

This document is made available through the declassification efforts
and research of John Greenewald, Jr., creator of:

The Black Vault



The Black Vault is the largest online Freedom of Information Act (FOIA)
document clearinghouse in the world. The research efforts here are
responsible for the declassification of hundreds of thousands of pages
released by the U.S. Government & Military.

Discover the Truth at: <http://www.theblackvault.com>

Just

SECRET

PERSONAL RECORD QUESTIONNAIRE PART II - OPERATIONAL INFORMATION	PRQ NO.
	DISPATCH NO.

INSTRUCTIONS TO CASE OFFICER

1. This form must be filled in by the case officer or appropriate authority on the basis of the best available information. It is not to be shown to the subject.
2. Normally, headquarters will be unable to issue an approval unless requester furnishes answers to all questions in PRQ parts I and II. However, in an emergency provisional approval may be obtained by complying with the applicable provisions of FR 10-215, paragraphs 4c, 8, 12b and 12d. Thereafter the usual method to document or complete the case must be followed as set forth in FR 10-215.
3. Three (3) completed copies of PRQ part I (including copy in subject's handwriting, if possible) and two (2) completed copies of this form must be sent to headquarters as soon as possible.
4. Data acquired later must be sent to headquarters in PRQ format in two (2) copies.
5. Fill in all items, if information obtainable. If item not applicable write "NA." If additional space required for item, use reverse side of page keyed to appropriate item number.

1. CRYPTONYM []/1	2. PSEUDONYM Frederick T. Dominic
---------------------------	--

SECTION I CONTACT AND DEVELOPMENT

1. INDICATE HOW, WHEN, WHERE, WHY, AND BY WHOM SUBJECT WAS FIRST CONTACTED OR DEVELOPED.

In response to Headquarters requests, [] Station officer George H. Katzenbach contacted Subject in [] in mid-January 1965 for the purpose of debriefing him on his experiences in the [] in [] and [] up to the time of his departure from the staff of [] in spring 1964. This was followed up by in-depth debriefing sessions carried out by Katzenbach in a [] conference room on 17, 18, 19 and 23 February. On the basis of these talks it was decided to recruit Subject for a test assignment in [] during the summer of 1965 during which time he would attempt to build [] and [] and [] in the [] KUDSK/ICG staffer Joseph S. Tiffany was dispatched to [] TDY 1-7 June for this purpose. After recruitment and agreement to LOFLUTTER examination, Subject was introduced to his []-based Case Officer, Rufus F. RODIGAST, on 3 June.

2. INDICATE WHETHER SUBJECT COULD BE TURNED OVER QUICKLY AND IN A SECURE MANNER TO ANY RESPONSIBLE CASE OFFICER FOR HANDLING. IF SO, INDICATE TO WHOM.

Yes/ To any Case Officer introduced to him by RODIGAST, TIFFANY or KATZENBACH.

3. LIST OTHER CASE OFFICERS WHO HAVE HANDLED SUBJECT OR WHOM HE KNOWS OR HAS KNOWN. GIVE NAMES BY WHICH THEY HAVE BEEN KNOWN.

KATZENBACH - known to Subject as	[]
TIFFANY - " " " "	[]
RODIGAST - " " " "	[]

SECRET

SECTION II		MOTIVATION AND CONTROL	
1. ESTIMATE SUBJECT'S MOTIVATION. (Be detailed, if possible cite evidence. Do not use stock comment such as "as communist.")			
Subject's lack of funds and a job are of primary importance. His need for cash (evidenced to some extent by his attempt to [redacted] and [redacted] in the fall of '64) was critical. But of even greater significance is his desire to effect his long-range rehabilitation in order not to be stuck in the morass of the impecunious and "morally fraudulent" [redacted]			
2. INDICATE WHAT CONTROL, IF ANY, EXISTS OVER THE SUBJECT. (If no control, or if there is a lack of real control explain.)			
For the rest of his life. Also important in Subject's motivation is the sense of adventure connected with "The Game", which is enhanced by his desire to deceive (to put one over on) those persons, such as [redacted] who exploited and deceived him. Subj. evinces deep contempt for these professional [redacted] and what he refers to, with feeling, as their "moral fraud".			
Control exists quite strongly in the area of Subject's material welfare and need for rehabilitation.			
SECTION III		COVER	
1. COVER USED BY SUBJECT AND CASE OFFICER IN THEIR MEETINGS.			
Subj. explained to his wife that [redacted] (Katzenbach), who telephoned his home was an [redacted] representative. Subj. and Rodigast will use a [redacted] [redacted] in the unlikely event their clandestine meetings are compromised.			
2. COVER USED BY SUBJECT IN OBTAINING HIS INFORMATION AND IN CONTACTING HIS INFORMANTS.			
[redacted]			
3. INDICATE SERVICE FOR WHICH SUBJECT THINKS HE (Will work) (Is working).			
KUBARK			
SECTION IV		INTELLIGENCE CONNECTIONS AND TRAINING	
1. EXPLAIN SUBJECT'S KNOWN PAST OR PRESENT CONNECTION WITH ANY OTHER INTELLIGENCE SERVICE. INDICATE THE EXTENT SUCH CONNECTION IS KNOWN OVERTLY.			
Subj. applied for association with KUBARK in 1950 and again in 1960; his enemies have tried to discredit him by calling him a KUBARK agent, but there is good evidence that this rumor campaign has not hurt him among his friends, even his friends on the [redacted] No known I. S. connection.			
2. LIST OTHER INDIVIDUALS (Agents, relatives, friends) WHO KNOW OF SUBJECT'S PRESENT INTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES.			
None.			
3. EXPLAIN ANY TRAINING SUBJECT HAS HAD IN CLANDESTINE OPERATIONS.			
[redacted]			
SECTION V		COLLABORATION POTENTIAL	
1. INDICATE OTHER FOREIGN POWER SUBJECT COULD BE MOST LIKELY TO COLLABORATE WITH BECAUSE OF HIS IDEOLOGY OR PAST ASSOCIATIONS. EXPLAIN.			
[redacted]			

SECRET

SECTION VI OPERATIONAL USE - CONTACT

1. IF SUBJECT HAS BEEN USED OR CONTACTED BEFORE, INDICATE ACTIVITY IN WHICH HE WAS ENGAGED AND THE TYPE OF INFORMATION HE HAS FURNISHED.
In December 1963 Subj. was interviewed by a consulate officer in [] for information on Lee Harvey Oswald, who reportedly had some contact with the [] Subj. was completely co-operative and the interviewer suggested follow-up, but the contact was dropped.

2. INDICATE HOW AND FROM WHOM SUBJECT OBTAINS INFORMATION.
Subj. elicits information from his broad circle of friends and acquaintances in the [] areas.

3. INDICATE WHAT HAS BEEN TOLD SUBJECT AS TO THE DISPOSITION OF INFORMATION HE FURNISHES.
Nothing

4. DETAIL SUBJECT'S PROPOSED FI OR CI TYPE USE OR DUTIES.

5. DETAIL SUBJECT'S PROPOSED PP-PM TYPE USE OR DUTIES.

SECTION VII PROPOSED LIAISON OR OPERATIONAL ACTIVITY

1. DETAIL SUBJECT'S PROPOSED LIAISON TYPE CONTACT OR OPERATIONAL USE:
A. WHERE SUBJECT IS AN OFFICIAL MEMBER OF A FOREIGN GOVERNMENT:
None.
B. WHERE SUBJECT IS AN OFFICIAL MEMBER OF AN ODVOKA AGENCY:

SECTION VIII AGENCY RELATIONSHIP WITH SUBJECT

1. EXPLAIN NATURE OF AGENCY RELATIONSHIP WITH SUBJECT: I.E. TRAINEE, OPPOSITE NUMBER, REMUNERATION, IF ANY; AGENCY PERSONNEL KNOWN TO SUBJECT.
Subj. has a contract for 1 June - September 1965 providing for salary of \$500.00 per month, non-accountable expenses of \$100.00 per month and per diem computed at the rate of \$20 for days spent travelling to and from [] and \$10 for period of residence in [] (The \$10 rate represents an oral amendment to the contract.) This is to be renegotiated o/a 1 September for extension to two years with 30-day termination clause.

SECRET

SECTION IX QUALIFICATIONS FOR OTHER OPERATIONS		
1. INDICATE SPECIAL ABILITIES, TALENTS, OR QUALIFICATIONS POSSESSED BY SUBJECT WHICH COULD CONCEIVABLY BE OF VALUE FOR OTHER OPERATIONS.		
Subject's skill as [] may qualify him for CA work.		
SECTION X COMMITMENTS		
1. IF IT IS PROPOSED TO PAY SUBJECT A REGULAR SALARY, INDICATE THE WEEKLY AMOUNT. IF PAYMENT TO BE MADE IN EXCHANGE COMMODITIES, SUBSIDY OR OTHER METHOD, SPECIFY AND GIVE DETAILS.		
See Section VIII. In addition it is set forth in the Letter of Agreement with Subject, that KUBARK will compensate Subject for any relocation of his family required by virtue of an approved change in place of employment.		
2. EXPLAIN ANY PROMISES, OTHERWISE NOT COVERED HEREIN, MADE TO SUBJECT. (Example: Commitments regarding evacuation of subject and family.)		
See Letter of Agreement. KUBARK recognizes its moral obligation to protect Subject against any adverse action which might result from activities engaged in by Subject at the request of KUBARK during his period of employment.		
SECTION XI CE AND SECURITY		
1. INDICATE THE FIELD AGENCIES AND FIELD FILES WITH WHICH SUBJECT'S NAME HAS BEEN CHECKED AND THE RESULTS.		
[] Station files; [] ODENVX traces and reports are also included in material available on Subject.		
2. INDICATE OTHER INVESTIGATIVE MEASURES TAKEN TO VERIFY BIOGRAPHICAL INFORMATION FURNISHED BY SUBJECT.		
Reports have been received by various [] contract agents.		
3. INDICATE WHETHER OR NOT PROVISIONAL OPERATIONAL APPROVAL OR OPERATIONAL APPROVAL FOR SUBJECT'S USE HAS BEEN PREVIOUSLY OBTAINED.	4. DATE APPROVAL OBTAINED	
No POA required under the terms of CSI 10-5. ✓		
5. EVALUATE SUBJECT'S CHARACTER, RELIABILITY AND SECURITY, CITING EVIDENCE WHERE POSSIBLE.		
Subject is a rather cynical intellectual. He has a keen sense of what is in his best interest, however, and there is xxx every reason to believe that he considers a reliable and secure performance for KUBARK to be in his best interest. He showed a good sense of security in [] during his recruitment period. LCFLUTTER examination 13 June 1965 [] by Subject.		
6. EXPLAIN ANY SITUATION OR INDISCRETION IN WHICH SUBJECT MAY HAVE BEEN INVOLVED WHICH MUST BE EVALUATED FROM A CE/OPERATIONAL SECURITY VIEWPOINT.		
While working for [] in [] (1958) Subject forged the signature of a personal enemy, [] to a letter to the editor calculated to get [] in trouble with [] authorities. Subject absamed of this action.		
SECTION XII SUBJECT'S PERSONAL HABITS		
1. USE OF LIQUOR, DRUGS; GAMBLING, PARAMOURS.		
Liquor in moderation, no drugs. He is believed to have engaged in extra-marital sexual affairs, but in a discreet manner.		

SECRET

SECTION XIII VOCATIONAL INTERESTS	
1. INDICATE SUBJECT'S HOBBIES OR INTEREST IN SPORTS, MUSIC, ETC.	
None known	
SECTION XIV GENERAL	
1. INDICATE WHETHER SUBJECT'S LANGUAGE ABILITIES AND OTHER CHARACTERISTICS, ARE SUCH AS HE COULD PASS AS A NATIVE OF A COUNTRY OR REGION OTHER THAN HIS OWN. EXPLAIN.	
Subject speaks fluent but foreign-accented French. He has a functional speaking command of Spanish and Italian and can read German. Except for his language, he could pass as an [redacted]	
2. INDICATE WHETHER INFORMATION IN PRO PARTS I AND II WAS OBTAINED BY RESEARCH, CLAUDESTINE ASSESSMENT, FILES, CORRESPONDENCE OR OTHER MEANS.	
All source, including extensive interview of Subject during the period January - June 1965	
3. IF OTHER THAN ROUTINE ACTION IS REQUIRED, IF AND WHEN TERMINATION OF SUBJECT IS EFFECTED, PLEASE EXPLAIN. (Also see para. 43b, supra)	
None anticipated	
SECTION XV EMERGENCY CONDITIONS RECONTACT ARRANGEMENTS	
1. GIVE FULL DETAILS I.E. RECOGNITION SIGNALS, S/W/ WHERE COULD CONTACT BE MADE, WHAT BONA FIDES COULD INDIVIDUAL [redacted] phone call to Subject's home (by Katzenbach) using [redacted] mix the name [redacted] Subsequent RODIGAST contacts have been laid on at prior meetings and vary as to time and place. A signal is provided for [redacted] [redacted] should either wish to prevent the meeting. A simple [redacted] [redacted] was used by Subject for [redacted] to a [redacted] This has been replaced by an [redacted] to cover specific requirements, laid out on at each meeting	
SECTION XVI MISCELLANEOUS OPERATIONAL DATA AND REMARKS	
LCFLUTTER examination of Subject o/a 13 June [redacted] 4056).	
DATE	SIGNATURE OF APPROPRIATE SENIOR OFFICER
29 June 1965	[redacted] (CI/ICG/Ops)

5
SECRET

YES NO
 ASSIFY TO FILE 201-306052 CLASSIFIED MESSAGE TOTAL COPIES 4
 REF TO FILE NO. _____
 FILE RID NET. _____
 DESTROY SIG. _____
 FROM _____
 ACTION AF7 RID COPY ISSUED SLOTTED TUBES
 UNIT AF TIME 0730 BY RFL
 INFO FILE VP, WE6, FE6, CA2, CA/04, CI, CI/OPS, CI/ICG, CI/OA, FI, TSD6

43
SECRET
 PERSON/UNIT IDENTIFIED
AF/CD

REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED

1	5
2	6
3	7
4	8

SECRET 290825Z

IMMEDIATE _____ DIR SITE _____ 6237
 KUDSK ORPREY _____
 REF : _____ 4712*

AN Y I FI CI/ICG
 NS DEST
 ABSTRACT
 23 JUN 65 101015

LOCAL SECURITY EXPECTED BE GIVING SPECIAL ATTENTION ANYONE
 PREVIOUSLY CONNECTED _____ COMMUNISTS (LOCAL HUMANITE REP
 FORCED DEPART YESTERDAY). HAVE NO ENTRY INFO EXCEPT BELIEVE LIKELY
 BE EASIER FROM _____ SUGGEST YOU CHECK _____ ON VISA POLICY.
 FROM HERE ASSUME IF IT NOT EXPIRED CAN BE USED WITHOUT REVALIDATION.

SECRET

*APPARENTLY WRONG REF. POSSIBLY _____ 4172 (IN 06695) INTENDED
 ** _____ UNABLE ENTER _____

201-306052
 29 June 65


SECRET

DISPATCH		CLASSIFICATION S E C R E T	DISPATCH SYMBOL AND NO. OELA-43,459
TO INFO	Chief, KUDES ; Chief, [redacted] Chief, WE; Chief, WE ; Chief, KUNCLP		HEADQUARTERS FILE NO. 201-
FROM	Chief of Station, [redacted]	DATE	28 June 1965
SUBJECT ①	[redacted] Report of [redacted]	RE: "43-3" - (CHECK "X" ONE)	
		<input type="checkbox"/> MARKED FOR INDEXING	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NO INDEXING REQUIRED	
ACTION REQUIRED		INDEXING CAN BE JUDGED BY QUALIFIED HQ. DESK ONLY	

REFERENCES

1. Pursuant to the suggestion contained in DIR 04341, 23 April 1965, we asked [redacted] as they could manage. After some weeks has passed, and [redacted] had not moved in this direction, we repeated our request, pointing out that [redacted] would soon be leaving. Short-handed and hampered by certain restrictions, [redacted] moved in to do what they could, and [redacted] as TIFFANY was [redacted]. We contacted [redacted] and told them to [redacted]. This they did, but then, without our being advised, [redacted]. We don't know exactly how long they actually [redacted] but they have now given us a letter (text below) suggesting [redacted]. A [redacted] officer advised us informally that [redacted] (TIFFANY had mentioned that he might [redacted] but we don't know whether in fact he did so.)

2. Here is [redacted] notes:



George H. KATZENBACH

Distribution:

- 2- Chief, KUDES
- 2- Chief, [redacted]
- 3- Chief, WE
- 2- Chief, AF
- 2- Chief, KUNCLP

GROUP 1
Excluded from automatic
downgrading and
declassification

CS COPY

201-306052
30 JUN 1965

SECRET/KAPOK

Chief, KRWOLF; Chief, KUEDEK; Chief, AP
Chief, [redacted]; Chief of Station, [redacted] X

Chief of Station, [redacted]
[redacted] Operational
QSPRY/Launching the Good Ship [redacted]

REFERENCES: A. [redacted] 4056
B. [redacted] 4057

201

ACTION REQUESTED: Para 7, Para 8, Para 46.

SUMMARY

On 12 June 1965 [redacted] /1 met KRWOLF Career Agent Rufus F. RODIGAST in [redacted] in accordance with instructions and for the next three days underwent debriefings, briefings, an LCFLSTER examination, and training in preparation for his summer 1965 assignment to [redacted]. At the conclusion of this period [redacted] felt that S/1 had absorbed sufficiently the guidance given him, had responded satisfactorily to Case Officer control, was apparently clear of [redacted] and was motivated to perform creditably throughout his three-month trial employment.

ATTACHMENTS U.S.C.:

- A. Idens.
- B. RODIGAST contact reports for 12, 14 & 15 June meetings
- C. RODIGAST report on [redacted] /1's comments re [redacted]
- D. RODIGAST report on [redacted] /1's comments re the [redacted]
- E. RODIGAST review of [redacted] /1
- F. Cy of [redacted] /1 initial [redacted] letter to RODIGAST.

DISTRIBUTION:

- 2 - C/KRWOLF w/atts. A, B, C, D, E, F u.s.c.
- 1 - C/KUEDEK w/atts. A, B, C, D, E, F u.s.c.
- 1 - C/AP w/atts. A, B, F u.s.c.
- 1 - COS [redacted] w/atts. A, B, F u.s.c.
- 1 - COS [redacted] w/att. A u.s.c.
- 1 - C/MT w/atts. A, B, C, D u.s.c.

JUN 29 1965

OFIA 2555

25 June 1965

SECRET/KAPOK

801-706042

Personally, S/1 appeared to understand and to follow good security practices by and large, but he was concerned that his wife now suspected him of being "up to something" of which she did not approve. In short, his overall long-term security appeared weak - not closest to home. Nevertheless, he appeared highly confident that previous denunciations to which he had been subjected would not "stick" and that he could rapidly reestablish himself among [redacted]

His relationship to KUBARK over the longer period has apparently been of considerable interest to him, to the extent that he stated he would be interested in some sort of career status with KUBARK (with retirement benefits) and perhaps one day a "less covert" position. At this time in our relationship with S/1, however, [redacted] is concerned primarily with what to do with him on 1 September 1965. In fact, in view of the 19-20 June developments in [redacted] and the possible postponement of most of the activities S/1 was to cover in efforts to reestablish himself as a legitimate [redacted] KUBARK may find it not only feasible, but necessary, to revise S/1's initial KUBARK mission.

In the days and weeks to come we will be reporting S/1's progress as he relates his accomplishments and problems through [redacted] and in meetings with RODIGAST. We shall be submitting recommendations on S/1's longer term utility to KUBARK as we have more information upon which to make some judgments. We know that addresses will be subjecting the [redacted] operation to constant evaluation and analysis throughout the summer, and we will appreciate continued and coordinated guidance on how best to exploit the unique potential represented by this asset.

I. [redacted] SUPPORT FOR THE [redacted] OPERATION

1. On 3 June 1965 [redacted] S/1 signed a letter of agreement with [redacted] in [redacted] in effect a contract with KUBARK. Upon signing the letter of agreement and agreeing to undergo an LCPLUTER examination, S/1 was introduced to Rufus F. RODIGAST, a KUBARK Career Agent based in [redacted] who had been designated S/1's outside case officer. RODIGAST is known to S/1 under the alias [redacted] RODIGAST met at length with S/1 on 3, 5, and 6 June and made arrangements for S/1 to meet him in [redacted] 12 June and undergo further training, briefing, and security processing prior to embarking on a trial assignment of some 10 weeks.

2. On 12 June 1965 S/1 made contact with RODIGAST in [redacted] in accordance with instructions and was taken immediately to a [redacted] for debriefing on his 8-11 June trip in [redacted] and for [redacted] of [redacted] RODIGAST's Contact Report on this meeting and his report of the debriefing are included in Attachment B (USC.). S/1 was passed \$200.00 to make travel arrangements for his trip to [redacted] (See also [redacted] 4057).

3. On 13 June S/1 again met RODIGAST in accordance with instructions and was taken to his LCPLUTER examination at an [redacted] The results of this examination were forwarded in [redacted] 4056. In short, the outcome of the examination was considered satisfactory for the proposed operational use of S/1.

4. On 14 and 15 June RODIGAST again met with S/1 for further debriefings on the latter's contacts in [redacted] RODIGAST then briefed him on [redacted] requirements for [redacted] and passed on the requirements and information forwarded in DIR 18107 and DIR 18675. RODIGAST's reports on these meetings are also included in Attachment B (USC). On 14 June S/1 was passed \$500.00 as an advance on his per diem and travel expenses for his next meeting with RODIGAST. RODIGAST considers S/1 an apt student who learned the [redacted] well in some two hours of instruction. [redacted] KURIOT Officer DONAHY noted some carelessness on S/1's part [redacted] and this was subsequently brought to S/1's attention in the overall security briefing he received from RODIGAST.

6. The next RODIGAST contact with S/1 is scheduled for 15 July in [redacted] (See OPLA 2538 for [redacted] request for [redacted] Station support.) In view of the [redacted] the meeting may well be concerned as much with rethinking S/1's operational objectives for the period following 16 July as with debriefings, briefings, and passage of funds. Even though latest indications are that [redacted] will proceed as scheduled, it is questionable whether the [redacted] or the [redacted] will be held in [redacted] this summer. Moreover, there is no assurance at this writing that [redacted] will not be either postponed, canceled, or moved elsewhere. Add to this the possibility that ILEN A might be on the scene profiting from the sudden rise in the political fortunes of his friends, and [redacted] suddenly loses some of its appeal as an area in which to carry out a meaningful test of S/1's operational capabilities. The next three weeks will tell us much and perhaps allay many of our apprehensions.

7. Nevertheless, RODIGAST must be prepared to handle a number of eventualities in his 15 July meeting, not the least of these being a possible revision of S/1's mission. The following possible alternatives present themselves; we ask Headquarters to address its attention to them and to other developmental targets and to cable recommendations and guidance prior to 13 July:

8. The second RODIGAST meeting of the summer with S/1 is tentatively scheduled for the second week in August, site to be determined. Addressees will know that S/1's wife is expecting their third child o/a 11 August and that S/1 intends to be in [] at that time if at all possible. Although he told RODIGAST he would forego a visit to [] at that time if it were operationally necessary, he would find it difficult to justify his absence to his wife unless he were to have a truly plausible and urgent cover assignment. We must therefore anticipate in all likelihood that S/1 will be out of action for most of the second week of August. In any event, RODIGAST will probably be able to meet S/1 somewhere in Europe when S/1 returns to be with his wife and will at that time hope to be in a position to discuss with S/1 the best employment of his talents for the balance of the three-month contract period and to pursue with his his longer range utilization as well. Headquarters views, then, are needed both with respect to the weeks immediately following the 15 July meeting referred to in the previous paragraph as well as with respect to the post-August period.

9. Administratively, [] has so far funded S/1 to the extent of \$700.00 and has initiated action to have his salary and nonaccountable operational advance deposited to his [] These payments are being made in advance because of S/1's initially pressing financial needs. It is our understanding that the 1 July and 1 August 1965 deposits of \$600.00 to S/1's [] will be effected securely and on time through [] (see DIR 20944 and DIR 21703). Action was also taken to clarify the question of S/1's per diem. We have received his initial accountings for travel, per diem, and operational expenses for the period 8 - 12 June.

10. On the basis of information available to [] S/1 has received the following payments from KUBARK since he was first contacted in [] by George H. KATZENBACH in January of this year (we have no record at hand of the KUBARK funds passed S/1 by []):

<u>AMOUNT</u>	<u>DATE</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
\$300.00	1/25/65 (o/a)	Ops payment per DIR 78506
400.00	2/23/65	Ops payment per ORLM 21869
400.00	April/65	Ops payment per DIR 9974
400.00	May/65	Ops payment per DIR 04341
100.00	6/2/65	Ops payment per DIR 04341 (signing bonus)
500.00	6/3/65	Ops salary advance for June/65
100.00	6/3/65	Nonaccountable ops advance for June/65
200.00	6/3/65	Travel and per diem advance
200.00	6/12/65	Travel Advance
500.00	6/14/65	Travel and per diem advance.

TOTAL: \$3,100.00

11. Beginning with the Ops payment in May 1965, these payments have been T/A'd to Headquarters for charge to [] In addition to his present salary of \$500.00 per month and his \$100.00 monthly nonaccountable advance for operational expenses, S/1 is entitled to the following under the letter of agreement he signed 3 June 1965:

- a) necessary expenses incurred in connection with such operational travel as may be directed or authorized by ODYOKE.
- b) operational expenses in excess of \$100.00 monthly nonaccountable advance as are specifically authorized by ODYOKE.
- c) compensation in an amount mutually agreed upon for the relocation of S/1 and his family to a new residence if such relocation is considered desirable by S/1 and ODYOKE.
- d) per diem at the rate of \$20.00 per day during periods of authorized travel (i.e.: during absence from []).

