

This document is made available through the declassification efforts  
and research of John Greenewald, Jr., creator of:

# The Black Vault

---



The Black Vault is the largest online Freedom of Information Act (FOIA)  
document clearinghouse in the world. The research efforts here are  
responsible for the declassification of hundreds of thousands of pages  
released by the U.S. Government & Military.

**Discover the Truth** at: **<http://www.theblackvault.com>**

DATE: 11-14-2017

## JFK ASSASSINATION SYSTEM

## IDENTIFICATION FORM

AGENCY INFORMATION

AGENCY: FBI  
RECORD\_NUMBER: 124-10271-10057  
RECORD\_SERIES: HQ  
AGENCY\_FILE\_NUMBER: 62-116464-58

DOCUMENT INFORMATION

ORIGINATOR: FBI  
FROM: PAPICH, SAM  
TO: DIRECTOR, FBI  
TITLE:

DATE: 03/13/1970

PAGES: 7

SUBJECT: LIAISON WITH CIA, INSTR, GRIEVANCES, ACT, CASTRO MATTERS, LHO,  
POST-RP, TRA, ALLEGED \$6500 PAYMENT

DOCUMENT\_TYPE: PAPER, TEXTUAL DOCUMENT

ORIGINAL  
CLASSIFICATION: Secret

NEW  
CLASSIFICATION:

REVIEW DATE: 11/03/1998

UPDATE DATE: 02/14/2001

STATUS Redact

RESTRICTIONS:

**JFK Act 6 (1)(B)**

COMMENTS: INC LIST, MEMO, 256 OF 263 NAR

DATE: 11-14-2017

1 - Mr. J. B. Adams  
 2 - Mr. J. B. Mintz  
 (1 - Mr. J. B. Hotis)  
 1 - Mr. W. R. Wannall  
 1 - Mr. W. O. Cregar

September 4, 1975

1 - Mr. T. J. McNiff

The Attorney General

Director, FBI

# UNITED STATES HOUSE SELECT COMMITTEE ON INTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES (HSC)

Reference is made to HSC letter dated July 22, 1975, requesting materials and documents previously provided to the United States Senate Select Committee on Intelligence Activities (SSC).

Enclosed for your approval and forwarding to the HSC is the original of a memorandum with enclosure which is submitted as a partial response to the above-cited request of the HSC.

A copy of this memorandum is being furnished for your records.

Enclosures (3)

62-116464

1 - The Deputy Attorney General

Attention: Michael E. Shaheen, Jr.

Special Counsel for  
Intelligence CoordinationAJD:1hb/1hb  
(10)

REC-16

SEP 15 1975

Assoc. Dir. \_\_\_\_\_  
 Dep. AD Adm. \_\_\_\_\_  
 Dep. AD Inv. \_\_\_\_\_  
 Asst. Dir.: \_\_\_\_\_  
 Admin. \_\_\_\_\_  
 Comp. Syst. \_\_\_\_\_  
 Ext. Affairs \_\_\_\_\_  
 Files & Com. \_\_\_\_\_  
 Gen. Inv. \_\_\_\_\_  
 Ident. \_\_\_\_\_  
 Inspection \_\_\_\_\_  
 Intell. \_\_\_\_\_  
 Laboratory \_\_\_\_\_  
 Plan. & Eval. \_\_\_\_\_  
 Spec. Inv. \_\_\_\_\_  
 Training \_\_\_\_\_  
 Legal Coun. \_\_\_\_\_  
 Telephone Rm. \_\_\_\_\_  
 Chief Sec'y \_\_\_\_\_

ENCLOSURE

ST 100

WFO/TOL

PM K

RECEIVED

RECEIVED

SECRET MATERIAL ATTACHED

RECEIVED

RECEIVED

SEP 17 1975

ENCLOSURE IN BULKY ROOM

MAIL ROOM

TELETYPE UNIT

GPO 954-546

Memorandum

SECRET

The Director

DATE: 3/13/70

FROM : SA Sam Papich

SUBJECT: RELATIONS WITH CIA

CIA HAS NO OBJECTION TO  
DECLASSIFICATION OF  
RELEASE OF INFORMATION  
IN THIS DOCUMENT. AB SANITIZED  
JBH 11/19/93  
6MB 6-22-94

Reference is made to my letters dated March 2 and March 5, 1970. In my letter of March 5, 1970, I stated "it is important to emphasize that the Bureau can also produce an extensive list of justified grievances." It is my understanding that the Director desired that this list be identified. Enclosed herewith is a list of approximately 75 items.

This list should not be considered absolutely complete. Preparation was predicated on my personal recollection and a review of Bureau records. To make this list more complete and specifically accurate would necessitate the review of thousands of files. The enclosed list can be supported by Bureau records. What CIA records reflect on the same items is unknown. This also must be kept in mind in connection with our evaluation of the alleged CIA grievances which I previously listed.

I realize that it is presumptuous on my part, but if the Director feels that our Bureau work can benefit by a personal discussion between the Director and myself, I am available until April 3, 1970. I plan to leave the area immediately thereafter for an extended period.

ACTION:

For information.

Enclosure

SP8 MAC/KSL  
6-24-94  
Classified by 9803 RDD/GAT (JFK)  
Declassify on: OADR (JFK)

There is no  
need for a  
personal discussion.

