 2. MODERATE	☐ 3. LITTLE	☐ 4. NO										
☐ 1. Major.	}	IMPORTANCE IN RELATION TO OUR NEEDS.															
☒ 2. MODERATE																	
☐ 3. LITTLE																	
☐ 4. NO																	
INFORMATION IN THIS REPORT:																	
B <table border="1" style="width: 100%;"> <tr> <td colspan="2">CONTRIBUTES TO OUR KNOWLEDGE OF THE SUBJECT:</td> </tr> <tr> <td>☐ 1. SIGNIFICANTLY</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>☐ 2. SUBSTANTIALLY</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>☒ 3. MODERATELY</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>☐ 4. NEGLIGIBLY</td> <td></td> </tr> </table>		CONTRIBUTES TO OUR KNOWLEDGE OF THE SUBJECT:		☐ 1. SIGNIFICANTLY		☐ 2. SUBSTANTIALLY		☒ 3. MODERATELY		☐ 4. NEGLIGIBLY							
CONTRIBUTES TO OUR KNOWLEDGE OF THE SUBJECT:																	
☐ 1. SIGNIFICANTLY																	
☐ 2. SUBSTANTIALLY																	
☒ 3. MODERATELY																	
☐ 4. NEGLIGIBLY																	
C <table border="1" style="width: 100%;"> <tr> <td colspan="2">ACCURACY:</td> </tr> <tr> <td>☐ 1. SUBSTANTIALLY TRUE</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>☒ 2. CREDIBLE</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>☐ 3. Doubtful</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>☐ 4. False</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>☐ 5. CANNOT BE JUDGED. SEE D.</td> <td></td> </tr> </table>		ACCURACY:		☐ 1. SUBSTANTIALLY TRUE		☒ 2. CREDIBLE		☐ 3. Doubtful		☐ 4. False		☐ 5. CANNOT BE JUDGED. SEE D.		EVALUATOR'S COMMENTS AND FOLLOW-UP QUESTIONS This report would have been of greater value if it had included some comment on the political orientation of the technicians (if any) and whether they were being sent by the Mexican Government or were hired on a purely personal basis.			
ACCURACY:																	
☐ 1. SUBSTANTIALLY TRUE																	
☒ 2. CREDIBLE																	
☐ 3. Doubtful																	
☐ 4. False																	
☐ 5. CANNOT BE JUDGED. SEE D.																	
D <table border="1" style="width: 100%;"> <tr> <td colspan="2">RELATION TO OTHER INFORMATION:</td> </tr> <tr> <td>☐ 1. USEFUL FOR CONFIRMATION</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>☒ 2. USEFUL FOR NEW INFORMATION</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>☐ 3. Sufficiently known</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>☐ 4. AT PRESENT WE LACK OTHER INFORMATION TO JUDGE ACCURACY OR USEFULNESS</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>☐ 5. Conflicts with previous information</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>☐ 6. Appears to be fabrication</td> <td></td> </tr> </table>		RELATION TO OTHER INFORMATION:		☐ 1. USEFUL FOR CONFIRMATION		☒ 2. USEFUL FOR NEW INFORMATION		☐ 3. Sufficiently known		☐ 4. AT PRESENT WE LACK OTHER INFORMATION TO JUDGE ACCURACY OR USEFULNESS		☐ 5. Conflicts with previous information		☐ 6. Appears to be fabrication			
RELATION TO OTHER INFORMATION:																	
☐ 1. USEFUL FOR CONFIRMATION																	
☒ 2. USEFUL FOR NEW INFORMATION																	
☐ 3. Sufficiently known																	
☐ 4. AT PRESENT WE LACK OTHER INFORMATION TO JUDGE ACCURACY OR USEFULNESS																	
☐ 5. Conflicts with previous information																	
☐ 6. Appears to be fabrication																	
E <table border="1" style="width: 100%;"> <tr> <td colspan="2">USEFULNESS WOULD HAVE BEEN GREATER WITH:</td> </tr> <tr> <td>☐ 1. More detail</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>☐ 2. Less detail, more summary</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>☐ 3. Less fragmentary approach</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>☐ 4. Better organization</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>☐ 5. Better observation or better exploitation of source, or both.</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>☒ 6. (STATED IN COMMENT)</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>☐ 7. NO DEFECT AFFECTING USEFULNESS.</td> <td></td> </tr> </table>		USEFULNESS WOULD HAVE BEEN GREATER WITH:		☐ 1. More detail		☐ 2. Less detail, more summary		☐ 3. Less fragmentary approach		☐ 4. Better organization		☐ 5. Better observation or better exploitation of source, or both.		☒ 6. (STATED IN COMMENT)		☐ 7. NO DEFECT AFFECTING USEFULNESS.	
USEFULNESS WOULD HAVE BEEN GREATER WITH:																	
☐ 1. More detail																	
☐ 2. Less detail, more summary																	
☐ 3. Less fragmentary approach																	
☐ 4. Better organization																	
☐ 5. Better observation or better exploitation of source, or both.																	
☒ 6. (STATED IN COMMENT)																	
☐ 7. NO DEFECT AFFECTING USEFULNESS.																	
OUR COLLECTION NEEDS																	
F <table border="1" style="width: 100%;"> <tr> <td colspan="2">OTHER REPORTING MEETS:</td> </tr> <tr> <td>☐ 1. OUR IMPORTANT NEEDS.</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>☐ 2. OUR MINIMUM NEEDS.</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>☐ 3. MOST OF OUR MINIMUM NEEDS.</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>☐ 4. FEW OR NONE OF OUR NEEDS.</td> <td></td> </tr> </table>		OTHER REPORTING MEETS:		☐ 1. OUR IMPORTANT NEEDS.		☐ 2. OUR MINIMUM NEEDS.		☐ 3. MOST OF OUR MINIMUM NEEDS.		☐ 4. FEW OR NONE OF OUR NEEDS.							
OTHER REPORTING MEETS:																	
☐ 1. OUR IMPORTANT NEEDS.																	
☐ 2. OUR MINIMUM NEEDS.																	
☐ 3. MOST OF OUR MINIMUM NEEDS.																	
☐ 4. FEW OR NONE OF OUR NEEDS.																	
G <table border="1" style="width: 100%;"> <tr> <td colspan="2">(ONLY IF YOUR REQUIREMENT IS CITED.) REPORT SATISFIES IT:</td> </tr> <tr> <td>☐ 1. FULLY. REQUIREMENT CAN BE CLOSED.</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>☐ 2. Partially. Portion of requirement may be closed.</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>☐ 3. PARTIALLY. BUT NEED CONTINUES.</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>☐ 4. NEGLIGIBLY</td> <td></td> </tr> </table>		(ONLY IF YOUR REQUIREMENT IS CITED.) REPORT SATISFIES IT:		☐ 1. FULLY. REQUIREMENT CAN BE CLOSED.		☐ 2. Partially. Portion of requirement may be closed.		☐ 3. PARTIALLY. BUT NEED CONTINUES.		☐ 4. NEGLIGIBLY							
(ONLY IF YOUR REQUIREMENT IS CITED.) REPORT SATISFIES IT:																	
☐ 1. FULLY. REQUIREMENT CAN BE CLOSED.																	
☐ 2. Partially. Portion of requirement may be closed.																	
☐ 3. PARTIALLY. BUT NEED CONTINUES.																	
☐ 4. NEGLIGIBLY																	
H <table border="1" style="width: 100%;"> <tr> <td colspan="2">(IF SUBJECT IS IMPORTANT TO YOU, OTHER REPORTING DOES NOT MEET MINIMUM NEEDS, AND YOU HAVE FILED NO REQUIREMENT.)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>☐ 1. WE WILL PRESENT A REQUIREMENT SOON.</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>☐ 2. OUR NEED DOES NOT WARRANT A REQUIREMENT FOR CS REPORTING.</td> <td></td> </tr> </table>		(IF SUBJECT IS IMPORTANT TO YOU, OTHER REPORTING DOES NOT MEET MINIMUM NEEDS, AND YOU HAVE FILED NO REQUIREMENT.)		☐ 1. WE WILL PRESENT A REQUIREMENT SOON.		☐ 2. OUR NEED DOES NOT WARRANT A REQUIREMENT FOR CS REPORTING.											
(IF SUBJECT IS IMPORTANT TO YOU, OTHER REPORTING DOES NOT MEET MINIMUM NEEDS, AND YOU HAVE FILED NO REQUIREMENT.)																	
☐ 1. WE WILL PRESENT A REQUIREMENT SOON.																	
☐ 2. OUR NEED DOES NOT WARRANT A REQUIREMENT FOR CS REPORTING.																	
EVALUATOR <table border="1" style="width: 100%;"> <tr> <td>NAME (Please print) <u>Lawrence S. Eagleburger</u></td> <td>COMPONENT <u>State/INR/RAR/MAB</u></td> <td>PHONE <u>182-2097</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>DATE REQUEST RECEIVED <u>March 17, 1961</u></td> <td colspan="2">DATE EVALUATION FORWARDED <u>March 22, 1961.</u></td> </tr> </table>		NAME (Please print) <u>Lawrence S. Eagleburger</u>	COMPONENT <u>State/INR/RAR/MAB</u>	PHONE <u>182-2097</u>	DATE REQUEST RECEIVED <u>March 17, 1961</u>	DATE EVALUATION FORWARDED <u>March 22, 1961.</u>											
NAME (Please print) <u>Lawrence S. Eagleburger</u>	COMPONENT <u>State/INR/RAR/MAB</u>	PHONE <u>182-2097</u>															
DATE REQUEST RECEIVED <u>March 17, 1961</u>	DATE EVALUATION FORWARDED <u>March 22, 1961.</u>																