II. PRELIMINARY ASSESSMENT

12. At this stage in KUBARK's relationship with [redacted] /1 it is well to note certain factors that must be taken into consideration as we seek to devise a longer-term operation centered on his capabilities and potential. For the sake of brevity, if not precision, we will discuss these factors under the following headings: Personal Assets, Motivation, Handling & Guidance, Security, Termination, and KUBARK Operational Requirements.

PERSONAL ASSETS:

13. [redacted] /1, as has been noted in CIA 42,750 "really astounds with the breadth of his personal information and understanding... 7 of 7" (especially in [redacted]), [redacted] and [redacted] and their general milieu, and [redacted] organizations. Similarly, he appears to be informed on certain [redacted] efforts at [redacted]. Combined with this knowledge and understanding is a long list of personal contacts in [redacted] circles and a reputation as an articulate and committed [redacted].

14. The Agent exudes an air of self-confidence and presents himself well and dynamically in interpersonal relationships. He has demonstrated talent as a [redacted] especially as a [redacted]. While we have limited information here upon which to base an evaluation of the accuracy and thoroughness of his reports, they have tended to be consistent on the whole and reveal the workings of a mind capable of storing away vast amounts of information and recalling it with minimal stimulation. S/1 seems to have good command of the French language and can get by in Italian and Spanish. He has had considerable experience in international travel, apparently accommodating well to various degrees of discomfort and hardship as the case may require. He is able to use cameras and tape recorders operationally, and he quickly learned [redacted].

15. Because of his [redacted] characteristics S/1 can pass relatively unnoticed as a [redacted] or [redacted] inter alia. He gives the impression of being able to strike up casual friendships readily and easily.

MOTIVATION:


16. This is a sticky area open to considerable speculation at this point, though there are specific actions and statements on the part of S/1 indicating he has sought some professional relationship with KUBARK for several years. We understand, for example, that he tried to establish contact with CUYOKE while a student in Italy in 1951-52, that he appeared to be most cooperative when questioned by PERSHING [redacted] in relation to the assassination of President Kennedy, and that he took a calculated (?) risk by writing in directly to KUBARK in the summer of 1962 offering his services. In his contacts with KUBARKers since January of this year he has given every indication of being forthcoming and, apparently, sincerely interested in cooperating with us. One has the impression he was hoping to be recruited, and he certainly didn't play hard to get.

17. Now that he has signed a letter of agreement, undergone an LCPMASTER, and been passed a considerable amount of KUBARK money he may consider himself already "on the team," though in effect he was told specifically at the outset that he had to prove himself over the next three months. He has intimated to RODIGAST that he would like to parlay his present association with KUBARK into a long-term career relationship including retirement, insurance, etc. He has even alluded to an interest in working for RODIGAST's "service" in a "less covert" capacity sometime in the future.

18. One basic question rests with all of us concerned: Why?

In his early talks with the [] Station and again in his talks with RODIGAST and TIFFANY the Agent has indicated some degree of disenchantment with the organizations and personalities, if not the ideology, of the [] with which he had been so intimately involved. He has never gone so far as to renounce his birthright and citizenship, nor does he consider himself disloyal after several years of professional [] activity. He intimates that he would someday like to return home, though he doubts he would be allowed to live down his past and start a new career in PEPSIDE.

19. On the basis of information available to us we could not



to KUBARK.

20. There is a strong case for the argument that hard cash plays a significant role in motivating S/1 to cooperate with KUBARK at this point in time. All reports emphasize that he was not just broke, but deeply in debt, when he began talking to [] Station. Subsequently he has been receiving what amounts to a salary at a rate somewhat in excess of average for a European [] let alone a professional small-time [] since he has had no valid cover story for anything other than the first advance(s) from [] we cannot be sure that he has let his wife, his in-laws, or his immediate circle of associates know the extent of his newly-acquired affluence. He is quite capable of thinking big operationally and has bluntly stated that it takes money to "move" in [] and that "poverty is no virtue in the 'Third World'".

21. But S/1 does not appear to be an out and out usroccary. There must be other factors than money alone that would lead him to cooperate with KUBARK. It could well be that he is reacting philosophically to an outlook on life that let him down despite years of devoted cultivation. In the long debriefings he rarely, if ever, mentioned anyone who he felt had really accomplished anything as a professional [] There are indications that he regards his activities over recent years as somewhat unrewarding, though there is little indication that he didn't enjoy such of what he did or that he doesn't consider the cause of the [] a just and honorable cause. He may, simply, have reappraised the results of some years of [] activity with a somewhat jaundiced eye and decided it was time for a change.

22. Certainly S/1 builds a convincing case about the reasons for his break with IREN C, but it must also be noted that ideology is not among them. If anything, it would seem he was reacting to a breach of contract and perhaps wounded pride. The net result seemed to be a well of resentment against one individual, which resentment fermented into spite and determination to "get even." If this assumption is granted, [] in [] and the [] he submitted to [] are both explainable and explain such. It was his involvement with these [] that triggered KUBARK's operational interest in him, and we initiated contact at a period when he was, perhaps, basking in (what he probably considered) the success of his attack on IREN A.

23. Looked at from still another angle, S/1's future prospects in January of this year (both in his professional and his personal life) were anything but bright for: he was jobless, in debt, to some extent cast off by the [] apparently felt homeless (as far as having something to which he could return in PEPSIDE), and (from what scraps of information we can piece together) was not experiencing a mutually agreeable marital life. (He asserts he was unfaithful and that his wife knew it. We have learned from KATZBERG's talks with the [] that she was unfaithful in her turn,

though we have no confirmation of this from S/1). In such a situation KUBARK was able to offer S/1 an opportunity to, in effect, begin anew, get out of a hole, rebuild his own self-esteem, and perhaps even build up some credit toward an eventual return to FEPRIDE under conditions which he would find bearable.

24. It is interesting that in his long conversations with RODIGAST he should raise the question of his motivation as if to reassure ODYCEK that he was "on the level." He protested that we must not think that he had taken on this job just to "get out of a hole" for three months and explained that he wasn't really in a hole. He claimed that his debts to his brother-in-law were not a pressing matter at all. (However, during his LCFWITERA examination we are told that [redacted]

[redacted] We were probing to find out if he was indeed under some obligation, financial or otherwise, to certain of his [redacted] associates of the past two and a half years, and what ensued was an outpouring of all the small and not so small debts he owed virtually everyone he knew. Foremost among these was the debt of some \$ 1,500 to his brother-in-law. Whether or not he feels pressed to repay is not as important as the fact that there seems to be times when it weighs heavily on his mind.)

25. Giving S/1 the last word, the following report from RODIGAST on his 14 June conversation with the Agent should be given careful consideration: "It was clear that he was telling me, without spelling it out in words, that he had made up his mind to cooperate with us because, or partly because, he had decided he wanted to be on our side. He even used expressions like 'our side'. I had the feeling that he did not want to say outright that he was changing his political orientation for fear that we would not believe him, but that in fact he might very well be doing so; I think there was something genuine in his protestation that he wasn't with us 'only for the money'."

HANDLING & GUIDANCE:

26. The least that can be said of S/1 in summarizing his relationship with his KUBARK contacts so far is that he is not docile and passive. He appears to be a self-starter with a sense of what is necessary to get an operation off the ground. From his account of his work for IDEN C it would appear that he can honestly claim a great deal of credit for putting the [redacted] into business.

27. To date S/1 has expressed no reluctance to undertake his current mission nor to consider other missions for KUBARK which might work a hardship on him or place his person or reputation in jeopardy. His responsiveness, in fact, encourages us to believe that he will accept assignments which permit him to move easily in those [redacted] circles which are natural to him and which comprise, in effect, his natural target. He has, of course, made a case for working out of [redacted] or [redacted] rather than [redacted] or [redacted] but this was prior to his entering into a formal relationship with ODYCEK.

28. RODIGAST feels that he has been able to establish a good degree of working rapport with S/1 and perhaps even some degree of personal rapport. They have had some half-dozen meetings so far, including three working sessions in [redacted]. RODIGAST has stressed to him the vital importance of good security habits, not the least of which involves such personal behavior as will keep him out of compromising situations (in respect to his work for KUBARK).

29. S/1 has been on time for all his meetings with RODIGAST and has complied well with instructions; he submitted without hesitation to the LCFWITERA and agreed to work with [redacted] (accepting the risks involved in [redacted]). S/1 has not failed to remind RODIGAST of the probable cost of his upkeep and operational activities while in [redacted] and has been assured that RODIGAST "fought for the \$20.00 per diem


right on up the line." Needless to say, []/1 seemed most happy to know that RODIGAST was concerned for his welfare.

30. RODIGAST feels that he can manage S/1's self-assertion and dynamism, and [] points to RODIGAST's record of handling "difficult" agents. RODIGAST's experience is reassuring in this connection, and we have no reservations about RODIGAST's ability to keep []/1 motivated in the months ahead.

31. A last word on the matter of handling: turn-over. So far S/1 has dealt with KATZBERG, TIFFANY, and RODIGAST and has been forthcoming with all. There is no indication at this early stage that he has placed his relationship with KUBARK on a person-to-person basis nor are we sure he should be encouraged to do so. As representatives of ODFOKE the three persons mentioned above have apparently earned S/1's trust, and anyone introduced to him by one of them would probably be accepted with the same degree of confidence should the introduction of another case officer become necessary. In effect, then, we foresee no handling problem with S/1 as far as a change in case officers might be concerned. We would point out, though, that S/1 has been handled sympathetically and without hostility to date and that those who have dealt with him would strongly advise first intelligent direction and against a provocative or heavy-handed approach to such a complex and self-centered personality.

SECURITY:

32. S/1's very background is enough to pose all the security problems one would want to contend with in any operation. At the same time it is that very background that makes him valuable to KUBARK. Therefore we can discount many logical apprehensions about his personal loyalty and motivation (discussed previously) and proceed to questions of operational security.



33. Furthermore, IDEN A seems at this moment to hold as great a grudge against S/1 as S/1 holds against him, and IDEN A may still have better connections in the [] than S/1. This is especially so in view of the 19-20 June 1965 downfall of the [] [] IDEN A was not a [] man, and his fortunes declined sharply in inverse proportion to the rise of [] IDEN A's closest link to [] has long been [] according to S/1. In the papers of 22 June we read that, in a "startling development," former [] and [] said he was ready to "assume his responsibilities." We presume that IDEN A will seek to move quickly to derive personal advantage from this sudden change in [] As if operating in the [] security climate were not hazardous enough, S/1 may well have to contend with a vendetta mounted by IDEN A.

also. As noted in the discussion on motivation, he has been receiving funds since January with only [redacted] to give as a source. His wife, who is a [redacted] is presently suspicious of his activities, he says, largely because he received a phone call from [redacted] in January. He explained it was a FBPRIME [redacted] passing through who wished to talk with him, to which she was supposed to have retorted: "Why should someone from FBPRIME be interested in you, and, moreover, why should you be interested in working for anything or anyone from FBPRIME?" S/1 told RODIGAST that the [redacted] initiated by [redacted] 8004 did not fully dispel her skepticism. S/1's reaction to the FLUTTER examination on the [redacted]

36. S/1 seems to be most concerned that his wife not find out he is working for ODYCKE, let alone KUBARK, and we presume he has reason to feel she would probably say or do something, whether deliberate or not, that would compromise him in his present situation. We do not know the history of S/1's marriage, but we have received indications from him that lead us to believe he could not count on her discretion and loyalty in all circumstances. His relationship with his wife, therefore, must be watched with some care to insure that it does not comprise an undue hazard or that she does not become vulnerable in some way to forces inimical to his effectiveness in his work for KUBARK.

38. On 15 June S/1 told RODIGAST his wife told him on the phone that a letter to him from IDEN D warned him to be careful "as things might be 'hot' for him in [redacted] IDEN D had apparently heard of S/1's forthcoming trip from [redacted] and S/1 surmises this means news of his master's travels must be rather common knowledge by now.

39. At TIFFANY's request S/1 let IDEN E know he was in [redacted] and S/1 says that latter "came rushing around" to see him. S/1's report on this contact was passed to the [redacted] Station 17 June by [redacted] In the report is S/1's assessment that IDEN E would probably be willing to talk to RODIGAST's "service." We shall discuss this case further with [redacted] Station, but in the meantime it should be noted for the record that as long as S/1 is said by many to be a KUBARK agent, it would not be unreasonable to assume that IDEN E might connect an approach by an ODYCKE representative with his recent conversations with S/1. Therefore, the possibility of a flap here should not be ignored.

40. As far as S/1's contacts with KUBARK, he knows no one by true name and his case officer will continue to [redacted] in all future contacts. At the same time, there is the ever-present danger that he may some day come to know RODIGAST's true name because of the number of acquaintances they have in common in [redacted] It was largely for this reason that RODIGAST will avoid holding future operational meetings with S/1 in [redacted] RODIGAST has already reported the rather unsettling experience of having S/1 tell him: "I think I've seen you someplace before." RODIGAST says this is quite possible. Were S/1 ever to stay in [redacted] for a considerable length of time the chances are Not remote that RODIGAST's identity would be compromised to S/1.

TERMINATION:

41. The question of termination is not academic at this time, for explicit in the letter of agreement which S/1 signed is his termination on 1 September 1965 unless specific conditions are met. It is doubtful that KUBARK will indeed terminate S/1 on that date unless such action is made imperative for reasons of security or of gross malfeasance on his part.

42. In the event of the termination of S/1 we foresee at this time no great cause for concern about possible repercussions against KUBARK personnel or installations. In addition to the use of aliases, RODICAST's meetings with him will be in third countries, and S/1 has not been passed classified information. He does keep in his possession materials for the [redacted] He received training in the use of these materials (and underwent his LCPLUTER) & [redacted] of two [redacted] personnel. This [redacted] an [redacted] for a clandestine meeting, and [redacted] for at least two months.

43. Should it prove necessary to terminate S/1 the greatest danger which we can anticipate at this time grows out of his considerable skill as a [redacted] and the possibility that this skill might be used to attack KUBARK or ODYCE in some way, perhaps from the [redacted] of the [redacted] [redacted] which he has now foreseen. His knowledge of our operations and personnel has been kept to a minimum. Hence the danger of his [redacted] with great force or effectiveness is, we believe, not great and a problem which, though we must anticipate it, we believe to be remote.

KUBARK OPERATIONAL REQUIREMENTS:

44. All concerned would agree that a KUBARK agent who is unable to service KUBARK operational requirements would not be worth his keep. At the same time, it would be presumptuous to expect that in a situation where the agent is being handled at arm's length and under such disadvantages in time and distance as in S/1's case, KUBARK's operational requirements could be regularly and, if necessary, speedily measured against the agent's capabilities and specific requirements levied on the agent.

45. So far in KUBARK's relationship with S/1 we have outlined a general target area for him, levied the requirement that he prove to KUBARK he can effectively penetrate that target area and operate in place, and levied subsidiary KUTUSE requirements for him to service as a by-product of his basic operational task. What we have assigned, in effect, is a short term operational mission upon which longer term operations might be based.


46. There is evidence in the realm of "testimony" KUBARK has taken from S/1 that he is a man who likes to operate, act, produce. There is also evidence that he, like any other human, consciously seeks approval and recognition of his work. The question to be posed is: Has KUBARK at this time, or will it have on 1 September 1965, an assignment for S/1 in which he can find satisfaction in producing a marketable product and find that approval and recognition which he requires? We must be more specific with him than to say: "Go out to [redacted] and see what you can dig up. We'll meet you again in two months for discussions." Rather, it would be well at this stage to review with the various area divisions those geographic areas in which S/1's talents could be fully exploited ([redacted] as an [redacted] etc., etc.) and to have a definite assignment for S/1 in hand at the moment we negotiate the extension of his contract.

47. Certainly the sudden change of leadership in [redacted] can be expected to affect the [redacted] who have drawn succor from the [redacted] As noted in the section on security, it is reasonable to assume that S/1 will be in a less

then fortuitous position if his efforts to reassert himself on the [redacted] stage are to meet with brickbats from IREN A from a front row seat. [redacted] 6171 and [redacted] 1974 tend to support the estimate that S/1's friends in the [redacted] may now be gone, while IREN A's [redacted] friends may soon be in positions of power. While it is still too early to assume that such a situation will arise, it is not too soon to assess the possible damage this could do S/1 in the general target area so far assigned him and to watch closely for signs that he may truly be burned beyond operational usefulness to KUBARK. We would hope this should not be come to pass, but we cannot ignore the possibility.


48. In the event, then, that the next two month's activities compromise S/1's credentials in the [redacted] where would we go from there? One suggestion would be a TTY to [redacted] where his brain might be picked for operational leads and [redacted] KUDSK, and KIRKOLF information. He could also be urged to [redacted] and [redacted] a member of [redacted] and in certain [redacted] circles. He might find a job with IREN B who may well need a new crutch if S/1's reports of his diminishing influence are to be given credence. In short, steps ought to be taken now to define the alternatives awaiting S/1 as of 1 September 1964.

Harvey G. FAHRICH

DISPATCH		CLASSIFICATION SECRET/	DISPATCH SYMBOL AND NO. OELA-43,458
TO INFO	Chief, KUBESK Chief, [] Chief, WE; Chief, AF		HEADQUARTERS FILE NO. 201-
FROM	Chief of Station, []	DATE	25 June 1965
SUBJECT	KUBESK [] []-Related [] Items	RE: "43-3" - (CHECK "X" ONE)	
		MARKED FOR INDEXING	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NO INDEXING REQUIRED	
ACTION REQUIRED		<input type="checkbox"/> INDEXING CAN BE JUDGED BY QUALIFIED HQ. DESK ONLY	
REFERENCES			
<p>1. Attached are selected extracts from [] reports. [] is a [] and the items should be treated accordingly.</p> <div style="border: 1px solid black; height: 50px; width: 100%;"></div> <div style="border: 1px solid black; height: 150px; width: 100%;"></div>			
 George H. KATZENBACH			
Attachment: Herewith			
Distributions: 2-Chief, KUBESK w/att h/w 2-Chief, [] w/att h/w 3-Chief, WE w/att h/w 2-Chief, AF w/att h/w			
<div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px; display: inline-block;"> GROUP 1 Excluded from automatic downgrading and declassification </div>			
CS COPY		201-306052 201-128663 JUN 1965	
FORM 10-57 53 (40)	USE PREVIOUS EDITION. REPLACES FORMS 51-73, 51-28A AND 51-29 WHICH ARE OBSOLETE.	CLASSIFICATION SECRET/	PAGE NO. 1
		<input type="checkbox"/> CONTINUED	


TO: Chief, *KUDCSK*
FROM: Chief of Station,
Attachment to OELI-43,458
25 June 1965

31 Int 1965




①

1st June, Cont.



1 June (con

1st June, Cont.



3 June

6a

SECRET -


24 JUN 1965

TO: Director
Federal Bureau of Investigation
Attention: Mr. S. J. Papich

FROM: Deputy Directory for Plans

SUBJECT: Telephone Conversation [redacted]
[redacted]

1. Forwarded herewith as Attachment is a Xerox copy of a photostat of a [redacted]



[redacted] 12

SEARCHED
SERIALIZED
INDEXED
FILED
JUN 24 1965
FBI - MEMPHIS

Attachment: 1

CI/ICG- [redacted]
22 June 1965

Distribution:
Orig. & 1 - Addressee

SECRET

GROUP 1
Excluded from automatic
downgrading and
declassification

1 - C/CI
1 - CI/L
1 - CI/RA
1 - RI/S

201-759145
1 - CI/ICG/AMS

INDEX: YES NO

CLASSIFY TO FILE NO. 201-30605 CLASSIFIED MESSAGE TOTAL COPIES 37

X-REF. FILE NO. 17

SECRET

GROUP 1
EXCLUDED FROM AUTOMATIC
DOWNGRADING AND
DECLASSIFICATION

FILE RID RET.

[Redacted]

PERSON/UNIT NOTIFIED

REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED

1	5
2	6
3	7
4	8

FROM

LCAAT

ACTION

AF7

RED COPY

ADVANCE COPY

ISSUED

SLOTTED

TUBED

UNIT

AF/OF

TIME

0735

BY

PL

INFO

FILE, NR, CAZ, CAJAC, WH7, WEL6, OF, CI, ADDP, FEL6

AN <u>SI</u>	
PS	
ABSTRACT <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	INDEX

S

SECRET 231117Z

PRIORITY DIR INFO PRIORITY CITE 4115 CILIBERTI ACTING

QRPREY KUDESK

23 JUN 65 11 9679C

REF A DIR 20944
B DIR 21788

C 3983 (NOT SENT IN 83309)

1. REQUEST DIR RELAY SUBSTANCE REF C, ESPECIALLY DEADLINES FOR DEPOSITS IN
2. PLS ADVISE WHEN TRANSFERS EFFECTED.
3. NO INDEX

SECRET

CFN

SECRET

201-306052
23 June 65

file [] ops

21 June 65

Talked to [] this morning about [] He feels that he is on the level with us, that he is legitimately disenchanted with the [] that he sees himself as a loyal American, that KATZENBACH was incorrect in saying that [] would find it morally repugnant to act as a [] agent but would willingly serve as an FI collection asset (which doesn't make a whole lot of sense.) [] was not in the least reluctant to take the LOFLUTTER; passed it apparently clean. The cover is admittedly a bit flimsy, but is supplemented by a [] for which he will do some [] and [] believes that he ST understands perhaps better than he did the need for cover and attribution of income. Contract provides for \$500 a month plus \$100 non-accountable ops expenses plus \$10 a day while in [] plus \$20 a day while in travel status, 1 June through 31 August, renewable for a two year period if mutually desirable and satisfactory, with 30 day termination clause.

[] particularly one [] or some such name. I asked [] what [] had been asked to do for us in [] and he replied " to work [] and all

developments in the [] I asked if he had been given any requirements which dealt with strictly [] matters and he said No, that Rodigast had been told that we would send further requirements for the meeting tentatively scheduled with [] in [] or [] around 16 June.

I talked to [] who will draw up a list of requirements to send RODIGAST. [] and I agreed that I would open ops files on [] even though we have no project yet, and he will give me the contents of his soft file to include in it. He is also having the 202 file tidied up by RI and will have it charged to AF/1

NP

SECRET

Chief KUNOLF

X

Chief. KUDSK; Chief of Station, [redacted] Chief. [redacted]

Chief of Station, [redacted]

[redacted] Operational
[redacted] CREFY/Operational Contact with Iden A

201

1. For the information of COS, [redacted] KUDSK and KUNOLF are jointly engaged in the operational exploitation of the peculiar talents and contacts of a newly-acquired asset, [redacted] /1 (Iden B) [redacted] /1 has had a rather turbulent career as a [redacted] and [redacted] ever the past several years during which time he came to know (and be known by) a number of significant personalities in the [redacted] of several [redacted] Among these personalities is Iden A. [redacted] /1 has been denounced within these same circles as a KUBARK agent for some time, though until January of this year KUBARK was not in contact with him. It was only early June of this year that he actually signed a letter of agreement with an "OOYOR Service". Currently [redacted] /1 is in [redacted] seeking to re-establish himself in [redacted] as a prelude to a long-term [redacted] (at KUBARK's behest) targeted against the [redacted] which are apparently being given increasingly direct support by [redacted]

2. One of [redacted] is his acquaintance with Iden A who has told him that, personally, he does not share the doubts spread about [redacted] /1 because, as Iden A puts it, [redacted] /1's primary accuser not only charges he is a KUBARK agent but also an Iden A agent, which Iden A of course knew not to be the case. However, [redacted] /1 is actually in the process of seeking to ingratiate himself with Iden A and of winning his confidence to such an extent that their personal relationship might serve as [redacted] /1's credentials for some time to come. At present the relationship shows signs of being off to a good start. [redacted] /1 visited Iden A in [redacted] on 7 June 1965 with the intention of getting himself [redacted] of Iden A's [redacted] Iden C. Iden A told him that, in fact, Iden C was sending Iden D to [redacted] the summer activities there. Iden A then added that Iden D would get in touch with [redacted] /1 in [redacted] (c/o Iden E) so that [redacted] /1 could "introduce him around". [redacted] /1 considers this a fortuitous circumstance in that

Attachments:

Identity List use

Book use

Distribution:

- 3 - Chief, KUNOLF w/atts use
- ✓ 2 - Chief, KUDSK w/att 1
- 2 - COS, [redacted] w/att 1
- 2 - Chief, [redacted] w/att 1

-continued-

GROUP 1
Excluded from automatic
downgrading and
declassification

071A-2541

18 June 1965

JUN 22 1965

SECRET

200-126-13/3

he can exploit Idea D as a [redacted] in [redacted] a unique way to suggest to himself is one of Idea A's 'boys'. It should be noted on the other hand that this situation provides Idea A a unique means of monitoring [redacted]'s activities and contacts

3. Of priority interest at this moment, however, is the fact that Idea A has expressed to [redacted]'s dissatisfaction with the progress to date in [redacted] (Idea F; [redacted] Idea A claims that an Australian is supposed to be working on a [redacted] but that he has heard nothing from him in over six months [redacted]'s then told Idea A that he himself could do the [redacted] job with the aid of friends but that he would have to spend some time with Idea A to work over fine points and to [redacted] [redacted] In this way [redacted]'s feels he could cement his personal relationship with Idea A

4. There are obviously operational and station policy questions that should be considered in this context. First of all, our sole reporter on the [redacted]'s-Idea A relationship is [redacted]'s himself. Is he really seeking to set up a meaningful operational gambit to be exploited by KUBARK, or is he seeking to regain status among his former peers? We tend to believe the former on the basis of the results of [redacted]'s LCP/STREK examination and his long conversations with his [redacted] case officer. Secondly, would the damage to the KUBARK national interest inherent in the [redacted] outweigh the operational gain to be made by putting [redacted]'s in Idea A's confidence. [redacted]'s argues that, on the contrary, the [redacted] could hurt no one except possibly Idea A himself, because [redacted]'s claims the [redacted] has no intention of recommending that KUBARK help get [redacted] in any case for that would be Idea A's own problem forever. If [redacted]'s did not undertake the [redacted] job, the Australian would probably come through before too long anyway.