Classified by ~~12~~  
Exempt from GDS, Category ~~2~~  
Date of Declassification Indefinite

NATIONAL SECURITY INFORMATION  
Unauthorized Disclosure  
Subject to Criminal Sanctions

SECRET



DATE: 11-14-2017

LIST OF BUREAU GRIEVANCES1. ATTACKS AGAINST BUREAU PLACE 1951)

Although Agent Papich did not begin handling Liaison with CIA until 1952, it is important to refer to highly significant differences with CIA which culminated in a serious conflict in the Fall of 1951. Our Legal Attaches in *PLACE* and *PLACE* reported that CIA representatives were attacking the Bureau, were endeavoring to place us in an unfavorable light, were questioning our jurisdiction, and were making disparaging remarks concerning the Bureau. Some of this was summed up by characterizing it as covert hostility within CIA, stemming largely from disgruntled former employees of the FBI.

In October, 1951, General Walter Beddell Smith, then Director of CIA, asked to meet with the Director and other Bureau representatives for the purpose of discussing the existing differences. General Smith denied that there was any covert hostility against the Bureau and maintained that there was a general feeling of respect for us. He admitted that there had been isolated instances of friction for which CIA must accept its share of responsibility.

It is my recollection that the Director and other Bureau officials did meet with General Smith, at which time guidelines were set forth for maintaining future relations between the two agencies. I was not able to find a memorandum of record covering this meeting.

2. PROSELYTING OF BUREAU PERSONNEL BY CIA

The Agent clearly recalls that early in the 1950's we encountered difficulties with CIA because the Agency allegedly was recruiting Bureau-employed personnel. We vigorously protested, and subsequently the Agency advised that it would follow a policy of not having any contact with a Bureau employee until the individual had been separated from the Bureau for a period of at least thirty days. The Agent could not locate the background of this matter in the files reviewed by him. It is possible that the pertinent information lies in the personnel file of some former Bureau Agent.

NATIONAL SECURITY INFORMATION  
Unauthorized Disclosure  
Subject to Criminal Sanctions

possible hostage situation in his native country. The Bureau was following this potential defection and pursuant to established procedures was keeping interested agencies apprised of developments. On September 15, 1958, we received information indicating that another Government agency was conducting an investigation of the subject. It was later established that CIA was the other agency.

#### 39. CIA ACTIVITIES IN PLACE

The Legal Attache, PLACE reported by letter dated September 22, 1958, that NAME AND POSITION [was a paid, highly regarded, and very sensitive source of CIA] (s) This information was given to the Legal Attache by NAME AND POSITION. According to NAME CIA did not want this information to be known to other agencies, particularly the FBI. The Director's notation was, "Some more of CIA double dealing. H."

#### 40. ALLEGED CIA INCOMPETENCE

During the period October DATE, Bureau representatives attended a seminar at Orlando, Florida, which was given by the U.S. Air Force. Among the activities was a lecture given by NAME of CIA. Subsequent to the briefing, General NAME of the Air Force confided to Bureau representatives and expressed his displeasure with the briefing given by NAME. He was particularly critical of NAME reluctance to furnish certain information, using the excuse that the matter was of a "Top Secret" nature. General NAME stated that the position taken by NAME was only an excuse for incompetence on the part of CIA.

This item is being cited in the event we desire to use the foregoing as evidence to support a position that we were obliged to be circumspect in dealing with CIA.

#### 41. CIA COVERAGE IN CUBA PRIOR TO OVERTHROW OF BATISTA GOVERNMENT

The overthrow of the Batista Government on January 1, 1959, and the subsequent assumption of power by Castro raised questions concerning the efficiency and competence of U.S. intelligence. Allen Dulles indicated that future developments would

show that many more people were involved in the Castro organization than the U.S. Government had realized. Information coming to our attention suggested the possibility that both State and CIA had failed to assess developments in Cuba properly.

The foregoing is cited in the event that we found reason to question the competency of [CIA in Cuba]. This could be useful if we wanted to justify the existence of a Legal Attache office in Havana. One could also comment that poor coverage in Cuba had an indirect and adverse effect on our operations in the United States.

#### 42. NAME

By letter dated April 25, 1959, we voiced our objections to CIA for giving guidance to an individual with whom we had been maintaining contact for the purpose of developing him as a double agent. The individual involved was NAME

, a well-known expert in the field of TYPE OF research as it applied to SPECIFIC SUBJECT MATTER [was also a contract agent of CIA and had occasion to handle sensitive matters for that Agency.] (S) In [April,] DATE. NAME was preparing (S) to make a trip to Moscow. CIA briefed him on matters as they applied to his trip. The Agency also interviewed him concerning his relationship with the subject in Washington, D. C., and, furthermore, gave him guidance concerning the relationship. We objected to CIA giving any guidance to NAME concerning his contacts with the subject without first consulting with us.

#### 43. ALLEGED BELITTling OF COMMUNISM BY ALLEN DULLES

In July, 1959, Allen Dulles of CIA spoke at the National Strategy Seminar of the National War College. One of the professors handling the Seminar was critical of Dulles. He claimed that Dulles had belittled the importance of the communist problem.

The above is being cited in the event we desire to utilize the information in justifying a position that it was necessary to be circumspect with CIA.

#### 44. NAME MAGAZINE ARTICLE - SEPTEMBER, DATE,

In September, DATE. NAME magazine carried an article captioned TITLE OF ARTICLE which included information of a derogatory nature concerning the Director and the Bureau. The article precipitated a crisis