This document is made available through the declassification efforts and research of John Greenewald, Jr., creator of:

# The Black Vault



The Black Vault is the largest online Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) document clearinghouse in the world. The research efforts here are responsible for the declassification of hundreds of thousands of pages released by the U.S. Government & Military.

**Discover the Truth at: http://www.theblackvault.com** 

5/13/201

Agency Information

AGENCY: FBI

124-90143-10430 . RECORD NUMBER:

RECORD SERIES: HQ

AGENCY FILE NUMBER: 100-370750-333

Document Information

ORIGINATOR: CIT

TROM: [Restricted] TO: [Restricted]

TITLE:

DATE: 01/31/1967

'PAGES:

JFK INT b(iii) Withhold in full

SUBJECTS:

JOHN HOWARD LAWSON

DOCUMENT TYPE:

CLASSIFICATION: RESTRICTIONS: 1B

CURRENT STATUS: Withhold DATE OF LAST REVIEW:

01/21/1999

OPENING CRITERIA: APPROVAL OF USA

> COMMENTS: LET, ENV

PAPER, TEXTUAL DOCUMENT Department of the Army EO 13526 Declassify 0 Exclude 0 Exempt

Authority\_ O Refer To

Review Date 11mayle

NW#: 45838

DocId: 32339012

JOHN HOWARD LAWSON 948 ELYRIA DRIVE LOS ANGELES, CALIF, 80065

January 31, 1967

Dear Jay:

Your letter makes me feel that I did not read your manuscript with as much insight or sensitivity as I should have brought to it. The fault may be in part in the manuscript itself, but I feel that I cannot be altogether absolved from blame for not understanding the intent, the inner drive of the thing, even if this purpose is not fully realized. I feel it is not realized, yet I believe it is there, wrapped though it may be in detail.

The concept of broadening the study of film, to use it (as your say) as a lens for the study of a country's history and character, is necessary and I am sure you are well equipped for the task. (The horribly low level of film history or criticism in the U.S., resting as it does on a phoney aesthetic, has been evident to me (perhaps with some subjective overemphasis) in the treatment of my FILM: THE CREATIVE PROCESS— not merely that so many critics attacked it, but that they attacked it without the faintest suspicion of what it is about.

I feel that your letter states some of the problems, but also states certain limitations (as I see it) in your approach. Let me make three points: first, you speak of "aesthetics" and "sociology:" You say, "sociology is probably dominant". I feel there is a danger in this, because it suggests a separation of the two approaches, and I feel that we must achieve an integral approach. Marxist thought has suffered badly in the cultural field from the separation, and a rather stuffy certainty that sociology is primary: art in the real sense, the creative realization of human potentialities, is primary, and I think this view of art (along with its misuse and degradation in societies which are not creative) is the basis for a richer approach.

Second point: the theme of "treatment of women," which you mention could, I think, be infinitely more revealing, and more realized in terms of film-and through the lens of film, the whole social situation.

Third point: "Chronology "You are right that

it must have more freedom -- forward and backward, but somehow the frame of reference, the reality of time, must determine the movement back and forward. The question of time is, I think, a central factor in cinema art -- and it must be so in your nook.

All these problems face me in somewhat

NW#: 45838 DocId: 32339012

FEB. 1967



13113

JOHN HOWARD LAWSON 848 ELYRIA DRIVE LOS ANGELES, CALIF. 80065

2

different, but related forms, in my autobiography. I have withdrawn it, after some mixed and very stimulating comments from friends, scholars and theatre people, and am reorganizing, cutting savagely, and carrying it through to the end of the thirties. B I expect to be at this revision for months and months and months. Eventually, I shall want and ask four advice.

I wonder if you heard of Jerome's death--almost a year ago.

I was not aware of the photo. of Processional in Steichen's autobiography, and shall look it up.

Sue joins in love to you both.

CONFIDENTIAL

DOWNGRADED AT 12 YEAR INTERVALS NOT AUTOMATICALLY DECLASSIFIED DOD DIR 5200.10

NW#: 45838

DocId: 32339012





2020 HQ "Van"





CARE OF Filmarchiv, Kronenstrasse 10, Berlin 108, German Democratic Republic

DOWNGRADED AT 12 YEAR INTERVALS NOT AUTOMATICALLY DECLASSIFIED DOD DIR 5200.10

DOWNGRADED AT 12 YEAR INTERVALS NOT AUTOMATICALLY DECLASSIFIED DOD DIR 5200.10

DOWNGRADED AT 12 YEAR INTERVALS NOT AUTOMATICALLY DECLASSIFIED DOD DIR 5200.10

DOWNGRADED AT 12 YEAR INTERVALS NOT AUTOMATICALLY DECLASSIFIED DOD DIR 5200.10

DOWNGRADED AT 12 YEAR INTERVALS NOT AUTOMATICALLY DECLASSIFIED DOD DIR 5200:10

DOWNGRADED AT 12 YEAR INTERVALS NOT AUTOMATICALLY DECLASSIFIED DOD DIR 5200.10

NW#: 45838 DocId: 32339012

SENSITIVE SOURCES

Ŷ.

JOHN HOWARD LAWSON : LOS ANGELES, CALIF. 80065

NOT AUTOMATICALLY DECLASSIFIED DOD DIR 5200.10

DOWNGRADED AT 12 YEAR INTERVALS NOT AUTOMATICALLY DECLASSIFIED DOD DIR 5200.70 -

DOWNGRADED AT 12 YEAR INTERVALS NOT AUTOMATICALLY DECLASSIFIED DOD DIR 5200.10

DOWNGRADED AT 12 YEAR INTERVALS NOT AUTOMATICALLY DECLASSIFIED DOD DIR 5200,10

DOWNGRADED AT 12 YEAR INTERVALS NOT AUTOMATICALLY DECLASSIFIED DOD DIR 5200.10

DOWNGRADED AT 12 YEAR INTERVALS NOT AUTOMATICALLY DECLASSIFIED DOD DIR 5200:10

DOWNGRADED AT 12 YEAR INTERVALS NOT AUTOMATICALLY DECLASSIFIED DOD DIR 5200.10

NW#: 45838 DocId: 32339012

SIOCEESE PISOU 8889 : #MN! ALL INTORNATION OUNTAINED ON THIS ENTEROPE