5. We are sure that airmen can see in this situation the seeds of a useful operational contact with Idea A who, according to various reports [redacted]'s is receiving from one of his contacts in the [redacted] of the [redacted] is starting to lose his grip on his own organization

6. In any event, unless advised to the contrary, we intend to encourage [redacted]'s to continue developing his relationship with Idea A, especially as regards [redacted] we are forwarding to Headquarters (usc) a copy [redacted] and request that the appropriate office in KUBARK be approached immediately and asked to provide, on a priority basis, an [redacted] which we can pass to [redacted]'s. He could then remark [redacted] [redacted] and involve [redacted] of particular importance from a critical point of view is that [redacted]

7. The time element involved is this: KUBARK Career Agent Rufus F BOEISART will be meeting [redacted]'s in [redacted] on or about 15 July. At that time he should have some firm word from KUBARK on the status of the [redacted] effort. In matter of fact of course, the ideal situation would be to have the [redacted] in hand at that time though we cannot gauge from here whether that is within the realm of possibility. In any case BOEISART would have to have the [redacted] definitely in hand the first week of August when he will be leaving [redacted] for his second one meeting with [redacted]'s

SECRET

OPLA 2501

8. would welcome advice and guidance from addressees on this venture and would be happy to clarify further any points which may have been raised in this dispatch but not fully answered

Harvey G. FARRIS

SECRET

**Attachment to CIA 2541
Under Separate Cover**

Identity A -

Identity B -

Identity C -

Identity D -

Identity E -

Identity F -

SECRET

DISPATCH

CLASSIFICATION
S E C R E T

PROCESSING

TO	POM. POSLD	ACTION	ACCOMPLISHED
INFO. Chief, [redacted] Chief, KUWOLF ; Chief, WE ; Chief of Station, [redacted] CHIEF KUDSK		NO INDEXING REQUIRED	
FROM Chief of Station, [redacted]		ONLY QUALIFIED HEADQUARTERS DESK CAN JUDGE INDEXING	
SUBJECT [redacted]		ABSTRACT	
		MICROFILM	

SUBJECT [redacted] Report on [redacted] *V1* *LR*

ACTION REQUIRED - REFERENCES

Ref: [redacted]

1. Following is the full text of the [redacted] letter, a part of which was transmitted under ref:

2. S/1 reported to Station in May that he had had an offer of a job on [redacted] but there was no intention of his accepting it.

3. [redacted] has some doubts about whether S/1 in fact received this much money from [redacted]. We suspect that he has told people this to enhance his stature as a [redacted] we still wonder, though, what funds he may have been covering this way, since we had not contacted him as of the time in question. Perhaps, as a result of ref, [redacted] may shed some light on this point.

George H. KATZENBACH

GROUP 1
Excluded from automatic
downgrading and
declassification

- Distributions:**
- 2- Chief, **KUDESK**
 - 2- Chief, [redacted]
 - 2- Chief, **KUWOLF**
 - 3- Chief, **WE**
 - 2- Chief, **AF**
 - 2-COS, [redacted] - *Out back*

CS COPY

201-306052

*CS copy sent on 20 June
to [redacted] to do [redacted]
for [redacted] work + [redacted] [redacted]
for [redacted] - not a [redacted]
[redacted] at all.*

CROSS REFERENCE TO

DATE TYPED	DATE DISPATCHED
17 June 1965	20 JUN 1965
DISPATCH SYMBOL AND NUMBER	
OELA-43,380	
HEADQUARTERS FILE NUMBER	
201-	

CLASSIFICATION
S E C R E T

SECRET

Chief of Station, [redacted] Chief KUGOLF

X

Chief, KUDASK, Chief, WE (Attention: WE/4)

PRIORITY

Chief of Station [redacted]

[redacted] Operational
CRANEY [redacted] / Contact in [redacted]

1. KUGOLF Career Agent Rufus F. RODIGAST is now the [redacted] case officer for [redacted] /1 a newly-acquired potential [redacted] asset targeted on the [redacted] and [redacted]. On or about 15 July 1965 [redacted] /1 will proceed from his current base of operations [redacted] to meet with RODIGAST in [redacted] per Item A.

2. While in [redacted] /1 plans to stay with Item B. Moreover, Item B's apartment may be used as a meeting site for RODIGAST and [redacted] /1 when Item B is at work. In view of this we request Station and Headquarters traces (KUDASK and [redacted] only) on Item B.

3. While in [redacted] RODIGAST will more than likely require Station support in the nature of pickup and packing facilities for documents and cash travel advances for [redacted] /1 (which are to be T/A'd to Headquarters) charge [redacted] and cable cover facilities should the need arise. RODIGAST is known to [redacted] Station Officer Lowell F. WILSON and perhaps others in the Station. RODIGAST is also known to and knows the [redacted] personnel in [redacted].

4. We would appreciate hearing from [redacted] Station at your earliest convenience concerning your capability to render the type of support noted above. RODIGAST would plan to make contact with the Station through [redacted] unless the Station should desire an [redacted].

Harvey G. FARRIS

Attachments:

Identity List (See [redacted] 4070) TO: [redacted] + ~~Robert~~ DIR

Distribution:

- 3 - COS [redacted]
- ✓ 2 - Chief KUGOLF
- 2 - Chief, KUDASK
- 2 - Chief, WE

CS [redacted]

CPA-253

JUN 17 1965

201-306052

17 June 1965

GROUP 1
Excluded from automatic
downgrading and
declassification

SECRET

200-126-79/3

file [redacted] / 201-306052

DISPATCH	CLASSIFICATION SECRET/KAPOK	PROCESSING		
		PROPOSED	ACTION	ACCOMPLISHED
TO Chief, KUDSSK			MARKED FOR INDEXING	
INFO Chief, [redacted] Chief, WE; Chief, KUNOLF		XXX	NO INDEXING REQUIRED	
FROM Chief of Station, [redacted] RIF			ONLY QUALIFIED HEADQUARTERS DESK CAN JUDGE INDEXING	
SUBJECT KUDSSK [redacted] Report			ABSTRACT	
			SYNOPSIS	

ACTION REQUIRED - REFERENCES

1. Attached is a copy of an item culled from a report of [redacted] (a [redacted] reflecting contact between [redacted] and [redacted] the Cultural Officer at the [redacted]. There is nothing particularly new or startling here, and it is forwarded for inclusion in the records.

2. [redacted] is, of course, the wife of [redacted]

[Signature]
George H. KATZENBACH

Attachments
Herewith

Distributions
 2-Chief, KUDSSK w/att h/w
 2-Chief, [redacted] w/att h/w
 3-Chief, WE w/att h/w
 2-Chief, KUNOLF w/att h/w

GROUP 1
Excluded from automatic
downgrading and
declassification


1 att

CS COPY

201-306052

CROSS REFERENCE TO	DATE TYPED 16 June 1965	DATE DISPATCHED 17 JUN 1965
	DISPATCH SYMBOL AND NUMBER OELA-43,353	
CLASSIFICATION SECRET/KAPOK	HEADQUARTERS FILE NUMBER 201-306052	

TO: Chief, *KUDESK*
FROM: Chief of Station,
Attachment to OELA-43,353
16 June 1965



att #1 OELA-43353

CS COPY

201-306052

DISPATCH

CLASSIFICATION
S E C R E T

PROCESSING

TO	Chief, KUDESK	PROCESSED	ACTION	ACCOMPLISHED
INFO	Chief, [redacted] Chief, WE; Chief of Station, [redacted] Chief, AF	XXXX	MARKED FOR INDEXING	
FROM	Chief of Station, [redacted]		NO INDEXING REQUIRED	
SUBJECT	KUDESK [redacted] Processed [redacted] /1 De-Briefing Material		ONLY QUALIFIED HEADQUARTERS DESK CAN JUDGE INDEXING	
			ABSTRACT	
			MICROFILM	

ACTION REQUIRED - REFERENCES

1. Transmitted herewith are copies (one for KUDESK, one for [redacted]) of the processed material resulting from the initial series of debriefings of [redacted] /1. Again [redacted] Station is indebted to Roberta L. HERRILL for her superb work in preparing this excellent study.

2. We have made most of this material available to [redacted]. The sections headed [redacted] and [redacted] in the [redacted] and [redacted] were not passed to [redacted].

3. We are retaining one copy of the entire study, which we are making available for review by [redacted] who have expressed interest in this material. We trust that [redacted] Headquarters will be appropriately advised of the contents of these papers.

4. We see no reason for [redacted] Station to retain this copy in the files, and propose to destroy it unless Headquarters asks us to send it on to some other addressee. Similarly, we plan to destroy our copy of the rough transcript of the debriefing (previously forwarded under OSLA 42,706, 9 March 1965) unless Headquarters would like us to send it on. In either case, let us know of your wishes regarding these items by, say, 15 July. In the absence of any instructions by that time, we will destroy them.

George H. KATZENBACH

Attachment:
Herewith

Distribution:
 2-Chief, KUDESK w/att h/w
 2-Chief, [redacted] w/att h/w
 3-Chief, WE w/o att
 2-COS, [redacted] w/o att
 2-Chief, AF w/o att

GROUP 1
 Excluded from automatic
 downgrading and
 declassification

201-306052

CS ~~is~~ COPY IN
~~200-124-156/4~~

DATE TYPED	DATE DISPATCHED
15 June 1965	17 JUN 1965
DISPATCH SYMBOL AND NUMBER	
OSLA-43,329	
HEADQUARTERS FILE NUMBER	
201-	

CLASSIFICATION
S E C R E T

INDEX NO. _____ CLASSIFY TO FILE NO. _____ FILED MESSAGE TOTAL COPIES **42** **201-3**

X-REF TO FILE NO. _____

FILE RID REV. TO BRANCH **9** **SECRET** GROUP 1 EXCLUDED FROM AUTOMATIC DOWNGRADING AND DECLASSIFICATION

DESTROY SIG. _____ PERSON/UNIT NOTIFIED _____

FROM _____

1		5
2		6
3		7
4		8

REPRODUCTION PROHIB.

ACTION **WE 8** RID COPY ISSUED SLOTTED TUBED

UNIT _____ TIME _____ BY _____

INFO **FILE, VR, CA 2, CA/C 4, AFB, CI, CI/OPS, CI/IC R, CI/OA, FI, TSD 6, FE 6, RI/AN**

SECRET 151530Z

DIR INFO _____ CITE _____ **4256** *AK*

KUDESK _____ CRPREY

- REF A. _____ 7991 **(IN 81357)**
- B. _____ 7995 **(IN 81479)**
- C. DIR 15660
- D. _____ 5087 **(IN 82159)**

15 JUN 65 09 0665

SEARCHED	INDEXED
SERIALIZED	FILED
JUN 15 1965	
FBI - [unclear]	

ABSTRACT

1. _____ /1 (S) MET RODIGAST (R) 12 JUNE _____ AS PLANNED AND PROCEEDED _____ WHERE R DEBRIEFED S AND TAUGHT HIM

2. IN 13 JUNE LCFLUTTER S ANSWERED NEGATIVE TO FOLLOWING KEY QUESTIONS WITH NO INDICATION _____

R1 COPY


201-306052

SECRET

SECRET

FUIN9665 Page 2
RID/MIS

[redacted] (PER [redacted] 8131) (IN 87361)



3. 14 JUNE R BRIEFED S RE [redacted] ETC,
PASSED S [redacted] ADVANCED 733 DOLLARS CASH
TOWARD TRAVEL AND PER DIEM.

4. S ETA [redacted] O/A 20 JUNE VIA SURFACE TRANSPORT FROM [redacted]
S FUTURE CONTACTS WITH R SCHEDULED OUTSIDE [redacted] NO RPT NO
[redacted] CONTACT REQUESTED BUT WOULD APPRECIATE INFO HIS [redacted]
ACTIVITIES AS MAY BE ACQUIRED PASSIVELY BY STA STAFF PERSONNEL.

5. PGUCHING COMPREHENSIVE WRAP-UP.

6. INDEX 201-336352.

* Suggested [redacted] for
[redacted] be obtained from [redacted]



SECRET

CFN

SECRET

5

DISPATCH		CLASSIFICATION S E C R E T	DISPATCH SYMBOL AND NO OELA-43,311
TO	Chief, KUDESK		HEADQUARTERS FILE NO.
INFO	Chief, WE; Chief, AF; Chief, KUWOLF; Chief, [redacted] Chief of Station, [redacted]		201-
FROM	Chief of Station, [redacted]		DATE 11 June 1965
SUBJECT	[redacted]		RE "G-3" - CHECK "X" ONE
	[redacted] Report on [redacted] v1		MARKED FOR INDEXING
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NO INDEXING REQUIRED
ACTION REQUIRED			INDEXING CAN BE JUDGED BY QUALIFIED HQ. DESK ONLY
REFERENCES			
<p>Following is the text of a [redacted] letter, dated 2 June:</p> <div style="border: 1px solid black; height: 100px; width: 100%;"></div> <p style="text-align: center;"><i>Kal</i> George H. KATZENBACH</p>			
<p>Distributions:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 2-Chief, KUDESK 3-Chief, WE 2-Chief, AF 2-Chief, KUWOLF 2-COS, [redacted] <i>out Pouch</i> 2-Chief, [redacted] 			
<p>IS COPY</p> <p style="text-align: right;">201-306052</p>			
FORM 10-57 53	USE PREVIOUS EDITION. REPLACES FORMS	CLASSIFICATION S E C R E T	PAGE NO. 1

DISPATCH		CLASSIFICATION S E C R E T	DISPATCH SYMBOL AND NO. OZLA-43,308
TO Chief, KUDSK		HEADQUARTERS FILE NO.	
INFO Chief, WE; Chief, [] (Attn: RODIGAST); Chief, AP; Chief of Station, []		DATE 10 June 1965	
FROM Chief of Station []		RE "GJ" - (CHECK "X" ONE)	
SUBJECT KUDSK [] Officer's Comment on [] ✓1 and file		<input type="checkbox"/> MARKED FOR INDEXING	
ACTION REQUIRED		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NO INDEXING REQUIRED	
INDEXING CAN BE JUDGED BY QUALIFIED HQ. DESK ONLY			
REFERENCES			
 George H. KATZENBACH			
<p>Distributions:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✓ Chief, KUDSK 3-Chief, WE 2-COS, [] <i>Out Pouch</i> 2-Chief, AP 			
			
ES COPY			
201-306052			
FORM 10-57 53 HQ	USE PREVIOUS EDITION. REPLACES FORMS 51-28, 51-25A AND 51-29 WHICH ARE OBSOLETE.	CLASSIFICATION S E C R E T	PAGE NO. 1
		<input type="checkbox"/> CONTROLLED	

INDEX: YES NO

CLASSIFY TO FILE NO. _____

X-REF TO FILE NO. _____

FILE RID RET. TO BRANCH

DESTROY SIG. _____

CLASSIFIED MESSAGE

TOTAL COPIES 30

3

SECRET

GROUP 1
EXCLUDED FROM AUTOMATIC
DOWNGRADING AND
DECLASSIFICATION

REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED

PERSON/UNIT NOTIFIED

FROM

ACTION

WE 8

RID COPY

ADVANCE COPY

ISSUED

SLOTTED

TYPED

UNIT

TIME

BY

INFO

FILE, VP, CA 2, CA 2 1/2, AF 6, CI, ADDP, CA 2, CI/OPS, CI/IA, CI/OA,

10 Jun 65 087361

S

SECRET 101531Z

INFO DIR CITE 8101

KUDESC KUVOLF

1. REPORT STATES "AFTER HIS QUARREL WITH IN 1964,

S/I RECEIVED 200 POUNDS AS 'PERSONAL FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE'

FROM ALSO GAVE HIM FINANCIAL HELP".

2. DO NOT KNOW WHEN FUNDS GIVEN TO S/I, BUT ASSUME BETWEEN JULY AND NOVEMBER 1964.

3. IT POSSIBLE, OF COURSE, ULTIMATE SOURCE INFO IS S/I. MIGHT BE USEFUL, NONTHELESS, GET S/I COMMENTS.

SECRET

CFN

SECRET

unsolicited, or did we
ask for traces?

2

DISPATCH		CLASSIFICATION SECRET/	PROCESSING ACTION	
TO	Chief of Station, [redacted] (Attention: COVERDALS)		XXXX	MARKED FOR INDEXING NO INDEXING REQUIRED
INFO	Chief, W; Chief, [redacted] Chief, KUDSK;			ONLY QUALIFIED DESK CAN JUDGE INDEXING
FROM	Chief of Station, [redacted]			MICROFILM
SUBJECT	Operational Data on [redacted]			
ACTION REQUIRED - REFERENCES				
Ref: OPFA 79622, 19 May 1965 (not sent all addressees)				
1. On 4 June [redacted] /1 provided the following information on the personality and living pattern of Subject which we hope will be helpful to COVERDALS in [redacted]				
a. Subject is smarting with desire for revenge against those who have out him off from all meaningful political activity and probably from a source of income as well. (NOTE: Subject told S/1 that currently, [redacted] is providing his [redacted] with the equivalent of \$1,000 per month.) He is particularly angry with [redacted] who owes him some money.				
b. An intellectual, Subject has never been particularly effective in the area of practical organizational politics. He is rather weak in the sense that in a conflict situation he tends to pull back. In other words he is not aggressive by nature. At the same time, S/1 felt certain that Subject's indignation and desire for revenge were deeply seated in his emotions and he would not be able to rationalize away these feelings.				
c. Subject is basically a friendly and courteous person.				
d. Subject speaks French and Spanish but no English. His wife, described by S/1 as a stronger personality than Subject, does speak English. [redacted]				
e. Subject has mixed feelings towards [redacted] but uppermost is his desire to rehabilitate himself with the [redacted] "gauleiter." It is thought that if someone telephoned Subject and made reference to [redacted] or [redacted] Subject would most likely come out for a meeting.				
f. Subject has irregular working hours at the [redacted] and it would be easy for him to leave the job for an hour or two without anyone becoming suspicious. He works on research projects and more or less sets his own hours.				
g. Asked if he thought Subject would be in a position to provide such information on the [redacted] S/1 said that he thought Subject was well-informed and, if willing, would be				
CROSS REFERENCE TO	DISPATCH SYMBOL AND NUMBER OELA-43,294		DATE	24-109727 9 June 1965 201-30625
CLASSIFICATION SECRET/			HQ'S FILE NUMBER 201-	

EX-107
 Excluded from automatic
 downgrading and
 declassification

able to provide a great deal of information despite his having been pushed aside from active and direct participation in recent months.

2. Based on the foregoing, the best ploy for getting at Subject might be a [redacted] with the [redacted] using a cover pretext for meeting him alone and deferring all detailed questions to such a meeting on the grounds of not wanting to discuss these over the telephone. If this gets Subject out, then COVERDALE could apologize for the minor deception while explaining that it was required by reason of his not wanting to cause Subject embarrassment or injury in the course of contacting him. Good hunting.

3. It may be possible for [redacted]/1 to contact Subject during his visit to [redacted] 11 - 17 June. If COVERDALE would like to get a more current reading on Subject, please get in touch with Rufus F. LOHIGAST, who will be meeting S/1 on 12 June.

Joseph S. TIFFANY

Distributions:

2-COS, [redacted] (Attn: COVERDALE)
X-Chief, WK
2-Chief, [redacted]
2-COS, [redacted]
2-Chief, KUDSK

DISPATCH

CLASSIFICATION
SECRET

PROCESSING

TO	PROPOSED	ACTION	ACCOMPLISHED
INFO Chief, WE; Chief, FE; Chief, AF; Chief, KUWOLF; Chief, KURIOT; Chief, [redacted]	X	NO INDEXING REQUIRED	
FROM Chief of Station, [redacted]		ONLY QUALIFIED HEADQUARTERS DET. CAN JUDGE INDEXING	
SUBJECT KUDESK ORPREY		ABSTRACT	
		MICROFILM	

ACTION REQUIRED - REFERENCES

1. Transmitted as separate cover attachment are copies of correspondence from the [redacted] to [redacted]/1. Among these materials is an original copy of one letter, which is forwarded for KURIOT's exemplar stockpile.
2. These materials were passed to TIFFANY by S/1.
3. [redacted] Station is not retaining copies of this material, nor do we anticipate passing any of it to [redacted]

Kat
George H. KATZENBACH

Attachments:
(USC)

- Distributions:
- 2-Chief, KUDESK w/att (USC)
 - 3-Chief, WE w/o att
 - 2-Chief, FE w/o att
 - 2-Chief, AF w/o att
 - 2-Chief, KUWOLF w/o att
 - 2-Chief, KURIOT w/att (USC)
 - 2-Chief, [redacted] w/o att



Excluded from automatic
downgrading and
declassification


201-306052


CS COPY


CROSS REFERENCE TO	DATE TYPED	DATE DISPATCHED
	8 June 1965	
SECRET KADOK	DISPATCH SYMBOL AND NUMBER	
	OELA-43, 273	
	HEADQUARTERS FILE NUMBER	
	201-306052	

















4-65 SUIU

INDEX: YES NO

CLASSIFY TO FILE NO. 201-50263 CLASSIFIED MESSAGE

TOTAL COPIES 22

R-REP TO FILE NO.

FILE RID RET. TO BRANCH

DESTROY SIG.

SECRET

GROUP 1
EXCLUDED FROM AUTOMATIC
DOWNGRADING AND
DECLASSIFICATION

REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED

PERSON/UNIT NOTIFIED

1		5
2		6
3		7
4		8

ACTION

WE 8
INFO

RID COPY

ADVANCE COPY

ISSUED

SLOTTED

TUBED

UNIT

TIME

BY

WE

0945

J

FILE, VR, CA2, CA/4, CI, CI/PA, FI, FI/INT2, RILAN

SECRET 071148Z

7 JUN 65 # 84527

PRIORITY DIR INFO CITE 3990

AF/1

KIDSK QKPREY

will reply JR

REF 7991 (IN 81357) *

1. IN FINAL MEETING I (S) TOLD RODIGAST TIFFANY
 HE ASSUMED PER DIEM WOULD ACCRUE S ENTIRE STAY. S CLAIMED
 IMPOSSIBLE LIVE WITHOUT PER DIEM BECAUSE:

- A. HIGH COST LIVING
- B. MAINTAINING TWO HOUSEHOLDS
- C. WIFE BEGINS MATERNITY LEAVE 1 JULY.

ANSA	PI	
PS	DEST	<u>CI/ICE</u>
ABSTRACT	X	<u>201-306052</u>

2. TIFFANY SUGGESTED TEN DOLLAR PER DIEM COMPROMISE AND S ACCEPTED.
 ESTIMATE TOTAL ADDITIONAL EXPENSE 450 DOLLARS.

3. CONSIDER THIS REASONABLE ARRANGEMENT UNDER CIRCUMSTANCES AND
 REQUEST HQS APPROVAL BY COB 10 JUNE.

4. S SUPPLIED FURTHER EVIDENCE GOOD FAITH 6 JUNE IN PASSING

AND AND CORRESPONDENCE
 FOR COPYING. POUCHING.

5. INDEX: 201-306052.

SECRET

SECRET

*RYBAT REF

201-306052
7 JUNE 65

CFM

7 JUN 1965

DISPATCH	CLASSIFICATION SECRET/KAPOK		PROCESSING													
	PROPOSED	ACTION	ACCOMPLISHED													
TO	Chief of Station, [redacted]		MARKED FOR INDEXING													
INFO	Chief of Station, [redacted] Chief, AF Chief, VE; Chief, KUDSK; Chief, KUCOLP		INDEX	NO INDEXING REQUIRED												
FROM	Chief of Station, [redacted]		ONLY QUALIFIED HEADQUARTERS DESK CAN JUDGE INDEXING													
SUBJECT	[redacted] Operational Plans and Progress with [redacted] /1		ABSTRACT													
ACTION REQUIRED - REFERENCES																
<p>Ref: OHLA 43,237</p> <p>Forwarded herewith as attachment is a report written by TIFFANY and RODIGAST covering their meeting with [redacted] /1 during the period 1-5 June. Though meetings will be held with S/1 on 6 and 7 June, it is not expected that these will produce any new information to alter the substance of Attachment. Info supplied by S/1 on a variety of personalities and activities will be handled by separate dispatch and info reports.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Joseph S. TIFFANY</p> <p>Attachment: Herewith</p> <p>Distributions: 2-COS, [redacted] w/att h/w 2-COS, [redacted] w/att h/w 2-Chief, AF w/att h/w 3-Chief, VE w/att h/w 2-Chief, KUDSK w/att h/w 2-Chief, KUCOLP w/att h/w</p> <div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px; width: fit-content; margin: 10px auto;"> GROUP 1 Excluded from automatic downgrading and declassification </div> <p style="text-align: center;">CS COPY ADJ3 SJ</p> <p>POUCH SECURITY VIOLATION NO FIELD DISTRIBUTION</p> <p style="text-align: right; font-size: 1.2em;">21-306052</p> <table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td>DATE TYPED</td> <td>DATE DISPATCHED</td> </tr> <tr> <td>4 June 1965</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2">DISPATCH SYMBOL AND NUMBER</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2">OHLA-43,272</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2">HEADQUARTERS FILE NUMBER</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2">201-306052</td> </tr> </table> <p style="margin-top: 20px;">Att - 1</p>					DATE TYPED	DATE DISPATCHED	4 June 1965		DISPATCH SYMBOL AND NUMBER		OHLA-43,272		HEADQUARTERS FILE NUMBER		201-306052	
DATE TYPED	DATE DISPATCHED															
4 June 1965																
DISPATCH SYMBOL AND NUMBER																
OHLA-43,272																
HEADQUARTERS FILE NUMBER																
201-306052																
CROSS REFERENCE TO		CLASSIFICATION SECRET/KAPOK														

TO: Chief, [redacted]
FROM: Chief of Station, [redacted]
Attachment to OELA-43,272
4 June 1965

1. [redacted] S/1 was not successful in lining up any [redacted] arrangement in the U.S. Around 28 May he received word from New York of a flat turn-down on his offer to serve as [redacted] ~~on the spot get this job and~~ [redacted] who was plans to attend [redacted] himself. Locally, however, S/1 was able to line up the following sponsors (though none is in a position to pay much for his services they collectively provide him with sufficient reason for being in [redacted] he will let on that his travel costs were advanced him by his wealthy brother-in-law):



During the last two months, S/1 has explained his income to his wife as coming from advances, expense money and [redacted]. This has not pleased her, as she dislikes both the [redacted] and [redacted] but has at least satisfied her curiosity. To explain Katzenbach's telephone calls to his home (K. identified himself to S/1's wife ~~Kate~~ as [redacted] S/1 had to improvise a purely notional affiliation with an American [redacted]. This will help explain some of his income to his wife, but will not be used with others.