CONFIDENTIAL
(COLLECTOR/EVALUATOR) RECLASSIFY ACCORDING TO (A) (ED BELOW)

LIEPAT
1,111,111

CIA REQUEST FOR EVALUATION BY <u>Department of State</u>		EVALUATING AGENCY								
DATE OF DISTRIB.	2 November 1960	DISSEM. NUMBER	CS-3,454,933 <i>PRI</i>							
Chief Country	Mexico/Nicaragua	FIELD NUMBER	HMM-5264							
Subject <u>Nicaraguan Revolutionary Activities in Mexico</u>										
EVALUATOR: Please complete this evaluation within 60 days of receipt of request. Need for completion within this time is EXACT /standard. Indicate choices by "X." If item marked is printed in italics, please explain under "Evaluator's Comments." Normally, put one X in each appropriate section. Otherwise, please cover each of these aspects in your comments. For further NOTES on use of this form, see reverse.										
SUBJECT OF THIS REPORT IS OF:		COLLECTOR'S COMMENTS AND QUESTIONS								
A. <table border="1" style="width: 100%;"> <tr> <td style="width: 50%;">1. Major</td> <td rowspan="4" style="width: 50%; text-align: center;">} IMPORTANCE IN RELATION TO OUR NEEDS.</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2. MODERATE</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3. LITTLE</td> </tr> <tr> <td>4. NO</td> </tr> </table>		1. Major	} IMPORTANCE IN RELATION TO OUR NEEDS.	2. MODERATE	3. LITTLE	4. NO				
1. Major	} IMPORTANCE IN RELATION TO OUR NEEDS.									
2. MODERATE										
3. LITTLE										
4. NO										
INFORMATION IN THIS REPORT:										
B. CONTRIBUTES TO OUR KNOWLEDGE OF THE SUBJECT:										
<table border="1" style="width: 100%;"> <tr><td>1. SIGNIFICANTLY</td></tr> <tr><td>2. SUBSTANTIALLY</td></tr> <tr><td>3. MODERATELY</td></tr> <tr><td>4. NEGLIGIBLY</td></tr> </table>		1. SIGNIFICANTLY	2. SUBSTANTIALLY	3. MODERATELY	4. NEGLIGIBLY					
1. SIGNIFICANTLY										
2. SUBSTANTIALLY										
3. MODERATELY										
4. NEGLIGIBLY										
C. ACCURACY:		EVALUATOR'S COMMENTS AND FOLLOW-UP QUESTIONS								
<table border="1" style="width: 100%;"> <tr><td>1. SUBSTANTIALLY TRUE</td></tr> <tr><td>2. CREDIBLE</td></tr> <tr><td>3. Doubtful</td></tr> <tr><td>4. False</td></tr> <tr><td>5. CANNOT BE JUDGED. SEE D.</td></tr> </table>		1. SUBSTANTIALLY TRUE	2. CREDIBLE	3. Doubtful	4. False	5. CANNOT BE JUDGED. SEE D.				
1. SUBSTANTIALLY TRUE										
2. CREDIBLE										
3. Doubtful										
4. False										
5. CANNOT BE JUDGED. SEE D.										
D. RELATION TO OTHER INFORMATION:										
<table border="1" style="width: 100%;"> <tr><td>1. USEFUL FOR CONFIRMATION</td></tr> <tr><td>2. USEFUL FOR NEW INFORMATION</td></tr> <tr><td>3. Sufficiently known</td></tr> <tr><td>4. AT PRESENT WE LACK OTHER INFORMATION TO JUDGE ACCURACY OR USEFULNESS</td></tr> <tr><td>5. Conflicts with previous information</td></tr> <tr><td>6. Appears to be fabrication</td></tr> </table>		1. USEFUL FOR CONFIRMATION	2. USEFUL FOR NEW INFORMATION	3. Sufficiently known	4. AT PRESENT WE LACK OTHER INFORMATION TO JUDGE ACCURACY OR USEFULNESS	5. Conflicts with previous information	6. Appears to be fabrication			
1. USEFUL FOR CONFIRMATION										
2. USEFUL FOR NEW INFORMATION										
3. Sufficiently known										
4. AT PRESENT WE LACK OTHER INFORMATION TO JUDGE ACCURACY OR USEFULNESS										
5. Conflicts with previous information										
6. Appears to be fabrication										
E. USEFULNESS WOULD HAVE BEEN GREATER WITH:										
<table border="1" style="width: 100%;"> <tr><td>1. More detail</td></tr> <tr><td>2. Less detail, more summary</td></tr> <tr><td>3. Less fragmentary approach</td></tr> <tr><td>4. Better organization</td></tr> <tr><td>5. Better observation or better exploitation of source, or both.</td></tr> <tr><td>6. (STATED IN COMMENT)</td></tr> <tr><td>7. NO DEFECT AFFECTING USEFULNESS.</td></tr> </table>		1. More detail	2. Less detail, more summary	3. Less fragmentary approach	4. Better organization	5. Better observation or better exploitation of source, or both.	6. (STATED IN COMMENT)	7. NO DEFECT AFFECTING USEFULNESS.		
1. More detail										
2. Less detail, more summary										
3. Less fragmentary approach										
4. Better organization										
5. Better observation or better exploitation of source, or both.										
6. (STATED IN COMMENT)										
7. NO DEFECT AFFECTING USEFULNESS.										
OUR COLLECTION NEEDS										
F. OTHER REPORTING MEETS:										
<table border="1" style="width: 100%;"> <tr><td>1. OUR IMPORTANT NEEDS.</td></tr> <tr><td>2. OUR MINIMUM NEEDS.</td></tr> <tr><td>3. MOST OF OUR MINIMUM NEEDS.</td></tr> <tr><td>4. FEW OR NONE OF OUR NEEDS.</td></tr> </table>		1. OUR IMPORTANT NEEDS.	2. OUR MINIMUM NEEDS.	3. MOST OF OUR MINIMUM NEEDS.	4. FEW OR NONE OF OUR NEEDS.					
1. OUR IMPORTANT NEEDS.										
2. OUR MINIMUM NEEDS.										
3. MOST OF OUR MINIMUM NEEDS.										
4. FEW OR NONE OF OUR NEEDS.										
G. (ONLY IF YOUR REQUIREMENT IS CITED.) REPORT SATISFIES IT:										
<table border="1" style="width: 100%;"> <tr><td>1. FULLY. REQUIREMENT CAN BE CLOSED.</td></tr> <tr><td>2. partially. Portion of requirement may be closed.</td></tr> <tr><td>3. PARTIALLY. BUT NEED CONTINUES.</td></tr> <tr><td>4. NEGLIGIBLY</td></tr> </table>				1. FULLY. REQUIREMENT CAN BE CLOSED.	2. partially. Portion of requirement may be closed.	3. PARTIALLY. BUT NEED CONTINUES.	4. NEGLIGIBLY			
1. FULLY. REQUIREMENT CAN BE CLOSED.										
2. partially. Portion of requirement may be closed.										
3. PARTIALLY. BUT NEED CONTINUES.										
4. NEGLIGIBLY										
H. (IF SUBJECT IS IMPORTANT TO YOU. OTHER REPORTING DOES NOT MEET MINIMUM NEEDS. AND YOU HAVE FILED NO REQUIREMENT.)										
<table border="1" style="width: 100%;"> <tr><td>1. WE WILL PRESENT A REQUIREMENT SOON.</td></tr> <tr><td>2. OUR NEED DOES NOT WARRANT A REQUIREMENT FOR CS REPORTING.</td></tr> </table>		1. WE WILL PRESENT A REQUIREMENT SOON.	2. OUR NEED DOES NOT WARRANT A REQUIREMENT FOR CS REPORTING.							
1. WE WILL PRESENT A REQUIREMENT SOON.										
2. OUR NEED DOES NOT WARRANT A REQUIREMENT FOR CS REPORTING.										
EVALUATOR		NAME (Please print)								
		RT Morrison								
DATE REQUEST RECEIVED		DATE EVALUATION FORWARDED								
Nov 15/60		Nov. 16/60								
COMPONENT		PHONE								
INR/RAR-P		3327								

CONFIDENTIAL

(COLLECTOR/E. NUMBER: RECLASSIFY ACCORDING TO DATA ADDED BELOW)

CIA REQUEST FOR EVALUATION BY

Department of State

EVALUATING AGENCY

DATE OF
DISTRIB.

1 November 1960

DISSEM.
NUMBER

CS-3/454,903

Chief

Country

Mexico/Cuba

FIELD
NUMBER

HMM-5245

Subject

Propaganda Activities of the Cuban Embassy in Mexico

EVALUATOR: Please complete this evaluation within 60 days of receipt of request. Need for completion within this time is ~~XXXX~~ standard. Indicate choices by "x." If item marked is printed in italics, please explain under "Evaluator's Comments." Normally, put one x in each appropriate section. Otherwise, please cover each of these aspects in your comments. For further NOTES on use of this form, see reverse.

SUBJECT OF THIS REPORT IS OF:

COLLECTOR'S COMMENTS AND QUESTIONS

- A
- | | | |
|-------------------------------------|-------------|--|
| ☐ | 1. Major | } IMPORTANCE IN
RELATION TO
OUR NEEDS. |
| ☒ | 2. MODERATE | |
| ☐ | 3. LITTLE | |
| ☐ | 4. NO | |

INFORMATION IN THIS REPORT:

B CONTRIBUTES TO OUR KNOWLEDGE OF THE SUBJECT:

- | | |
|-------------------------------------|------------------|
| ☐ | 1. SIGNIFICANTLY |
| ☐ | 2. SUBSTANTIALLY |
| ☒ | 3. MODERATELY |
| ☐ | 4. NEGLIGIBLY |

C ACCURACY:

EVALUATOR'S COMMENTS AND FOLLOW-UP QUESTIONS

- | | |
|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| ☐ | 1. SUBSTANTIALLY TRUE |
| ☒ | 2. CREDIBLE |
| ☐ | 3. Doubtful |
| ☐ | 4. False |
| ☐ | 5. CANNOT BE JUDGED. SEE D. |

D RELATION TO OTHER INFORMATION:

- | | |
|-------------------------------------|---|
| ☐ | 1. USEFUL FOR CONFIRMATION |
| ☒ | 2. USEFUL FOR NEW INFORMATION |
| ☐ | 3. Sufficiently known |
| ☐ | 4. AT PRESENT WE LACK OTHER INFORMATION TO JUDGE ACCURACY OR USEFULNESS |
| ☐ | 5. Conflicts with previous information |
| ☐ | 6. Appears to be fabrication |

E USEFULNESS WOULD HAVE BEEN GREATER WITH:

- | | |
|--------------------------|--|
| ☐ | 1. More detail |
| ☐ | 2. Less detail, more summary |
| ☐ | 3. Less fragmentary approach |
| ☐ | 4. Better organization |
| ☐ | 5. Better observation or better exploitation of source, or both. |
| ☐ | 6. (STATED IN COMMENT) |
| ☐ | 7. NO DEFECT AFFECTING USEFULNESS. |

OUR COLLECTION NEEDS

F OTHER REPORTING MEETS:

- | | |
|--------------------------|-------------------------------|
| ☐ | 1. OUR IMPORTANT NEEDS. |
| ☐ | 2. OUR MINIMUM NEEDS. |
| ☐ | 3. MOST OF OUR MINIMUM NEEDS. |
| ☐ | 4. FEW OR NONE OF OUR NEEDS. |

G (ONLY IF YOUR REQUIREMENT IS CITED.) REPORT SATISFIES IT:

- | | |
|--------------------------|---|
| ☐ | 1. FULLY. REQUIREMENT CAN BE CLOSED. |
| ☐ | 2. partially. Portion of requirement may be closed. |
| ☐ | 3. PARTIALLY. BUT NEED CONTINUES. |
| ☐ | 4. NEGLIGIBLY |

H (IF SUBJECT IS IMPORTANT TO YOU, OTHER REPORTING DOES NOT MEET MINIMUM NEEDS, AND YOU HAVE FILED NO REQUIREMENT.)

- | | |
|--------------------------|--|
| ☐ | 1. WE WILL PRESENT A REQUIREMENT SOON. |
| ☐ | 2. OUR NEED DOES NOT WARRANT A REQUIREMENT FOR CS REPORTING. |

EVALUATOR

NAME (Please print)