2. The above skeletal [redacted] will hopefully be reinforced in [redacted] where S/1 will scour around for bits and pieces of work for his many contacts there. His visits to [redacted] and [redacted] on his way to [redacted] will also help to fill out his ostensible sources of income, though S/1 has agreed not to overplay this angle. In other words, he will not pretend that these two have given him any funds, but if others want to make the assumption, so much the better.

3. On 1 and 2 June, Tiffany expounded at some length on the importance of S/1 trying to get an [redacted] such as the [redacted] or establishment of an [redacted]

While we could easily set him up in this enterprise, we do not want to for the simple reason that this would at best only provide him with a superficial sort of access. What we want him to strive for is [redacted] that will lead him into one of the nerve centers of the [redacted] in [redacted] or [redacted] (S/1 ruled out [redacted] on the grounds that his wife is [redacted]) S/1 accepted this reasoning and agreed to go for a position that holds out the prospect of [redacted] in depth.

QH#1 to OELA-43272

CS COPY NOUCH SECURITY VIOLATION NO FIELD DISTRIBUTION

201-306052

4. Turnover to Rodigast. After getting S/1's unhesitating agreement to an LCFLUTTER examination and his signature on a Secrecy Agreement on 2 June, Tiffany introduced him to Rodigast, under the alias

[redacted] on the morning of 3 June. It was explained that Rodigast would serve as S/1 point of contact in the future. S/1 and Rodigast hit it off well from the outset, the latter's knowledge of [redacted] and [redacted] and other intellectuals serving him well in the rapport-building process. Rodigast ^{has laid} ~~is~~ on firm meeting arrangements for [redacted] and has discussed arrangements for meeting S/1 at least twice during the summer outside [redacted]. Final agreement on a mid July meeting will be made in [redacted] to conform to a normal pattern by S/1 and ~~XXXX~~ arrangements which S/1 succeeds in developing in [redacted] and [redacted]. In the process of discussing communications Rodigast is also imparting instruction in good security practices on the part of S/1. Rodigast will instruct S/1 in the [redacted]. Hopefully, Rodigast will be able to spend a day or two with S/1 in [redacted] even though we want S/1 to get to [redacted] as soon as possible.

5. Financial Arrangements. After discussing all possible alternatives, it was decided that the most secure arrangement would be to give S/1 an advance of the equivalent of \$200 for his travel [redacted] [redacted] and per diem and ~~his~~ an advance of the equivalent of \$600 for his June salary plus non-accountable expenses. These advances were made and signed for on 3 June. One ~~XXXXXX~~ important reason for the large cash advance was the fact that S/1 ~~XXXXXX~~ was completely broke, and he had to make some funds available to his wife to meet expenses and pay off a 65 [redacted] debt to his brother-in-law before leaving on his trip. (S/1 will explain the funds as the last of the advances and payments for [redacted] and other [redacted]). For essentially the same reason (poverty) we agreed to make S/1's July and August salary payments in advance (to be paid into his [redacted] account on the first of each month). We recognize that this procedure is

somewhat irregular, but we went ahead with it principally on the grounds that this was necessary to head off the security and morale problems posed by an irate wife.

6. In the course of his initial talk with S/1, Rodigast pursued the matter of the allegations made at various times in the past to the effect that S/1 is or was a "CIA agent" or "FBI agent". S/a explained the situation as follows:

No.	Description
1	...
2	...
3	...
4	...
5	...
6	...
7	...
8	...
9	...
10	...
11	...
12	...
13	...
14	...
15	...
16	...
17	...
18	...
19	...
20	...
21	...
22	...
23	...
24	...
25	...
26	...
27	...
28	...
29	...
30	...
31	...
32	...
33	...
34	...
35	...
36	...
37	...
38	...
39	...
40	...
41	...
42	...
43	...
44	...
45	...
46	...

[Redacted]

8. For future contacts, Rodigast gave S/1 "an alias I am using:

[Redacted]

[Redacted] being optional. Rodigast will also look into the possibility of emergency contact [Redacted]

[Redacted] (the latter is preferred by S/1), which Rodigast

believes will be [Redacted] by [Redacted] during the summer

anyway-- they arrive in [Redacted] a day or two after [Redacted] S/1

could [Redacted]

for example.

Rufus F. Rodigast
Rufus F. Rodigast

Joseph S. Tiffany
Joseph S. Tiffany

CS COPY

POUCH SECURITY VIOLATION
NO FIELD DISTRIBUTION

DISPATCH

CLASSIFICATION

SECRET RYBAT

PROCESSING

TO	PROPOSED	ACTION	ACCOMPLISHED
INFO: Chief of Stations; [redacted] Paris [redacted] Chief, AF; Chief, KUDESK; Chief, KUNOLF (Attn: Noel D. KAMM)	XXX	NO INDEXING REQUIRED	
		ONLY QUALIFIED HEADQUARTERS DESK CAN JUDGE INDEXING	
FROM: Chief of Station, [redacted]		ABSTRACT	
		MICROFILM	

SUBJECT: [redacted] /KUDESK/QRPREY

ACTION REQUIRED - REFERENCES

REF: A [redacted] 7991
B DIR 15660

1. Forwarded under separate cover [redacted] as Attachment 1 is the original Letter of Agreement signed by [redacted] /1 on 3 June. Attachment 2 forwarded with this dispatch is a sanitized version of this contract.

2. Attachment 3 forwarded under separate cover [redacted] is a Secrecy Agreement signed by [redacted] /1 on 2 June.

3. Attachment 4 forwarded under separate cover [redacted] is PRQ Part I, filled out by [redacted] /1 on 2 June. It should be noted that due to limitation of time this was prepared in great haste.


4. Attachment 5 is the top half of the signature specimen assigning a pseudonym to [redacted] /1. The bottom half of this document is forwarded as Attachment 6 under separate cover [redacted].

Joseph S. Tiffany
Joseph S. TIFFANY

Attachments 1,3,4,& 6 (USC)
Attachments 2 & 5 Herewith

Distributions:

- 3-Chief, WE w/o att
- 2-COS, [redacted] w/o att
- 2-Chief, AF w/att 2 h/w & 4 (USC)
- 2-Chief, KUDESK w/att 1, 3, 4 & 6 (USC) & 2 & 5 h/w
- 2-Chief, KUNOLF w/o att



CS COPY

CROSS REFERENCE TO	DATE TYPED	DATE DISPATCHED
	4 June 1965	
	DISPATCH SYMBOL AND NUMBER	
	ORLA-43,237	
	HEADQUARTERS FILE NUMBER	
	201-306952	

CLASSIFICATION
SECRET RYBAT

SECRET

LETTER OF AGREEMENT

[Redacted]

Dear [Redacted]

The United States Government, as represented by the Contracting Officer, hereby contracts with you for the purchase of certain information and related services of a confidential nature under the following terms and conditions:

1. Compensation. In full consideration for the purchase of such information and services, you will be paid an amount calculated at the rate of \$500.00 per month. Payments will be made in a secure manner acceptable to you. No taxes will be withheld from this amount. Escrows: A mutually agreed portion will be withheld in an escrow account for you for reasons of security as soon as this becomes a practical possibility.

2. Travel. You will be advanced or reimbursed funds for necessary expenses incurred in connection with such operational travel as may be directed or authorized by the U. S. Government. You will be required to account for such expenses in a manner consistent with good security. Since security conditions generally preclude the detailed accounting of miscellaneous travel expenses, per diem will be paid at the rate of \$20 per day during periods of authorized travel.

201

3. Operational Expenses. You will receive \$100.00 per month for necessary operational expenses on a non-accountable basis. Any operational expenses incurred beyond the amount must be specifically authorized by U. S. Government.

4. The U. S. Government will compensate you in an amount mutually agreed upon for the relocation of you and your family to a new residence if such a relocation is considered desirable by you and the U. S. Government.

5. The Organization, in recognition of a clear moral obligation will undertake to protect you against any adverse actions related to your activities and associations entered into at the request of the U. S. Government during the term of this agreement.

6. Secrecy. You will be required to keep forever secret this contract and all information which you may obtain by reason hereof (unless released in writing by U. S. Government from such obligation), with full knowledge that violation of such secrecy may subject you to criminal prosecution under applicable laws and regulations.

7. No promises or commitments pertaining to rights, privileges, or benefits other than those expressly stipulated in writing in this agreement or any amendment hereto shall be binding on the U. S. Government.

8. Term. This contract is effective as of 1 June 1965 and shall continue thereafter for three months (to 1 September 1965). Extension to two years (to 1 June 1967) will be contingent upon the granting of full operational clearance and your performance during the summer 1965 assignment in [Redacted] and access acquired during that period. Furthermore, it is understood

- a. That on or before 1 September 1965 it will be possible to renegotiate the terms of compensation of this contract for the period following that date;
- b. That after 1 September 1965 this contract (and its extension) can be terminated by either party with 30 days notice.

Termination of this agreement will not release you from the obligations of any security oath (Secrecy Agreement) you have signed.

United States Government

By [Redacted]

SECRET

TO: Chief, FEDESK
FROM: Chief of Station, [redacted]
Attachment No. 2 to OELA-43,237
4 June 1965

LETTER OF AGREEMENT

[redacted]
Dear Mr. [redacted] /1

The United States Government, as represented by the Contracting Officer, hereby contracts with you for the purchase of certain information and related services of a confidential nature under the following terms and conditions:

1. Compensation. In full consideration for the purchase of such information and services, you will be paid an amount calculated at the rate of \$500.00 per month. Payments will be made in a secure manner acceptable to you. No taxes will be withheld from this amount. Escrow: A mutually agreed portion will be withheld in an escrow account for you for reasons of security as soon as this becomes a practical possibility.

2. Travel. You will be advanced or reimbursed funds for necessary expenses incurred in connection with such operational travel as may be directed or authorized by the U. S. Government. You will be required to account for such expenses in a manner consistent with good security. Since security conditions generally preclude the detailed accounting of miscellaneous travel expenses, per diem will be paid at the rate of \$20 per day during periods of authorized travel.

3. Operational Expenses. You will receive \$100.00 per month for necessary operational expenses on a non-accountable basis. Any operational expenses incurred beyond the amount must be specifically authorized by U. S. Government.

4. The U. S. Government will compensate you in an amount mutually agreed upon for the relocation of you and your family to a new residence if such a relocation is considered desirable by you and the U. S. Government.

5. The Organization, in recognition of a clear moral obligation will undertake to protect you against any adverse actions related to your activities and associations entered into at the request of the U. S. Government during the term of this agreement.

6. Secrecy. You will be required to keep forever secret this contract and all information which you may obtain by reason hereof (unless released in writing by U. S. Government from such obligation), with full knowledge that violation of such secrecy may subject you to criminal prosecution under applicable laws and regulations.

7. No promises or commitments pertaining to rights, privileges, or benefits other than those expressly stipulated in writing in this agreement or any amendment hereto shall be binding on the U. S. Government.

8. Term. This contract is effective as of 1 June 1965 and shall continue thereafter for three months (to 1 September 1965). Extension to two years (to 1 June 1967) will be contingent upon the granting of full operational clearance and your performance during the summer 1965 assignment in [redacted] and access acquired during that period. Furthermore, it is understood

- a. That on or before 1 September 1965 it will be possible to renegotiate the terms of compensation of this contract for the period following that date;
- b. That after 1 September 1965 this contract (and its extension) can be terminated by either party with 30 days notice.

Termination of this agreement will not release you from the obligations of any security oath (Secrecy Agreement) you have signed.

United States Government

ACCEPTED: 3 June 1965

/s/ [redacted] /1

By [redacted]


SECRET

SECURITY AGREEMENT

1. I, , understand that upon entering on duty I am undertaking a position of trust in the Organization responsible for intelligence relating to the security of our country. I understand that in the course of this association I will acquire information about the Organization and its activities and about intelligence acquired or produced by the Organization.
2. I have read and understand the provisions of the espionage laws of our government and I am aware that unauthorized disclosure of classified information relating to the national defense may subject me to prosecution for violation of such laws, whether such disclosure be made while I am associated with the Organization or at any time thereafter.
3. In addition, however, as I am undertaking a position of trust, I have a responsibility to the Organization not to disclose any classified information relating to the Organization without proper authorization. I undertake, therefore, not to discuss with or disclose to any person not authorized to hear it from information relating to the Organization, its activities, or to intelligence material under the control of the Organization. I further understand that this undertaking is a condition of my association with the Organization, that its violation may subject me to immediate dismissal for cause or to other appropriate disciplinary action, and that this undertaking shall be equally binding upon me after my association with the Organization as during it.
4. I understand that the burden is upon me to ascertain whether or not information is classified and if so, who is authorized to receive it, and, therefore, I will obtain the decision of authorized officials of the Organization on these points prior to disclosing information relating to the Organization, and failure to obtain such a decision will be grounds for my dismissal.
5. I understand that my unauthorized action or verbal or written utterance which would reasonably be expected to result in publicity on intelligence or intelligence activities would be in violation of government and Organization regulations and would be grounds for my dismissal.
6. I understand that there are established procedures within the Organization permitting appeal at all times of any legitimate criticism, grievance, or complaint and that to carry any such grievance or complaint which I may have outside the Organization will be considered a violation of the undertaking set forth above in paragraph 3.
7. I further understand and agree that my association with the Organization is conditioned upon my understanding of and strict compliance with the Organization security requirements.
8. I will not undertake to publish or participate in the publication of any information or material relating to the Organization, its activities, or intelligence activities generally, either during or after the term of my association with the Organization without specific prior approval by the Organization.

SECRET

14-00000



14-00000


SECRET

- 2 -

9. I agree that all information or intelligence acquired by me in connection with my official duties with the Organization remains the property of our government, and I will surrender, upon demand by an appropriate official of the Organization or upon separation from the Organization, any material relating to such information and intelligence in my possession.


10. I take the obligations set forth above freely, without any mental reservation or purpose of evasion.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have set my hand and seal this 2nd ~~day~~
day of June 1965.



(SEAL)

Witness:



Approved:

Date

SECRET


PERSONAL RECORD QUESTIONNAIRE

___/PRQ/___

PART I - BIOGRAPHICAL INFORMATION

- Instructions:
1. Write clearly.
 2. Answer all questions completely. If question does not apply, write "not applicable" (NA)
 3. Attach blank pages if additional space is needed.



00000000




00000000

00000000

00000000





1




1

1





2005-01-12



TO: ~~Chief~~ KUDESK
FROM: Chief of Station, [redacted]
Attachment No. 5 to OELA-43,237
4 June 1965

Signature Specimen [redacted]

2 June 1965

In the future I will use the following pseudonym and signature.

[redacted]

TO: Chief, KUDESK
FROM: Chief of Station, [redacted]
Attachment No. 6 (USC) to
OELA-43,237, 4 June 1965

[redacted]

FBI doc.
24 May '65 (Wash.)

Re:

[REDACTED]

in Bufile given

DISPATCH


CLASSIFICATION
S E C R E T

PROCESSING

TO	PROPOSED	ACTION	ACCOMPLISHED
Chief, WE		MARKED FOR INDEXING	
	XXX	NO INDEXING REQUIRED	
INFO. Chief, KUDESK; AF; FE; [redacted] Chief of Stations,		ONLY QUALIFIED HEADQUARTERS DESK CAN ASSESS INDEXING	
FROM Chief of Station, [redacted] <i>VAC</i>		ABSTRACT	
		MICROFILM	
SUBJECT KUDESK [redacted] QRPREY [redacted]			
0 [redacted] Report on [redacted] 1			

ACTION REQUIRED - REFERENCES

[redacted] had made the following available to us, in response to our request for information on a continuing basis relating to [redacted] 1:



Kat
George H. KATZENBACH

Distributions:

- ~~3~~-Chief, WE
- 2-Chief, KUDESK
- 2-Chief, AF
- 2-Chief, FE
- 2-Chief, [redacted]
- 2-COS, [redacted]
- 2-COS, [redacted]

GROUP 1
Excluded from automatic
downgrading and
declassification

IS REFERENCE TO

CS COPY

CLASSIFICATION
S E C R E T

201-306052

DATE TYPED 2 June 1965	DATE DISPATCHED 9 JUN 1965
DISPATCH SYMBOL AND NUMBER OELA-43,235	
HEADQUARTERS FILE NUMBER 201-306052	

IMP: YES NO
 COPY TO FILE NO. 201-506 CLASSIFIED MESSAGE TOTAL COPIES 7
 X-REF TO F NO. _____
 FILE RID RET. TO BRANCH **34 SECRET** GROUP 1
 DESTROY SIG. W. Bullard PERSON/UNIT NOTIFIED _____ EXCLUDED FROM AUTOMATIC
 _____ REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED
 FROM _____
 ACTION CIWE3 RID COPY ISSUED SLOTTED TUBED WE 1534
 INFO FILE VR. ADDP. C/CI

SECRET 021651Z

PRIORITY DIR INFO [] CITE [] 7991

2 JUN 65 081357

KAPOK [] RUDESK ORPREY

2. ON 1 JUNE KATZENBACH INTRODUCED TIFFANY TO [] /1 (S/1).

ON 2 JUNE S/1 FILLED OUT PRQ PART ONE, SIGNED SECRECY AGREEMENT AND PSEUDO CARD, AGREED SIGN TWO YEAR CONTRACT 3 JUNE ON FOLLOWING TERMS:

A. COMPENSATION: FIVE HUNDRED DOLLARS SALARY PLUS ONE HUNDRED NON ACCOUNTABLE EXPENSE ALLOWANCE PER MONTH.

B. TRAVEL COST PLUS AUTHORIZED MISCELLANEOUS OPS EXPENSES.

C. TERMINATION: TERM FIXED 1 JUNE THROUGH 31 AUGUST AT END OF WHICH IT POSSIBLE RENEGOTIATE SALARY IN LINE WITH S/1

[] PERFORMANCE AND DEGREE ACCESS HE HAS ACHIEVED FOR LONG TERM

[] ALSO ON 1 SEPT THIRTY DAY CANCELLATION CLAUSE BECOMES OPERATIVE.

D. KUBARK AGREES PAY COST RESETTLEMENT S/1 FAMILY AT END

[] SUMMER TOUR IF THIS REQUIRED BY S/1 JOB LOCATION.

E. QUESTION OF HOLDING PART S/1 COMPENSATION IN ESCROW TO BE DECIDED 3 JUNE. DUE OBLIGATION FAMILY MAINTENANCE, HOWEVER, IT UNLIKELY LARGE PORTION CAN BE WITHHELD DURING NEXT THREE MONTHS.

SECRET

ANT	PE	WES/SCW
DF		
ABSTRACT		LEW

Del-306052

SECRET

IN 81357 PAGE 2

2. SINCE THIS CONTRACT IN LINE WITH TIFFANY TALKS WITH AF DIV PRIOR DEPARTURE HQS, WILL ASSUME APPROVAL UNLESS NOTIFIED OTHERWISE AND PRESENT CONTRACT FOR S/I SIGNATURE 1000 HOURS 3 JUNE. IMMEDIATELY AFTER WILL INTRODUCE RODIGAST. S/I PASSED EQUIV 100 DOLLARS SIGNING BONUS.

3. TENTATIVE ITINERARY FOR S/I IS DEPART [] 8 JUNE SPEND ONE DAY TO VISIT [] AND OR [] TO [] BY RAIL 9 JUNE; TO [] BY RAIL LATE 11 JUNE; TO [] BY AIR 16 JUNE AND ON TO [] BY RAIL 17 OR 18 JUNE.

4. WE BELIEVE S/I HAS ADEQUATE COVER FOR [] SUMMER, DETAILS BY POUCH.

5. ON BASIS TALKS WITH [] 1 JUNE S/I SUGGESTED THAT HE TAKE [] (OSTENSIBLY SUPPLIED BY BROTHER IN LAW) TO [] TO USE TOGETHER WITH S FOR [] FOR [] TIFFANY GAVE TENTATIVE TURNDOWN THIS IDEA, SINCE IT HIGHLY IMPLAUSIBLE [] IN S/I POSITION WOULD SPEND TIME [] FOR POSSIBLE (NOT CERTAIN) NOMINAL PAYTS BY [] HOWEVER, THIS GIMMICK MIGHT BE USEFUL TO GET S/I ACCESS FOR PRIVATE TALKS WITH TARGET PERSONALITIES. LEAVE TO [] AND HQS DISCRETION WHETHER

SECRET

SECRET

IN 81357 PAGE 3

TO AUTHORIZE FOR S/I WHICH RODIGAST COULD PROCURE

AND TURN OVER S/I 13-16 JUNE.

6. FORWARDING PRO ONE AND SECRECY AGREEMENT. WITH THIS AND S/I AGREEMENT LCFLUTTER TRUST HQS CAN EXPEDITE APPROPRIATE SECURITY CLEARANCE S/I.

SECRET

SECRET

CFN

3 JUN 1955

CLASSIFIED MESSAGE

TOTAL COPIES 23

file

SECRET

REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED

PERSON/UNIT NOTIFIED

FROM

ACTION

ADVANCE COPY

ISSUED SLOTTED TUBES

UNIT

TIME

BY

INFO

VR. FILE: *EE 4, WE 6, C/DA, CI, AF 6, S/OPS,*

S

E C R E T 271700Z

DIR INFO [] CITE [] 7909

FJDUST LCLUTTER KUDESK []

27 MAY 65 IN 77375

REF DIR 13891

DUE HIS NATIONALITY, WE DO NOT NEED [] OKAY TO FLUTTER []/1. HOWEVER, OUR GENERAL POLICY IS NOT TO FLUTTER HERE ANYONE WHO LIKELY REACT AND RAISE FUSS CAUSING SERIOUS POLITICAL EMBARRASSMENT FOR US OR [] BECAUSE OF POINTS IN HIS HISTORY AND PERSONALITY, WE HAVE REASON TO DOUBT []/1 WILL COTTON TO FLUTTER, AND PREFER DEFER DECISION UNTIL TIFFANY BRIEFED AND HAS TALKED TO []/1. MAY THEN DECIDE, IF FLUTTER IN ORDER, CONDUCT IN EUROPE WHILE HE EN ROUTE []

~~SECRET~~

~~SECRET~~

201

DISPATCH

CLASSIFICATION
S E C R E T

PROCESSING ACTION

TO Chief of Station, [redacted]	MARKED FOR INDEXING
INFO. Chief of Station, [redacted]	NO INDEXING REQUIRED
FROM Chief, WE	ONLY QUALIFIED DESK CAN JUDGE INDEXING
	MICROFILM

SUBJECT
[redacted] - Transmittal of Interview Tapes

ACTION REQUIRED - REFERENCES

- References: A. [redacted] 3904 (IN 75497)
 B. OELA - 42,706
 C. OELA - 42,410

- Forwarded herewith as Enclosure are the tapes of KATZENBACH's interviews of [redacted]/1 requested in Reference A. Hopefully, this package will reach [redacted] in time for background use by Rodigast.
- After Rodigast is finished with the tapes, please save one reel or send it back to Headquarters for retention, and destroy the other three.

[redacted]
STEWART F. BOLNICK

- 2 - [redacted] w/att
 1 - [redacted] w/o att

201-306052

CROSS REFERENCE TO	DISPATCH SYMBOL AND NUMBER OKLW - 22233 5020	DATE 26 May 1965	
	CLASSIFICATION S E C R E T	HQS FILE NUMBER 201-306052	
1 - RI 1 - CI/ICG 1 - WE/BC/U AN SPI REP ABSTRACT INDEX	ORIGINATING		
	OFFICE CI/ICG	OFFICER A. Goodrich	TYPIST dab
			EXT. 5928
	COORDINATING		
	OFFICE SYMBOL WE/BC/U	DATE	OFFICER'S NAME
OFFICE SYMBOL DCWE (BC)	DATE 26 May		

DISPATCH

DISPATCH

CLASSIFICATION

SECRET

PROCESSING ACTION

TO	Chief, FE Attn: Chief, TE	XX	MARKED FOR INDEXING
INFO	Chief of Station, [redacted]		NO INDEXING REQUIRED
FROM	Chief of Station, [redacted] 7715		ONLY QUALIFIED DESK CAN JUDGE INDEXING
SUBJECT	[redacted] KUDESK [redacted] List		MICROFILM

ACTION REQUIRED - REFERENCES

Reference: OELIA-43,113 of 13 May 1965

Action: Please provide copy of subject list.

We note [redacted] Station's comment that the only copy of the [redacted] [redacted] as provided by [redacted] has been sent to Headquarters. We are not clear whether the [redacted] list was for the [redacted] [redacted] only, or for all [redacted]. If possible, we should appreciate Headquarters' forwarding a list of [redacted] in [redacted]

Distribution:

- 2 - Chief, FE
- 3 - Chief, TE
- 2 - COS, [redacted]

201-306052

MAY 21 1965

CROSS REFERENCE TO	DISPATCH SYMBOL AND NUMBER	DATE
[redacted]	OFFPA - 79535	21 May 1965
	SECRET CLASSIFICATION	MQS FILE NUMBER

DISPATCH

CLASSIFICATION
S E C R E T

PROCESSING

TO	PROPOSED	ACTION	ACCOMPLISHED

TO Chief of Station, [] Chief, VE

INFO Chief, FE

FROM Chief of Station, []

SUBJECT KUDSK [] ORPREY
[] List

ACTION REQUIRED - REFERENCES

Ref: OFPA 79410, 3 May 1965

[] Station was able to make only a single copy of the list, and, due to its bulk and the length of time required to copy it, we have not retained a copy. We doubt that the entire list, which runs to [] would be useful to [] Station, but Headquarters may be able to supply an extract of names to suit your need *or the whole thing, if you wish.*

George H. KATZENBACH

Distributions:
2-COS, []
~~1~~ Chief, VE
2-Chief, FE

GROUP 1
Excluded from automatic
downgrading and
declassification

201-306052

CS COPY GROSS REFERENCE TO	DATE TYPED 13 May 1965	DATE DISPATCHED 14 MAY 1965
	DISPATCH SYMBOL AND NUMBER OETA-43, 113	
CLASSIFICATION S E C R E T	HEADQUARTERS FILE NUMBER	

BF

CLASSIFIED MESSAGE TOTAL COPIES

SECRET GROUP 1
EXCLUDED FROM AUTOMATIC
 DOWNGRADING AND
 DECLASSIFICATION

REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED COPY BOM

1	5
2	6
3	7
4	8

PERSON/UNIT NOTIFIED: *file*

FROM: []

ACTION: *WE 8*

ADVANCE COPY: ISSUED SLOTTED TUBED

INFO: *VR. FILE, AF 6, CA 2, CA/0 4, FE 6, CI, CI/OPS, CI/IC 2, Fi, Fi/AT 2*

SECRET 121711Z

DIR INFO [] CITE [] 7628

12 MAY 65 IN 65581

KUDESK []

[] HAVE INFORMALLY ADVISED US OF NEW REPORT THAT "RUMORS
 HAVE RECENTLY REVIVED SAYING THAT []/1 IS CONNECTED WITH A
 FOREIGN INTELLIGENCE AGENCY." [] REQUEST FOR ELABORATION,
 INDICATION OF AREA IN WHICH RUMOR CIRCULATING, ETC., MET WITH
 COMMENT THAT [] UNABLE TO SAY ANY MORE AT PRESENT, AND
 CANNOT "YET" LET US HAVE THIS COMMENT IN WRITING. WILL PURSUE
 AND ADVISE ANY DEVELOPMENTS.

201

SECRET

CFN

SECRET

DISPATCH	CLASSIFICATION SECRET	PROCESSING														
		PROPOSED	ACTION	ACCOMPLISHED												
TO Chief, WE			MARKED FOR INDEXING													
INFO. Chief, KUDESK; Chief, AF; Chief, FE; Chief, [redacted] Chief of Station, [redacted] Chief of Station, [redacted]		XXX	NO INDEXING REQUIRED													
FROM Chief of Station, [redacted]			ONLY QUALIFIED HEADQUARTERS DESK CAN JUDGE INDEXING													
SUBJECT KUDESK QRPBY [redacted] for [redacted] /1			ABSTRACT													
			MICROFILM													
ACTION REQUIRED - REFERENCES																
<p>1. During a recent meeting, [redacted] /1 called my attention to the book [redacted] [redacted] /1 [redacted] as it happened, [redacted] He commented that [redacted] although out of date and far from objective [redacted]</p> <p>2. I subsequently got a copy of the book, and find it useful. [redacted] [redacted] /1 [redacted]</p> <p>3. For Headquarters consideration then, the following suggestions:</p> <div style="border: 1px solid black; height: 100px; width: 100%;"></div> <p>4. This is just a suggestion, but we'd like to know what you think of it.</p>																
<p>Distributions</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1 Chief, WE 2 Chief, KUDESK 2 Chief, AF 2 Chief, FE 2 Chief, [redacted] 2-COS, [redacted] <i>but Pouch</i> 2-COS, [redacted] 		<p style="text-align: right;">George H. <i>Ko</i> KATZENBACH</p> <div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px; width: fit-content; margin-left: auto;"> <p style="text-align: center;">GROUP 1 Excluded from automatic downgrading and declassification</p> </div>														
<p>CROSS REFERENCE TO</p> <div style="border: 1px dashed black; padding: 5px; text-align: center; font-size: 1.5em;">CS COPY</div>		<table border="1"> <tr> <td>DATE TYPED</td> <td>DATE DISPATCHED</td> </tr> <tr> <td>11 May 1965</td> <td>13 MAY 1965</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2">DISPATCH SYMBOL AND NUMBER</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2">OELA-43, 110</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2">HEADQUARTERS FILE NUMBER</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2">201- 306052</td> </tr> </table>			DATE TYPED	DATE DISPATCHED	11 May 1965	13 MAY 1965	DISPATCH SYMBOL AND NUMBER		OELA-43, 110		HEADQUARTERS FILE NUMBER		201- 306052	
DATE TYPED	DATE DISPATCHED															
11 May 1965	13 MAY 1965															
DISPATCH SYMBOL AND NUMBER																
OELA-43, 110																
HEADQUARTERS FILE NUMBER																
201- 306052																
<p>CLASSIFICATION</p> <p style="text-align: center;">SECRET</p>																

YES NO
CLASSIFY TO FILE NO.

CLASSIFIED MESSAGE

TOTAL COPIES 6

201-306052

REF TO FILE NO.

FILE NO. RET. TO BRANCH

DESTROY SIG

~~SECRET~~ SECRET

GROUP 1
EXCLUDED FROM AUTOMATIC
DOWNGRADING AND
DECLASSIFICATION

REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED

PERSON/UNIT NOTIFIED

1	5
2	6
3	7
4	8

ACTION

ADVANCE COPY

ISSUED SLOTTED TUBED

UNIT

TIME

BY

INFO C1
VR. FILE AF2 CA/02

R
I
C
O
P
Y

R
I
C
O
P
Y

SECRET 111100Z

[Redacted] (6437)

INFO DIR [Redacted] CITE [Redacted] 5878

ORPREY

REF: [Redacted] 7141 (1-46013)

RE PARA 8 REF. SOURCE IN [Redacted] SAYS

[Redacted] IS STILL HERE, ON PAYROLL OF [Redacted] ALTHOUGH NOT
PRODUCING. CASE OFFICER SAYS SOURCE CAN PROVIDE SPECIFIC INFO
SUBJECT IF DESIRED.

SECRET

R
I
C
O
P
Y

AN 5878	AF/1/A
AS 1000	INDEX
ABSTRACT X	

SECRET

201-306052

R
I
C
O
P
Y

R
I
C
O
P
Y

201-306052

INDEX YES NO

CLASSIFY TO FILE NO _____ CLASSIFIED BY _____ TOTAL COPIES 78

3-REF TO FILE NO _____

FILE RID RET TO BRANCH

DESTROY S/C _____

21 SECRET

COPY BUDDY
REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED

1	5
2	6
3	7
4	8

PERSON/UNIT NOTIFIED _____

PR _____

ACTION WE 8 C/IC 2 ADVANCE COPY ISSUED SLOTTED TUBED UNIT _____ TIME _____ BY _____

INFO VR. FILE RDDP, AF 6, FE 6, CA104, CA2, C1, C/IC 2, C/OPS, CCS3

R C/OP, CA/PROP

E C R E 031055Z

DIR INFO [] CITE [] 3756 3 MAY 65 IN 57528

RJDESK [] QRPREY

REFS A DIR 04341

B [] 7341 (R-5535)

C DIR 98974

1. RE PARA 2 REF A, RODIGAST (R) MOST INTERESTED THIS ASSIGNMENT. HE AVAILABLE FOR JUNE 1 INTRODUCTORY MEETING [] WILL POUCH SHORTLY OUR THOUGHTS RE COVER ACCOMMODATION, ADDRESS, ETC.

2. MEANWHILE [] AND R AGREE WITH REF B PARA 4 POING CONCERNING S/I-R MEETING [] ALTERNATIVELY SUGGEST CONSIDER [] TRAVEL TO WHICH R CAN COVER AS TRIP TO [] WHICH CONGREGATES THERE. [] ALSO

A POSSIBILITY.

3. HAS PRECIS TAPE DEBRIEFING MENTIONED REF C BEEN FORWARDED? PLEASE CABLE DISPATCH NUMBER.

4. NO INDEX.

SECRET

SECRET

CFN

ABSTRACT

RE []

AF 1/1A

201-306052

DISP

CLASSIFICATION


SECRET

PROCESSING

TO	PROPOSED	ACTION	ACCOMPLISHED
INFO		NO INDEXING REQUIRED	
Chief, KUDESK; Chief, AF; Chief, FE; Chief of Station, [redacted] Chief, [redacted] COS, [redacted]		ONLY QUALIFIED HEADQUARTERS DESK CAN JUDGE INDEXING	
FROM		ABSTRACT	
Chief of Station, [redacted] <i>n/rlu</i>		MICROFILM	
SUBJECT			
[redacted] KUDESK QRPREY			
[redacted] Records on [redacted]			

ACTION REQUIRED - REFERENCES

Separate cover attachments to this dispatch are:



fat
George H. KATZENRACH

Attachments 1, 2 & 3:
(USC)

Distributions:

- 3-Chief, WE w/att 1, 2 & 3 USC
- 2-Chief, KUDESK w/att 1 & 3 USC
- 2-Chief, AF w/att 1 & 3 USC
- 2-Chief, FE w/att 1 & 3 USC
- 2-COS, [redacted] w/att 1 & 3 USC
- 2-Chief, [redacted] w/att 1 & 3 USC
- 2-COS, [redacted] w/att 1 & 3 USC

GROUP 1
Excluded from automatic
downgrading and
declassification

201-306052

306052

~~201-128665~~

1 AT
SIC ATT'D BY RI/AT
Date: 3 MAY 65

SEARCHED	INDEXED
SERIALIZED	FILED
CA/CF	

CROSS REFERENCE TO	DATE TYPED	DATE DISPATCHED
	27 APRIL 1965	28 APR 1965
CLASSIFICATION	DISPATCH SYMBOL AND NUMBER	
	OHLA-43,000	
SECRET	HEADQUARTERS FILE NUMBER	
	201-306052	

SECRET


TO: Chief, *WE*
FROM: Chief of Station,
Attachment No. 1 to OPLA-43,000
27 April 1965

SECRET

14-00000
TO: Chief of Station,
FROM: Chief of Station,
Attachment No. 3 to OELA-43,000
27 April 1965




SECRET



SECRET

SECRET

- 3 -




SECRET

201-306052


TO: Chief, WE
FROM: Chief of Station, [redacted]

Attachment No. 2 to
OELA-43,000, 27 April 1965










1



1

1



000000

000000



ORIG []
UNIT: CI/ICG
SYT: 1176
DATE: 22 April 1965

MESSAGE FORM

INDEX
 NO INDEX
 FILE IN CS FILE NO.

SECRET

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8

TO: []
FROM: DIRECTOR

AF 1/2 A
ABSTRACT X

23 APR 65 21 19z

CONF: C1/IC2

CA/PEG
INFO: C1, WEG, CA, CA/PROP, CA/O, C/OPS
AF6, FEL, FI, DO4, DO/H3, F, INT2

TO: [] INFO: []

CITE DIR: CCS3, C1/OA, VR, FILE 04341

R KUDESK, [] QRPREY

REF: [] 7141 (IN 46013)

S
D
P
Y

1. ON BASIS KATZENBACH (K) DEBRIEFING [] /1 BELIEVE S/1 KNOWLEDGEABILITY CONTACTS IN AREA WHERE CURRENT KUBARK ACCESS THIN SUFFICIENT WARRANT OPS FOLLOW THROUGH. STILL BELIEVE THAT [] DURING PERIOD MID JUNE TO MID AUGUST OFFERS BEST TESTING GROUND FOR PROBATIONRY ASSIGNMENT AND POSSIBILITIES FOR S/1 DEVELOP [] FOR EVENTUAL LONG RANGE [] AND []

2. FOR [] DESIRE RODIGAST (R) HANDLE S/1 DURING LATTERS VISIT [] AND AFTERWARDS, ^{PROBABLY} ~~KE-S/1~~ [] WOULD HOPE THAT [] DURING PROBATIONRY PERIOD PARA 1 ABOVE, R COULD USE [] FOR SOME TRIPS [] THIS SUPPLEMENTED WITH S/1 VISIT [] AND [] SHOULD INSURE CLOSE AND EFFECTIVE MONITORING S/1 CONTACTS, INFO AND DEVELOPMENT JOB PROSPECTS IN TARGET AREA. BELIEVE R CONTACT COULD BE KEPT ON SECURE ALIAS BASIS EVEN IN [] ALONG LINES [] OP. PLEASE ADVISE CONCURRENCE. ²⁰¹⁻³⁰⁶⁰⁵²

5
4
3
2
1

5
4
3
2
1

RELEASING OFFICER

COORDINATING OFFICERS
SECRET

GROUP 1
Excluded from automatic
downgrading and
declassification

AUTHENTICATING OFFICER

REPRODUCTION BY OTHER THAN THE ISSUING OFFICE IS PROHIBITED.

Copy No.

ORIG []
UNIT CI/ICG
EXT 1176
DATE 22 April 1965

MESSAGE FORM

SECRET

INDEX
 NO INDEX
 FILE IN CS FILE NO.

ROUTING

1		5
2		6
3		7
4	11 PM	8

JUL 21

TO []

FROM: DIRECTOR

CONF: INFO

TO []

INFO: []

CITE DIR

04341

AND
A AVAILABILITY R FOR INTRODUCTION AT [] MEETING WITH S/1 FIRST WEEK
JUNE. IF AGREE ABOVE, SUGGEST ALSO [] DEVELOP []
[] AND TELEPHONE [] FOR S/1 CONTACT R IN FUTURE.

3. UPON RECEIPT POSITIVE RESPONSE FROM R, REQUEST K MEET S/1
AND EXPLAIN FOLLOWING:

A. ODYOKE INTERESTED AND GRATEFUL HIS COOPERATION AND
HOPEFUL RELATIONSHIP CAN MATURE.

B. AS EARNEST OUR CONTINUED INTEREST WE EXTENDING ONE
HUNDRED DOLLAR WEEKLY RETAINER ADDITIONAL FOUR WEEKS. IN
ANTICIPATION OF POSSIBLE CONTINUED RELATIONSHIP, HOWEVER, WE
CANNOT KEY SUCH PAYT TO SPECIFIC NEEDS SUCH AS KIDS TUITION.
THIS PAYT CAN BE MADE IN LUMP SUM ADVANCE.

C. PRETEND K WILL BE ABSENT [] UNTIL LATE MAY.

D. PRESENT THINKING IS THAT MID JUNE TO MID AUGUST PERIOD

[] WITH [] AND []

[] PLUS PERHAPS ALSO [] AND []

[] COULD OFFER VALUABLE AND APPROPRIATE OPPORTUNITY

5
4
3
2
1

5
4
3
2
1

RELEASING OFFICER

COORDINATING OFFICERS

SECRET

GROUP 1
Excluded from automatic
downgrading and
declassification

AUTHENTICATING
OFFICER

REPRODUCTION BY OTHER THAN THE ISSUING OFFICE IS PROHIBITED

Case No.

ORIG []
UNIT CI/ICG
EXT 1176
DATE 22 April 1965

MESSAGE FORM

[] SECRET []

INDEX
 NO INDEX
 FILE IN CS FILE NO.

ROUTING	
1	5
2	6
3	7
4	8

TO []
FROM DIRECTOR

CONF. INFO

TO [] INFO [] CITE DIR

04341

FOR S/1 CLARIFY HIS REPORTING VALUE TO ODYOKE AND HOPEFULLY DEVELOP LONG RANGE ASSOCIATION OF INTEREST TO US.

E. AS PRELIMINARY STEP WE WANT HIM USE NEXT MONTH TO LINE UP VIABLE [] VISIT [] THIS PERIOD. IN ADDITION ANY NOTIONAL OR REAL HELP FROM BROTHER IN LAW, S/1 XO SHOULD TRY LINE UP FIRM AGREEMENTS WITH ONE OR MORE [] FOR [] [] EVENTS. [] WITH [] [] MIGHT FACILITATE. (SEE PARAS 5 - 7 FOR GUIDANCE ON REF QUERIES.)

F. EXPRESS CURRENT INTENTION TO LINE UP DEFINITIVE MEETING WITH K COLLEAGUE 27 MAY TO HANDLE FURTHER ARRANGEMENTS. ARRANGE FOR S/1 CONTACT K 24 or 25 MAY FOR FIRM MEETING INSTRUCTIONS. FYI KUDESK STAFFER JOSEPH S TIFFANY PLANS TDY [] 26 MAY FOR SHAKE DOWN OPS TALKS WITH S/1 PRIOR TURNING OVER TO R EARLY JUNE. THIS STEP CONSIDERED DESIRABLE IN ORDER SCREEN OUT K AND [] FROM OPS FOLLOW THROUGH.

5
4
3
2
1

RELEASING OFFICER

COORDINATING OFFICERS

[] SECRET []

GROUP 1
Excluded from automatic
downgrading and
declassification

AUTHENTICATING OFFICER

REPRODUCTION BY OTHER THAN THE ISSUING OFFICE IS PROHIBITED.

Copy No.

ORIG [redacted]
UNIT CI/ICG
EXT .1176
DATE .22 April 1965

MESSAGE FORM

[redacted] SECRET [redacted]

INDEX
 NO INDEX
 FILE IN CS FILE NO.

ROUTING	
1	5
2	6
3	7
4	8

TO [redacted]
FROM: DIRECTOR
CONF: INFO

TO [redacted] INFO: [redacted] CITE DIR

04341

4. BELIEVE NOW USEFUL BRIEF [redacted] OUR INTENTION DEVELOPING OPS RELATIONSHIP S/1. WE SHALL FORWARD SUMMARY ALL S/1 DEBRIEFING SHORTLY MOST OF WHICH CAN BE PASSED. IN VIEW POSSIBILITY S/1 WORKING WAY INTO [redacted]

TRUST [redacted] WILL [redacted] REQUEST [redacted]

[redacted]
MONTH. [redacted] TRUST IT WILL BE POSSIBLE [redacted]

EITHER TIFFANY OR RODIGAST [redacted] TO THEM. PLEASE ADVISE IF THIS ASSUMPTION INCORRECT.

5. RE PARA 1 REF PROPOSAL, SUGGEST K DISCUSS WITH [redacted] AS FUTURE POSSIBILITY. HOWEVER BELIEVE SECOND S/1 TRIP [redacted] AT THIS TIME WOULD LOOK SUSPICIOUS NOT LEAST TO [redacted] AND S/1 WIFE. MEANWHILE, IT WOULD BE GOOD FOR S/1 TO ATTEMPT [redacted] FROM [redacted]

RELEASING OFFICER

COORDINATING OFFICERS

[redacted] SECRET [redacted]

GROUP 1
Excluded from automatic
downgrading and
declassification

AUTHENTICATING OFFICER

REPRODUCTION BY OTHER THAN THE ISSUING OFFICE IS PROHIBITED.

Copy No.

ORIG [redacted]
UNIT : CI/ICG
EXT : 1176
DATE : 22 April 1965

MESSAGE FORM

SECRET

INDEX
 NO INDEX
 FILE IN CS FILE NO.

ROUTING	
1	5
2	6
3	7
4	8

TO [redacted]
FROM: DIRECTOR
CONF. INFO

TO [redacted] INFO [redacted] CITE DIR 64341

6. RE PARA 2 REF PROPOSAL S/1 SHOULD SHOP THIS AROUND AS AN IDEA BUT SHOULD NOT START ANYTHING YET AS EVERYONE KNOWS THAT EVEN MODEST EFFORT THIS TYPE IN [redacted] REQUIRES START CAPITAL OF WHICH S/1 CANNOT CURRENTLY EXPLAIN SOURCE.

7. RE PARA 3 REF, S/1 [redacted]

8. PLEASE TA PAYT PARA 3 B ABOVE TO ^{HQS} [redacted] ^{HQS}

~~FINAN ACCOUNT NUMBER~~ [redacted] M

- AF/CCP [redacted]
- AF/B/E [redacted]
- AF/1 [redacted]
- DC/WE/BC [redacted] (in draft)
- CA/CF [redacted] (in draft)
- CA/PROP [redacted] (by phone)
- CA/3 [redacted] ✓
- CO/IO [redacted] (by phone)

[redacted]

WE/INT
RELEASING OFFICER

COORDINATING OFFICERS
SECRET

GROUP 1
Excluded from automatic
downgrading and
declassification

[redacted]
C/CI/ICG
AUTHENTICATING
OFFICER

REPRODUCTION BY OTHER THAN THE ISSUING OFFICE IS PROHIBITED.

Copy No.

5
4
3
2
1

SECRET

14 April 1965

MEMORANDUM FOR: See Distribution

FROM : CA/CF; CI/ICG

SUBJECT :

1. Areas of Knowledgeability. A reading of the transcription of taped debriefing clearly underlines KATZENBACH's assessment that possesses an encyclopedic knowledge of personalities and groups ranging from . It is not always clear from the debriefing to what extent enjoys either a first-hand knowledge or even a friendly relationship with specific individuals or groups. What is clear is that moves in a milieu on which we presently have very little coverage; that he is already a member of this loosely defined society and thus can be presumed capable of developing new contacts or strengthening old ones by means of present and past associations.

It is difficult to categorize or devine what this milieu is. It is not the world of -- although it contains a preponderance of communists; and it does not seem to be a society which necessarily revolves about the . The primary common denominator covering most of the personalities involved in reporting is some association with the -- particularly . A secondary means of characterizing these contacts is a correlation with the which lies outside such organized as the (His main access to and with whom he claims to be on good terms at the present time.) Another common thread, almost as general, is association with although the meaning or clarification of this political description is never spelled out. In general, displays a good and often personal knowledge of target areas which might be grouped as follows:

SECRET

SECRET

- 2 -

[REDACTED]

2. Access and Limitations on Access. There apparently has been circulated a charge that [REDACTED] is a CIA agent. (This is reflected in his 201 as dating from his days with the [REDACTED]. In dealing with this question, however, KATZENBACH cites [REDACTED] own belief that he is still able to operate in the circles which would be of interest to us. It is, of course, difficult to tell from his debriefing the extent and seriousness of this charge against [REDACTED] insofar as it affects his bona fides. It does seem that in [REDACTED] milieu, gossip, personal attacks, muddled loyalties and an aura of conspiratorial confusion are everyday facts of life and that personal contact and effectiveness in building rapport would far outweigh such critical personal charges -- which, indeed, probably circulate about most people. At the present time, for reasons not entirely clear, [REDACTED] feels that he would be unwelcome and restricted were he to visit [REDACTED] and, in fact, would not like to make such a trip. He has indicated, on the other hand, that he has friends in [REDACTED] and believes he could probably get some kind of job there or at least find a receptive and generally friendly reception. He also appears to have some contact and standing with the [REDACTED] since he claims their [REDACTED] offered him employment. It is not known to what extent he might be able to parley such a contact into employment in [REDACTED] or some form of official association in Europe, although these questions could be explored further. The termination of his association with [REDACTED] would not, according to his account of it, discredit him in the eyes of those who might have been partisans of the ideological line which this [REDACTED] purveyed. According to his account, [REDACTED] is not in good standing with the [REDACTED] or even the [REDACTED] and that his [REDACTED] quarrel with [REDACTED] over unpaid salary is well known. While this may not be unbiased, it is apparently true that he has lodged a claim for payment against [REDACTED] and thus is not in the position of having been expelled from [REDACTED] for ideological deviation. As [REDACTED] recounts it, it is [REDACTED] not he, who is discredited.

3. Motivation and Readiness to Cooperate. The debriefing reflects between the lines what KATZENBACH judges in his covering dispatch to be a readiness to collect information for us knowing that we are an official agency and probably suspecting that we are KUBARK. His professed motivation is monetary, and frankly so, although a certain degree of fatigue with his past profession of ideological punditry is discernable. There are also a number of indications that [REDACTED] would like to rehabilitate himself lest he be forced to make his living for an indefinite period of time in an environment that he finds both unpleasant and materially unrewarding. It is not possible, of course, to make an intelligent judgment from this debriefing on the firmness and consistency with which he

SECRET

SECRET

- 3 -

might undertake continuing assignments for us, but this is not an unusual area of uncertainty with a new agent and one which a probationary period should dispel. (It should be noted that in one instance, he volunteered a name as an operational lead -- i.e., as someone whom we might find it worthwhile to look up. He also provided what is apparently an [redacted]

4. Long-Range Usefulness and Initial Targetting. [redacted] long-range usefulness, of course, would depend not only on his effectiveness and dependability, but on the opportunities which will emerge and take shape as a result of his initial activity. As a general proposition, he can be viewed as an intelligence collection asset targetted at [redacted]

[redacted]
Specifically, these targets would include:

[redacted]

In the short range, a current assignment for us should meet the following criteria:

a. lend itself to probationary exploitation;

[redacted]

SECRET

SECRET

- 4 -

The best likely assignment to fulfill these requirements is that of a [redacted] capable of credible travel in [redacted] with some associations which will preserve his [redacted]. Assuming the development of adequate cover, he could then spend an initial period this spring and summer in [redacted] (29 June) and the [redacted] (28 July). [redacted]

[redacted] in May, [redacted] cannot be anticipated as targets at present either because of indefinite planning or because of unsuitable location. There is little doubt, however, that additional meetings will take place in [redacted] bringing together interesting target personalities from [redacted] whom [redacted] might attempt to report on.) In addition to his contribution in coverage of these meetings he might also attempt to develop his access and contact among the [redacted] at least with the value of developing biographical and operational support data.

5. Proposed for Discussion. The following questions seem to present themselves for discussion at this time:

- a. decision on the continuation of support to [redacted] on a probationary period;
- b. determination on targetting for initial probationary and possible long-range employment;
- c. mechanics of establishing a project;
- d. timetable for assignments.