RT Morrison

COMPONENT

INTR/RRP

PHONE

3327

DATE REQUEST RECEIVED

Nov 18 1960

DATE EVALUATION FORWARDED

Nov 18 1960

1 FEAT
Pro & Solder

CIA REQUEST FOR EVALUATION BY		OSI	
EVALUATING AGENCY			
DATE OF DISTRIB.	26 September 1960	DISSEM. NUMBER	CS 3/551,014
Chief Country	Mexico/Soviet Union	FIELD NUMBER	BM 5159
Subject		Mexican Government Purchase of Soviet Radioisotopes	
EVALUATOR: Please complete this evaluation within 30 days of receipt of request. Aim for completion within this time is XXXXXX standard. Indicate choices by "X." If item marked is printed in italics, please explain under "Evaluator's Comments." normally, put one X in each appropriate section. otherwise, please cover each of these aspects in your comments. For further NOTES on use of this form, see reverse.			
SUBJECT OF THIS REPORT IS OF:		COLLECTOR'S COMMENTS AND QUESTIONS	
1. Major 2. MODERATE 3. LITTLE 4. NO A3 IMPORTANCE IN RELATION TO OUR NEEDS.			
INFORMATION IN THIS REPORT: CONTRIBUTES TO OUR KNOWLEDGE OF THE SUBJECT: 1. SIGNIFICANTLY 2. SUBSTANTIALLY 3. MODERATELY 4. NEGLIGIBLY B4 ACCURACY: 1. SUBSTANTIALLY TRUE 2. CREDIBLE 3. Doubtful 4. False 5. CANNOT BE JUDGED. SEE D. RELATION TO OTHER INFORMATION: 1. USEFUL FOR CONFIRMATION 2. USEFUL FOR NEW INFORMATION 3. Sufficiently known 4. AT PRESENT WE LACK OTHER INFORMATION TO JUDGE ACCURACY OR USEFULNESS 5. Conflicts with previous information 6. Appears to be fabrication USEFULNESS WOULD HAVE BEEN GREATER WITH: 1. More detail 2. Less detail, more summary 3. Less fragmentary approach 4. Better organization 5. Better observation or better exploitation of source, or both. 6. (STATED IN COMMENT) 7. NO DEFECT AFFECTING USEFULNESS. E7 OUR COLLECTION NEEDS OTHER REPORTING MEETS: 1. OUR IMPORTANT NEEDS. 2. OUR MINIMUM NEEDS. 3. MOST OF OUR MINIMUM NEEDS. 4. FEW OR NONE OF OUR NEEDS. (ONLY IF YOUR REQUIREMENT IS CITED, I REPORT SATISFIES IT): 1. FULLY. REQUIREMENT CAN BE CLOSED. 2. Partially. Portion of requirement may be closed. 3. PARTIALLY BUT NEED CONTINUES. 4. NEGLIGIBLY IF SUBJECT IS IMPORTANT TO YOU, OTHER REPORTING DOES NOT MEET MINIMUM NEEDS, AND YOU HAVE FILED NO REQUIREMENT: 1. WE WILL PRESENT A REQUIREMENT AND 2. OUR NEED DOES NOT WARRANT A REQUIREMENT AND IS REPORTING. E2			
EVALUATOR		EVALUATOR'S COMMENTS AND FOLLOW-UP QUESTIONS	
NAME		COMPONENT	
DATE REQUEST RECEIVED		PHONE	
OSI/MED		3955	
DATE EVALUATION FORWARDED			

Coordinated with LSD/SI

OFFICIAL USE ONLY

CONFIDENTIAL

(COLLECTOR/EVALUATOR: RECLASSIFY ACCORDING TO DATA ADDED BELOW)

LIFEAT
Production

CIA REQUEST FOR EVALUATION BY		United States Information Agency	
DATE OF DISTRIB.		DISSEM. NUMBER	EVALUATING AGENCY
1 November 1960		CS-3/454,933	
Chief Country	Mexico/Cuba	FIELD NUMBER	ECM-5245
Subject: Propaganda Activities of the Cuban Embassy in Mexico			
EVALUATOR: Please complete this evaluation within 30 days of receipt of request. Need for completion within this time is EXACTLY standard. Indicate choices by "x." If item marked is printed in italics, please explain under "Evaluators Comments." Normally, put one x in each appropriate section. Otherwise, please cover each of these aspects in your comments. For further NOTES on use of this form, see reverse.			
SUBJECT OF THIS REPORT IS OF:		COLLECTOR'S COMMENTS AND QUESTIONS	
☒ 1. Major ☐ 2. MODERATE ☐ 3. LITTLE ☐ 4. NO		IMPORTANCE IN RELATION TO OUR NEEDS.	
INFORMATION IN THIS REPORT:			
B CONTRIBUTES TO OUR KNOWLEDGE OF THE SUBJECT:		EVALUATOR'S COMMENTS AND FOLLOW-UP QUESTIONS	
☐ 1. SIGNIFICANTLY ☒ 2. SUBSTANTIALLY ☐ 3. MODERATELY ☐ 4. NEGLIGIBLY			
C ACCURACY:			
☐ 1. SUBSTANTIALLY TRUE ☐ 2. CREDIBLE ☐ 3. Doubtful ☐ 4. False ☒ 5. CANNOT BE JUDGED. SEE D.			
D RELATION TO OTHER INFORMATION:		Information on Cuban propaganda activities in Latin America is of major and continuing importance to this office, which must evaluate the nature, scope, and extent of these activities in order to combat them.	
☐ 1. USEFUL FOR CONFIRMATION ☒ 2. USEFUL FOR NEW INFORMATION ☐ 3. Sufficiently known ☐ 4. AT PRESENT WE LACK OTHER INFORMATION TO JUDGE ACCURACY OR USEFULNESS ☐ 5. Conflicts with previous information ☐ 6. Appears to be fabrication			
E USEFULNESS WOULD HAVE BEEN GREATER WITH:			
☐ 1. More detail ☐ 2. Less detail, more summary ☐ 3. Less fragmentary approach ☐ 4. Better organization ☐ 5. Better observation or better exploitation of source, or both. ☐ 6. (STATED IN COMMENTS) ☒ 7. NO DEFECT AFFECTING USEFULNESS.			
OUR COLLECTION NEEDS			
F OTHER REPORTING MEETS:			
☒ 1. OUR IMPORTANT NEEDS. ☐ 2. OUR MINIMUM NEEDS. ☐ 3. MOST OF OUR MINIMUM NEEDS. ☐ 4. FEW OR NONE OF OUR NEEDS.			
G (ONLY IF YOUR REQUIREMENT IS CITED.) REPORT SATISFIES IT:			
☐ 1. FULLY. REQUIREMENT CAN BE CLOSED. ☐ 2. Partially. Portion of requirement may be closed. ☐ 3. PARTIALLY. BUT NEED CONTINUES. ☐ 4. NEGLIGIBLY			
H (IF SUBJECT IS IMPORTANT TO YOU, OTHER REPORTING DOES NOT MEET MINIMUM NEEDS, AND YOU HAVE FILED NO REQUIREMENT.)			
☐ 1. WE WILL PRESENT A REQUIREMENT SOON. ☐ 2. OUR NEED DOES NOT WARRANT A REQUIREMENT FOR CS REPORTING.			
EVALUATOR		COMPONENT	PHONE
NAME (Please print)		Office of Research and Analysis, USIA	182-5475
DATE REQUEST RECEIVED		DATE EVALUATION FORWARDED	
November 8, 1960		November 14, 1960	

CONFIDENTIAL
(COLLECTOR/ANALYST: RECLASSIFY ACCORDING TO DATA ADDED BELOW)