[redacted]
CA/CF

Attachments:

[redacted] 7065 (re tentative cover arrangements)

Partial list of names cited in [redacted] debriefing

Selections from the initial processing of [redacted] debriefing

Distribution:

- 1 - AF/1
- 1 - CO/KD
- 1 - CA/B3
- 1 - CA/PEG
- 1 - WE/INT
- 1 - WE/BC/U
- 1 - CI/ICG
- 1 - CA/CF

SECRET

CLASSIFIED MESSAGE TOTAL COPIES 36

GROUP 1 EXCLUDED FROM AUTOMATIC DOWNGRADING AND DECLASSIFICATION

REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED

15 SECRET

PERSON/UNIT NOTIFIED

1	5
2	6
3	7
4	8

FROM

ACTION WE 8

ADVANCE COPY ISSUED SLOTTED TUBED

UNIT TIME BY

INFO VR. FILE, CI, CILOPS, CI/IC 2, CA 2, CAIC 4, AF 6, ADDP, FL, FI/INT 2, FE 6

COPY

SECRET 121250Z

12 APR 65 IN 43053

DIR INFO [] CITE [] 7065

[] KUDESK [] QRPY

REF DIR 98974

AF 1/A

ABSTRACT

1. []/1 (S), STILL UNEMPLOYED, IS PLEASED WITH PROSPECT OF ACTIVE SUMMER IN [] WHILE WE REPEATED WE NOT READY MAKE ANY COMMITMENT BEYOND CURRENT MONTH'S RETAINER, HE NOW MAKING PLANS TO CONTACT POTENTIAL SPONSORS FOR PROLONGED VISIT [] BEST BET MAY BE CONTACT WITH [] RPT [] [] WHO NOW IN [] WAS SECRETARY TO [] WHO RUNS [] RPT [] DUE COME [] SOON ON TRIP. [] HAS ALREADY SUGGESTED [] CONTACT S, AND S WILL INVESTIGATE POSSIBILITIES GETTING [] RPT [] POINTED OUT THAT SEVERAL SMALL OSTENSIBLE BACKERS COULD EACH BE PAYING S, IN ABSENCE ONE LARGE AND WELL-KNOWN OUTFIT. S WILL BEGIN SERIOUS CANVASS POSSIBILITIES, KEEP [] INFORMED, AND WILL NOT COMMIT SELF WITHOUT OUR OKAY. S EVENTUAL MOVE TO [] NICELY COVERED IF MORE PEOPLE AWARE OF HIS GROWING DISSATISFACTION PRESENT STATUS.

2. [] DISSEMINING (ZRWAHOO) TWO ITEMS FROM MEETING. HQS

SECRET

201-306052

RI COPY

RI COPY

RI COPY

12 APR 1965

SECRET

RID/MIS

IN 43053 PM02

ADVISE WHAT SYSTEM YOU WISH FOLLOWED IN INFILTRATION OF SUCH ITEMS.
SUGGEST ANY FOREIGN DISSEM CHANGE RADR. ADVISE ALSO ON PASSING

[] SUGGEST WE PASS AT OWN DISCRETION, ADVISING HQS IN EACH CASE.

3. OF POSSIBLE INTEREST, S MENTIONED THAT []

RECENTLY ARRIVED [] FROM [] [] DID NOT KNOW HE COMING, UNTIL HE SENT TELEGRAM FROM [] SAYING HE ARRIVING SOON. S AMAZED AT [] ABILITY TRAVEL SO DISCREETLY, ESPECIALLY SINCE HE DID NOT APPEAR HAVE PASSPORT.

4. DID NOT DISCUSS DIR 88977 QUESTION, BUT S NOTED [] NOW IN NEW YORK. HQS MAY WISH REFINE QUESTIONS ON BASIS FORMER DEBRIEFINGS, OR WE CAN INCLUDE IN NEXT MEET. PLS ADVISE.

5. S FINANCIAL CONDITION DIRE. NEEDS 150 [] FOR KIDS' TUITION. CURRENTLY LIVING OFF WIFE'S SMALL SALARY, BUT STILL HAVE TO PAY RENT AND TUITION. S CLOTHES OBVIOUSLY VERY SHABBY, HE SAID HE WEARING HIS LAST WHOLE PAIR OF PANTS. WILL NEED BUY SOME CLOTHES BEFORE HE GOES ANYWHERE. MAY WE GIVE HIM 150 [] FOR KIDS TUITION IN ADDITION TO 400 DOLLARS AUTHORIZED?

SECRET

CFM

SECRET

ORIG [redacted]
UNT CI/ICG
DT 5928
DATE: 5 April 1965

MESSAGE FORM 34

48/60 SECRET

PCEX
 NO PCEX
 FILE IN CS FILE NO.

1	Handwritten
2	Handwritten
3	Handwritten
4	Handwritten

TO [redacted]

FROM DIRECTOR

CONF: CILIC 2

vr, file, WEB, FEB, CA 2, C/PROP, CADY, SE

INFO [redacted]

AN S/1
AF/L/A
ABSTRACT DIR 98974

TO [redacted]

INFO

[redacted] KUDESK

[redacted] QRPREY

REF: A. OELA 42750 (SENT HQS ONLY)

B. OELA 42410 (NOT SENT ALGI)

CA
O
P
Y

1. ~~USK~~ SUBJ REF B (IDEN TO [redacted] ASSIGNED CRYPTO [redacted] /1) WE

PROCESSING SUMMARY REF DEBRIEFING TRANSCRIPTS WHICH WILL BE
POUCHED ADDEES MID APRIL. TENTATIVE APPRAISAL IS THAT S/1 OFFERS
PRIMARYLY KUDESK KUTUBE POTENTIAL IN [redacted] AND
[redacted] PERHAPS SERIES [redacted] THIS SUM-
MER ~~BRKYN~~ BRINGING TOGETHER KEY TARGET FIGURES OFFERS BEST
OPPORTUNITY FOR S/1 DEVELOP NATURAL COVER AND GAIN NATURAL ACCESS
TO TARGET AREA.

AND SELECTION CASE OFFICER FOR FUTURE HANDLING-
2. PENDING FINAL DECISION/REQUEST KATZENBACH CONTACT S/1, GIVE
HIM RETAINER FEE ONE HUNDRED DOLLARS PER WEEK FOR NEXT FOUR WEEK
TO INSURE HE DOES NOT TAKE ON FULL TIME JOB WHICH WOULD LIMIT OR
PRECLUDE FUTURE OPS ACTIVITIES. DURING THIS PERIOD K (OSTENSIBLY
ACTING ON BEHALF ODYOKE FRIEND WHO KEENLY INTERESTED IN INFO ON

201- 306052

RELEASING OFFICER

COORDINATING OFFICERS

SECRET

GROUP 1
Excluded from automatic
downgrading and
declassification

AUTHENTICATING OFFICER

REPRODUCTION BY OTHER THAN THE ISSUING OFFICE IS PROHIBITED.

Copy No

MESSAGE FORM

ORIG: [redacted]
UNIT: CI/ICG
EXT: 5928
DATE: 5 April 1965

INDEX
 NO INDEX
 FILE IN CS FILE NO.

SECRET

1		5
2		6
3		7
4		8

JUN 21 11 11 AM '65

TO: [redacted]
FROM: DIRECTOR
CONF: INFO

TO: [redacted] INFO: [redacted] CITE DIR: [redacted]

[redacted] SHOULD REQUEST S/I SURVEY POSSIBILITIES JOBS TO PROVIDE COVER FOR ATTENDING [redacted] [redacted] (CAN S/I DETERMINE EXACT DATES?), [redacted] AND [redacted] FOR EXAMPLE AS [redacted] AND/OR IN CONJUNCTION WITH [redacted] PERHAPS LETTER TO OLD FRIEND [redacted] IN [redacted] MIGHT HELP. TEMPORARY EMPLOYMENT [redacted] [redacted] MIGHT ALSO FACILITATE S/I REENTRY TARGET AREA.

3. WITHOUT MAKING ANY COMMITMENTS BEYOND THE RETAINER SUGGEST K PUT RELATIONSHIP WITH S/I ON CLANDESTINE BASIS. SPECIFICALLY MEET OUTSIDE [redacted] GET AWAY FROM NECESSITY K TELEPHONING S/I HIS HOME AND PROVIDE S/I WITH MEANS CONTACTING K FOR MEETING TO REPORT ON ANY IMPORTANT DEVELOPMENTS.

4. REQUEST [redacted] S/I [redacted] UNTIL FURTHER NOTICE.
END OF MESSAGE

5
4
3
2
1

RELEASING OFFICER

COORDINATING OFFICERS
SECRET

GROUP 1
Excluded from automatic
downgrading and
declassification

AUTHENTICATING OFFICER

REPRODUCTION BY OTHER THAN THE ISSUING OFFICE IS PROHIBITED.

Copy No.

MESSAGE FORM

ROUTING

ORIG: [redacted]
UNIT: CI/ICG
EXT: 5928
DATE: 5 April 1965

INDEX
 NO INDEX
 FILE IN CITE NO.

SECRET

1	
2	6
3	7
4	8

TO: [redacted]

FROM: DIRECTOR

CONF:

INFO

TO: [redacted]

INFO

CITE DIR

WE/BC
CO/IO
AF/1
CA/CF
CA/PROP
CA/3 W.
E/3/INT.

(by phone)

(by phone)

(by phone)

(by phone)

C/CI/ICG

Denise
RELEASING OFF

COORDINATING OFFICERS

SECRET

GROUP 1
Excluded from automatic
downgrading and
declassification

AUTHENTICATING OFFICER

REPRODUCTION BY OTHER THAN THE ISSUING OFFICE IS PROHIBITED.

Copy No.

ORIG
UNIT
EXT
DATE

MESSAGE FORM 34

48 **SECRET**

- INDEX
- NO INDEX
- FILE IN CS FILE NO.

ROUTING

1		
2		6
3		7
4		8

TO: [Redacted]

FROM: DIRECTOR

CONF: CILICZ

INFO VR, File, WEB, FE6, CAZ, CA/PRO, CA/04,
CI, CILOPS, F, FILINTZ, AFB

98975 10 2:

SIG GEN:

TO: [Redacted]

RE DIR 98974 ^{INFO}

CITE DIR

98975

IDEN: [Redacted]

1/R

R
I
C
O
P
Y

END OF MESSAGE

AN/AS-22	AF 11A
PC	

5
4
3
2
1

[Redacted]

esdo

201-306052

for C/WE/INT

RELEASING OFFICER

COORDINATING OFFICERS

SECRET

GROUP 1
Excluded from automatic
downgrading and
declassification

AUTHENTICATING OFFICER

REPRODUCTION BY OTHER THAN THE ISSUING OFFICE IS PROHIBITED.

Copy No.

DISPATCH

CLASSIFICATION
SECRET

PROCESSING

TO	Chief, WE	POST-POSED	MARKED FOR INDEXING	ACCOMPLISHED
INFO.		INDEX	NO INDEXING REQUIRED	
FROM	Chief of Station, [redacted] RIF		ONLY QUALIFIED HEADQUARTERS DESK CAN JUDGE INDEXING	
SUBJECT	[redacted] (201-306052), Memo of Understanding		ABSTRACT	
			MICROFILM	

ACTION REQUIRED - REFERENCES

1. Transmitted herewith are copies of a memorandum of understanding signed by [redacted] on 23 February 1965. The original is being retained in the Station.

2. Two receipts signed by [redacted] on 19 February and 23 February 1965, each in the [redacted] equivalent of \$200, were forwarded to Headquarters with [redacted] TA 5-190. We suggest that these receipts be obtained from Finance and incorporated in [redacted] 201 dossier.

Katz
George H. KATZENBACH

Attachment:
Herewith

Distributions:
~~3~~ Chief, WE w/att h/w

GROUP 1
Excluded from automatic
downgrading and
declassification

CS COPY

201-306052

CROSS REFERENCE TO	DATE TYPED	DATE DISPATCHED
	25 March 1965	26 MAR
CLASSIFICATION	DISPATCH SYMBOL AND NUMBER	
	OELA-42.807	
	HEADQUARTERS FILE NUMBER	
	201-306052	

1 AT

CLASSIFICATION
SECRET

To: Chief of Station
From: Chief of Station
Attachment to OELN 42,807
of 25 March 1965

I hereby acknowledge that I have voluntarily provided information to the United States Government, for which I received compensation. I have no further claim against the United States Government for this activity.

I further understand and agree that the entirety of this relationship is confidential and that nothing relating to it will be revealed by me to anyone.

Date: 23 February 1965


ATT # 140

CS COPY

201-306052

OELN 42807

SECRET/NO FOREIGN DISSEM



DISTRIBUTION: Washington 1

	1
	1
	1
	1


Registry

CS COPY

SECRET/NO FOREIGN DISSEM
GROUP 1
Excluded from automatic
downgrading and
declassification

201-306052

SECRET NO FOREIGN DISSEM



SECRET NO FOREIGN DISSEM

23 MAR 1965

SPATCH

CLASSIFICATION
PRIORITY
SECRET

PROCESSING

INFO	FROM	SUBJECT	PROPOSED	ACTION	ACCOMPLISHED
			XXX	MARKED FOR INDEXING	
				NO INDEXING REQUIRED	
				ONLY QUALIFIED HEADQUARTERS DESK CAN JUDGE INDEXING	
				ABSTRACT	
				MICROFILM	

Chief, WE
 Chief, KUDESK; Chief, FE; Chief, AF
 Chief of Station, [redacted] *HC*

KAPCK

ACTION REQUIRED - REFERENCES

Ref: OFLA 42,706

1. Ref forwarded the tapes and a rough transcription of the debriefing session with Subject, which were held on February 17, 18, 19, 23, 1965.
2. In accordance with Headquarters' instructions, these meetings were kept on a don't-call-us-we'll-call-you basis - in fact [redacted] was given no means for calling us. Also in accordance with Headquarters' instructions, we did not pay [redacted] at a constant rate of over \$100 per hour. The first (\$300) payment to [redacted] was in fact more than we had originally intended to give him as a "binder", but in light of the imminence of his trip to Europe we advanced him that sum to help him to meet his expenses. This nicely coincided with our own time table, since the time he was gone - some three weeks - should have provided adequate time for the initial tape to be reviewed and for requirements to be prepared and pouched to the field. At any rate, the total sum given to [redacted] for his time and a shot at his [redacted] was \$700.
3. [redacted] may well feel that it has been done out of \$700 in COA funds. The information obtained on [redacted] of [redacted] or on any [redacted] at all, was slight. [redacted] claims not to have had more than very little contact with them, and not to know too much about them. Unless there is hard info to the contrary, we are inclined to believe that [redacted] was telling pretty much the truth in this respect, as indeed seems to have been the case almost all of the time. While this is a bit of a disappointment, in a way, and seems to lessen the immediacy of [redacted] interest, it certainly does not appear to eliminate [redacted] potential worth.
4. The [redacted] Station continues to feel that [redacted] has considerable potential as a source of positive intelligence on a wide range of targets - targets that appear to be only lightly covered otherwise, although we are not always able to judge this. A review of this debriefing can only cause one a certain amount of amazement at [redacted] encyclopedic knowledge of many, many groups, personalities and [redacted] literally from [redacted] We leave it to Headquarters, of course, to evaluate [redacted]

Distributions:
 1-Chief, WE
 2-Chief, KUDESK
 2-Chief, FE
 2-Chief, AF

GROUP 1
 Excluded from automatic
 downgrading and
 declassification

DATE TYPED	DATE DISPATCHED
15 March 1965	18 MAR 1965
DISPATCH SYMBOL AND NUMBER	
OELA-42,750	
HEADQUARTERS FILE NUMBER	

POUCH SECURITY VIOLATION
NO FIELD DISTRIBUTION

CLASSIFICATION
PRIORITY
SECRET

his material; to the [] Station, however, it appears to be not only rather massive but detailed as well. We do not doubt that he will be caught out from time to time on facts, and perhaps interpretations as well. But the totality of his information - and the whole performance was without notes - is astonishing.

5. Several points should be noted. First of all, a conscious effort was made to show [] that his comments on the [] were not being made a matter of record. (I took notes during our talk, and always stopped making notes when such a topic came up.) This is, as KULPA noted in OELW #1903 of 12 February 1965, an area of sensitivity with []. The only times that [] really became emotional were when he talked about the [] his involvement, and the involvement of his close associates. And he kept reverting to the topic, and his involvement in it, throughout our conversations. We did not share KULPA's impression that [] dissatisfaction with the [] in America makes him generally anti-American. He does say that he would not like to be living in America for the coming year; but in the context of one who does not wish to be caught up in a period of growing violence, which [] appears not to believe to be the solution to the problem. He states: "I can understand how they feel that way, I can recognize the problems, but I sure don't know what the solution to the problem is." His judgments on [] reflect not only an intimacy with the problem and a genuine concern, but also a generally reasonable and real understanding of many of the forces at work. [] tends to condemn individuals - he spares no sympathy for the FBI, understandably - but he does not appear to condemn the United States as a whole, nor even the United States Government as a whole. At the same time, he makes no phoney protestations, either.

6. Regarding Cuba, [] showed a similar emotional involvement (he acknowledges "my pro-Castro bias") but, withal, manages to give a more balanced portrayal of the situation than many rabidly anti-Castro commentators. (Cuba, and Cuban matters, were also similarly low-keyed by me during our talks.)

7. Where [] really comes into his own, and really astonishes with the breadth of his personal information and understanding, (and largely, it appears, without the same personal involvement and bias) is in [] affairs (especially in [], [] and [] and their general milieu, and []). Similarly he appears to be informed on certain [] efforts at subversion and propaganda in [].

8. Rather as if reading our thoughts, [] offered to recruit himself. He didn't get too specific, and neither did we. But he did make it quite clear that he would be willing to collect information for us, knowing only that we were ODYCKE, probably suspecting that we were KUBARK, and probably equally doubting (because he knows the difference) that we were ODENVY. His motivations can be compiled from his own words. He has for some time been unable to find a suitable job here in []. He has economic pressures working on him - now exacerbated by the fact that his wife has

[] Venal? Opportunistic? Or someone who has a wife and two kids to support, and no job for the past eight months? [] acknowledges that he has become somewhat bored with the "pro-biology" of chasing petty ideological differences, the kind that make factions of six members or less. At the same time, he acknowledges that he has to have a job, and that his access to and knowledge of these persons and groups are marketable commodities. His preference, he says, would be to have a nice quiet [] job here, but his efforts to find such a job have been fruitless. How, obviously, can one of his background and talents better employ them than as a []? He says that he would like [] or [] work for the [] perhaps, or an ODYCKE agency, but he is certain that he could never get the requisite security clearance. Still, obviously, he suspects that an "irregular" arm of ODYCKE, such as we, might be able to work something out.

CONTINUATION OF DISPATCH	CLASSIFICATION PRIORITY SECRET	DISPATCH SYMBOL AND NO CELA-42,750
<p>9. How about his ideological motivation? We had hoped for some more concrete expression than we got, on this score, but what we have is not too bad. He states that he will not be "intellectually dishonest" to the point of becoming a "professional anti-Communist," by which he means a propagandist. At the same time, he agrees that he has no desire to be a professional [redacted]. Again we note that he is not blindly or unduly critical of ODYCKE. Granted, he criticizes many elements of ODYCKE policy and tends to defend some enemies of ODYCKE. A failure for him to do so would be sufficiently remarkable to make us totally suspicious of him. Note further his comment that he would have been perfectly willing to talk to us a year ago in [redacted] in the same fashion as he has here. This would sound rather suspicious and difficult to believe, were it not for the fact that he did show himself to be candid and cooperative in his [redacted] interview with a [redacted] officer.</p> <p>10. How badly blown is [redacted] then, if we are thinking of using him. After all, he has been publicly labelled a "CIA agent." Could he, then, work for HUBARK successfully? [redacted] himself is certainly a better judge of that than the [redacted] Station, and we feel that there are only two indices that can be used to judge the matter. The first and most immediate is [redacted] own opinion, since he has lived with the problem, and has tested the idea in practice. He feels that he could still operate ("move" is his term) in most of the desirable areas successfully, provided he has adequate cover for being where he is and doing what he does. The second index would be for us to test his continuing access to targets of interest. It should not take too long to make such a test without putting anything into real jeopardy.</p> <p>11. Cover for [redacted] of course, would be a serious problem. He himself proposes the obvious answers: some kind of vaguely [redacted] [redacted]. We should think that such cover could be arranged. And we propose to discuss a number of possibilities with Headquarters in due time.</p> <p>12. Utilization and locale are most closely related, of course. From our vantage point here, it would appear that [redacted] would be the most desirable home base for [redacted]. He could range out from there into [redacted] (the two best targets, apparently) and maintain a regular flow of information on [redacted] affairs while "at home." He has spoken several times of the ease with which he is integrated into the [redacted] environment, and he has a fairly well established base there from which to expand his operations. He has said that he would be willing to go there, or [redacted] or most any other place where his children can continue their education in [redacted]. And [redacted] appears to be, for the foreseeable future, a worthwhile target in its own right, as well as the site of a growing number of [redacted] meetings, for which [redacted] would appear to have exceptionally fine credentials. As he says, he could go elsewhere in [redacted] but [redacted] is where he would fit best. We would be inclined to keep him, for the most part, away from the [redacted] milieu (except, perhaps, incidentally) to avoid straining his reportorial objectivity. In the [redacted] and [redacted] areas, we suspect that careful checking would ensure such objectivity.</p> <p>13. His utilization could best be considered on a straight information-collecting basis. To use him as a [redacted] would be not only repugnant to him but destructive of his utility as well. Presumably he could be permitted to continue [redacted] not only for his overt employere (in a [redacted]), but perhaps occasionally for [redacted] of his own choosing, in order to maintain his own cover. (This is, admittedly, seeing rather far into the future.) We do feel, however, that [redacted] could be an admirable and highly valuable collector of positive information on that whole spectrum of the [redacted] with which he is already so familiar.</p>		
FORM 10 57 53a 1421	USE PREVIOUS EDITION REPLACES FORMS 51 39 51 284 410 51 29	CLASSIFICATION PRIORITY

14.

15. We should also like to comment on the reliability of [redacted] comments in the course of our meetings to date. We have found him to be thoroughly consistent in his comments and attitudes throughout. We found no indication of deliberate deception or serious attempts to mislead. He may have provided some erroneous materials, or he may have erroneously interpreted some events; but his comments and attitudes remained sufficiently consistent to lead us to believe that he was being reasonably honest all the way. He played down some items, no doubt, and played up others. In the course of a debriefing such as this was there seemed little or no point in pushing hard at such points - besides, his knowledge of the areas covered so far outdistanced mine that I could not have attempted to probe or pin him down on specifics. (Note also his tendency to make corrections in the debriefing questionnaire, as when the wrong name would appear for a [redacted])

16. [redacted] present status is that he is out of work, in debt, and without any prospects of reasonable employment in the near future. He is somewhat occupied with an [redacted] this will probably keep him from taking another job for the next few weeks. Beyond that, nothing is definite. If we would like to give him a try, then, some move should be made to formalize matters with him before he accepts some job that will put him out of the market.

17. Recognizing that there are risks involved, it nevertheless seems most undesirable to pass up what has the earmarks of being a highly useful asset. We request, therefore, that Headquarters review and consider the entirety of our knowledge of [redacted] to this point, and give us a reading on his potential worth, as viewed by [redacted] AF Division, KUDESK/ICG and other interested parties. If, for reasons of which Headquarters is aware, and we are not, [redacted] is considered unacceptable as a KUTUBE asset, let us know. If, on the other hand, there appears to be a market for his talents and capabilities, we should move rather rapidly to pre-empt him. His present flexibility is not likely to last for long, and any plan to use him should take this into account. As WE/BC is aware, we are not in a position to put this into the form of a [redacted] Station project. This should not be the reason for neglecting what appears to be such a potentially rewarding asset for KUBARK, however.

18. We would appreciate a reply within a couple of weeks of Headquarters' receipt of this dispatch.

Katz
George H. KATZENBACH

DISPATCH

CLASSIFICATION

SECRET

PROCESSING

PROPOSED	ACTION	ACCOMPLISHED
	MARKED FOR INDEXING	
	NO INDEXING REQUIRED	
	ONLY QUALIFIED HEADQUARTERS DESK CAN JUDGE INDEXING	
	ABSTRACT	
	BACKFILE	

TO
Chief, WE

INFO

FROM
Chief of Station, [redacted] *JAC*

SUBJECT
KODESK
Briefing of [redacted]

ACTION REQUIRED - REFERENCES

1. Transmitted herewith are the tapes of the 17, 18, 19 and 23 February sessions with [redacted]. Also transmitted is a copy of the Station-prepared transcript of the tapes. The quality of the transcription varies: the first session is mostly summarized, the subsequent ones are almost verbatim. Roberta L. HORRELL did the transcripts, and I subsequently reviewed them, filling in comments, names, etc., that HORRELL had missed.

2. As rough as these transcripts are, a careful review of them is fairly revealing about [redacted] and about his knowledge of the topics discussed. Further comment from this end will be contained in an operational dispatch. Right now we are anxious to get the present material into Headquarters' hands for further processing. This is about as far as we can take the material here, due to extreme time limitations. We are retaining a copy of the transcript, however, for further use and future reference.

Katzenbach
George H. KATZENBACH

Attachments:
Herewith

Distributions:
2 Chief, WE w/att h/w
1 - CO

GROUP 1
Excluded from automatic
downgrading and
declassification

reattached 5/7/67


attachment placed 20 March 65

CS COPY

201-306052



DATE TYPED 9 March 1965	DATE DISPATCHED 15 MAR 1965
DISPATCH SYMBOL AND NUMBER OELA-42,706	
HEADQUARTERS FILE NUMBER 201-306052	
CLASSIFICATION SECRET	


Jatts.





B










14-00000



The main body of the page is filled with extremely faint, illegible text. The text is scattered across the page, with some faint lines and shapes that suggest the presence of a document, but the characters are too light to be read. There are some faint, dark spots and lines that might be remnants of a table or list, but they are completely unrecognizable.