LIFEAT
Prod. Goldsby

CIA REQUEST FOR EVALUATION BY		Department of State															
EVALUATING AGENCY																	
DATE OF DISPATCH	3 October 1960	DISSEM. NUMBER	CS-3/L51,671														
COUNTRY	Netherlands/Poland	FIELD NUMBER	ECI-5186														
Subject Projected Arrival in Mexico of Polish Travel Officials																	
(EVALUATOR: Please complete this evaluation within 2 days of receipt of request. Need for completion within this time is <i>not</i> a standing. Indicate choices by "X." If item marked is printed in italics, please explain under "Evaluator's Comments." Normally, put one X in each appropriate section. Otherwise, please cover each of these aspects in your comments. For further notes on use of this form, see reverse.)																	
SUBJECT OF THIS REPORT IS OF:		COLLECTOR'S COMMENTS AND QUESTIONS															
A <table border="1"> <tr> <td>1. MAJOR</td> <td rowspan="4">IMPORTANCE IN RELATION TO OUR NEEDS.</td> </tr> <tr> <td>☒ 2. MODERATE</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3. LITTLE</td> </tr> <tr> <td>4. NO</td> </tr> </table>		1. MAJOR	IMPORTANCE IN RELATION TO OUR NEEDS.	☒ 2. MODERATE	3. LITTLE	4. NO	* Is this information of sufficient use or value to warrant dissemination?										
1. MAJOR	IMPORTANCE IN RELATION TO OUR NEEDS.																
☒ 2. MODERATE																	
3. LITTLE																	
4. NO																	
INFORMATION IN THIS REPORT:		EVALUATOR'S COMMENTS AND FOLLOW-UP QUESTIONS															
B <table border="1"> <tr> <td colspan="2">CONTRIBUTES TO OUR KNOWLEDGE OF THE SUBJECT:</td> </tr> <tr> <td>1. SIGNIFICANTLY</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>2. SUBSTANTIALLY</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>☒ 3. MODERATELY</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>4. NEGLIGIBLY</td> <td></td> </tr> </table>		CONTRIBUTES TO OUR KNOWLEDGE OF THE SUBJECT:		1. SIGNIFICANTLY		2. SUBSTANTIALLY		☒ 3. MODERATELY		4. NEGLIGIBLY		Information of this nature is of value to RAR, for it provides new data and/or corroboration of information from other sources on Latin American - Bloc contacts. RAR has continuing interest in receipt of reports of this nature.					
CONTRIBUTES TO OUR KNOWLEDGE OF THE SUBJECT:																	
1. SIGNIFICANTLY																	
2. SUBSTANTIALLY																	
☒ 3. MODERATELY																	
4. NEGLIGIBLY																	
C <table border="1"> <tr> <td colspan="2">ACCURACY:</td> </tr> <tr> <td>1. SUBSTANTIALLY TRUE</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>☒ 2. CREDIBLE</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>3. DOUBTFUL</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>4. FALSE</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>5. CANNOT BE JUDGED. SEE D.</td> <td></td> </tr> </table>		ACCURACY:		1. SUBSTANTIALLY TRUE		☒ 2. CREDIBLE		3. DOUBTFUL		4. FALSE		5. CANNOT BE JUDGED. SEE D.					
ACCURACY:																	
1. SUBSTANTIALLY TRUE																	
☒ 2. CREDIBLE																	
3. DOUBTFUL																	
4. FALSE																	
5. CANNOT BE JUDGED. SEE D.																	
D <table border="1"> <tr> <td colspan="2">RELATION TO OTHER INFORMATION:</td> </tr> <tr> <td>☒ 1. USEFUL FOR CORROBORATION</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>☒ 2. USEFUL FOR NEW INFORMATION</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>3. SUFFICIENTLY KNOWN</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>4. AT PRESENT WE LACK OTHER INFORMATION TO JUDGE ACCURACY OR USEFULNESS</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>5. CONFLICTS WITH PREVIOUS INFORMATION</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>6. APPEARS TO BE FABRICATION</td> <td></td> </tr> </table>		RELATION TO OTHER INFORMATION:		☒ 1. USEFUL FOR CORROBORATION		☒ 2. USEFUL FOR NEW INFORMATION		3. SUFFICIENTLY KNOWN		4. AT PRESENT WE LACK OTHER INFORMATION TO JUDGE ACCURACY OR USEFULNESS		5. CONFLICTS WITH PREVIOUS INFORMATION		6. APPEARS TO BE FABRICATION			
RELATION TO OTHER INFORMATION:																	
☒ 1. USEFUL FOR CORROBORATION																	
☒ 2. USEFUL FOR NEW INFORMATION																	
3. SUFFICIENTLY KNOWN																	
4. AT PRESENT WE LACK OTHER INFORMATION TO JUDGE ACCURACY OR USEFULNESS																	
5. CONFLICTS WITH PREVIOUS INFORMATION																	
6. APPEARS TO BE FABRICATION																	
E <table border="1"> <tr> <td colspan="2">USEFULNESS WOULD HAVE BEEN GREATER B.Y.M.</td> </tr> <tr> <td>☒ 1. MORE DETAIL</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>2. LESS DETAIL. MORE SUMMARY</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>3. LESS FRAGMENTARY APPROACH</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>4. BETTER ORGANIZATION</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>5. BETTER OBSERVATION or BETTER EXPLOITATION of source, or both</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>6. STATED IN COMMENTS</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>7. NO DEFECT AFFECTING USEFULNESS</td> <td></td> </tr> </table>		USEFULNESS WOULD HAVE BEEN GREATER B.Y.M.		☒ 1. MORE DETAIL		2. LESS DETAIL. MORE SUMMARY		3. LESS FRAGMENTARY APPROACH		4. BETTER ORGANIZATION		5. BETTER OBSERVATION or BETTER EXPLOITATION of source, or both		6. STATED IN COMMENTS		7. NO DEFECT AFFECTING USEFULNESS	
USEFULNESS WOULD HAVE BEEN GREATER B.Y.M.																	
☒ 1. MORE DETAIL																	
2. LESS DETAIL. MORE SUMMARY																	
3. LESS FRAGMENTARY APPROACH																	
4. BETTER ORGANIZATION																	
5. BETTER OBSERVATION or BETTER EXPLOITATION of source, or both																	
6. STATED IN COMMENTS																	
7. NO DEFECT AFFECTING USEFULNESS																	
OUR COLLECTION NEEDS																	
F <table border="1"> <tr> <td colspan="2">OTHER REPORTING NEEDS:</td> </tr> <tr> <td>1. OUR IMPORTANT NEEDS</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>2. OUR MINIMUM NEEDS</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>3. MOST OF OUR MINIMUM NEEDS</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>4. FEW OR NONE OF OUR NEEDS</td> <td></td> </tr> </table>		OTHER REPORTING NEEDS:		1. OUR IMPORTANT NEEDS		2. OUR MINIMUM NEEDS		3. MOST OF OUR MINIMUM NEEDS		4. FEW OR NONE OF OUR NEEDS							
OTHER REPORTING NEEDS:																	
1. OUR IMPORTANT NEEDS																	
2. OUR MINIMUM NEEDS																	
3. MOST OF OUR MINIMUM NEEDS																	
4. FEW OR NONE OF OUR NEEDS																	
G <table border="1"> <tr> <td colspan="2">HOW WELL YOUR REQUIREMENT IS MET: (REPORT SATISFIED?)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>1. FULLY. REQUIREMENT CAN BE CLOSED</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>2. PARTIALLY. PORTION of requirement may be closed.</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>3. PARTIALLY. BUT NEED CONTINGES.</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>4. NEGLIGIBLY</td> <td></td> </tr> </table>		HOW WELL YOUR REQUIREMENT IS MET: (REPORT SATISFIED?)		1. FULLY. REQUIREMENT CAN BE CLOSED		2. PARTIALLY. PORTION of requirement may be closed.		3. PARTIALLY. BUT NEED CONTINGES.		4. NEGLIGIBLY							
HOW WELL YOUR REQUIREMENT IS MET: (REPORT SATISFIED?)																	
1. FULLY. REQUIREMENT CAN BE CLOSED																	
2. PARTIALLY. PORTION of requirement may be closed.																	
3. PARTIALLY. BUT NEED CONTINGES.																	
4. NEGLIGIBLY																	
H <table border="1"> <tr> <td colspan="2">IF SUBJECT IS IMPORTANT TO YOU, OTHER REPORTING DOES NOT MEET MINIMUM NEEDS, AND YOU HAVE FILED NO REQUIREMENT:</td> </tr> <tr> <td>1. WE WILL PRESENT A REQUIREMENT SOON.</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>2. OUR NEED DOES NOT WARRANT A REQUIREMENT FOR OS REPORTING.</td> <td></td> </tr> </table>		IF SUBJECT IS IMPORTANT TO YOU, OTHER REPORTING DOES NOT MEET MINIMUM NEEDS, AND YOU HAVE FILED NO REQUIREMENT:		1. WE WILL PRESENT A REQUIREMENT SOON.		2. OUR NEED DOES NOT WARRANT A REQUIREMENT FOR OS REPORTING.											
IF SUBJECT IS IMPORTANT TO YOU, OTHER REPORTING DOES NOT MEET MINIMUM NEEDS, AND YOU HAVE FILED NO REQUIREMENT:																	
1. WE WILL PRESENT A REQUIREMENT SOON.																	
2. OUR NEED DOES NOT WARRANT A REQUIREMENT FOR OS REPORTING.																	
EVALUATOR	NAME (Please print)	COMPONENT	PHONE														
		INR/RAR-6	23-67														
	DATE REPORT RECEIVED	DATE EVALUATION FORWARDED															
		3 OCT 1960															

(COLLECTOR'S EVALUATION) TOR: RECLASSIFY ACCORDING TO A. ADDED BELOW

CONFIDENTIAL

LIFEAT
Productions

CIA REQUEST FOR EVALUATION BY		ORR		EVALUATING AGENCY	
DATE OF DISTRIB.		26 September 1960		DISSEM. NUMBER CS-3/51,011	
Chief Country		Mexico/Soviet Union		FIELD NUMBER RM-5159	
Subject Mexican Government Purchase of Soviet Radioisotopes					
EVALUATOR: Please complete this evaluation within 60 days of receipt of request. Used for completion within this time is XXXXXX standard. Indicate choices by "x." If item marked is printed in italics, please explain under "Evaluator's Comments." normally, put one x in each appropriate section. Otherwise, please cover each of these aspects in your comments. For further notes on use of this form, see reverse.					
SUBJECT OF THIS REPORT IS OF:			COLLECTOR'S COMMENTS AND QUESTIONS		
☐ 1. MAJOR ☒ 2. MODERATE ☐ 3. LITTLE ☐ 4. NO			IMPORTANCE IN RELATION TO OUR NEEDS.		
INFORMATION IN THIS REPORT:			EVALUATOR'S COMMENTS AND FOLLOW-UP QUESTIONS		
CONTRIBUTES TO OUR KNOWLEDGE OF THE SUBJECT:			It is impossible to tell if the USSR prices to Mexico are in line with world prices or not. According to a 1957 Soviet price list, potassium is 3 rubles per millicurie, iodine is 8-to 13 rubles per millicurie and gold is 1 to 3 rubles per millicurie depending upon the form of the carrier. According to the report the average price is \$0.15 per millicurie. The quantities of each isotope however, are not given.		
☐ 1. SIGNIFICANTLY ☐ 2. SUBSTANTIALLY ☒ 3. MODERATELY ☐ 4. NEGLIGIBLY			Radio isotope prices have changed in the US markedly over the past few years. For example, the price for gold 193 has changed from 20 cents per millicurie prior to May 1959 to 6 cents per millicurie after May 1959. Unless more detailed information is obtained, the information has only moderate value to us.		
ACCURACY:					
☐ 1. SUBSTANTIALLY TRUE ☒ 2. CREDIBLE ☐ 3. Doubtful ☐ 4. False ☐ 5. CANNOT BE JUDGED. SEE 9.					
RELATION TO OTHER INFORMATION:					
☐ 1. USEFUL FOR CONFIRMATION ☐ 2. USEFUL FOR NEW INFORMATION ☐ 3. Sufficiently known ☐ 4. AT PRESENT WE LACK OTHER INFORMATION TO JUDGE ACCURACY OR USEFULNESS ☐ 5. Conflicts with previous information ☐ 6. Appears to be fabrication ☐ 7. USEFULNESS WOULD HAVE BEEN GREATER WITH:					
☐ 1. More detail ☐ 2. Less detail, more summary ☐ 3. Less fragmentary approach ☐ 4. Better organization ☐ 5. Better observation or better exploitation of source, or both.					
☐ 6. STATED IN COMMENT ☐ 7. NO DEFECT AFFECTING USEFULNESS.					
OUR COLLECTION NEEDS:					
☐ 1. REPORTING MEETS: ☐ 2. OUR IMPORTANT NEEDS. ☐ 3. OUR MINIMUM NEEDS. ☐ 4. MOST OF OUR MINIMUM NEEDS. ☐ 5. FEW OR NONE OF OUR NEEDS.					
☐ 6. IF YOUR REQUIREMENT IS CITED, REPORT MEETS IT: ☐ 7. FULLY REQUIREMENT CAN BE CLOSED. ☐ 8. PARTIALLY. Portion of requirement may be closed. ☐ 9. PARTIALLY, BUT NEED CONTINUES. ☐ 10. NEGLIGIBLY					
☐ 11. NEED IS IMPORTANT TO YOU, OTHER RE- ☐ 12. DOES NOT MEET MINIMUM NEEDS, AND ☐ 13. HAS FILED NO REQUIREMENT.					
☐ 14. WE WILL PRESENT A REQUIREMENT SOON. ☐ 15. WE NEED DOES NOT WARRANT A REQUIRE- ☐ 16. MENT FOR US REQUIREMENT.					
NAME (Please print)			COMPONENT		PHONE
			OS/AT/1		x3942
DATE EVALUATION FORWARDED					

CONFIDENTIAL

(COLLECTOR/ETALC R: RECLASSIFY ACCORDING TO DA (ADDED ON FRONT) 17 JUN 1964

CIA REQUEST FOR EVALUATION BY		ORR		EVALUATING AGENCY	
DISSEM. NUMBER CS-3/L39,056		DATE OF DISTRIB. 1 June 1960		FIELD NUMBER HX-1913	
Chief Country Mexico/Soviet Union		Date July 1960		19 June 60	
Subject New Policy of Soviet Commercial Office in Mexico					
EVALUATOR: Please complete this valuation within 60 days of receipt of request. Need for completion within this time is priority/standard. Indicate choices by "X." If item marked is printed in italics, please explain under "EVALUATOR'S COMMENTS." normally, put one X in each appropriate section. Otherwise, please cover each of these aspects in your comments. For further NOTES on use of this form, see reverse.					
SUBJECT OF THIS REPORT IS OF:			COLLECTOR'S COMMENTS AND QUESTIONS		
A. ☐ 1. MAJOR ☒ 2. MODERATE ☐ 3. LITTLE ☐ 4. NO IMPORTANCE IN RELATION TO OUR NEEDS.					
INFORMATION IN THIS REPORT:					
B. CONTRIBUTES TO OUR KNOWLEDGE OF THE SUBJECT: ☐ 1. SIGNIFICANTLY ☒ 2. MODERATELY ☐ 3. NEGLIGIBLY					
C. ACCURACY: ☐ 1. SUBSTANTIALLY TRUE ☒ 2. CREDIBLE ☐ 3. DOUBTFUL ☐ 4. FALSE ☐ 5. CANNOT BE JUDGED. SEE D.					
D. RELATION TO OTHER INFORMATION: ☐ 1. USEFUL FOR CONFIRMATION ☒ 2. USEFUL FOR NEW INFORMATION ☐ 3. SUFFICIENTLY KNOWN ☐ 4. AT PRESENT WE LACK OTHER INFORMATION TO JUDGE ACCURACY OR USEFULNESS ☐ 5. CONFLICTS WITH PREVIOUS INFORMATION ☐ 6. APPEARS TO BE FABRICATION					
E. USEFULNESS WOULD HAVE BEEN GREATER WITH: ☐ 1. MORE DETAIL ☐ 2. LESS DETAIL; MORE SUMMARY ☐ 3. LESS FRAGMENTARY APPROACH ☐ 4. BETTER ORGANIZATION ☐ 5. BETTER OBSERVATION OR BETTER EXPLOITATION OF SOURCE, OR BOTH ☐ 6. I STATED IN COMMENT ☐ 7. NO DEFECT AFFECTS USEFULNESS					
REQUIREMENTS F. ONLY IF YOUR REQUIREMENT IS CITED, REPORT SATISFIES IT: ☐ 1. FULLY. REQUIREMENT CAN BE CLOSED. ☐ 2. PARTIALLY. PART OF REQUIREMENT MAY BE CLOSED. ☐ 3. PARTIALLY. IT CONTINUES. ☐ 4. NEGLIGIBLY					
G. DEGREE TO WHICH THE SUBJECT REPORTING PROVIDES ASSISTANCE IN INFORMATION ON SUBJECT: ☐ 1. FULLY ☐ 2. SUBSTANTIAL ☒ 3. LITTLE ☐ 4. NONE					
H. IF IN D YOU MARKED "LITTLE" OR "NONE," AND IF YOU HAVE NO REQUIREMENT: ☐ 1. DO NOT PRESENT A REQUIREMENT WITHIN 3 MONTHS ☒ 2. DO NOT PRESENT A REQUIREMENT UNTIL A REQUIREMENT FOR IT IS REPORTING					
NAME (Please print)					
COMPONENT		PHONE			
ORR/S/TF/SP		5036			
DATE EVALUATION FORWARDED					