FOURTH SESSION


**23 February 1965
(morning)**


C


1


C



10/1/2000
10/1/2000






























Vertical text or markings along the right edge of the page, appearing as a dark, noisy strip.

SECOND SESSION



18 February 1965











222














Vertical text or markings along the right edge of the page, including a small mark near the top and a larger, illegible mark near the bottom.















Vertical text or markings along the right edge of the page, including a small mark at the top, a larger mark in the middle, and a small mark at the bottom.






}
}














15



16














4. 1/2























105

10
11
12












14-00000










6







Vertical text or markings along the right edge of the page, including a small rectangular box at the bottom right corner.






1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65
66
67
68
69
70
71
72
73
74
75
76
77
78
79
80
81
82
83
84
85
86
87
88
89
90
91
92
93
94
95
96
97
98
99
100







7

.

2

26

.

.


.


.

.



.


1









14-00000










7-15-67















Vertical text and markings along the right edge of the page, including a large 'L' at the top and bottom, and some illegible characters in between.













40-01




Vertical text and markings along the right edge of the page, including a large, bold letter 'L' at the bottom right corner.








7



7

















11/11/2025






1


2

3

4

5





1

2

3

4


5

6


7



14-00000


14-00000




1
2











Vertical text or markings along the right edge of the page, including some illegible characters and symbols.

Small, faint text or markings on the left side of the page.

Small, faint text or markings on the left side of the page.



1975 13



1


2


3

4

5


6







14-00000

14-00000





MESSAGE FORM 32 ROUTING

ORIG: [] 1 5

UNIT: CI/IC/G INDEX **SECRET** 2 6

EXT: R-1176 NO INDEX 3 7

DATE: 8 MARCH 1965 FILE IN CS FILE NO. Gibson's 201 4 8

TO: [] DESTROY

FROM: DIRECTOR RETURN TO BRANCH

FILE NO

CONF: CI/IC 2 INFO WEL, ADDP FE L, CA 2 CA/0 4, 8 MAR 65 21 072

RI AF 4, CI, CI/OPS, FI, FI/INT 2, VR, FILE

TO: [] INFO PARI PALP CITE DIR SIG GEN

KUDESC []

REFS: A. [] 6396 *

B. OELA 42604

C. [] 6360 *

1. APPRECIATE [] LIST RECEIVED HQS 4 MARCH.
2. DO NOT WISH YOU ACKNOWLEDGE HAVING IT TO [] FOR TIME BEING.
3. REF C PARA 1: APPROVE YOUR PASSAGE SUMMARY OF TRANSCRIPT YOUR DEBRIEFING OF [] INCLUDING DETAILS ON [] AND HOW IT WORKS. HOWEVER, DO NOT PASS INTELLIGENCE OF POTENTIAL OPERATIONAL VALUE.

END OF MESSAGE

* 16552

14617

SEARCHED	INDEXED
SERIALIZED	FILED
AF 1/1/A	
ABSTRACT	INDEX

201-306052

CO/OPS

AF/CI

CA/3 [] (by phone)

DCWE(BC)
RELEASING OFFICER

COORDINATING OFFICERS
SECRET

GROUP 1
Excluded from automatic
downgrading and
declassification
EXEMPT FROM AUTOMATIC
DECLASSIFICATION
OFFICER

REPRODUCTION BY OTHER THAN THE ISSUING OFFICE IS PROHIBITED

RI COPY

R I C O P Y

INDEX YES NO
 CLASSIFY TO FILE NO 201-30605 CLASSIFIED MESSAGE TOTAL COPIES 74
 REF TO FILE NO
 FILE NO DET SAG
 DESTROY SAG

SECRET

GROUP 1
EXCLUDED FROM AUTOMATIC
DOWNGRADING AND
DECLASSIFICATION

REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED

1	5
2	6
3	7
4	8

PERSON/UNIT NOTIFIED

FROM

ACTION FE 8 ADVANCE COPY ISSUED SLOTTED TUBED UNIT TIME BY

ORFB

VR. FILE WR 6 ADD CI CI/OPS CI/ICJ FI, FI/INT 2 AF 4 CI 2 CI/04

SECRET 031139Z
 DIR INFO [] CITE [] 6369
 KUDESK []
 REF DIR 85977*

AF: PI
 DE
 ABSTRACT INDEX
 3 MAR 65 14617

1. [] DEBRIEFING COMPLETED 23 FEB. [] DCING ROUGH
 TRANSCRIPT TAPES AND PREPARING OPS DISPATCH, TO BE POUCHED NEXT
 FEW DAYS.

2. WHILE REF QUESTIONS NOT PUT TO G, HE DID MAKE COMMENTS
 [] WHICH IN TRANSCRIPT. NO MENTION OF []
 QUESTIONS CAN BE INCLUDED IN SUBSEQUENT MEET WITH [] HOWEVER.
 ASSUME ADDITIONAL REQUIREMENTS WILL DERIVE FROM MATERIAL NOW IN
 HAND.

SECRET
 CFM *QUESTIONS FOR DEBRIEFING*

201-306052
 3 MAR '65

COPY
RI COPY
RI COPY

DISPATCH

CLASSIFICATION
SECRET

PROCESSING

TO	Chief, FE	PROPOSED	ACTION	ACCUM. PAGES
		INDEX	READY FOR INDEXING	
INFO.	Chief of Station, [redacted] Chief, KUNOLP; Chief, KUDESK; Chief, FE		NO INDEXING REQUIRED	
			ONLY QUALIFIED HEADQUARTERS DESK CAN JUDGE INDEXING	
FROM	Chief of Station, [redacted]		ABSTRACT	
			MICROFILM	

SUBJECT [redacted] KUDESK/WHSPAWN

ACTION REQUIRED - REFERENCES

1. Transmitted herewith is one copy of the cards that constitute the [redacted]. These were borrowed from [redacted] and copied in the station. [redacted] wife, who compiled the cards and was responsible for [redacted] (and to whom, therefore, they more properly belong) is not aware that [redacted] has "loaned" the cards out. They were returned to [redacted] the same day he brought them in for copying.

2. We have not made any attempt to count them. There are several thousand. A few comments will be in order about some of the marks and notations that appear on the cards.

3. One general category is "Promotional". The first bunch of sheets - about twenty, it appears - are in this category. They are marked "Promotional" or "PR". These were sent out gratis either to induce publicity, or to encourage new [redacted] or to make friends happy. (Presumably, [redacted] and several others are in this category).

4. Thereafter, the cards run in categories of one sort or another, with given countries generally lumped together. Those where the [redacted] are some of those that were taken from [redacted]. Some are marked [redacted] which means that the name came from the [redacted] and the person subsequently [redacted] on his own, after a little promotional treatment.

5. The coded symbols, unfortunately, [redacted] could not explain, since this was his wife's territory, rather than his own. The first set of letters obviously refers to the country (e.g. [redacted]). Other numbers and letters are not clear. The name that appears apart from the name of the [redacted] usually indicates who arranged the [redacted] (this may be an [redacted] or something like a gift [redacted]). For instance, [redacted] who handles

AF 1
ABSTRACT

GROUP 1
Excluded from automatic
downgrading and
declassification

DATE TYPED 25 Feb. 65
DATE DISPATCHED 2 MAR 1965

DISPATCH SYMBOL AND NUMBER
201-306052
OELA-42,604
HEADQUARTERS FILE NUMBER

CROSS REFERENCE TO

CLASSIFICATION
SECRET

INDEXED

late.

SECRET


OELA-42,604

some [redacted] [redacted] dates are obvious. "Private" merely means that someone [redacted] directly, himself, rather than through an agency.

6. Any further questions relating to the [redacted] can be included in any subsequent contacts with [redacted] if they merit inclusion, and if it seems likely that we will know the answers.

7. We note that the [redacted] accuracy cannot be guaranteed, especially after the time when the [redacted] parted ways with [redacted]

8. At some time, the [redacted] may ask us for this [redacted] We would appreciate being advised as to whether Headquarters would like us to acknowledge having it.


George E. KATZENBACH

Attachments:
Herewith

Distributions:
2-Chief, WE w/att h/w
2-COS, [redacted] w/o att
2-Chief, KUNOLF w/o att
2-Chief, KUDESK w/o att
2-Chief, FE w/o att

ORIG: []
UNIT: CI/ICG
EXT: 5928
DATE: 18 Feb 65

MESSAGE FORM 31

ROUTING	
1	5
2	6
3	7
4	8

INDEX
 NO INDEX
 FILE IN CS FILE NO. 201 - 306052

SECRET

TO: []

DESTROY
 RETURN TO BRANCH
 FILE RID

18 FEB 65 09 42z

FROM: DIRECTOR

CONF: CI/IC 2

INFO WE LFE C FI, A00P, DOP, WH/SA 8, FI/INT 2,
CI, CI/OPS, JR, FILE

TO Y: []

INFO.

CITE DIR

KUDESK []

86097

RE: A. [] 6129 (IN 81502)*

B. OELW - 21869

R
I
C
O
P
Y

1. IN ADDITION QUESTION THIRTY-SIX REF B, WE PRIMARILY INTERESTED IN DESCRIPTION [] CURRENT CUBAN CONTACTS AS BASIS FOR FOLLOW UP REQUIREMENTS. SPECIFICALLY HAS G BEEN IN TOUCH RECENTLY WITH ANY CUBAN OFFICIALS WHO SUPPLIED INFO ON CUBAN INTERNAL SITUATION, STRUGGLE BETWEEN CASTRO AND OLD COMMUNISTS, STABILITY OF CUBAN REGIME, OR CUBAN SOVIET RELATIONS?

2. BELIEVE PROBING INTO HISTORY G RELATIONS WITH CUBA AND [] [] SHOULD BE AVOIDED AT OUTSET SINCE THIS APPEARS TO BE AREA WHERE HE MOST SENSITIVE ABOUT GIVING POTENTIALLY SELF INCRIMINATING INFO AND FURTHERMORE OF MARGINAL VALUE.

END OF MESSAGE.

R
I
C
O
P
Y

[] query re rqmts on [] Cuban contacts if any.

201-306052

R
I
C
O
P
Y

R
I
C
O
P
Y

R
I
C
O
P
Y

3/11/65 WH/SA/E [] WH/SA/CE []

DCWE(BC) [] COORDINATING OFFICERS [] C/WE/BC/U []

RELEASING OFFICER [] AUTHENTICATING OFFICER []

FORM 1-64 1389a [] (35)

REPRODUCTION BY OTHER THAN THE ISSUING OFFICE IS PROHIBITED.

INDEX: YES NO
 CLASSIFY TO FILE NO 201-306052
 R REF TO FILE NO
 FILE RD: RET. TO BRANCH
 DESTROY DIC Classified

1176

18 FEB 1965

TOTAL COPIES RI COPY DUBBY

CLASSIFIED MESSAGE
 REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED

15: SECRET

FROM	PERSON/UNIT NOTIFIED	5	14
		3	7
		4	8

ACTION 10E 8 ADVANCE COPY ISSUED SLOTTED TUBED TIME BY

INFO VR, FILE, FE6, FI, ADDP, DDP, UH/KA & F/INT 2

R I C O P Y

R I C O P Y

SECRET 161247Z

16 FEB 65 11: 81502
J

DIR CITE 6129

KUDESC

REF DIR 84695

OELW 21369

NOTE NO RQMTS ON CUBAN CONTACTS INCLUDED REFS. IS THIS OVERSIGHT, OR IS THERE REASON FOR NOT FOLLOWING THIS LINE? PLS ADVISE, INCLUDING RQMTS IF ANY.

SECRET


R I C O P Y

R I C O P Y

AN SER	AF 1/A
ABSTRACT	

201-306052

SECRET



DISPATCH

CLASSIFICATION
S-E-C-R-E-T

PROCESSING ACTION

TO Chief of Station, [redacted]
 Attention: **KATZENBACH**

INFO Chief of Station, [redacted]
 Chief, [redacted]

FROM Chief, WE

MARKED FOR INDEXING

NO INDEXING REQUIRED

ONLY QUALIFIED DESK
CAN JUDGE INDEXING

MICROFILM

SUBJECT **DEBRIEFING OF** [redacted]

ACTION REQUIRED - REFERENCES

RE: OELA - 42,410, 26 January 1965

1. On 11 February KUBARK Staff Agent Errol S. KULPA, who had contact with [redacted] in 1963-64, listened to reference tape and made the following comments, which may be helpful to Katzenbach. KULPA felt that the [redacted] that he was hearing on the tape had indeed changed rather radically since the last time KULPA had any contact with him or the [redacted] KULPA remembers [redacted] as [redacted] and probably (because of this [redacted] orientation) anti-U.S. KULPA is therefore quite suspicious of [redacted] motivations and advises that extreme precaution be taken in the handling of [redacted]

2. KULPA's view of [redacted] motivations:

KULPA has strenuous doubts as to any ideological motivation on the part of [redacted]. He recognizes the obvious monetary motivation and feels that this probably plays a large part in the man's being willing to talk to ODYOKE. Another very possible motivation is [redacted] disaffection with [redacted]. Parenthetically, KULPA added that in [redacted] and probably in [redacted] was really nothing more than a name that [redacted] wanted on the masthead. [redacted] primary duties as [redacted] were probably in the area of translation. In light of this KULPA feels that perhaps some legitimate information of value can be obtained in the area of the [redacted]. Again, parenthetically, it might be noted that KULPA felt that [redacted] was not as "idealistic" as his wife. Where [redacted] might adhere to the aspects of Marxism-Leninism that called for revolutionary change (probably because of his dissatisfaction with a world in which the [redacted] had to endure a certain amount of [redacted]), he is not a strict doctrinaire in any of his beliefs. On the other hand, KULPA pointed out that [redacted] wife (who by the way is a [redacted]) is idealistic in the sense that she feels her brand of [redacted] must be internally and ideologically consistent. (This is why she objected to [redacted] for [redacted] and why she probably would get upset if she knew exactly what type of game her husband was currently playing with ODYOKE.)

CONTINUED NEXT PAGE...

CROSS REFERENCE TO	DISPATCH SYMBOL AND NUMBER OELW - 21903	DATE 15 FEB 1965 12 February 1965
	CLASSIFICATION S-E-C-R-E-T	FILE NUMBER 201 - 306052

ROUTING (201-306052) 1 - AF/1 1 - CI/ICG 1 - CA/3 1 - CO/10 1 - WE/BC/Chrono RID/PI DESTROY	ORIGINATING		EXT. 5928
	OFFICE SYMBOL CI/ICG	DATE 12 Feb 65	OFFICER'S NAME (in draft)
	OFFICE SYMBOL CA/3	DATE 12 Feb 65	OFFICER'S NAME (by phone)
	OFFICE SYMBOL CO/10	DATE 12 Feb 65	OFFICER'S NAME (by phone)
	OFFICE SYMBOL WE/BC/U	DATE 12 Feb 65	OFFICER'S NAME

3. We are currently having reference tape transcribed and will forward the transcription to the field as soon as it is completed.

2571
JOSEPH S. TIFFANY

James L. Griffiths
STEWART F. BOLNICK

2 -
1 -
1 -

ORIG []
UNST CI/ICG
EXT 5928
DATE 12 Feb 65

MESSAGE FORM 33

1	5
2	6
3	7
4	8

S-E-C-R-E-T

INDEX
 NO INDEX
 FILE IN CS FILE NO. 201 - 306052

TO []
FROM DIRECTOR

INFO: []

DESTROY
 RETURN TO BRANCH
 FILE RID

12 FEB 65 12 12Z

CONF. C/IC 2
C
O
P
Y

INFO ADDP, WE 6, CA 2, CA/4, FE 6, FI, FI/INT
WH 7, VR, FILE

TOY []

INFO PARI, PALP

CITE DGR

[] KUDESK []

84695

RE: A. OELW 21369
B. OELA 42410

15 FEB AM

FOLLOWING SUPPLEMENTARY REQUIREMENTS MAINLY DERIVED FROM REF B TAPE, FOR USE KATZENBACH DEBRIEFING [] (G):

1. G SPEAKS ABOUT [] CONTACTS WITH []

[] AND FACT THAT \$75,000 WAS FUNDED TO HIM THROUGH THE

[] WOULD BE INTERESTED IN G'S DETAILED COMMENTS ON THE PRESENT ORGANIZATION OF []

LEADERSHIP, TIES WITH [] TIES WITH ERSTWHILE []

OPPOSITION, TIES TO [] SOURCE OF FUNDS, HOW MUCH SENT TO

[] IN FORM OF REMITTANCES.

2. ENCOURAGE G TO TELL MORE ABOUT HIS WIFE, HIS WIFE'S CONNECTIONS WITH [] AND LATTER'S WIFE, HER POLITICAL IDEAS AND ANTECEDENTS.

3. [] HAS DEBTS AMOUNTING TO MORE THAN \$30,000".

CAN G GIVE US A BREAKDOWN, ESTIMATE?

201-306052

INDEXED
AF 2/17
ABSTRACT

CONTINUED NEXT PAGE...

5
4
3
2
1

R
I
C
O
P
Y

R
I
C
O
P
Y

RELEASING OFFICER

COORDINATING OFFICERS

GROUP 1
Excluded from automatic
downgrading and
declassification

AUTHENTICATING OFFICER

FORM 1-64 1389a

OBSCURE PREVIOUS EDITIONS

R COPY

S-E-C-R-E-T

R I COPY

Copy No.

REPRODUCTION BY OTHER THAN THE ISSUING OFFICE IS PROHIBITED.

RECEIVED
R.D. MIS

MESSAGE FORM

ROUTING

ORIG: []
UNIT: CI/ICG
EXT: 5928
DATE: 12 Feb 65

INDEX
 FILE

3 52 PM '65
S-E-C-R-E-T

1	5
2	6
3	7
4	8

FILE IN CS FILE NO. 201 - 306052

JAN 20 10 11 AM '65

TO: []

INFO: []

FROM: DIRECTOR

CONF:

INFO

- PAGE TWO -

TO: []

INFO: []

CITE DIR

P4695

4. WOULD BE USEFUL TO HAVE G TALK ABOUT HIS CONTACTS WITH [] IN GREATER DETAIL. WHAT FAVORS DID HE DO FOR [] AND VICE-VERSA.

5. ANY INFORMATION ON ORGANIZATION, PERSONALITIES AND ACTIVITIES OF [] ANY INDICATION THIS [] INVOLVED IN []

6. INFORMATION ON RELATIONS OF [] WIFE WITH []

7. INFORMATION ON [] HOW WERE STAFFS CHOSEN? WHAT ARE THEIR FUNCTIONS, OVERT AND COVERT?

END OF MESSAGE.

CA/B3 - [] (by phone)

CO/IO - [] (by phone)

AF/1 - [] (in draft)

[]

[]

5
4
3
2
1

LCYF (BC)

RELEASING OFFICER

COORDINATING OFFICERS

S-E-C-R-E-T

GROUP 1
not for automatic
downgrading and
declassification

AUTHENTICATING OFFICER

REPRODUCTION BY OTHER THAN THE ISSUING OFFICE IS PROHIBITED.

Copy No.

INDEX YES NO

CLASSIFY TO FILE NO 201-

TOTAL COPIES 30

COPY DUBBY

CLASSIFIED MESSAGE

REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED

FROM	PERSON/UNIT NOTIFIED	1	5
		2	6
		3	7
		4	8

ACTION 11E8 ADVANCE COPY ISSUED SLOTTED TUBED TIME BY

INFO VR, FILE, FEB CA-2, CA/4, CI, CI/OPS, CI/IC2, CI/A, FI, E/SG

R FI/INTZ

R
I
C
O
P
Y

S E C R E T 04/1802Z
 DIR INFO [] CITE [] 5957
 KUDESK []
 REF [] 5867 (~71348) *

4 FEB 65 IN 73844

ABSTRACT
 []
 []

R
I
C
O
P
Y

1. [] STATE (SHAME-FACEDLY) THEY NOW LEARN [] DEPARTED
 [] 25 JAN FOR []

2. OBVIATES NEED FOR IMMEDIATE PASSAGE FUNDS PER REF, BUT
 WOULD STILL LIKE KNOW STATUS [] RELATIONSHIP PRIOR 15
 FEB.

R
I
C
O
P
Y

S E C R E T
 CFN

R
I
C
O
P
Y

* CLARIFICATION INSTRUCTIONS GIVEN []

R
I
C
O
P
Y

201-306052?
 []
 201-

R
I
C
O
P
Y

201-306052
 4 Feb 65

DISPATCH

SECRET

PROCESSING ACTION

TO	Chief of Station, [redacted]	MARKED FOR INDEXING
INFO.		NO INDEXING REQUIRED
FROM	Chief, WE	ONLY QUALIFIED DESK CAN JUDGE INDEXING
SUBJECT	KUDESCK Debriefing of [redacted] transmission of requirements	MICROFILM

ACTION REQUIRED - REFERENCES

Ref: OELA - 42,410, 26 January 1965

1. Forwarded as enclosure are requirements for the debriefing of Subject. Together with the requirements is a KUDESCK narrative on [redacted] for the background information of Katzenbach. Further background on [redacted] can be found, if you have not already done so, in the following dispatches:

- OELA - 39,346, 26 Sept '63
- OELW - 20,852, 16 Dec '63
- OELA - 40,208, 24 Feb '64
- OELA - 40,542, 15 Apr '64
- OELA - 41,545, 10 Sept '64
- OEL - 30,251, 24 Sept '64

2. We have not yet had time to review the tape of your first session with Subject forwarded with Reference, nor do we expect to get a transcription completed in time to reach you by 15 February. The list of names attached to Reference indicate considerable knowledgeability on the part of Subject as well a tendency to pour out his knowledge in a sort of stream of consciousness style. We fear that, if given the opportunity, Subject will take the bit in his teeth and as much money as he can in his hot little hands and be off and running. To prevent this we would like to suggest that the debriefing be set up on a tightly organized, businesslike basis. It would probably be advisable to write up the terms in a Letter of Agreement contract for Subject's signature before getting started, making sure to include a paragraph in which ODYOKE's obligations and promises are limited to the terms specifically enumerated in the document. We think that any meaningful debriefing will take 3 - 5 days, and there is good reason to believe that Subject will have information of sufficient interest to justify this expenditure of Case Officer time. We do not foresee any long range operational relationship with Subject. While we look at the debriefing as basically a one-shot proposition there will probably be follow-up questions and, perhaps, specific action requests, requiring a later contact. Therefore, the communication channel to Subject should be left open on a "don't call us, we'll call you" basis.

CONTINUED NEXT PAGE...

CROSS REFERENCE TO 1 AT	DISPATCH SYMBOL AND NUMBER OELW - 21869	DATE 3 February 1965
	CLASSIFICATION SECRET	MOB FILE NUMBER 201 - 306,052

1 - RI (201-306052) ROUTING/INITIALS 1 - C/CO/IO w/encl RID/A/CA/3 w/encl RID/WE/BC RID/YS RID/PI	OFFICE C/WE/BC/U	EXT. 7220	
	COORDINATING		
	OFFICE SYMBOL CI/ICG	DATE 3 Feb 65	OFFICER'S NAME Coord and draft
	OFFICE SYMBOL C/CO/IO	DATE 2/2/65	
	OFFICE SYMBOL C/CA/3	DATE 2/4/65	
OFFICE SYMBOL DCWE(BC)	DATE 5 Feb 65		

DISPATCH

3. In line with the need to put the debriefing on a businesslike (rather than a "flexible") basis, it might be a good idea to start by getting Subject to supply a personal history statement from the time of his first entry into [redacted] to the present day. (This can be presented to Subject as a basis for us to formulate meaningful questions, not as any sort of personal "confession" on his part.) In addition to providing us with a check on his veracity, this will set the stage for a debriefing conducted on KJBARK's terms, not Subject's. It is important that it be made clear to Subject at the outset that we want facts, not speculation, commentary and analysis.

4. Please charge payments to Subject for the debriefing to Acct. Nr. 5137 - 1468 (Hqs. [redacted] OOA). Three hundred dollars for the initial 2 1/2 hours talk with Subject is on the steep side. We are thinking in terms of \$700 for five days debriefing with a bonus for a copy of the [redacted]


JST
JOSEPH S. TIFFANY

Stewart F. Rolnick
STEWART F. ROLNICK

2 - [redacted] w/2cc encl.

LEG 11 17 01 22

SECRET//NOFORN



SECRET//NOFORN **TOP SECRET**


ATT # 1 76 CELW 21869

201-306052


SECRET


SECRET


SECRET//NOFORN





SECRET//NOFORN












SECRET



CLASSIFIED MESSAGE TOTAL COPIES

201-306-052

24 **SECRET** GROUP 1
EXCLUDED FROM AUTOMATIC
DOWNGRADING AND
DECLASSIFICATION

REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED

PERSON/UNIT NOTIFIED

FROM

ACTION **WE-8** ADVANCE COPY ISSUED SLOTTED TUBED UNIT TIME BY

INFO
VR. FILE, ADDP, CI, C2/OPS, CA/O-4 CA-2, FE-6, FE, C2/IC-2

SECRET 01/1714Z

INFO DIR [] CITE [] 588 ABSTRACT INDEX

RUDESK

1 FEB 65 14 71348

1. REQUEST CLARIFICATION INSTRUCTIONS GIVEN [] RE PASSAGE MONEY TO [] AS DISCUSSED WITH [] 25 JAN.

2. [] BELIEVES [] HAS NOT YET DEPARTED FOR EUROPEAN TRIP AND HOLD-UP MAY BE DUE NON-RECEIPT 100 [] FROM [] IF NOT INCONSISTENT YOUR LATEST INFO FROM [] AND UNLESS HOS OBJECTS SUGGEST [] CONTACT [] AND AUTHORIZE PASSAGE FUNDS NOW RATHER THAN AS STATED DIR 72596.