(COLLECTOR/EVALUATOR)

CONFIDENTIAL

RECLASSIFY ACCORDING TO DATA

SEE FRONT) 29 JUL

CIA REQUEST FOR EVALUATION BY

CSB

EVALUATING AGENCY

DISSEM. NUMBER

CS-3/L39,528

DATE OF DIRECT

8 June 1960

FIELD NUMBER

HSM-4963

Chief Country

Mexico/Soviet Union

Date 15 July 1960

Subject Disagreement between Mexican Businessman and Soviet Commercial Officer over Money Owed for Tractors Purchased by the Mexican Government

EVALUATOR: Please complete this evaluation within 60 days of receipt of request. See for completion within this time is priority/standard. Indicate choices by "1." if item marked is printed in italics, please explain under "EVALUATOR'S COMMENTS." normally, put one x in each appropriate section. Otherwise, please cover each of these aspects in your comments. For further notes on use of this form, see reverse.

SUBJECT OF THIS REPORT IS OF:

COLLECTOR'S COMMENTS AND QUESTIONS

1. Major

2. Moderate

3. Little

4. No

IMPORTANCE IN
RELATION TO
OUR NEEDS.

INFORMATION IN THIS REPORT:

CONTRIBUTES TO OUR KNOWLEDGE OF THE SUBJECT:

1. SIGNIFICANTLY

2. MODERATELY

3. NEGLIGIBLY

C ACCURACY

1. SUBSTANTIALLY TRUE

2. CREDIBLE

3. Doubtful

4. False

5. CANNOT BE JUDGED. SEE D.

D RELATION TO OTHER INFORMATION

1. USEFUL FOR CONFIRMATION

2. USEFUL FOR NEW INFORMATION

3. Sufficiently known

4. AT PRESENT WE LACK OTHER INFORMATION TO JUDGE ACCURACY OR USEFULNESS

5. Conflicts with previous information

6. Appears to be fabrication

E USEFULNESS WOULD HAVE BEEN GREATER WITH:

1. More detail

2. Less detail, more summary

3. Less fragmentary approach

4. Better organization

5. Better observation or better exploitation of source, or both

6. STATED IN COMMENTS

7. NO DEFECT AFFECTING USEFULNESS

F REQUIREMENTS

(ONLY IF YOUR REQUIREMENT IS CITED, RESPOND SATISFIED IT)

1. FULLY. REQUIREMENT CAN BE CLOSED.

2. Partially. Portion of requirement may be closed.

3. PARTIALLY, BUT WOULD CONTINUE.

4. NEGLIGIBLY

G DEGREE TO WHICH OTHER (NON-CS) REPORTING PROVIDES ESSENTIAL INFORMATION ON SUBJECT.

1. FULLY

2. SUBSTANTIALLY

3. LITTLE

4. NONE

H IF IN G YOU CHECKED "LITTLE" OR "NONE," AND IF YOU HAVE, ADD NO REQUIREMENT.

1. WE WILL PRESENT A REQUIREMENT WITHIN 30 DAYS.

2. OUR NEED DOES NOT WARRANT A REQUIREMENT FOR CS REPORTING.

NAME (Please print)

COMPONENT

PHONE

EVALUATOR

DA

DATE EVALUATION FORWARDED

11 July 1960

2181 CONFIDENTIAL/SECRET Rec in OSR
(COLLECTOR/EVALUATOR) RECLASSIFY ACCORDING TO DATA (SEE FRONT) 960116

CIA REQUEST FOR EVALUATION BY <u>ORR</u>		EVALUATING AGENCY							
DISSEM. NUMBER <u>CS-3/43L, 30L</u>	DATE OF DISTRIB. <u>18 April 1960</u>	FIELD NUMBER <u>HQ-4858</u>	<u>Ref</u>						
Chief Country <u>Mexico/Soviet Union</u>		<u>Due 17 May 1960</u>							
Subject <u>Soviet Plan to Sell Manuscript to Mexican Government Agency</u>									
EVALUATOR: Please complete this valuation within <u>60</u> days of receipt of request. Need for completion within this time is priority/standard. Indicate choices by "X." If item marked is printed in italics, please explain under "EVALUATOR'S COMMENTS." normally, put <u>one</u> X in each appropriate section. Otherwise, please cover each of these aspects in your comments. For further notes on use of this form, see reverse.									
SUBJECT OF THIS REPORT IS OF:		COLLECTOR'S COMMENTS AND QUESTIONS.							
A. <table border="1"> <tr><td>1. Major</td></tr> <tr><td>2. Moderate</td></tr> <tr><td>☒ 3. Little</td></tr> <tr><td>4. None</td></tr> </table> IMPORTANCE IN RELATION TO OUR NEEDS.		1. Major	2. Moderate	☒ 3. Little	4. None				
1. Major									
2. Moderate									
☒ 3. Little									
4. None									
INFORMATION IN THIS REPORT:									
B. CONTRIBUTES TO OUR KNOWLEDGE OF THE SUBJECT: <table border="1"> <tr><td>1. SIGNIFICANTLY</td></tr> <tr><td>☒ 2. MODERATELY</td></tr> <tr><td>3. NEGLIGIBLY</td></tr> </table>		1. SIGNIFICANTLY	☒ 2. MODERATELY	3. NEGLIGIBLY					
1. SIGNIFICANTLY									
☒ 2. MODERATELY									
3. NEGLIGIBLY									
C. ACCURACY:		EVALUATOR'S COMMENTS AND FOLLOW-UP QUESTIONS							
<table border="1"> <tr><td>1. SUBSTANTIALLY TRUE</td></tr> <tr><td>2. CREDIBLE</td></tr> <tr><td>3. Doubtful</td></tr> <tr><td>4. False</td></tr> <tr><td>☒ 5. CANNOT BE JUDGED. SEE D.</td></tr> </table>		1. SUBSTANTIALLY TRUE	2. CREDIBLE	3. Doubtful	4. False	☒ 5. CANNOT BE JUDGED. SEE D.			
1. SUBSTANTIALLY TRUE									
2. CREDIBLE									
3. Doubtful									
4. False									
☒ 5. CANNOT BE JUDGED. SEE D.									
D. RELAT. ON TO OTHER INFORMATION:									
<table border="1"> <tr><td>1. USEFUL FOR CONFIRMATION</td></tr> <tr><td>2. USEFUL FOR NEW INFORMATION</td></tr> <tr><td>3. Sufficiently known</td></tr> <tr><td>☒ 4. AT PRESENT WE LACK OTHER INFORMATION TO JUDGE ACCURACY OR USEFULNESS</td></tr> <tr><td>5. Conflicts with previous information</td></tr> <tr><td>6. Appears to be fabrication</td></tr> </table>		1. USEFUL FOR CONFIRMATION	2. USEFUL FOR NEW INFORMATION	3. Sufficiently known	☒ 4. AT PRESENT WE LACK OTHER INFORMATION TO JUDGE ACCURACY OR USEFULNESS	5. Conflicts with previous information			6. Appears to be fabrication
1. USEFUL FOR CONFIRMATION									
2. USEFUL FOR NEW INFORMATION									
3. Sufficiently known									
☒ 4. AT PRESENT WE LACK OTHER INFORMATION TO JUDGE ACCURACY OR USEFULNESS									
5. Conflicts with previous information									
6. Appears to be fabrication									
E. USEFULNESS SHOULD HAVE BEEN GREATER WITH:									
<table border="1"> <tr><td>1. More detail</td></tr> <tr><td>2. Less detail, more summary</td></tr> <tr><td>3. Less fragmentary approach</td></tr> <tr><td>4. Better organization</td></tr> <tr><td>5. Better observation or better explanation of sources, or both</td></tr> <tr><td>6. IS STATED IN COMMENTS</td></tr> <tr><td>7. NO DEFECT AFFECTING USEFULNESS</td></tr> </table>		1. More detail	2. Less detail, more summary	3. Less fragmentary approach	4. Better organization	5. Better observation or better explanation of sources, or both	6. IS STATED IN COMMENTS	7. NO DEFECT AFFECTING USEFULNESS	
1. More detail									
2. Less detail, more summary									
3. Less fragmentary approach									
4. Better organization									
5. Better observation or better explanation of sources, or both									
6. IS STATED IN COMMENTS									
7. NO DEFECT AFFECTING USEFULNESS									
F. REQUIREMENTS									
(ONLY IF YOUR REQUIREMENT IS CITED.) REPORT SATISFIES IT: <table border="1"> <tr><td>1. FULLY. REQUIREMENT CAN BE CLOSED</td></tr> <tr><td>2. Partially. Portion of requirement may be closed.</td></tr> <tr><td>3. PARTIALLY, BUT NEED CONTINUED.</td></tr> <tr><td>4. NEGLIGIBLY</td></tr> </table>		1. FULLY. REQUIREMENT CAN BE CLOSED	2. Partially. Portion of requirement may be closed.	3. PARTIALLY, BUT NEED CONTINUED.	4. NEGLIGIBLY				
1. FULLY. REQUIREMENT CAN BE CLOSED									
2. Partially. Portion of requirement may be closed.									
3. PARTIALLY, BUT NEED CONTINUED.									
4. NEGLIGIBLY									
G. DEGREE TO WHICH OTHER (IN-CR) REPORTING PROVIDES ESSENTIAL INFORMATION ON SUBJECT:									
<table border="1"> <tr><td>1. FULLY</td></tr> <tr><td>2. SUBSTANTIALLY</td></tr> <tr><td>3. LITTLE</td></tr> <tr><td>☒ 4. NONE</td></tr> </table>		1. FULLY	2. SUBSTANTIALLY	3. LITTLE	☒ 4. NONE				
1. FULLY									
2. SUBSTANTIALLY									
3. LITTLE									
☒ 4. NONE									
H. IF IN G YOU CHECKED "LITTLE" OR "NONE," AND IF YOU HAVE FILED NO REQUIREMENT:									
<table border="1"> <tr><td>1. WE WILL PRESENT A REQUIREMENT WITHIN 30 DAYS.</td></tr> <tr><td>☒ 2. OUR NEED DOES NOT WARRANT A REQUIREMENT FOR CS REPORTING.</td></tr> </table>		1. WE WILL PRESENT A REQUIREMENT WITHIN 30 DAYS.	☒ 2. OUR NEED DOES NOT WARRANT A REQUIREMENT FOR CS REPORTING.						
1. WE WILL PRESENT A REQUIREMENT WITHIN 30 DAYS.									
☒ 2. OUR NEED DOES NOT WARRANT A REQUIREMENT FOR CS REPORTING.									
NAME (Please print)		COMPONENT	PHONE						
<u>ORR</u>		<u>5/43</u>	<u>4245</u>						
DATE REQUEST RECEIVED		DATE EVALUATION FORWARDED							
		<u>26 May 60</u>							

SECRET

(When Filled In)

W43A

DOCUMENT TRANSFER AND CROSS REFERENCE

1. SUBJECT OR DISPOSITION		2. DOCUMENT IDENTIFICATION	
OPERATIONAL/LAUREL		3. RI FILE NO.	4. DATE
		5. DISPATCH OR SOURCE DOC. SYMBOL NO.	6. DATE
		7. SOURCE CRYPTONYM	8. DATE OF INFO.
9. ANALYST		10. DISSEMINATED IN	11. DATE
DOCUMENT DISPOSITION			
12. CROSS REFERRED TO THIS FILE		13. TRANSFERRED TO RI FILE NO.	
PERTINENT INFORMATION			
14. THIS REFERENCE SECRET DOCUMENT FILED IN THE SECRET CONTROL SECTION BY TS-17500 18 May 1960			
15. FILE THIS FORM IN FILE NO.			

file LIFEAT Thon

ADDED ON FRONT

与陈独秀、李达等共商国是

CONFIDENTIAL See also file LIFEAT Pro

(COLLECTOR/EXAM E: RELIABLY ADAPTIVE TO PA ADDED ON FRONT)

[illegible]

Secret

29 2660

15 Jan 1960

15 December 1959

CS 3121,511

15 Jan 1960
H.M. 4567
15 February 1960

*LIFEAT
Production*

SUBJECT: Soviet Commercial Activities in Mexico

Area of Interest	Source of Information	Comments
1. General Information	1. Confidential	1. General Information
2. Specific Information	2. Confidential	2. Specific Information
3. General Information	3. Confidential	3. General Information
4. Specific Information	4. Confidential	4. Specific Information

What specific aspects of this report are of use? Of value? Please indicate and comment.

Information on the type of machinery offered or sold to Mexico or to Latin America is available from overt sources.

Information on prices is interesting and useful. Price quotations would be more helpful if specifications as well as serial numbers were available. Information indicating whether Soviet prices conform to or vary from world market prices.

Information on goods that the Soviets are interested in buying is of some importance, although this is generally available from overt sources.

SECRET


office, See H90W 8486, 11 Feb 1960, filed C/WHD's


This dispatch was written by FI/D in reply to H90A 14362, 20 Jan 1960 and should be reviewed before LIFEAT or LIPXIT are submitted for renewal.


IMPORTANT

LIFEAT/minn prod
LIPXIT/ops

SECRET







SECRET

From: Mexico, Mexico City

Report No: HMM-1831 Local File No:

No. of Pages: 1

No. of Enclosures: 1 (for Station files only)

Report Made By: Thomas G. URQHART

Approved By: Willard C. CURTIS

Distribution:

By copy to: 3 - Hqs(1 for KUNRAP)
2 - Files(1 w/att)

Orally to:

Source Cryptonym: A. LIKAYAK-2
9. LIFEAT/LIMERICK

References: HMM-1765, 12 Sep. 1956, p.9

Source, Operational Data, and Comments:

SUBJECT:

Source obtained the information contained in the attached report from

is being included in this Station's series of LCHPROVE subjects.

Classification

SECRETFORM NO. 31-30
FEB 1948

COPY

Sc-6-32/4
SL-6-32/4