SECRET

CFN

SECRET

201-306052
1 FEB 65

2 FEB 1965

DISPATCH	CLASSIFICATION S E C R E T	PROCESSING		
		PROPOSED	ACTION	ACCOMPLISHED
TO	Chief, W3	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	MARKED FOR INDEXING	
INFO	Chief, KLVCLP; Chief, KUDSK; Chief, FE; Chief, AF; Chief, WH; CCS, [redacted] Chief, [redacted] CCS, [redacted]	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	NO INDEXING REQUIRED	
FROM	Chief of Station, [redacted]	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	ONLY QUALIFIED HEADQUARTERS DESK CAN JUDGE INDEXING	
SUBJECT	[redacted] KUDSK Report on [redacted]	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	ABSTRACT	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	MICROFILM	

ACTION REQUIRED - REFERENCES

REF: OELA-42,410, 26 January 1965

[Large empty rectangular area for the main body of the dispatch report]

201-306052



latt.

CS COPY

CROSS REFERENCE TO

DATE TYPED	DATE DISPATCHED
27 Jan. 65	
DISPATCH SYMBOL AND NUMBER	
OELA-42,411	
HEADQUARTERS FILE NUMBER	
201-306052	

CLASSIFICATION
S E C R E T



George H. KATZENBACH

Attachments:

report, herewith

Distributions:

- 3-Chief, WE w/att h/w
- 2-Chief, KUCWLP w/att h/w
- 2-Chief, KUDSK w/att h/w
- 2-Chief, FE w/att h/w
- 2-Chief, AF w/att h/w
- 2-Chief, WH w/att h/w
- 1-COS, w/att h/w
- 1-Chief, w/att h/w
- 1-COS, w/att h/w




att #16
OELA-#2411

CS COPY

201-306052

SECRET



DISPATCH

CLASSIFICATION
SECRET

PROCESSING		
PROPOSED	ACTION	ACCOMPLISHED
	MARKED FOR INDEXING	
	NO INDEXING REQUIRED	
	ONLY QUALIFIED HEADQUARTERS DESK CAN JUDGE INDEXING	
	ABSTRACT	
	MICROFILM	

TO Chief, WE

INFO Chief, KWOLF; Chief, KUDESK; Chief, FE; Chief, AF; Chief, WE; COS, [redacted] Chief, [redacted] COS, [redacted]

FROM Chief of Station, [redacted]

SUBJECT **KUDESK**
Tape of Interview with [redacted]

ACTION REQUIRED - REFERENCES

REF: [redacted] 5706, 21 January 1965

- Attached is the tape of the interview with [redacted] recorded in an office, using a Uher 4000 Report - 5 recorder, recording at a speed of 15/16 feet per minute. The interviewer used the alias of [redacted] arrived at 1745 and departed at about 2030.
- We are not attempting to summarize or analyze [redacted] comments in this dispatch. The tape provides a much more revealing picture than our subjective comments could. A few notes, however, appear to be in order.
- Speaking of his departure, [redacted] said he planned to leave [redacted] on 24 or 25 January. As of noon 24 January, at least, he was still in [redacted] because I saw him walking on [redacted] (A potential problem exists here: [redacted] and not infrequently ride the same bus line. This problem can only be met when it manifests itself.) [redacted] incidentally, has alerted its [redacted] contacts (prior to our meeting with [redacted] and requested that [redacted] be [redacted] They said that they would [redacted]
- [redacted] was given money amounting to \$300. Under the circumstances of his plans, as he expressed them, it seemed reasonable to do so, to ensure, at least partially, that he would come back to talk more. He was more than willing to take the money and raised the initial suggestion of \$200 to \$300 or \$400. His obvious shift in his line regarding dollars (as opposed to [redacted] is further indicative of his willingness to get what he could.
- The considerable number of names mentioned by [redacted] makes the transcription of the notes a sizable chore, and in order to lessen this burden a listing has been compiled of almost all of the names, in the order in which they appear in the tape, with the exception of [redacted] and the [redacted] and a few others. The names appear only one time each on the list, although many of them crop up repeatedly in the tape.

201-306052

GROUP 1
Excluded from automatic
downgrading and
declassification

CROSS REFERENCE TO
[redacted]

DATE TYPED	DATE DISPATCHED
26 Jan. 65	27 JAN 1965
DISPATCH SYMBOL AND NUMBER	
OELA-42,410.	
HEADQUARTERS FILE NUMBER	
201-306052	

CLASSIFICATION
SECRET

Galt.

A few of the phrases that do not appear too clearly on the tape are included on the list. Occasionally the names are written phonetically, indicated by (ph.).

6. [] offer of a photograph of [] was not accepted, on the assumption that KUBARK already has a photo. If this is not the case, let us know, and we will include that in our requirements for a subsequent meeting.

7. The [] is apparently []
[] He is also referred to as the [] (See [] 5575 of 12 January 1965.)

8. The reference to the [] corroborates (rather, is corroborated by) the material referred to in para 4 of [] 5337 (22 December 1965). We are not fully aware of the [] however,

9. In reviewing the tape, it will become apparent that the arrangements with [] were left fairly flexible for the future. It would appear, from his responses, that a longer range relationship with him could, in fact, be established if, after thorough review of his materials, that seems necessary or desirable.

10. We will expect, in addition to the requirements that have been promised, that Headquarters will advise us on their desire to arrange for the one-shot approach previously suggested by Headquarters, or a different arrangement. We are unable to assess the validity or worth of all the comments he made. Our impression, however, is that he is reasonably knowledgeable of a number of fields of interest to us. We also suspect that in some areas his knowledge is quite superficial. His access to various areas of potential interest may be partially proved by his trip around Europe and should be a useful indication of how we may be interested in playing him. The possibility of his [] has not been overlooked, of course, and we welcome Headquarters' comments on this score as well.

11. We would also appreciate further comments on various personalities, with whom we are not familiar - [] is the most obvious in this category.

12. Headquarters is requested to provide us with a transcription of this tape, as well as the promised requirements, prior to 15 February. ODENVY should also be provided with as much of the material as is of interest to them, and a copy of that material should be given to us to pass to the ODENVY office here. We are also obliged to pass to [] such elements of this material as is of legitimate concern to them. In this case, we would suggest that this be interpreted rather liberally and Headquarters-prepared copy be forwarded along with the other items listed above. (Note that [] has been quite cooperative while we have been approaching this case, and their recent run-down on [] is being pouched almost simultaneously with this dispatch.)


George H. KATZENBACH


Attachment: herewith
1-List of names
2-Tape (w/et only)

Distributions:

1-3-Chief, WE w/att, h/w
2-Chief, KUVOLF w/att h/w
2-Chief, KUDRSK w/att h/w
2-Chief, FE w/att h/w
2-Chief, AF w/att h/w
2-Chief, WE w/att h/w

1-COS, [] w/att h/w
1-Chief, [] w/att h/w
1-COS, [] w/att h/w


List of names on tape, approximately in order of appearance:



001 #16
OELA-42410

CS COPY
SECRET

201-306052



SECRET

YES NO
 CLASSIFY TO FILE NO _____
 REF TO FILE NO _____
 FILE RID RET TO BRANCH
 DESTROY SIG _____

CLASSIFIED MESSAGE TOTAL COPIES **2441**

27 SECRET GROUP 1
EXCLUDED FROM AUTOMATIC
 DOWNGRADING AND
 DECLASSIFICATION

COPY FORM
REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED

PERSON/UNIT NOTIFIED	1	5
	2	6
	3	7
	4	8

ACTION **WE 8** ADVANCE COPY UNIT TIME BY

ISSUED SLOTTED TUBES

INFO **VR. FILECAZ, CA/04, FEL6, ADDP, CI, CA/PROP, CI/OPS, CI/IC2, AF4,
 DOT, DOH3, F1, CCS3**

R I C O P Y

R I C O P Y

S E C R E T 211612Z

21 JAN 65 164592

DIR INFO [] CITE [] 5706

[] KUDESK

REF DIR 78506

AF 1/A
 []
 []
 []
 []

R I C O P Y

R I C O P Y

1. [] OFFICER, [] ODOYKE IDY FROM WASH, ARRANGED
 FOR [] FOR INTERVIEW. G, WITHOUT ASKING
 QUESTIONS, CAME WILLINGLY FOR INTERVIEW, WHICH LASTED OVER
 TWO HOURS, MOSTLY SQUIRT TRANSMISSION WITH DIRECTIONAL PRODS
 FROM INTERVIEWER.

R I C O P Y

R I C O P Y

2. G VEHEMENTLY, REPEATEDLY AND APPARENTLY SINCERELY
 VOLUNTEERED HIS CONVICTION THAT NO [] FUNDS, OTHER
 THAN 3000 [] ALTHOUGH
 [] HAS LONG HOPED ENTICE THEM TO DO SO. IN GENERAL
 TERMS, G COVERED HISTORY HIS INVOLVEMENT []
 [] SINCE 1958 - [] INVOLVEMENT IN [] WORK
 IN [] TRAVEL [] AND SUBSEQUENT VICISSITUDES WITH
 []

201-306052
22 JAN 1965

3. G LEAVING NEXT FEW DAYS TO TRAVEL EUROPE, TO []
 VARIOUS [] AS WELL AS NUMBER
 OF PERSONS ASSOCIATED WITH [] LATTER

RECEIVED
RID/MIS

SECRET

JN 64592
PAGE 2

IN ORDER PRY FURTHER ^{ON PCS} SBTD [] FINANCIAL CONDITION AND
 SOURCE OF [] FINANCING, WHICH G BELIEVES HAS BEEN
 FROM [] SOURCES VIA [] WILL TALK WITH "LITTLE
 MAN WHO DOES MANY THINGS FOR []
 WHO ONE OF G'S BEST SOURCES ON [] RELATIONSHIP []
 [] WILL BE AWAY FROM [] TILL ABOUT 15 FEB WHEN NEXT CONTACT
 SCHEDULED. [] GAVE G 300 DOLLARS TO HELP PAY COSTS OF TRIP,
MAKE SURE HIS FUTURE COOPERATION. G SAYS HE EXPECTS RECEIVE
100 [] FROM [] 300 DOLLARS FROM []
 WHICH WILL ALSO MAKE TRIP POSSIBLE. HAS AGREED PROVIDE []
 AND, IF WAY CAN BE FOUND GET THEM OUT WITHOUT AROUSING
 WIFE'S SUSPICION, SEVERAL BOXES OF CARDS WHICH CONSTITUTE
 []

4. DISPATCH WILL FOLLOW, PLUS TAPES (FOR DIR
 ONLY) OF MEETING.

SECRET

CFN

SECRET

CLASSIFIED MESSAGE TOTAL COPIES 24

INDEX YES NO

CLASSIFY TO FILE NO 201-306052

FILE NO REF TO BRANCH

DATE 1/16/65

FROM [redacted]

SECRET REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED

PERSON/UNIT NOTIFIED

1		5
2		6
3		7
4		8

ACTION CA/04

ADVANCE COPY ISSUED INDEXED TUBED

UNIT TIME BY

VR. FILE CAZ, CA/PROP, WE 6, FE

ABSTRACT

INDEX

DESTROY

SECRET 201543Z

INFO DIR [redacted] CITE [redacted] 8742

[redacted] KIDSK

- RE A [redacted] 5652 (r 61219)
- B [redacted] 3073 (r 60705)
- CONCURS REF A.
 - INFORMS US [redacted] LETTER (REF B PAR: 1) NOT BEING [redacted] HOWEVER LETTER ITSELF PROMPTS FOLL [redacted] QUESTIONS:

[Large redacted area]

- OFPA 77970 (POUCHED HQS 18 JAN, [redacted] 20 JAN) PROVIDES BACKGROUND MATERIAL INCLUDING MEMO [redacted] CONVERSATION WITH [redacted]
- INDEX 201-306052.

20 Jan 65

201-306052

DISPATCH

CLASSIFICATION
S-E-C-R-E-T

PROCESSING ACTION

TO Chief of Station, []	MARKED FOR INDEXING
INFO.	NO INDEXING REQUIRED
FROM Chief, WE	ONLY QUALIFIED DESK CAN JUDGE INDEXING
SUBJECT KUDESC	MICROFILM

Transmittal copy of [] Letter to KUBARK

ACTION REQUIRED - REFERENCES

REF: DIR 78506

As stated in reference we forward herewith copy of [] letter which the Station might consider using ultimately to establish [] if other means of establishing [] (such as [] does not satisfy [] [] case officer in showing the letter to [] need [] or [] of KUBARK, though [] might well make that assumption.

Stewart F. Rolnick
STEWART F. ROLNICK

2 - []
(w/att U.S.C.)
T.N.

201-306052

CROSS REFERENCE TO	DISPATCH SYMBOL AND NUMBER OELW-21828	DATE 21 JAN 1965
	CLASSIFICATION S-E-C-R-E-T	HQS FILE NUMBER 201 - 306052
ROUTING 1 - RI [] att 1 - WE/BC [] [] [] []	ORIGINATING	
	OFFICE WE/BC(U)	OFFICER - TYPST []
	COORDINATING	
	OFFICE SYMBOL	DATE 17 Jan '65
RELEASING		EXT 7220
OFFICE SYMBOL DCWE(BC)	DATE 17 Jan '65	

DISPATCH

DISPATCH	CLASSIFICATION SECRET	PROCESSING ACTION	
			MARKED FOR INDEXING
TO	Chief, WE ATT.: Chief, FE	XXX	NO INDEXING REQUIRED
INFO.	Chief of Station, []		ONLY QUALIFIED DESK CAN JUDGE INDEXING
FROM	Chief of Station, []		MICROFILM
SUBJECT	[] - Identity A, formerly employed by Identity B		
ACTION REQUIRED - REFERENCES			
REFERENCE: [] 8052			
<p><u>NOTE:</u> The following round-up and commentary on Iden A had been drafted before [] 3017 of 6 January 1965 and later traffic presented the case in a somewhat different light. We forward the following anyway, as some of the background and suggestions still seem pertinent. Several questions raised below have now been resolved, notably the allegation of an Iden A/KUBARK link (explained by the ODEAVY letter of 1 December 1964, not available when the following was written). Copies of recent [] correspondence on Iden A, passed to us on 13 January 1965, are attached as Attachment 3, USC.</p> <p>1. Upon receiving the memorandum cited in the reference, we requested</p> <div style="border: 1px solid black; height: 150px; width: 100%;"></div> <p>2. Our continuing preoccupation with the IDEN A question is three-fold: (a) [] from IDEN B (and thus from acceptance by [] opens the door for his possible recruitment; (b) we would like to determine whether his [] was engineered by personal enemies or whether he actually [] (c) as [] regards the USA as its primary target, the [] can be expected to continue propaganda attacks designed to create IDEN D tension and inflame the []</p> <p>Attachments: 1. Excerpt from RFE research bulletin, 19 Nov 64, h/w <i>5/attached in RFE/W</i> 2. Memorandum of Conversation, USC 3. Letters dated 4 and 6 Jan 65, USC 4. Identities, USC</p> <p>Distribution: 3 - Chief, WE w/atts 2 - Chief, FE w/atts <i>4atts</i> 2 - COS [] w/atts</p>			
CROSS REFERENCE TO	DISPATCH SYMBOL AND NUMBER	DATE	
	OFPA - 77970	JAN 18 1965	
	CLASSIFICATION SECRET	HQS FILE NUMBER	
		201-306052	

**CONTINUATION OF
- DISPATCH**

**CLASSIFICATION
SECRET**

**DISPATCH SYMBOL AND NUMBER
OFPA 77970**

[Empty content area]

FORM 5-60 53a USE PREVIOUS EDITION

**CLASSIFICATION
SECRET**

CONTINUED

**PAGE NO.
2**

Believe IDEN "G"
does not exist, &
that someone
confused him with
IDEN "A".

IDEN "I" is wife of IDEN "A"
She is [] and not []
Don't believe there is an
IDEN "J"; again there
must have been confusion,
and her name is that given
for IDEN "I"

RADIO FREE EUROPE Report

November 17, 1964

United States

One of the most violent reactions came from the heart-land of capitalism, from the small American faction which produces the monthly Hammer & Steel Newsletter in Boston. The October issue of the mimeographed bulletin vengefully gives a list of eight reasons why Khrushchev fell -- each one a vindictive crow of triumph, and many attacking not only Khrushchev but basic Soviet policies such as "the distortion of peaceful coexistence." Points 2, 3 and 8 will serve as illustrations:

2. His German policy -- Khrushchev sought to ingratiate himself with West Germany. He was obviously ready to sell out the German Democratic Republic...
3. The declining influence of the CPSU among the Socialist countries and the Communist Parties. The proposed Moscow gathering was shaping up as a conference of only those Party leaders ready to openly serve U.S. imperialist aggression against the national liberation struggles. For example, Asian supporters of Khrushchev could have gathered in a telephone booth.
8. The nuclear success of People's China. Khrushchev had maintained that nuclear power was the exclusive responsibility of revisionists and imperialists -- of white nations. Khrushchev's great power chauvinism was blown sky high in Sinkiang Province.

Although there is no evidence that the politically insignificant Hammer & Steel group enjoys any direct support from the Chinese (as the Russians alleged in their "Open Letter"

of July 14, 1963), it has always offered its allegiance to Peking in the most extreme form. This is expressed again in the claim that "Khrushchev's failure to recognize the contradiction between the oppressed peoples and imperialism as the focal contradiction of our time resulted in blindness concerning the leading rôle of the Chinese Party."⁸ This is a claim that the Chinese themselves would not want to make, especially at this juncture.

all #16
OFFA-77970

CS COPY

201-306052

INDEX YES NO
 CLASSIFY TO FILE NO 201-306052 CLASSIFIED MESSAGE TOTAL COPIES 3
 REF TO FILE NO [] RET TO BRANCH []
 FILE NO [] DESTROY [] SIG. WIK
 FROM []
 ACTION CA/104 ADVANCE COPY ISSUED SLOTTED TUBED [] UNIT []
 INFO

SECRET

REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED

VR. FILE CA2, US 6 1126, FI, CI, (AJINT), CD/PROP
D04, D043, CI/12

SECRET 151646Z

DIR INFO [] CITE [] 3073 (CILIBERTI ASLIP)

KIDSK

ABSTRACT
 15 JAN 65 11:60705

REF A. DIR 75497 (IN 58385)
 B. [] 5575

(Also Re [])

1. AIRMAILING TO [] KATZENBACH LETTER WRITTEN TO ENCOUNTER BY [] AT [] INVITATION RE FINANCIAL BACKING OF [] WILL NOT RPT NOT [] SINCE OBVIOUSLY WOULD BURN BRIDGES BETWEEN [] AND OLD COLLEAGUES.

2. [] SUGGEST FOLLOWING COURSE OF ACTION:
 A. KUBARKER UNDER [] GET ALL POSSIBLE INFO OUT OF [] USING NECESSARY MINIMUM FINANCIAL INDUCEMENT. DEBRIEFING SHOULD START ASAP AND RELATIONSHIP SHOULD CONTINUE AS LONG AS FRUITFUL [] FEELS [] ENTHUSIAST AND OPPORTUNIST"

WOULD RESPOND BADLY TO OPEN KUBARK PITCH:
 B. MEANWHILE [] GIVE [] 100 [] GRANT TO TRAVEL AND PREPARE ARTICLES WHICH WOULD NOT BE PUBLISHED UNTIL EVIDENT THAT [] BURNED AMONG FORMER CONTACTS;

C. WHEN EVIDENT [] BURNED AND FI POTENTIAL EXHAUSTED

SECRET

15 Jan 65
 201-306052

RI COPY

~~SECRET~~

IN-60705
PAGE 2 OF 2

ENCOUNTER AND OTHER [REDACTED] WOULD TRY FOR MAXIMUM
PROPAGANDA IMPACT [REDACTED]

- 3. APPREC ADDRESSEES REACTIONS ABOVE.
- 4. NO INDEX.

SECRET

CFN

~~SECRET~~

* HRS BENNY INTERESTED KUBARK DEBRIEFING SUPV.

RELATION
REF: 515
FEB 3 2 25 PM '65

FEB 3 11 03 PM '65

FB24
78

ORIG: []
UNIT: CI/ICG
EXT: 5928
DATE: 14 JAN 65

MESSAGE FORM

INDEX
 NO INDEX
 FILE IN CS FILE NO.

43 S-E-C-R-E-T

2	3
3	7

DESTROY
 RETURN TO BRANCH
 FILE RID

TO: []
FROM: DIRECTOR

CONF: C/ICG

INFO: CI, ODPS, FI, FEB, CA2, CA/64, URS, FINTE

COPY TO

[] INF []
KUDSK []

CITE DIR

77493

RE [] 5575 (IN 58385)*

FRIEND

DIR 76497**

R I C O P Y

WHILE APPRECIATE ANY INFO AVAILABLE [] HQS HAS []
[] WHICH ADEQUATE BASIS FOR [] STATION. DIRECT
CONTACT WITH [] NOW TO DETERMINE AVAILABILITY AND PRICE FOR ONE
WEEK DEBRIEFING BY ODYOKE OFFICIAL [] WISH CONTACT HIM
NOW WHILE HE UNEMPLOYED AND ~~HE~~ PROBABLY AVAILABLE.

R I C O P Y

END OF MESSAGE

*CITED INFO FROM [] RE []

**REQUESTED [] STATION CONTACT [] DETERMINE HIS AVAILABILITY
FOR DEBRIEFING.

R I C O P Y

AN SP FI
RS
AF 1/M

R I C O P Y

5
4
3
2
1

[]

FE/CH []

[] WE/BC/L

201-306052

DCWE(BC)

COORDINATING OFFICERS

FORM 1-64 1389a

RELEASED TO PREVIOUS EDITIONS.

R I C O P Y

E -

R I C O P Y

COPIES: [] AUTHENTICATING [] (33)

REPRODUCTION BY OTHER THAN THE ISSUING OFFICE IS PROHIBITED.

YES NO
 CLASSIFIED MESSAGE TOTAL COPIES: 100
 CLASSIFY TO FILE NO: 201-30605
 X REF TO FILE NO: 201-925837
 FILE RID: RET TO BRANCH
 DES. RC: WP

SECRET

GROUP 1
Excluded from automatic
downgrading and
declassification

REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED

1		5
2		6
3		7
4		8

FROM: [REDACTED]

PURSON/UNIT NOTIFIED: [REDACTED]

ACTION: CA/O 4

ADVANCE COPY: ISSUED SLOTTED TUBED

UNIT: [REDACTED] TIME: [REDACTED] BY: [REDACTED]

INFO: [REDACTED]

VR. FILE, CA 2, W 3, G, FE 6, CI, CI/OPS, F, F/SP4, F/INT 2, CI/IC 2

R I C O P Y

SECRET 121737Z

2P

DIR INFO [REDACTED] CITE [REDACTED] 5575 (CAWOOD ACTING)

KUDESK [REDACTED]

REF A. DIR 75457

PS FILED
 ABSTRACTED INDEXED
 12 JAN 65

12 JAN 65

MICROFILMED
 838
 FEB 10 1965
 U.S. MICRO. SER.

- B. [REDACTED] 1898 (C) [REDACTED]
1. MATTER DISCUSSED BROAD TERMS WITH [REDACTED] EXPLAINED TO THEM THAT [REDACTED] OF CURRENT INTEREST TO US, WITH POSSIBLE OPERATIONAL OBJECTIVES WHICH NOT YET RESOLVED. WOULD APPRECIATE THEIR [REDACTED] ATTITUDES, ETC BY CHANCE THEY HAVE RECENTLY [REDACTED] IN SUPPORT OF CONSIDERABLE AMOUNT OF INFO CURRENTLY IN HAND. WILL MAKE AVAILABLE ROUND-UP ON SUBJ WITHIN NEXT FEW DAYS.

R I C O P Y

2. [REDACTED]

R I C O P Y

SECRET


12 Jan. 65

B-201-725837

201-306052

SECRET

IN 58305



4. VIEW FOREGOING, NO PRESENT NEED THESSIN [] WILL ADVISE IF SERVICES REQUIRED. APPRECIATE REF B.

5. ODENVY [] BEING KEPT INFORMED AND MOST COOPERATIVE.

6. FURTHER INFO FROM [] WILL BE FORWARDED SOONEST.

SECRET

CFN

FEB 3 2 35 PM '65

SECRET

COMMUNICATIONS SECTION
FEB 3 1965

FEB 4 11 08 PM '65

RID/MIS

(When Filled In) PROCESSING COPIES

CLASSIFY TO FILE NO. 201-306052

FILE ID RET TO SEARCH
DESTROY SIG WR

CLASSIFIED MESSAGE TOTAL COPIES 34

SECRET

REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED

FROM	PERSON/UNIT NOTIFIED	1	5
		2	6
		3	7
		4	8

ACTION AF 7

ADVANCE COPY ISSUED SLOTTED PUNCHED

UNIT TIME BY

INFO VR. FILE, WE6, FE6, CA2, CA/04, CC, C/DPS, C/IC2, FE, FE/INT2,

SECRET 061550Z


DIR INFO [] CITE [] 5512 CAWOOD ACTING

1-8 JUN 65 IN 56408

REF A. [] 5337 (IN 47512)
REF B. [] 3017 (IN 5524)

ABSTRACT	INDEX	DESTROY
----------	-------	---------

1. WHILE [] HAS RESERVATIONS ABOUT [] LIE UP,
SUGGEST DEFER DECISION TILL MORE INFO IN HAND. REF B DEVELOPMENT WOULD
SEEM PROVIDE USEFUL MEANS GETTING MORE THOROUGH ASSESSMENT OF []



BT

8 Jun 65

201-306052


C-O-N-F-I-D-E-N-T-I-A-L
When Filled In

7 January 1975
(date)

When Filled In
C-O-N-F-I-D-E-N-T-I-A-L

C-O-N-F-I-D-E-N-T-I-A-L
When Filled In

~~SECRET~~ ^{22 Dec} 1974 (date)



~~SECRET~~
When Filled In
C-O-N-F-I-D-E-N-T-I-A-L